Published in final edited form as:

Ann Surg Oncol. 2012 December ; 19(13): 4337–4344. doi:10.1245/s10434-012-2499-8.

Risk of cancer-specific mortality following recurrence after radical nephroureterectomy

Michael Rink, M.D.^{a,b}, Daniel Sjöberg^c, Evi Comploj, M.D.^d, Vitaly Margulis, M.D.^e, Evangelos Xylinas, M.D.^{a,f}, Richard K. Lee, M.D.^a, Jens Hansen, M.D.^{b,g}, Eugene K. Cha, M.D.^a, Jay D. Raman, M.D.^h, Mesut Remzi, M.D.ⁱ, Karim Bensalah, M.D.^j, Giacomo Novara, M.D.^k, Surena F. Matin, M.D.^l, Felix K. Chun, M.D.^b, Eiji Kikuchi, M.D.^m, Wassim Kassouf, M.D.ⁿ, Juan I. Martinez-Salamanca, M.D.^o, Yair Lotan, M.D.^e, Christian Seitz, M.D.^p, Armin Pycha, M.D.^d, Richard Zigeuner, M.D.^q, Pierre I. Karakiewicz, M.D.^g, Douglas S. Scherr, M.D.^a, Andrew Vickers, M.D.^c, and Shahrokh F. Shariat, M.D.^a for the Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma Collaboration (UTUCC)

^aWeill Cornell Medical College, New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, USA

^bUniversity Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

^cMemorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, USA

^dGeneral Hospital of Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy

^eUniversity of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

^fCochin Hospital, APHP, Paris 5 University, Paris, France

^gUniversity of Montreal, Montreal, QC, Canada

^hPenn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA, USA

ⁱHospital Weinviertel-Korneuburg, Korneuburg, Austria

^jUniversity of Rennes, Rennes, France

^kUniversity of Padua, Padua, Italy

^lMD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

^mKeio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

ⁿMcGill University Health Center, Montréal, Québec, Canada

^oUniversity Hospital Puerta de Hierro-Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain

^pSt. John of God Hospital, Teaching Hospital of the Medical University of Vienna, Austria

^qMedical University of Graz, Graz, Austria

Abstract

Correspondence address: Shahrokh F. Shariat, MD, Department of Urology and Division of Medical Oncology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York Presbyterian Hospital, 525 East 68th Street, Box 94, Starr 900, New York, NY, 10065, Office: +1-212-7465562, sfshariat@gmail.com.

Disclosures:

Michael Rink is supported by The Frederick J. and Theresa Dow Wallace Fund of the New York Community Trust. Shahrokh F. Shariat is an Advisory Board member of Ferring Pharma.

Purpose—To describe the natural history and identify predictors of cancer-specific survival in patients who experience disease recurrence after radical nephroureterectomy (RNU) for upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC).

Methods—Of 2,494 UTUC patients treated with RNU without neoadjuvant chemotherapy, 597 patients experienced disease recurrence. 148 patients (25%) received adjuvant chemotherapy before disease recurrence. Multivariable Cox regression model addressed time to cancer-specific mortality after disease recurrence.

Results—The median time from RNU to disease recurrence was 12 months (IQR 5–22). 491 of 597 (82%) patients died from UTUC and 8 patients (1.3%) died from other causes. The median time from disease recurrence to death of UTUC was 10 months. Actuarial cancer-specific survival estimate at 12 months after disease recurrence was 35%. On multivariable analysis that adjusted for the effects of standard clinico-pathologic characteristics, higher tumor stages (HR pT3 vs. pT0-T1: 1.66, $p=0.001$; HR pT4 vs. pT0-T1: 1.90, $p=0.002$), absence of lymph node dissection (HR 1.28, $p=0.041$), ureteral tumor location (HR 1.44, $p<0.0005$) and a shorter interval from surgery to disease recurrence ($p<0.0005$) were significantly associated with cancer-specific mortality. The adjusted 6, 12 and 24 months post-recurrence cancer-specific mortality was 73%, 60% and 57%, respectively.

