Published in final edited form as:

Cell Mol Life Sci. 2008 August ; 65(16): 2554–2573. doi:10.1007/s00018-008-8056-8.

Voltage-Gated Proton Channels

Thomas E. DeCoursey

Department of Molecular Biophysics and Physiology, Rush University Medical Center, 1750 W. Harrison, Chicago, Illinois 60612 U.S.A., Phone: (312)-942-3267, FAX: (312)-942-8711, tdecours@rush.edu

Abstract

The history of research on voltage-gated proton channels is recounted, from their proposed existence in dinoflagellates by Hastings in 1972 and their demonstration in snail neurons by Thomas and Meech in 1982, to the discovery (after a decade of controversy) of genes that unequivocally code for proton channels in 2006. Voltage-gated proton channels are perfectly selective for protons, conduct deuterons half as well, and the conductance is strongly temperature dependent. These properties are consistent with a conduction mechanism involving hydrogen-bonded-chain transfer, in which the selectivity filter is a titratable amino acid residue. Channel opening is regulated stringently by pH such that only outward current is normally activated. Main functions of proton channels include acid extrusion from cells and charge compensation for the electrogenic activity of the phagocyte NADPH oxidase. Genetic approaches hold the promise of rapid progress in the near future.

Keywords

pH; proton channel; voltage dependent gating; ion channels; gating; phagocyte

This review summarizes the properties, physiological regulation, and functions of voltage-gated proton channels. Although several reviews have appeared in the past few years [1–6], events in this area have moved forward rapidly, so that another review may be justified.

History

Voltage-gated proton channels were first proposed to exist in 1972 in dinoflagellates (e.g., *Noctiluca milaris*, *Gonyaulax polyhedra*) by J. Woodland Hastings [7]. Remarkably, the bioluminescence response to mechanical stimulation is evoked by an action potential [8, 9] that is evidently mediated by a proton conductance [10] in the tonoplast (the membrane of the large central vacuole). Protons flow from the vacuole interior at pH 3.5 [11] into small evaginations of membrane called scintillons, which contain luciferase and luciferin. It appears that proton influx during the action potential directly lowers the pH in the scintillons, which releases luciferin from a binding protein and also activates luciferase [12], permitting the reaction with luciferase that produces a 100 msec flash [13]. Although more direct evidence of this scenario would be helpful, existing data suggest that an action potential mediated by voltage-gated proton channels directly activates the bioluminescence response [13].

The first voltage-clamp study of proton channels was by Roger Thomas and Robert Meech in snail neurons [14]. In a *tour de force*, they impaled *Helix aspersa* neurons with four electrodes to measure membrane potential, membrane current, and pH_i while injecting HCl. The formal electrophysiological properties of proton currents were further elucidated by Byerly, Meech, and Moody [15] at UCLA. In Susumu Hagiwara's lab next door, Christine Baud and Michael Barish found similar voltage-gated proton currents in *Ambystoma*

mexicanum (salamander or axolotl) oocytes [16, 17]. Martyn Mahaut-Smith explored the sensitivity of proton current to inhibition by Zn^{2+} [18]. Byerly and Suen observed no excess current fluctuations when proton current was activated, and concluded that the single-channel current (at +10 mV at pH_o 7.4, pH_i 5.9) was at most 4 fA, about 1000 times smaller than ordinary ion channels [19]. Early themes of these studies included attempts to demonstrate that proton channels were molecular entities distinct from other ion channels (i.e., that protons had their own channels and did not simply permeate other ion channels adventitiously), that proton channels were not artifacts of the unusual recording solutions used to isolate them from other conductances, and that proton channels were actual proteins, rather than strange leaks through the phospholipid bilayer [discussed in 20]. These kinds of doubts lingered in some minds until the recent identification of proton channel genes in human [21], mouse, and the sea squirt, *Ciona intestinalis* [22].

Nearly a decade after their discovery in snail neurons [14], proton channels were found in mammalian (rat alveolar epithelial) cells [23] and then human cells [24–26]. A number of fundamental measurements were made, including pH dependence [27, 28], deuterium isotope effects [29], temperature dependence [30, 31], quantification of Zn^{2+} effects on proton current [32], and eventually, single-channel conductance [33]. Gradually interest shifted toward determining the functional relevance of proton channels. Their uniquely pH regulated voltage dependence results in activation of only outward proton current, leading to universal agreement that their primary function is proton extrusion. A more specific function was proposed in phagocytes, namely charge compensation for the electrogenic activity of NADPH oxidase [34]. A key observation by Bánfi and colleagues was that when NADPH oxidase was activated in phagocytes, proton currents exhibited radically altered gating properties, the most remarkable of which was the appearance of inward currents [35]. Using the perforated-patch recording configuration, we found that the gating kinetics of proton channels could be transformed from normal or “resting” into “enhanced gating mode” behavior by stimulation with activators of NADPH oxidase, such as the phorbol ester, PMA [36, 37], arachidonic acid [38], or the chemotactic peptide, fMLF [39]. Recent studies have focused on delineating the signaling pathways that govern proton channel “activation.”

The pathway toward identifying the proton channel gene has been rocky. After Henderson and colleagues proposed the existence of proton channels in human neutrophils [34], Grinstein and colleagues reported that conductive proton efflux seen in neutrophils upon PMA stimulation was defective in patients with chronic granulomatous disease (CGD), although both Na^+/H^+ -antiport and H-ATPase activity were normal [40]. CGD occurs when a mutation (several hundred have been indentified) prevents the function of any of several essential components of NADPH oxidase. One logical interpretation was that the oxidase itself mediated proton extrusion [40]. However, this group subsequently demonstrated that monocytes from CGD patients lacking expression of gp91^{phox}, the largest membrane-spanning subunit, had normal voltage-gated proton currents, apparently ruling out direct participation of gp91^{phox} in proton conduction [41]. Nevertheless, Henderson and colleagues reported in 1995 that expression of gp91^{phox} resulted in proton currents in CHO cells, and concluded that gp91^{phox} was the phagocyte proton channel [42]. This idea was later expanded to include not only gp91^{phox}, but also other homologs in the NOX family of proteins, which were reported to function as proton channels [43–45]. To explain the presence of normal proton currents in CGD cells lacking gp91^{phox}, Bánfi and colleagues proposed that there were two types of proton channels in phagocytes, one of unknown identity in resting cells that was distinct from NADPH oxidase, and that gp91^{phox} functioned as a second type of proton channel when NADPH oxidase was active [35]. This proposal was particularly seductive because of the discovery that in eosinophils with active NADPH oxidase, the proton conductance increased substantially, and its gating kinetics and voltage dependence were drastically altered [35]. However, a variety of studies failed to support