Conclusion—Approximately 80% of patients who experience disease recurrence after RNU die within two years post-recurrence. Patients with non-organ-confined stage, absence of lymph node dissection, ureteral tumor location and/or shorter time to disease recurrence died of their tumor faster than their counterparts. These factors should be considered in patient counseling and risk-stratification for salvage treatment decision-making.

Keywords

urothelial carcinoma; upper urinary tract; recurrence; survival; prognosis

INTRODUCTION

Upper tract urothelial carcinoma (UTUC) is a rare and potentially lethal disease[1]. Radical nephroureterectomy (RNU) with excision of a bladder cuff is the standard of care for the treatment in patients with a normal contralateral kidney for high-grade and/or invasive tumors of the renal pelvicalyceal system and ureters, offering adequate local tumor control and long-term survival[2, 3]. While most post-RNU disease recurrences seem to occur within the first 2–3 years after surgery, disease recurrence can occur at any time after RNU[2, 4, 5]. The natural history of UTUC from RNU to disease recurrence has been intensively investigated[2, 5–9]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no study determining the outcomes and prognostic factors of patients who have experienced disease recurrence after RNU. Indeed, from clinical experience, the natural history of these patients is highly variable. Improved understanding of the natural history and clinical outcome prognosticators after disease recurrence could help in patient counseling and, more importantly, clinical trial design and interpretation.

We hypothesize that standard clinicopathological features could help predict outcomes in UTUC patients even after disease recurrence. Moreover, we hypothesize that a shorter time to disease recurrence after RNU would be associated with an unfavourable clinical course. Therefore, we set out to analyze clinical outcomes of UTUC patients after RNU and identify risk factors for cancer-specific mortality in a large, international, multicenter cohort of patients who experienced disease recurrence after RNU for UTUC.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patient selection

This was an institutional review board approved study, with all participating sites providing the necessary data-sharing agreements prior to initiation. A total of 16 international centers provided data. A computerized databank was generated for data transfer. After combining the data sets, reports were generated for each variable to identify data inconsistencies and other data integrity problems. Through regular communication with all sites, resolution of all identified anomalies was achieved before analysis. The database was closed for follow-up in 2009 and prior to final analysis, the database was frozen.

From 2494 patients who underwent RNU between 1987 and 2007 for UTUC, 597 (24%) experienced disease recurrence within a median time of 12 months from surgery. 62 patients (2.5%) were excluded from analysis due to missing data. No patient received preoperative systemic chemotherapy or perioperative radiotherapy. Patients with a history of muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the urinary bladder were excluded. RNU was performed according to the standard described elsewhere[4]. Hilar or regional lymphadenectomy was generally performed in patients with suspicious lymph nodes (LNs) on preoperative imaging or with suspicious intraoperative findings. The indication and extent of lymphadenectomy performed was at the discretion of individual surgeons. Tumor multifocality was defined as the synchronous presence of two or more pathologically confirmed tumors in any location (renal pelvicalyceal system or ureter)[10]. Adjuvant chemotherapy was administered to 148 (25%) patients at the clinicians' discretion based on tumor stage and overall health status. 94% of patients received Cisplatin-based and 6% received Carboplatin-based systemic chemotherapy.

Pathologic evaluation

All surgical specimens were processed according to standard pathologic procedures at each institution. Uro-pathologists, who were blinded to clinical outcomes, re-examined all specimens according to standardized criteria and confirmed UC histology. Tumors were staged according to the 2010 American Joint Committee on Cancer–Union Internationale Contre le Cancer TNM classification[11]. Tumor grading was performed according to the 2004 WHO/ISUP (International Society of Urological Pathology) consensus classification[12]. Histopathologic assessment included concomitant carcinoma in situ (CIS), tumor architecture (papillary or sessile based on the predominant feature of the index lesion[8]), lymphovascular invasion (LVI; defined as the presence of tumor cells within an endothelium-lined space without underlying muscular walls [5]), and tumor necrosis (defined as the presence of microscopic coagulative necrosis in more than 10% of the tumor area[9]). Tumor location was defined as either renal pelvicalyceal or ureteral based on the index cancer[13].

Follow-up regimen

Patients were generally followed every 3–4 months for the first year following RNU, every 6 months from the second through the fifth year, and annually thereafter. Follow-up consisted of a history, physical examination, routine blood work, urinary cytology, chest radiography, cystoscopic evaluation of the urinary bladder, and radiographic evaluation of the contralateral upper urinary tract. Elective bone scans, chest computerized tomography scans, or magnetic resonance imaging were performed when clinically indicated at the physicians discretion.

Disease recurrence was defined as tumor relapse in the operative field, regional LNs, and/or distant metastasis. Occurrences of UC in the bladder or contralateral upper tract were not

considered as disease recurrence. Cause of death was determined by treating physicians, by chart review corroborated by death certificates, or by death certificates alone[14]. All patients who were coded as dead of cancer had previous disease recurrence. Perioperative mortality (i.e., any death within 30 days of surgery or before discharge) was censored at time of death for cancer-specific survival analyses.

Statistical analysis

The aim of this analysis was to describe the relationship between risk of cancer-specific mortality after a disease recurrence and time from RNU to disease recurrence. To assess this relationship, we constructed a Cox proportional hazards regression model. The time to death from UTUC was calculated as months from disease recurrence to death. The Cox model included months from RNU to disease recurrence, age, gender, pathologic T-stage, tumor architecture (sessile v. papillary), LVI, nodal status, tumor necrosis, tumor location (pelvic/iceal system vs. ureter), and concomitant CIS as covariates. Months from RNU to recurrence was added to the model with restricted cubic splines with knots at the tertiles to account for nonlinearity. The model was created using varying knot locations, and the location had little effect on the model results. Adjuvant chemotherapy was not included as a covariate in the Cox regression model, because many patients recurred prior to three months after RNU and potentially did not have the opportunity to receive adjuvant chemotherapy. Additionally, adjuvant chemotherapy is not expected to improve survival after disease recurrence. As a sensitivity analysis, we repeated our previous analysis including adjuvant therapy and omitting patients with less than 3 months of follow-up. Due to the very low number of patients that received non-Cisplatin-based chemotherapy, all patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy were analyzed as one group. Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed to illustrate cancer-specific survival after disease recurrence. All reported p-values are two-sided and a p-value of 0.05 was considered to be statistical significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 11.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the clinico-pathologic characteristics of the 597 UTUC patients with disease recurrence after RNU. The majority of patients showed features of biologically aggressive disease such as advanced tumor stage (66%) and high grade disease (100%). All patients had negative surgical margins. The majority of patients that received a lymph node dissection had muscle-invasive or advanced UTUC (n=136; 84%). Of those patients with lymph node metastasis, 2 (1%) had pT1, 12 (9%) pT2, 74 (55%) pT3 and 47 (35%) pT4 UTUC, respectively. The median time from RNU to disease recurrence was 12 months (IQR 5–22), with a minimum of one month and maximum of nearly 10 years.

Overall, 491 of 597 patients with disease recurrence died from UTUC and 8 patients died from other causes. The median time from disease recurrence to death was 10 months (IQR: 3, 20). Actuarial cancer-specific survival estimates at 6, 12 and 24 months after disease recurrence were 57% (95% Confidence interval (CI): 53–61), 35% (95%CI: 31–39) and 19% (95%CI: 16–23), respectively (Figure 1).

Figure 2 shows the risk of cancer-specific mortality within 1 year of disease recurrence by months from RNU to disease recurrence. The curve is adjusted to the average UTUC patient in our cohort: 69 year old male with papillary tumor architecture in the pelvic/iceal system, no LVI, concomitant CIS or tumor necrosis, without lymphadenectomy (pNx) performed, and with pT3 tumor stage. The adjusted probability of cancer-specific mortality at 1-year after disease recurrence was 86%, 73% and 60%, if disease recurred within 3, 6 or 12 months of RNU, respectively. After 12 months of RNU, the risk of dying of UTUC

continues to fall as time from RNU to disease recurrence increases; however, the decline in risk is less steep, compared to the sharp decline in risk between 0 and 12 months.