these proposals. (1) In perforated-patch studies of human neutrophils, we found that H^+ channels with normal “resting” properties were apparently converted to the “activated” state upon stimulation with PMA, a phorbol ester that activates NADPH oxidase. All of our data were consistent with the presence of a single type of proton channel in unstimulated cells whose gating properties were modified uniformly by activators of NADPH oxidase, rather than two populations of channels with distinct gating properties [36]. (2) The absence of gp91^{phox} in granulocytes from CGD patients with mutations that prevented gp91^{phox} expression [41] or in PLB-985 cells with the gene knocked out did not affect the amplitude or properties of proton currents in unstimulated cells [46], nor did it prevent the increase in proton current seen in perforated-patch studies upon stimulation with PMA [1, 46]. (3) The converse study revealed that expression of gp91^{phox} in COS-7 cells that lack endogenous proton currents failed to produce detectable proton currents [47]. (4) Several studies have presented evidence that other NOX family proteins, including Nox1, Duox-1, and Duox-2, similarly appear to lack proton channel function [48–50]. Numerous other studies [43, 45, 51, 52] and reviews [1, 3, 53–56] have addressed this question, without achieving consensus. The author is of the opinion that neither gp91^{phox} nor any of its NOX homologues function as proton channels. Recent identification of genes for voltage-gated proton channel in humans [21], mice, and *Ciona intestinalis* [22] only partially resolves the debate, because of the possibility that phagocytes have two types of proton channels [35], although some of its proponents have abandoned this idea [57]. It is clear that the newly-identified gene products are voltage-gated proton channels that closely resemble native proton currents, but with one intriguing difference: the voltage at which heterologously expressed proton channels open appears to be ~30 mV more negative than that of native proton channels [58]. The human, Hv1, or mouse, mVSOP, gene products are structurally unrelated to gp91^{phox} or other NOX proteins, and they are present in leukocytes [21, 22]. However, they activate at sufficiently negative voltages that inward currents can be detected [58], reminiscent of the putative gp91^{phox} related channels, according to the two-channels viewpoint. Consequently, because they are distinct from gp91^{phox}, Hv1 and mVSOP must be considered to be the “resting” channel despite their negative voltage dependence. It is hoped that this question can be resolved in the near future by studies of mVSOP knockout mice. Demonstration of a complete absence of proton currents in granulocytes from knockout mice before and after stimulation would provide virtually conclusive evidence that gp91^{phox} does not function as a proton channel.

Attempts to determine whether gp91^{phox} was a proton channel were greatly complicated by several paradoxical observations that indicate profound interactions between NADPH oxidase and proton channels. (1) Proton channels exhibit a full PMA response only in cells that have an active NADPH oxidase, including neutrophils [36], eosinophils [37], and PLB-985 cells [46]. The “standard” PMA response in human phagocytes is characterized by increased proton conductance (the maximum H^+ conductance, $g_{H,max}$ increases 2–4 fold), faster turn-on of H^+ current with depolarization (τ_{act} decreases 3–5 fold), slower closing of H^+ channels upon repolarization (τ_{tail} increases 3–6 fold), and the entire g_H - V relationship is shifted negatively by 40 mV [36, 37, 39, 46]. The PMA response is qualitatively identical, but weaker in murine granulocytes or osteoclasts [39, 59]. In non-phagocytes under identical perforated-patch recording conditions, no clear response has been detected in rat alveolar epithelial cells [36] or in HEK-293 cells or COS-7 cells expressing Hv1 or mVSOP [58]. (2) In phagocytes from CGD patients whose NADPH oxidase is dysfunctional, the proton channel response is only partial. In response to PMA, $g_{H,max}$ increases and τ_{act} decreases (the current turns on faster) identically to normal cells; however, the hyperpolarizing voltage shift of the g_H - V relationship is only half as large and there is no slowing of τ_{tail} [1, 46]. (3) In human basophils, which are closely related to phagocytes but which lack NADPH oxidase [60], the proton channel response to PMA is indistinguishable from that of a CGD phagocyte [61]. (4) In activated phagocytes, inhibition of NADPH oxidase activity with

diphenylene iodonium (DPI) reverses the slowing of tail current decay without affecting any other property of proton currents [36]. DPI has no effect on resting proton current kinetics; evidently, proton channels can sense whether NADPH oxidase is active. We speculated that the link might be locally released H^+ which would be expected to slow deactivation if pH near the channel decreased [36].

The proton channel molecules whose genes were discovered in 2006 [21, 22] have remarkable and surprising properties. The protein closely resembles the first four membrane-spanning regions of other voltage gated ion channels, S1–S4, known as the voltage sensing domain (VSD), but lacks the other two regions, S5–S6, that form the pore where conduction takes place (Fig. 1). At this time, we do not know whether the proton channel functions as a monomer or a tetramer or something else, but recent evidence suggests that the functional unit is a dimer [62–64]. The Okamura group identified the gene during a search for homologues of another protein in *Ciona* called Ci-VSP, which also resembles a voltage sensor, but has a phosphatase moiety attached whose activity, remarkably, is regulated by membrane potential [65, 66]. The possibility exists that the proton channel is a representative of a group of “modular” proteins that might assemble with other membrane proteins to confer voltage sensing to their function [67]. The S4 region of the proton channel includes four of the charged amino acids that characterize this region in *Shaker* K^+ channels (Table 1) and presumably mediate its sensitivity to membrane potential [68–70]. Now the story becomes more bizarre. Bezanilla and colleagues found that single Arg-to-His mutations at key points in the S4 region of a K^+ channel (R365H or R368H) resulted in proton transport through the voltage sensor. These Arg residues evidently traverse the entire membrane potential field during gating, and are thus alternately accessible to the internal and external solutions [71]. More striking still, the R371H mutation transformed the voltage sensor into a proton selective channel that was opened by membrane depolarization [72]. Finally, the R362H mutant is also a proton selective channel, but it is opened by membrane hyperpolarization [73]. Isacoff and colleagues have shown that the latter discovery can be exploited to reveal details of the K^+ channel gating process [74]. They found that other mutations at the same location (R362X) produce nonselective cation current that is activated by hyperpolarization and hence reflects the channel in the closed state with respect to the central “alpha” pore [75]. Their elegant studies demonstrate clearly that there are four “omega” pores, one in each of the four voltage sensors, for every “alpha” channel, which is the normal K^+ pathway through the center of the tetramer [75]. The possibility that the voltage sensor of K^+ channels and the voltage-gated proton channel will reveal clues to how each channel functions makes this an exciting area of research. An assortment of chimeras that combine structural elements of both channels have already been shown to result in functional K^+ or H^+ channels [76].

Proton selectivity

A characteristic property of voltage-gated proton channels is perfect proton selectivity. When measured in a variety of ionic conditions and liquid junction potentials are corrected [77], reversal potentials change only with changes in pH [1]. Thus, although measured reversal potentials almost invariably deviate somewhat from the Nernst potential, the reason for the deviation is most likely experimental inability to control pH perfectly on both sides of the membrane. Even assuming that deviation from Nernst reflects permeation by other ions, the calculated proton permeability is still 10^6 – 10^8 greater than that for other ions [20, 26, 27, 29, 46, 78–83].

How can a channel be perfectly proton selective? Following the proposal by Nagle and Morowitz [84], the perfect selectivity of voltage-gated proton channels has been interpreted to indicate that the conduction pathway is not a simple water-filled pore, but instead includes

at least one titratable amino acid side group [1, 3, 20, 45, 51, 81, 82]. Conventional ion channels have aqueous pores that traverse the membrane, usually with a single-file region. The archetypal, highly-studied gramicidin channel, for example, contains 8–12 water molecules in single file [86], and is nonselective among cations, but conducts protons much better than any other ion [87]. This high proton conductance reflects the ability of protons to hop across the water molecules in the pore without displacing them, as must occur when other cations permeate. A titratable group that occludes the conduction pathway would comprise a selectivity filter that would limit permeation to protons [1].

A large number of proton pathways through other molecules appear to make use of this mechanism [1]. However, for some pathways, proton selectivity may be achieved by other mechanisms. For example, the M_2 viral proton channel is perfectly selective for protons [88–91]. The pore appears to be occluded at one point by four His³⁷ residues (one from each subunit of the homotetramer). One school of thought is that protonation of one or more His³⁷ leads to both activation of the conductance and proton selectivity, with protons “shuttled” via one of the His³⁷ residues [92–95]. Alternatively, proton conduction, and presumably proton selectivity, is achieved by the pore narrowing at this point so that the waters on either side can transfer a proton, but other ions cannot pass [96–101]. Similar proposals have been made for the voltage-gated proton channel. Molecular dynamics simulations based on the amino acid sequence of the voltage-gated proton channel, mapped onto the crystal structure of a K^+ channel voltage sensor, led to suggestions of a water-wire that narrows at one point and presumably achieves proton selectivity without benefit of a titratable group in the pathway [102, 103]. Molecular dynamics simulations suggest that a sufficiently narrow pore can effectively “freeze” the waters and inhibit water or other ion flux, while still permitting protons to hop across the waters [104].