The estimated 6-month risk of cancer-specific mortality after disease recurrence stratified by pathologic stage and time from RNU to disease recurrence is shown in Figure 3. The risk of death from UTUC was influenced adversely by increased pathologic stage and decreased time to disease recurrence: while an increase in the pathologic stage also increased the risk of cancer-specific mortality at any time, the increase in time from RNU to disease recurrence led to a linear decrease in the cancer-specific mortality risk at any stage. As before, the steepest decline in survival was seen in patients who experience disease recurrence within 12 months after RNU; the probability of survival remained relatively stable for patients who experienced disease recurrence after 12 months within each pathologic subgroup.

In the multivariable Cox regression analysis that adjusted for the effects of standard clinico-pathologic characteristics, higher tumor stages (Hazard ratio (HR) pT3 vs. pT0/Ta/Tis/T1: 1.66, $p=0.001$; HR pT4 vs. pT0/Ta/Tis/T1: 1.90, $p=0.002$), absence of lymph node dissection (HR 1.28, $p=0.041$), absence of concomitant CIS (HR 0.79, $p=0.025$), ureteral tumor location (HR 1.44, $p<0.0005$) and a reduced time from surgery to disease recurrence ($p<0.0005$) were significantly associated with cancer-specific mortality (Table 2).

In the sensitivity analysis including adjuvant chemotherapy and excluding patients with less than 3 months of follow-up (97 died from disease and 9 were censored), adjuvant chemotherapy was not significantly associated with cancer-specific mortality after disease recurrence (HR=0.80; 95% CI 0.63, 1.03; $p=0.086$). Additionally, including adjuvant chemotherapy did not change the hazard ratios of the other covariates in the model, suggesting adjuvant chemotherapy is not a confounding factor in this analysis.

DISCUSSION

UTUC patients who experience disease recurrence after RNU with curative intent have very poor outcomes. The majority of these patients died from UTUC within one year after disease recurrence and only few patients (19%) survived beyond two years after disease recurrence. This underscores the lethal nature of UTUC once the disease recurs and becomes systemic[2, 4, 5]. However, the fact that 10% of patients are still alive at three years after disease recurrence demonstrates the highly variable natural history of UTUC. Accurate prediction of clinical outcomes after disease recurrence could help in patient counseling and clinical trial design and analysis. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has analyzed risk factors for outcomes in UTUC patients who experience disease recurrence after RNU.

Shorter time from RNU to disease recurrence is associated with poorer survival after disease recurrence. Interestingly, it seems that the time to death after disease recurrence becomes longer as time to recurrence extends beyond the first 12 months. After 12 months, the time to death is still associated with the time to disease recurrence, but rate of decrease in survival becomes minimal. This change in proportionality between time to recurrence and rate of mortality was present within each pathologic stage, i.e. the shorter the time to disease recurrence the greater the mortality, the change in rate becomes less significant after the first 12 months after RNU. Our findings are similar to those of Mitra et al., who reported that a time to disease recurrence <12 months is associated with unfavourable outcomes in patients with urothelial carcinoma of the bladder[15]. These findings intuitively seem reasonable, as a shorter time from RNU to disease recurrence probably reflects more biologically aggressive disease. Previously, Margulis et al. also reported that median time from RNU to

disease recurrence was less than 12 months in a large cohort of 1,363 UTUC patients[2]. However, the prognostic value of time to disease recurrence on UTUC outcomes was not assessed.

We found that non-organ-confined pathologic stage, absence of lymphadenectomy and ureteral tumor location were also significant predictors of cancer-specific mortality on multivariable analysis. These factors should be taken into consideration for patient counseling and risk stratification after disease recurrence, particularly since outcomes are variable and some patients might benefit from additional treatments with different grades of associated morbidity. While pathologic stage is an established predictor for outcomes prognostication after RNU[2, 5, 6, 16, 17], there is still controversy regarding the value of tumor location and lymphadenectomy in UTUC[18–21]. Various large recent studies have reported that retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy can improve disease staging and potentially have therapeutic benefit in patients with advanced UTUC[20, 22–24]. In our study, absence of lymphadenectomy (56% of the study cohort) was a predictor for poorer cancer-specific mortality. This finding might be explained by a potentially beneficial effect of systemic adjuvant chemotherapy in lymph node positive patients[25, 26]. Moreover, the Will-Rogers phenomenon might have influenced this result; patients without lymph node dissection who experienced disease recurrence may have harbored LN metastasis at time of surgery. Based on the cumulative data from the literature, it seems that lymphadenectomy could offer a more accurate staging and also may remove (micro-)metastasis thereby resulting into improved outcomes[20, 24]. However, we did not control for the lymph node count nor for the anatomical template of lymphadenectomy, both of which might have influenced our results[20, 27]. Only a prospective study with standardized criteria and a clearly defined template may shed more light on the value of lymph node dissection. In addition, a prospective study is needed to better elucidate the differences in outcomes between patients with renal pelvis tumors and ureteral tumors [13, 28]. In accordance with several single- and multicenter studies that analyzed the impact of tumor location on outcomes after RNU, ureteral tumor location was associated with cancer-specific mortality after disease recurrence in our study [28–30]. A possible explanation for this finding may be a reduced barrier effect of the ureter compared to the renal parenchyma in advanced tumors.

Our study has some limitations. First and foremost are limitations inherent to the multicenter and retrospective design including a lack of data regarding prior treatments, delay between diagnosis and surgery[31], patient preferences, and co-morbidities. We also could not adjust for the number of surgeons at each institution as well as surgeons' preferences, experience, or surgical techniques. However, all surgeons operated at tertiary care centers with experience in UTUC. Another limitation includes possible inter-observer variability between pathologists; a central pathology review was not performed. Bajorin et al. have demonstrated that patients' performance status, as well as number and location of metastases impact survival in patients with metastatic urothelial carcinoma of the bladder[32]. It is likely that these factors also might have influence outcomes in UTUC, but, unfortunately, these data were not available and therefore our analyses remained unadjusted for them. Differences in the indication for and protocols of adjuvant chemotherapy as well as number of administered cycles were not controlled for in our study. However, the role of adjuvant chemotherapy is undetermined in UTUC, since large retrospective studies have shown no (or only limited) survival benefit in patients with UTUC [33]. Data on salvage therapies after disease recurrence were missing and therefore remained unadjusted for in this study. Finally, we did not adjust analyses for local or distant disease recurrence which might influence outcomes[32]. Therefore, our results need to be validated in a robust prospective cohort. Moreover, our findings emphasize the urgent need for new biomarkers allowing a better tumor characterization and therefore improved outcomes prognostication and prediction of response to therapy[34, 35].

CONCLUSIONS

Most patients succumb to UTUC once their disease recurs after RNU. Time from RNU to disease recurrence is an important predictor for cancer-specific mortality, particularly if disease recurrence occurs within the first 12 months after RNU. In addition, non-organ-confined pathologic stage, failure to perform a lymph node dissection and ureteral tumor location are strongly associated with cancer-specific mortality post-recurrence. These factors should be considered in patient counseling, design and analysis of salvage chemotherapy protocols/clinical trials. In addition, our findings further support that every patient treated with RNU for high-risk UTUC should undergo a lymphadenectomy for a more accurate staging.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma Collaboration (UTUCC): Thomas F. Chromecki, Eugene K. Cha, Mario Fernández, Vincenzo Ficarra, Wareef Kabbani, Eiji Kikuchi, Theresa M. Koppie, Kazumasa Matsumoto, Francesco Montorsi, Casey K. Ng, Mototsuga Oya, Jean-Jacques Patard, Armin Pycha, Marco Roscigno, Douglas S. Scherr, Philipp Ströbel, Stefan Tritschler, Thomas J. Walton, Alon Weizer, J. Stuart Wolf, and Christopher G. Wood