Regardless of the mechanism of proton selectivity in M_2 , several other molecules include a titratable group in the proton conduction pathway that is almost certainly protonated and deprotonated during each proton conduction event, which results in proton selectivity or other crucial activities. Introduction of His by mutation at different locations in a K^+ channel voltage sensor produced voltage-gated proton channels or proton carriers, depending on the precise location [71–73], as discussed above. It is clear that protons exit carbonic anhydrase II by direct proton transfer from the His⁶⁴ residue near the mouth of the channel to buffer in the external solution [105–107]. The Asp⁶¹ in H^+ -ATPase unequivocally is protonated during operation of the pump [108]. Glu²⁸⁶ almost certainly transfers protons at a critical point in the D channel of cytochrome *c* oxidase [109–112]. Proton selectivity in a Cl^-/H^+ exchanger may result from two conserved Glu residues, E¹⁴⁸ and E²⁰³, that presumably are protonated during conduction [113], but in addition, E¹⁴⁸ may act as a gate for the Cl^- pathway [114]. In these examples, proton selectivity is likely achieved by a titratable residue, but such conclusions are not set in stone. It is difficult to conceive experimental evidence that would “prove” unambiguously that a titratable residue is the selectivity filter. For example, protons can exit carbonic anhydrases III or V or carbonic anhydrase II mutants that lack the titratable His⁶⁴ residue, but the transfer rate is reduced drastically [115–117]. Similarly, Asp¹³², the namesake of the D channel of cytochrome *c* oxidase, almost certainly is protonated during normal enzyme operation, but function remains in its absence, albeit proton transfer is slowed by up to 1000-fold in the D132N mutant [112, 118, 119]. Activity above wild-type is restored upon introduction of another Asp in the vicinity [120]. Similarly, the D135N mutant of cytochrome *b_o* ubiquinol oxidase has reduced function [121] that is fully or partly restored by introduction of an acidic residue at nearby positions 139 or 142 [122]. These results suggest that the presence of an acidic residue is critical, but its precise location is not.

Proton conductance

Several early attempts to measure the single proton channel conductance were compromised by poor signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios of <1 , meaning that the background noise was larger than the excess fluctuations that could be attributed to proton channel gating [19, 24, 25]. Cherny and colleagues [33] found that in human eosinophils where no other ionic conductances were present, by using excised patches with high seal resistance ($>1 \text{ T}\Omega = 10^{12} \text{ Ohms}$) and appropriate filtering, stochastic gating (opening and closing) of proton channels produced fluctuations whose variance was >100 times larger than background ($\text{S/N} > 100$). At low pH_i near $V_{\text{threshold}}$, single channel-like events could be observed with amplitudes of $\sim 10 \text{ fA}$, which is the smallest directly-recorded unitary current of which we are aware. The unitary current estimated from the variance was about half as large, which might indicate that the resolved events reflected occasional coupled gating of two-pore dimers, like some Cl^- channels [123], or might simply reflect the difficulty of resolving these tiny currents.

At low pH_i (5.0 or 5.5), the variance was maximal near the midpoint of the $g_{\text{H}}-V$ relationship, which is predictable for a simple two-state open-closed gating process. The unitary conductance was 38 fS at $\text{pH}_i 6.5$ and 140 fS at $\text{pH}_i 5.5$, and was independent of pH_o . The maximal open probability of the proton channel was 75% at $\text{pH}_i 6.5$ and increased to 95% at $\text{pH}_i 5.0-5.5$. Two results were surprising. First, the unitary conductance increased 3.7-fold from $\text{pH}_i 6.5$ to 5.5 [33], in contrast with the weaker pH dependence of the g_{H} reported in a large number of macroscopic studies; typically a 2-fold increase per Unit decrease in pH_i [124]. Because the unitary conductance increased at lower pH_i more than the macroscopic g_{H} , the apparent number of channels available to open was reduced at low pH_i [33]. The mechanism of this inhibition by low pH is not known.

Extrapolated to physiological pH_i , the unitary proton conductance is $\sim 15 \text{ fS}$ at room temperature (78 fS at 37°C) [33], which reflects roughly $10^4 \text{ H}^+/\text{s}$ for a 100 mV driving force. Although this turnover rate is more in the range of carriers than most ion channels, in all other respects proton channels behave as channels. Their conductance is simply low due to the paucity of permeant ions [Fig. 13 in 1]. Proton channels do not require ATP, co- or counter-ions, they exhibit voltage- and time-dependent gating, and they appear to provide a passive, selective pathway for protons to cross the membrane. They are therefore most reasonably considered to be ion channels.

The single-channel conductance increased at lower pH_i less than the 10-fold/Unit one might expect for simple diffusion-limited entry into the channel [33]. However, this observation provides support for the idea that H^+ is the conducted ionic species, rather than OH^- (in the opposite direction). The outward g_{H} is relatively insensitive to pH_o over a wide range (5.5–10) which argues against OH^- being the conducted species [23]. The conductance of deuterons through proton channels is only about half that of protons [29], which strongly supports the idea that protons, rather than OH^- or H_3O^+ , carry current. The open-channel conductance is much more strongly dependent on temperature (Q_{10} values 2–5) [31] than is H^+ conductance in bulk water [125] or H^+ permeation through the water wire that forms the conduction pathway through gramicidin channels [126, 127]. Proton pathways that include titratable amino acid residues mainly have larger Q_{10} values, in the range of proton channels [31]. Finally, perfect selectivity can be explained by protons hopping across a hydrogen-bonded-chain, but if H_3O^+ were the species carrying current, excluding other ions would be difficult. If selectivity is achieved by a mechanism other than HBC conduction, then this mechanism must also explain the perfect selectivity, temperature dependence, and isotope effects just discussed.

Regulation of gating by pH and by voltage

A unique property of voltage-gated proton channels is their exquisite sensitivity to both pH_o and pH_i . Increasing pH_o or lowering pH_i shifts the voltage-activation curve (g_H - V relationship) by 40 mV/Unit pH toward more negative voltages [27]. This property appears to be shared by proton currents in all cells studied thus far [1]. Empirically, the relationship between the threshold voltage at which the g_H is first activated, $V_{\text{threshold}}$, and the pH gradient as determined from the reversal potential, V_{rev} , can be described as:

$$V_{\text{threshold}} = k V_{\text{rev}} + V_{\text{offset}}$$

where k was 0.76 in alveolar epithelial cells [27] and 0.79 in a fit to all published data as of 2003, representing 15 cell types [1], and V_{offset} was 18 mV in alveolar epithelium and 23 mV for all cells. That the slope of the relationship, $k < 1.0$ indicates that $V_{\text{threshold}}$ does not change as fast as V_{rev} when ΔpH is varied. A positive V_{offset} ensures that over a wide range of pH, only outward H^+ currents can be activated. The practical consequence of this regulation by the pH gradient, ΔpH , is that H^+ channels only open when there is an outward electrochemical gradient, i.e., when opening will permit protons to leave the cell. The general function of voltage-gated proton channels is therefore acid extrusion. Other, more specific functions will be described later.

When expressed in HEK-293 or COS-7 cells, human (Hv1) and mouse (mVSOP) proton channels display sensitivity to pH_o and pH_i generally like that of native proton channels [21, 22]. Surprisingly, however, $V_{\text{threshold}}$ is ~ 30 mV more negative for both than for native proton currents [58]. As a result, distinct inward currents can be elicited, especially when ΔpH is inward ($\text{pH}_o < \text{pH}_i$). At present this anomaly has no explanation.

The S4 region of voltage-gated K^+ and Na^+ channels contains seven potentially charged amino acid residues at every third position, mainly Arg residues (Table 1). Mutations that neutralize certain of these charges decrease the steepness of the voltage dependence [69, 128], consistent with the idea that these charged residues sense the membrane potential, and that they move relative to the electric field during gating. Specifically, each of four Arg residues in S4, when individually substituted by neutral amino acids, reduce the effective gating charge moved during channel opening [68–70]. In contrast, neutralization of only one of the three Arg residues in S4 of the human voltage-gated proton channel reduced the apparent gating charge [21] and none of the three Arg residues in S4 of the mouse H^+ channel affected the steepness of the g_H - V relationship when neutralized [22]. Thus, despite the structural similarity between voltage-gated proton channels and the voltage sensor of voltage-gated K^+ channels, their voltage-sensing mechanism appears to be different. A similar conclusion was reached for the BK channel, in which only one Arg in S4 contributes to the gating charge [129]. On the other hand, despite minimal effects on the effective gating charge, neutralization of each of the three Arg altered the gating kinetics in the human channel [21], supporting the idea that S4 does participate in gating.

Three features of the voltage-sensitive gating mechanism of proton channels appear to distinguish them from voltage-gated K^+ channels. First, the K^+ channel VSD transmits its response to voltage to a physically distinct “gate” that opens a pore in S5–S6. The conduction pathway through proton channels may be near or at the same location as the Arg residues in S4, the equivalent of the omega current discussed above (History). Second, the voltage dependence of K^+ channels is essentially absolute. Although both permeant ions and pH affect K^+ channel gating, the effects are negligible compared with the profound effects of protons, the permeating species, on voltage-gated proton channel gating. Any proposed gating mechanism must account for the ΔpH dependence of gating. Third, the effective gating charge is quite large, 12–14 elementary charges (e_0) in voltage-gated sodium [130]

and potassium channels [68, 69, 131]. In contrast, estimates of the gating charge movement in proton channels are half as large, 6–8 e_0 [132] or 5.4 e_0 and for the native H^+ channel in rat alveolar epithelial cells [29] and 6.0 e_0 for H_V1 or mVSOP expressed in HEK-293 cells [58]. The values for proton channels were estimated by the limiting slope method [133] from data spanning a narrow range of open probability values, due to the impracticability of recording single-channel currents, and thus likely underestimate the true gating charge. Intriguingly, BK channels also have three of the four key Arg residues in S4, yet they have weak voltage dependence, with $e_0 = 2.3$ [129]. The explanation is partly that over half the gating charge arises from charge movement in S1–S3, and partly because for the BK channel, gating is not strictly coupled to voltage sensor movement [129]. Measuring proton channel gating current is conceivable only at the reversal potential, because “blockers” such as Zn^{2+} act by altering gating rather than by pore occlusion [32] and the permeant ion cannot be removed. Removing intracellular protons or adding extracellular Zn^{2+} simply shifts gating to more positive voltages, but does not abolish ionic current. Attempts to detect gating current have failed, probably because the slow activation process smears the gating current temporally over several seconds. Although the effective gating charge estimates for proton channels are only half as large as those for other channels, the proton channel may not function as a tetramer. Recent studies suggest that the proton channel functions a dimer, each subunit with its own pore [62–64]. If gating is cooperative, the gating charge per subunit is comparable to that of the K^+ channel, with 3.2 e_0 for each of the four VSD of K^+ channels. On the other hand, there is no reason beyond evolutionary inertia to believe that the charge movement that accompanies voltage sensing in proton channels should resemble that which opens K^+ channels, especially since the latter involves transmitting the gating process to separate gate that is absent in the proton channel. As in the BK channel [129], the key charges that move during proton channel gating may not be concentrated in S4.

Pharmacology

There are no blockers of proton channels, in the strict sense of a drug that sterically occludes the conduction pathway. Most organic inhibitors alter gating, generally by shifting the g_H - V relationship in the positive direction [1]. Recently, hanatoxin, from tarantula venom, has been shown to inhibit in this manner, apparently by interacting with the residues in the proton channel corresponding with the “paddle region” of voltage-gated K^+ channels [134]. Classical inhibitors of proton currents are inorganic, namely polyvalent cations, most frequently Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} . The potency sequence for divalent metal cations is:

$$Zn^{2+} \approx Cu^{2+} > Ni^{2+} > Cd^{2+} > Co^{2+} > Mn^{2+} > Ba^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+} \approx 0$$

[124]. In addition to divalent cations, La^{3+} , Gd^{3+} , and Al^{3+} are also effective. All of these metal cations slow the activation of proton currents during depolarizing pulses and shift the g_H - V relationship positively. The maximum conductance, $g_{H,max}$, may also be reduced at high concentrations. The effects on gating resemble the effects of Ca^{2+} and other polyvalent cations on most voltage-gated ion channels. They are roughly what one would expect if the metal cation bound near the outside of the channel and altered the membrane potential sensed by the voltage sensor of the channel, as proposed by Huxley, Frankenhaeuser, and Hodgkin for voltage-gated Na^+ and K^+ channels in squid axon [135]. In snail neurons, Cd^{2+} appears to slow channel opening more than predicted from the shift of the g_H - V relationship [15]. In alveolar epithelium, Zn^{2+} slows activation more than predicted, but Cd^{2+} does not [32].

A striking feature of metal effects on proton currents is their exquisite sensitivity to pH_o . Considering the slowing of τ_{act} , Zn^{2+} was ~10 times less potent at pH_o 6 than pH_o 7, and ~100 times less potent at pH_o 5 than at pH_o 6. This result could be explained if Zn^{2+} bound competitively with protons at a site comprising 2 or 3 titratable groups with a pK_a of 6.2–

6.6, strongly suggesting His residues [32]. Mutagenesis of the human channel, H_V1, confirmed this prediction. Two His residues face the external solution, His¹⁴⁰ and His¹⁹³, and neutralizing mutations eliminate Zn²⁺ sensitivity [21].

Zn²⁺ applied to the intracellular solution has more subtle effects, slowing τ_{tail} , reducing $g_{\text{H,max}}$ by about 50% at 170 μM Zn²⁺ at pH_i 6.5, and possibly shifting the $g_{\text{H}}-V$ relationship toward more negative voltages (in the direction expected for surface charge screening effects) [32]. Intracellular Zn²⁺ may also compete with H⁺, because 45 μM Zn²⁺ applied at pH_i 7.5 reduced H⁺ currents by 70% [57], suggesting higher apparent potency at higher pH_i. More detailed discussion of Zn²⁺ effects can be found in a recent review of the pharmacology of proton channels [6].

Distribution of proton channels

Table 2 lists the species and cells in which proton currents have been identified by voltage clamp or in which the gene has been identified. Each year a few cells are added to this list. The only cells that have been found not to express proton currents are the COS-7 monkey kidney cell line [45, 47], the HM1 derivative of the HEK-293 cell line [21], and the human hepatoma cell line HUH7.5 [V.V. Cherny, unpublished]. The gene is expressed in at least two protozoa, indicating that it has been around for some time, evolutionarily. It exists in sea urchins, sea squirts, birds, and numerous mammals, including of course, humans. In humans, proton channels are present in various epithelia, and are ubiquitous in leukocytes where they serve several specific functions [4, 34].