References

1. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2012; 62:10–29. [PubMed: 22237781]
2. Margulis V, Shariat SF, Matin SF, et al. Outcomes of radical nephroureterectomy: a series from the Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma Collaboration. *Cancer.* 2009; 115:1224–1233. [PubMed: 19156917]
3. Roupert M, Zigeuner R, Palou J, et al. European guidelines for the diagnosis and management of upper urinary tract urothelial cell carcinomas: 2011 update. *Eur Urol.* 2011; 59:584–594. [PubMed: 21269756]
4. Cha EK, Shariat SF, Kormaksson M, et al. Predicting Clinical Outcomes After Radical Nephroureterectomy for Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma. *Eur Urol.* 2012; 61:818–825. [PubMed: 22284969]
5. Kikuchi E, Margulis V, Karakiewicz P, et al. Lymphovascular invasion predicts clinical outcomes in patients with node-negative upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009; 27:612–618. [PubMed: 19075275]
6. Chromecki TF, Bensalah K, Remzi M, et al. Prognostic factors for upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma. *Nat Rev Urol.* 2011; 8:440–447. [PubMed: 21727942]
7. Novara G, Matsumoto K, Kassouf W, et al. Prognostic role of lymphovascular invasion in patients with urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract: an international validation study. *Eur Urol.* 2010; 57:1064–1071. [PubMed: 20071073]
8. Remzi M, Haitel A, Margulis V, et al. Tumour architecture is an independent predictor of outcomes after nephroureterectomy: a multi-institutional analysis of 1363 patients. *BJU Int.* 2009; 103:307–311. [PubMed: 18990163]
9. Zigeuner R, Shariat SF, Margulis V, et al. Tumour necrosis is an indicator of aggressive biology in patients with urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract. *Eur Urol.* 2010; 57:575–581. [PubMed: 19959276]
10. Chromecki TF, Cha EK, Fajkovic H, et al. The impact of tumor multifocality on outcomes in patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy. *Eur Urol.* 2012; 61:245–253. [PubMed: 21975249]
11. Edge SB.; Byrd DR.; Compton CC., et al. American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Staging Manual. New York: Springer; 2010.
12. Eble JN.; Sauter G.; Epstein JI.; Sesterhenn IA. Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs. Lyon: IARCC Press; 2004. World Health Organization Classification of Tumours.