A number of cells that may have proton channels are omitted from Table 2. Suggestive evidence supports the expression of voltage-gated proton channels in the human bronchial epithelial cell line 16HBE14o- [136], rat hippocampal CA1 neurons [137, 138], and human synovial cells [139]. An intriguing observation is the nearly parallel expression of Nox2 and proton channels. Proton channel homologues are present in at least 10 of 12 species that express Nox2 [140]. This coincidence may reflect that evolutionary forces find proton channels useful in compensating the electrogenic activity of NADPH oxidases. On the other hand, it may simply reflect the relatively small number of species for whom extensive genetic data exist.

In the cells listed in Table 2, proton channels are present in the plasma membrane. It is generally assumed that proton channels in phagocytes are present in the phagosome membrane, which is derived from plasma membrane that clearly contains proton channels. Indirect evidence suggests that proton channels also exist in the membrane of the Golgi complex where they help regulate the pH [141].

Biochemical regulation of proton channels

Intracellular Ca²⁺, [Ca²⁺]_i

Although increasing [Ca²⁺]_i may enhance proton currents, this effect seems to be indirect. It is clear that voltage-gated proton channels are not activated by increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ [1]. However, in whole-cell studies of human eosinophils, when [Ca²⁺]_i in the pipette solution was increased from 100 nM to 1 μM , proton currents were 2.2-fold larger at pH_i 7.2 [142], 2.4-fold larger at pH_i 7.0, and 1.4-fold larger at pH_i 6 [78]. However, increased [Ca²⁺]_i did not detectably enhance proton currents in snail neurons [15], HEK-293 cells [44], or human neutrophils [143], or in inside-out patches from human lymphocytes [80], rat alveolar epithelial cells [6], or human eosinophils [57]. The results from excised inside-out patches of membrane are more convincing because the [Ca²⁺]_i can be changed in the same experiment. These observations may be reconciled by assuming that Ca²⁺ has no direct

effect on the channel itself. Changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ in intact cells might enhance proton currents indirectly [1], for example, by increasing PKC activity, which is known to “activate” proton channels [39].

Arachidonic acid (AA)

There is no question that arachidonic acid (AA) has pharmacological effects that enhance proton currents. However, it remains controversial whether AA achieves effective concentrations *in vivo*. In whole-cell studies, AA increases H^+ currents, shifts the g_H - V relationship toward more negative voltages, and hastens channel gating: both opening during depolarizing pulses (smaller τ_{act}) and closing upon hyperpolarization (smaller τ_{tail}) become more rapid [25, 78, 142, 144]. Other unsaturated fatty acids had similar effects with a potency sequence: AA > palmitoleate $\approx$ palmitelaidate > linoleate > oleate > elaidate; saturated fatty acids were ineffective [144]. Similar responses are observed in excised membrane patches [V.V. Cherny & T.E. DeCoursey, unpublished data], suggesting a fairly direct effect on the channel. However, when AA was applied in perforated-patch (rather than whole-cell) configuration, its enhancement of proton currents in human eosinophils was distinctly more profound [38]. Furthermore, its effects were partially reversed by PKC inhibitors in the continued presence of AA [39]. The implication is that AA can enhance proton channel gating directly, but also indirectly through activation of PKC. A further distinction is seen with the effects of oleic acid, which was as potent as AA in the perforated-patch study [38], but much weaker than AA in the whole-cell study [144]. Evidently, oleate and AA activate PKC with similarly efficacy, but differ in their direct effects on proton channels. The enhancement of proton currents by AA occurs over a narrow concentration range, with distinctly increased H^+ current at 1 μM AA, large effects at 5 μM , and at >5 μM AA, membrane damage usually occurred within a few minutes [38]. The proximity of the effective concentration of AA to the critical micelle concentration has been noted [145, 146]; however, novel delivery methods such as platelet microparticles might enable high concentrations locally [147]. The concept of concentration for an extremely lipophilic molecule like AA is somewhat ill-defined, and thus the physiological relevance of AA may remain obscure. However, it has been shown that the generation of AA by cPLA_{2 α} is not required for normal activation of proton currents in human eosinophils or in murine granulocytes, by pharmacological inhibition and knockout, respectively [39].

Cytoskeletal interactions; response to swelling

Cell swelling induced by lactic acid exposure has been reported to double $g_{H,max}$ in rat microglial cells [148]. This weak acid enters the cell in neutral form and decreases pH_i , which accounts for much of this effect. However, swelling induced by hypotonic stress also reportedly increased H^+ currents [148]. The actin stabilizer phalloidin inhibited the acidosis-induced swelling [148]. PKC inhibitors also prevented the slow increase in H^+ current [149].

Longer term cytoskeletal interactions were observed in murine microglia. Acute exposure (30 min) to intracellular cytochalasin D or colchicine had no discernable effect. However, 24 hour exposure to the cytoskeletal disrupters cytochalasin D or colchicine reduced proton currents, whereas cytoskeletal stabilizers phalloidin or taxol had no effect [150].

Phospholipids/lipoprotein

It was reported that low density lipoprotein (LDL) “activated” a voltage-gated nonselective cation current in mouse macrophages by shifting the voltage-activation curve negatively by ~ 20 mV [151]. The currents reported closely resemble proton currents, and the experiments did not rule out this possibility. However, commercial preparations of LDL often contain EDTA, which produces very similar enhancement of voltage-gated proton currents [27], presumably by chelating contaminant metals in the water or salts used. A similar LDL effect

in rat alveolar macrophages was abolished by including EGTA in all solutions (V.V. Cherny & T.E. DeCoursey, unpublished observations).

The “activated” state of proton currents, which is discussed below, was reported to exhibit rapid spontaneous rundown (*i.e.*, reversion to the “resting” state) in excised inside-out membrane patches from eosinophils [57, 152]. The enhanced gating mode was preserved only by a combination of 5 mM ATP, 50 μ M ATP γ -s, and 25 mM phosphoinositide 3,4-bisphosphate [152]. Under similar conditions, we do not consistently observe rundown; however, it may be that our excised patches include submembrane components that preserve function.

Functions of proton channels

Acid extrusion

Because the pH- and voltage-dependence of g_H activation results in the conductance being activated only when there is an outward electrochemical gradient for H^+ , it is universally agreed that a fundamental function of voltage-gated proton channels is to extrude acid from cells, especially under conditions of acute acid load. Thomas and Meech [14] showed that proton currents contributed to pH_i recovery after HCl injection in snail neurons. Proton channel involvement of pH_i recovery from an acid load due to an NH_4^+ prepulse [153] has been reported in rabbit osteoclasts [154, 155], murine mast cells [30], rat microglia [148], human neutrophils [156, 157], rat alveolar epithelial cells [158], and rat hippocampal neurons [138].

Cells can choose from a number of different transporters that move H^+ equivalents across membranes. Proton channels are an extremely efficient means of extruding acid. First, they “cost” the cell nothing. Other proton transporters consume ATP either directly, as the H-ATPase, or indirectly, such as the Na^+/H^+ -antiporter, which uses and consequently dissipates the Na^+ gradient to drive H^+ transport. Second, proton channels have a prodigious capacity to transport protons and hence to change pH_i . In murine macrophages at room temperature, the proton conductance can increase pH_i at a rate 1.8 Units/min even when the cell is dialyzed with 100 mM buffer [79]. In contrast, in human neutrophils even at 37°C, the peak rate of pH change due to Na^+/H^+ -antiport is only 0.34 Units/min [156], 0.077 Units/min due to Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange [159], and a meager 0.058 Units/min due to H-ATPase [156]. Another consideration in deciding which transporter is most useful is electrogenicity; proton channels and H-ATPase are electrogenic, whereas Na^+/H^+ -antiport and Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange are electroneutral. If the goal is to move charge across the membrane, then an electrogenic transporter is required. If pH change is the goal, then electrogenicity may be a disadvantage. As will be discussed below, during the respiratory burst, the phagocyte needs both charge compensation and pH regulation, and the voltage-gated proton channel performs both tasks admirably.

Amphibian oocytes

Proton channel expression changes during maturation of salamander (*Ambystoma*) oocytes [17]. Proton currents in *Rana esculenta* (frog) oocytes [160] have been suggested to regulate calcium oscillations [161].

Alveolar epithelium

Proton channels are highly expressed in rat alveolar type II cells and persist after differentiation in culture into type I like cells [23]. Other than the ubiquitous function of acid extrusion [158], no specific function has been demonstrated. One hypothesis was that proton channels might contribute to CO_2 elimination by the lung by supporting facilitated diffusion.

Carbonic anhydrase in alveolar epithelial cells converts CO_2 to H^+ and HCO_3^- , amplifying the total concentration of diffusible species 10–20-fold, and thereby facilitating their diffusion [162]. The main drawback of this mechanism is that it requires spontaneous recombination of H^+ and HCO_3^- in the alveolar subphase (liquid lining the alveolar air space) and because this fluid lacks carbonic anhydrase [163, 164], the reformation of CO_2 would occur slowly. Although indirect evidence opposing this mechanism has been published [165–167], a definitive test has not been reported.

Airway epithelium

Stimulation of human airway epithelial cells by histamine or ATP results in proton secretion, which helps acidify the mucosal surface. This acid secretion is largely mediated by voltage-gated proton channels, and is inhibited by apical Zn^{2+} application [168]. An expansion of this role came with the discovery that both human tracheal epithelial cells [49, 169] and fetal human alveolar type II epithelial cells express homologs of NADPH oxidase, including DUOX-1 [170]. In both types of lung cells, the epithelial cells expressing DUOX-1 release H_2O_2 (possibly for its antibacterial effect) in addition to secreting acid. As shown in Fig. 2, the NADPH oxidase activity generates intracellular protons that are extruded via proton channels, just as in phagocytes (see below). Because the apical membrane potential of airway cells is relatively stable [171] it has been proposed that the outwardly-directed H^+ gradient is the key regulator of H^+ channel activity. The H^+ gradient may be maintained by HCO_3^- secretion across the apical membrane through CFTR channels [172].

The human bronchial epithelial cell line, 16HBE14o-, acidifies upon exposure to ATP, and this response is inhibited by Zn^{2+} [136]. However, it would be unusual for voltage-gated proton channel to permit H^+ influx, unless their gating were enhanced, as occurs in activated phagocytes. Stimulation of rat alveolar epithelial cells with PMA in perforated-patch configuration does not alter the gating of proton channels [36]. Thus it is not clear whether proton channels are involved in the reported proton influx.

Rat hippocampal neurons

Rat hippocampal neurons exposed to anoxic conditions exhibit a transient drop in pH_i followed by depolarization and recovery of pH_i which is inhibited by Zn^{2+} , and appears to be mediated by voltage-gated proton channels [137, 138]. Direct recording of proton currents was complicated by their small amplitude and their being masked by a larger, nonselective conductance [173].

Tritonia diomedea

The ciliated epithelium of nudibranch molluscs expresses large proton currents of uncertain function [174].

Snail neurons, human skeletal myotubes

A proposed function of proton channels in snail neurons is to extrude acid that accumulates during trains of action potentials [14, 15]. Consistent with this function, snail proton currents activate very rapidly during depolarizing pulses, in a few msec, compared with mammalian proton channels that open with time constants on the order of seconds. A similar function has been proposed in human skeletal muscle [24].

The phagocyte respiratory burst

Well before the presence of voltage-gated proton channels had been demonstrated in human neutrophils by voltage-clamp [25], their role in charge compensation during the “respiratory

burst” had already been proposed by Henderson and colleagues [34]. Although this remains the most distinctive function of proton channels in phagocytes, several additional consequences of proton flux may be equally important [4]. Interested readers may consult more focused reviews of the physiological roles of proton channels in phagocytes [1, 3, 4, 175, 176]. Fig. 3 illustrates the main features of the respiratory burst with respect to proton husbandry. When neutrophils are challenged by bacteria or other stimuli, NADPH oxidase assembles and begins to consume O_2 and produce $O_2^{\cdot -}$ at rates drastically greater than in resting cells [177]. This process is called the “respiratory burst” [178, 179], despite the more conventional nomenclature in which cellular respiration reflects mitochondrial O_2 consumption. The $O_2^{\cdot -}$ produced is a precursor for a variety of other reactive oxygen species, including many with greater bactericidal activity. Because many reactions involving $O_2^{\cdot -}$ produce O_2 as a product, there is substantial recycling [177]; consequently O_2 consumption substantially underestimates the actual turnover rate of NADPH oxidase. In eosinophils, which attack helminthes too large to engulf, NADPH oxidase assembles at the plasma membrane and extrudes electrons to produce extracellular $O_2^{\cdot -}$, whereas in neutrophils and macrophages that phagocytose bacteria the oxidase assembles in the phagosome membrane and electrons are injected into the phagosome. Each electron that exits the cytoplasm via NADPH oxidase effectively “leaves behind” at least one proton, producing charge separation. Consequently, electron translocation across the membrane produces immediate and profound depolarization. Because NADPH oxidase works by translocating electrons, its activity can be measured directly in single voltage-clamped cells as an electron current [180]. In contrast with O_2 consumption, this electron current is a direct, real-time reflection of NADPH oxidase activity. PMA-stimulated electron current is 2–3 pA in human and mouse neutrophils [36, 39], and 5–12 pA at room temperature at pH 7.0 in human eosinophils [37, 39, 181, 182], paralleling the 3-fold higher $O_2^{\cdot -}$ production rate by eosinophils, measured biochemically [181, 183–188].

Proton channels are activated during the respiratory burst by a combination of factors. (1) NADPH oxidase is electrogenic and produces a rapid and profound depolarization [34, 176, 189–192]. Because translocation of only a few charges is required to produce depolarization, substantial depolarization occurs as soon as a small fraction of the NADPH oxidase complexes in the cell have been activated [4]. In fact, several early studies concluded (understandably but erroneously) that depolarization preceded detectable NADPH oxidase activity [193–196], and might in fact be the trigger for the respiratory burst [197–199]. That the g_H is activated and its activity limits the extent of the depolarization during the respiratory burst is confirmed by experiments in which depolarization was increased by Zn^{2+} or Cd^{2+} [3, 34, 35, 192, 200]. (2) NADPH oxidase activity also generates intracellular protons, tending to produce cytosolic acidification, which would promote H^+ channel activation. Prevailing wisdom holds that Na^+/H^+ -antiport not only prevents significant acidification during the respiratory burst, but increases pH_i by changing the set point of the antiporter [201, 202]. However, recent studies on human neutrophils indicate that upon phagocytosis, pH_i decreases significantly and proton efflux is rapidly activated [203]. (3) Finally, proton channel opening is greatly enhanced by respiratory burst agonists, due to phosphorylation by PKC of either the channel itself or a regulatory molecule [39]. All four of the changes in gating discussed previously serve to increase the probability of proton channel opening. Modeling indicates that if proton channel gating were not enhanced, the membrane potential would depolarize 25–30 mV more than occurs, which would attenuate the respiratory burst by ~18% [4] due to the inhibition of NADPH oxidase activity by depolarization [204].