13. Rink M, Ehdaie B, Cha EK, et al. Stage-Specific Impact of Tumor Location on Oncologic Outcomes in Patients With Upper and Lower Tract Urothelial Carcinoma Following Radical Surgery. *Eur Urol.* 2012
14. Rink M, Fajkovic H, Cha EK, et al. Death certificates are valid for the determination of cause of death in patients with upper and lower tract urothelial carcinoma. *Eur Urol.* 2012; 61:854–855. [PubMed: 22226583]
15. Mitra AP, Quinn DI, Dorff TB, et al. Factors influencing post-recurrence survival in bladder cancer following radical cystectomy. *BJU Int.* 2011
16. Langner C, Hutterer G, Chromecki T, et al. pT classification, grade, and vascular invasion as prognostic indicators in urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract. *Mod Pathol.* 2006; 19:272–279. [PubMed: 16424895]
17. Novara G, De Marco V, Gottardo F, et al. Independent predictors of cancer-specific survival in transitional cell carcinoma of the upper urinary tract: multi-institutional dataset from 3 European centers. *Cancer.* 2007; 110:1715–1722. [PubMed: 17724728]
18. Lughezzani G, Jeldres C, Isbarn H, et al. A critical appraisal of the value of lymph node dissection at nephroureterectomy for upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *Urology.* 2010; 75:118–124. [PubMed: 19864000]
19. Raman JD, Ng CK, Scherr DS, et al. Impact of tumor location on prognosis for patients with upper tract urothelial carcinoma managed by radical nephroureterectomy. *Eur Urol.* 2010; 57:1072–1079. [PubMed: 19619934]
20. Roscigno M, Brausi M, Heidenreich A, et al. Lymphadenectomy at the time of nephroureterectomy for upper tract urothelial cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2011; 60:776–783. [PubMed: 21798659]
21. Yafi FA, Novara G, Shariat SF, et al. Impact of tumour location versus multifocality in patients with upper tract urothelial carcinoma treated with nephroureterectomy and bladder cuff excision: a homogeneous series without perioperative chemotherapy. *BJU Int.* 2011
22. Brausi MA, Gavioli M, De Luca G, et al. Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (RPLD) in conjunction with nephroureterectomy in the treatment of infiltrative transitional cell carcinoma (TCC) of the upper urinary tract: impact on survival. *Eur Urol.* 2007; 52:1414–1418. [PubMed: 17507148]
23. Miyake H, Hara I, Gohji K, et al. The significance of lymphadenectomy in transitional cell carcinoma of the upper urinary tract. *Br J Urol.* 1998; 82:494–498. [PubMed: 9806176]
24. Roscigno M, Cozzarini C, Bertini R, et al. Prognostic value of lymph node dissection in patients with muscle-invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the upper urinary tract. *Eur Urol.* 2008; 53:794–802. [PubMed: 18207313]
25. O'Donnell PH, Stadler WM. The role of chemotherapy in upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *Adv Urol.* 2009;419028. [PubMed: 19190766]
26. Youssef RF, Shariat SF, Lotan Y, et al. Upper urinary tract urothelial carcinoma with loco-regional nodal metastases: insights from the Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma Collaboration. *BJU Int.* 2011; 108:1286–1291. [PubMed: 21332905]
27. Kondo T, Tanabe K. The role of lymph node dissection in the management of urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract. *Int J Clin Oncol.* 2011; 16:170–178. [PubMed: 21509466]
28. Lughezzani G, Burger M, Margulis V, et al. Prognostic Factors in Upper Urinary Tract Urothelial Carcinomas: A Comprehensive Review of the Current Literature. *Eur Urol.* 2012
29. Ouzzane A, Colin P, Xylinas E, et al. Ureteral and multifocal tumours have worse prognosis than renal pelvic tumours in urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract treated by nephroureterectomy. *Eur Urol.* 2011; 60:1258–1265. [PubMed: 21665356]
30. Zigeuner RE, Hutterer G, Chromecki T, et al. Bladder tumour development after urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract is related to primary tumour location. *BJU Int.* 2006; 98:1181–1186. [PubMed: 17125475]
31. Waldert M, Karakiewicz P, Raman J, et al. A delay in radical nephroureterectomy can lead to upstaging. *BJU Int.* 2010; 105:812–817. [PubMed: 19732052]
32. Bajorin DF, Dodd PM, Mazumdar M, et al. Long-term survival in metastatic transitional-cell carcinoma and prognostic factors predicting outcome of therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999; 17:3173–3181. [PubMed: 10506615]

33. Hellenthal NJ, Shariat SF, Margulis V, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for high risk upper tract urothelial carcinoma: results from the Upper Tract Urothelial Carcinoma Collaboration. *J Urol*. 2009; 182:900–906. [PubMed: 19616245]
34. Shariat SF, Karakiewicz PI, Ashfaq R, et al. Multiple biomarkers improve prediction of bladder cancer recurrence and mortality in patients undergoing cystectomy. *Cancer*. 2008; 112:315–325. [PubMed: 18008359]
35. Shariat SF, Lotan Y, Vickers A, et al. Statistical consideration for clinical biomarker research in bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol*. 2010; 28:389–400. [PubMed: 20610277]

SYNOPSIS

The majority of UTUC patients deacease within 24 month once their disease recurs after RNU. Time from RNU to disease recurrence is an important predictor for cancer-specific mortality, particularly if disease recurrence occurs within the first 12 months after RNU.

Figure 1.
Kaplan-Meier plot of cancer-specific survival estimates after disease recurrence in 597 UTUC patients treated with RNU. Dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 2.

Risk of cancer-specific mortality within one year after RNU according to the time to recurrence after RNU in months for a 69 year old male patient with a pT3 tumor with a papillary tumor in the pelvicaliceal system, without lymphovascular invasion, concomitant carcinoma in situ or lymphadenectomy (pNx). Dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals. Overlaid displayed is the density plot of months from RNU to disease recurrence with quantiles highlighted.