The respiratory burst provides an extreme challenge to the cell. Its magnitude can be realized by expressing the cumulative electron translocation into the phagosome as a concentration; estimates range 2–4 M or more [4, 205, 206]. This value reflects the tiny

volume of the phagosome, which changes rapidly as granules fuse and add their contents [4, 207, 208]. Of course, the electrons do not accumulate – they reduce O_2 to $O_2^{\cdot-}$ which dismutates rapidly to form H_2O_2 that in turn is converted by myeloperoxidase to $HOCl$, which increases at a rate estimated at ~ 2 mM/s [209]. The respiratory burst requires continual turnover of cytoplasmic NADPH, estimated to occur 4–8 times per second [182], which is reconstituted by the hexose monophosphate shunt. As shown in Fig. 3, each electron leaves a proton in the cytoplasm, which tends to lower pH_i and depolarize the membrane. Depolarization inhibits NADPH oxidase directly, by preventing electrons from crossing the membrane [204, 210]. Low pH_i also inhibits NADPH oxidase [182]. Both effects can be compensated by proton flux that precisely balances electron flux [4, 206]. If the electronic charge were compensated by other cations, the introduction of 2–4 M cation into the phagosome would cause extreme swelling [4], which does not occur, and the pH would change drastically, which does not happen, at least in neutrophils [211, 212]. An additional benefit of compensating charge with protons is that many products of $O_2^{\cdot-}$ and H^+ reactions in the phagosome, such as O_2 , H_2O_2 , H_2O , and $HOCl$, are membrane permeant and would minimize osmotic perturbations [4]. Finally, Both H^+ and Cl^- are required in large quantities in the phagosome as substrate to produce reactive oxygen species. Taking into account these ramifications of compensating charge with various ions, we concluded that no more than about 5% of charge compensation could be ascribed to ions other than H^+ [4]. In addition to charge compensation, proton currents help maintain both cytoplasmic and phagosomal pH, minimize osmotic changes, and provide substrate for producing reactive oxygen species.

Conclusion

Voltage-gated proton channels originated as phenomenological observations on dinoflagellates and snail neurons. Perhaps because of the novelty of a proton-conducting ion channel, for over a decade it was hard to convince skeptics that proton channels existed as genuine membrane proteins, as opposed to being a peculiar leak through the membrane or an adventitious conductance through another channel. Nevertheless, much progress was made in defining the fundamental properties of these channels. Now that the gene has been identified, a whole host of questions can be addressed, including the whole gamut of structure-function questions. Is the channel really a dimer? Where is the permeation pathway? How does the channel achieve perfect proton selectivity? How does the channel gate? How does the gating machinery sense pH_o and pH_i ? Although substantial evidence supports a variety of functions for proton channels, most of the evidence is based on pharmacological lesion experiments using the nonselective inhibitor Zn^{2+} . It is now possible to supplement this approach with the use of small interfering RNA (siRNA), antibodies to the channel protein, and the creation of knockout mice. The remarkable topological similarity of the proton channel to the VSD of other voltage-gated channels may lead to insights into the mechanisms involved in both types of channels. We are thus in the happy position that rapid progress in the next few years seems inevitable.

Acknowledgments

I appreciate helpful discussions with Peter Brzezinski, Horst Fischer, Mark T. Quinn, and Owen M. Woodward and critiques of the manuscript by Boris Musset and Vladimir V. Cherny. This work was supported by the Heart, Lung and Blood Institute of the NIH (HL-61437) and by Philip Morris.

References

1. DeCoursey TE. Voltage-gated proton channels and other proton transfer pathways. *Physiol. Rev.* 2003; 83:475–579. [PubMed: 12663866]

2. Morgan D, DeCoursey TE. Diversity of voltage-gated proton channels. *Frontiers in Bioscience*. 2003; 8:s1266–s1279. [PubMed: 12957841]
3. Demaurex N, Petheõ GL. Electron and proton transport by NADPH oxidases. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 2005; 360:2315–2325. [PubMed: 16321802]
4. Murphy R, DeCoursey TE. Charge compensation in phagocytes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta: Bioenergetics*. 2006; 1757:996–1011.
5. Okamura Y. Biodiversity of voltage sensor domain proteins. *Pflügers Arch.* 2007; 454:361–371.
6. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Pharmacology of voltage-gated proton channels. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*. 2007; 13:2406–2420.
7. Fogel M, Hastings JW. Bioluminescence: mechanism and mode of control of scintillon activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 1972; 69:690–693. [PubMed: 4501583]
8. Eckert, R. Excitation and luminescence in *Noctiluca miliaris*. In: Johnson, FH.; Haneda, Y., editors. *Bioluminescence in Progress*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1966. p. 269–300.
9. Eckert R, Sibaoka T. The flash-triggering action potential of the luminescent dinoflagellate *Noctiluca*. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1968; 52:258–282. [PubMed: 5672004]
10. Nawata T, Sibaoka T. Coupling between action potential and bioluminescence in *Noctiluca*: effects of inorganic ions and pH in vacuolar sap. *J. Comp. Physiol.* 1979; 134:137–149.
11. Nawata T, Sibaoka T. Ionic composition and pH of the vacuolar sap in marine dinoflagellate *Noctiluca*. *Plant & Cell Physiol.* 1976; 17:265–272.
12. Schmitter RE, Njus D, Sulzman FM, Gooch VD, Hastings JW. Dinoflagellate bioluminescence: a comparative study of invitro components. *J. Cell Physiol.* 1976; 87:123–134. [PubMed: 1400]
13. Hastings, JW. Bacterial and dinoflagellate luminescent systems. In: Herring, P., editor. *Bioluminescence in Action*. London: Academic Press; 1978. p. 129–170.
14. Thomas RC, Meech RW. Hydrogen ion currents and intracellular pH in depolarized voltage-clamped snail neurones. *Nature*. 1982; 299:826–828. [PubMed: 7133121]
15. Byerly L, Meech R, Moody W Jr. Rapidly activating hydrogen ion currents in perfused neurones of the snail, *Lymnaea stagnalis*. *J. Physiol.* 1984; 351:199–216. [PubMed: 6086903]
16. Barish ME, Baud C. A voltage-gated hydrogen ion current in the oocyte membrane of the axolotl, *Ambystoma*. *J. Physiol.* 1984; 352:243–263. [PubMed: 6086909]
17. Baud C, Barish ME. Changes in membrane hydrogen and sodium conductances during progesterone-induced maturation of *Ambystoma* oocytes. *Dev. Biol.* 1984; 105:423–434. [PubMed: 6090243]
18. Mahaut-Smith M. The effect of zinc on calcium and hydrogen ion currents in intact snail neurones. *J. Exp. Biol.* 1989; 145:455–464. [PubMed: 22912993]
19. Byerly L, Suen Y. Characterization of proton currents in neurones of the snail, *Lymnaea stagnalis*. *J. Physiol.* 1989; 413:75–89. [PubMed: 2557442]
20. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Voltage-activated hydrogen ion currents. *J. Membr. Biol.* 1994; 141:203–223. [PubMed: 7528804]
21. Ramsey IS, Moran MM, Chong JA, Clapham DE. A voltage-gated proton-selective channel lacking the pore domain. *Nature*. 2006; 440:1213–1216. [PubMed: 16554753]
22. Sasaki M, Takagi M, Okamura Y. A voltage sensor-domain protein is a voltage-gated proton channel. *Science*. 2006; 312:589–592. [PubMed: 16556803]
23. DeCoursey TE. Hydrogen ion currents in rat alveolar epithelial cells. *Biophys. J.* 1991; 60:1243–1253. [PubMed: 1722118]
24. Bernheim L, Krause RM, Baroffio A, Hamann M, Kaelin A, Bader C-R. A voltage-dependent proton current in cultured human skeletal muscle myotubes. *J. Physiol.* 1993; 470:313–333. [PubMed: 7508503]
25. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Potential, pH, and arachidonate gate hydrogen ion currents in human neutrophils. *Biophys. J.* 1993; 65:1590–1598. [PubMed: 7506066]
26. Demaurex N, Grinstein S, Jaconi M, Schlegel W, Lew DP, Krause K-H. Proton currents in human granulocytes: regulation by membrane potential and intracellular pH. *J. Physiol.* 1993; 466:329–344. [PubMed: 7692041]