Pathologic T-stage	Probability of Cancer-specific Mortality (95% CI)					
	Time from RNU to disease recurrence					
	6 months	12 months	18 months	24 months	30 months	36 months
pT0/Ta/Tis/T1	0.55 (0.30, 0.83)	0.42 (0.21, 0.72)	0.41 (0.20, 0.70)	0.40 (0.20, 0.69)	0.39 (0.19, 0.68)	0.38 (0.18, 0.67)
pT2	0.67 (0.36, 0.94)	0.52 (0.25, 0.85)	0.50 (0.24, 0.83)	0.49 (0.23, 0.82)	0.48 (0.23, 0.82)	0.47 (0.22, 0.80)
pT3	0.73 (0.41, 0.96)	0.60 (0.30, 0.91)	0.58 (0.29, 0.89)	0.57 (0.28, 0.89)	0.56 (0.27, 0.88)	0.54 (0.26, 0.87)
pT4	0.78 (0.44, 0.98)	0.65 (0.32, 0.94)	0.63 (0.31, 0.93)	0.62 (0.30, 0.93)	0.61 (0.30, 0.92)	0.60 (0.29, 0.92)

Abbreviations: CI = Confidence interval, RNU = Radical nephroureterectomy

Figure 3.

Stage-dependent estimates of the risk of cancer-specific mortality after disease recurrence in 597 patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy for upper tract urothelial carcinoma. The black line represents patients with the pathologic stages pT0-1, the red line patients with pT2, the orange line pT3 and the blue line pT4 disease at radical nephroureterectomy.

Table 1

Descriptive characteristics of 597 upper tract urothelial carcinoma patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy who experienced disease recurrence.

Parameter	n (%)
Age (years; median, IQR)	69 (63, 76)
Gender	
Male	393 (66)
Female	204 (34)
Surgical approach	
Open	517 (87)
Laparoscopic	80 (13)
Pathologic stage	
pT0	1 (0.2%)
pTa	28 (5%)
pTis	6 (1%)
pT1	60 (10%)
pT2	110 (18)
pT3	304 (51)
pT4	88 (15)
Tumor architecture	
Papillary	322 (54)
Sessile	275 (46)
Concomitant carcinoma in situ	
Absent	412 (69)
Present	185 (31)
Tumor location	
Pelvic/iceal system	386 (65)
Ureter	211 (35)
Lymphovascular Invasion	
Absent	340 (57)
Present	257 (43)
Tumor necrosis	
Absent	388 (65)
Present	209 (35)
Nodal status	
pN negative	127 (21)
pN positive	135 (23)
pNX	335 (56)
Months from Surgery to Recurrence	
Median (IQR)	12 (5, 22)

Abbreviation: IQR = Interquartile range

Table 2

Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression model predicting cancer-specific mortality in 597 patients treated with radical nephroureterectomy for upper tract urothelial carcinoma

	HR	95% CI	p-value
Months from surgery to disease recurrence	NA *	NA *	<0.0005
Sessile tumor architecture	0.96	0.78–1.17	0.7
Lymphovascular invasion	1.16	0.96–1.41	0.13
Age (per 10 years)	1.09	0.96–1.19	0.073
Nodal status			
pN negative	-	-	Ref.
pN positive	1.19	0.892–1.60	0.2
pNx	1.28	1.01–1.63	0.041
Pathologic T-stage			
pT0/Ta/Tis/T1			Ref.
pT2	1.33	0.96–1.84	0.091
pT3	1.66	1.23–2.25	0.001
pT4	1.90	1.27–2.84	0.002
Male gender	1.08	0.89–1.31	0.4
Tumor necrosis	1.06	0.87–1.30	0.5
Tumor location			
Pelvic/iceal system	-	-	Ref.
Ureter	1.44	1.18–1.75	<0.0005
Concomitant carcinoma in situ	0.79	0.65–0.971	0.025

* Hazard ratio and confidence interval not given due to non-linear modeling. See Figure 2 for relationship between time to disease recurrence and risk of death from UTUC after disease recurrence.

Abbreviations: HR = Hazard ratio, CI = Confidence interval, Ref. = Reference