27. Cherny VV, Markin VS, DeCoursey TE. The voltage-activated hydrogen ion conductance in rat alveolar epithelial cells is determined by the pH gradient. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1995; 105:861–896. [PubMed: 7561747]
28. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Voltage-activated proton currents in membrane patches of rat alveolar epithelial cells. *J. Physiol.* 1995; 489:299–307. [PubMed: 8847626]
29. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Deuterium isotope effects on permeation and gating of proton channels in rat alveolar epithelium. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1997; 109:415–434. [PubMed: 9101402]
30. Kuno M, Kawawaki J, Nakamura F. A highly temperature-sensitive proton conductance in mouse bone marrow-derived mast cells. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1997; 109:731–740. [PubMed: 9222899]
31. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Temperature dependence of voltage-gated H⁺ currents in human neutrophils, rat alveolar epithelial cells, and mammalian phagocytes. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1998; 112:503–522. [PubMed: 9758867]
32. Cherny VV, DeCoursey TE. pH-dependent inhibition of voltage-gated H⁺ currents in rat alveolar epithelial cells by Zn²⁺ and other divalent cations. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1999; 114:819–838. [PubMed: 10578017]
33. Cherny VV, Murphy R, Sokolov V, Levis RA, DeCoursey TE. Properties of single voltage-gated proton channels in human eosinophils estimated by noise analysis and direct measurement. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2003; 121:615–628. [PubMed: 12771195]
34. Henderson LM, Chappell JB, Jones OTG. The superoxide-generating NADPH oxidase of human neutrophils is electrogenic and associated with an H⁺ channel. *Biochem. J.* 1987; 246:325–329. [PubMed: 2825632]
35. Bánfi B, Schrenzel J, Nüsse O, Lew DP, Ligeti E, Krause K-H, Demaurex N. A novel H⁺ conductance in eosinophils: unique characteristics and absence in chronic granulomatous disease. *J. Exp. Med.* 1999; 190:183–194. [PubMed: 10432282]
36. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV, Zhou W, Thomas LL. Simultaneous activation of NADPH oxidase-related proton and electron currents in human neutrophils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.* 2000; 97:6885–6889. [PubMed: 10823889]
37. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV, DeCoursey AG, Xu W, Thomas LL. Interactions between NADPH oxidase-related proton and electron currents in human eosinophils. *J. Physiol.* 2001; 535:767–781. [PubMed: 11559774]
38. Cherny VV, Henderson LM, Xu W, Thomas LL, DeCoursey TE. Activation of NADPH oxidase-related proton and electron currents in human eosinophils by arachidonic acid. *J. Physiol.* 2001; 535:783–794. [PubMed: 11559775]
39. Morgan D, Cherny VV, Finnegan A, Bollinger J, Gelb MH, DeCoursey TE. Sustained activation of proton channels and NADPH oxidase in human eosinophils and murine granulocytes requires PKC but not cPLA₂α activity. *J. Physiol.* 2007; 579:327–344. [PubMed: 17185330]
40. Nanda A, Grinstein S, Curnutte JT. Abnormal activation of H⁺ conductance in NADPH oxidase-defective neutrophils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1993; 90:760–764. [PubMed: 8421713]
41. Nanda A, Romanek R, Curnutte JT, Grinstein S. Assessment of the contribution of the cytochrome *b* moiety of the NADPH oxidase to the transmembrane H⁺ conductance of leukocytes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1994; 269:27280–27285. [PubMed: 7525551]
42. Henderson LM, Banting G, Chappell JB. The arachidonate-activatable, NADPH oxidase-associated H⁺ channel. Evidence that gp91-*phox* functions as an essential part of the channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1995; 270:5909–5916. [PubMed: 7890722]
43. Bánfi B, Maturana A, Jaconi S, Arnaudeau S, Laforge T, Sinha B, Ligeti E, Demaurex N, Krause K-H. A mammalian H⁺ channel generated through alternative splicing of the NADPH oxidase homolog NOH-1. *Science.* 2000; 287:138–142. [PubMed: 10615049]
44. Bánfi B, Molnár G, Maturana A, Steger K, Hegedűs B, Demaurex N, Krause K-H. A Ca²⁺-activated NADPH oxidase in testis, spleen, and lymph nodes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276:37594–37601. [PubMed: 11483596]
45. Maturana A, Arnaudeau S, Ryser S, Bánfi B, Hossle JP, Schlegel W, Krause K-H, Demaurex N. Heme histidine ligands within gp91^{phox} modulate proton conduction by the phagocyte NADPH oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276:30277–30284. [PubMed: 11389135]

46. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV, Morgan D, Katz BZ, Dinauer MC. The gp91^{phox} component of NADPH oxidase is not the voltage-gated proton channel in phagocytes, but it helps. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001; 276:36063–36066. [PubMed: 11477065]
47. Morgan D, Cherny VV, Price MO, Dinauer MC, DeCoursey TE. Absence of proton channels in COS-7 cells expressing functional NADPH oxidase components. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2002; 119:571–580. [PubMed: 12034764]
48. Geiszt M, Lekstrom K, Leto TL. Analysis of mRNA transcripts from the NAD(P)H oxidase 1 (*Nox1*) gene: evidence against production of the NADPH oxidase homolog-1 short (NOH-1S) transcript variant. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2004; 279:51661–51668. [PubMed: 15375166]
49. Schwarzer C, Machen TE, Illek B, Fischer H. NADPH oxidase-dependent acid production in airway epithelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2004; 279:36454–36461. [PubMed: 15210697]
50. Gaggioli V, Schwarzer C, Fischer H. Expression of Nox1 in 3T3 cells increases cellular acid production but not proton conductance. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 2007; 459:189–196. [PubMed: 17234149]
51. Henderson LM, Meech RW. Evidence that the product of the human X-linked CGD gene, gp91-*phox*, is a voltage-gated H⁺ pathway. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1999; 114:771–785. [PubMed: 10578014]
52. Murillo I, Henderson LM. Expression of gp91^{phox}/Nox2 in COS-7 cells: cellular localization of the protein and the detection of outward proton currents. *Biochem. J.* 2005; 385:649–657. [PubMed: 15377283]
53. Henderson LM, Meech RW. Proton conduction through gp91^{phox}. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2002; 120:759–765. [PubMed: 12451045]
54. Touret N, Grinstein S. Voltage-gated proton "channels": a spectator's viewpoint. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2002; 120:767–771. [PubMed: 12451046]
55. DeCoursey TE, Morgan D, Cherny VV. The gp91^{phox} component of NADPH oxidase is not a voltage-gated proton channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2002; 120:773–779. [PubMed: 12451047]
56. Maturana A, Krause KH, Demaurex N. NOX family NADPH oxidases: do they have built-in proton channels? *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2002; 120:781–786. [PubMed: 12451048]
57. Petheö GL, Maturana A, Spät A, Demaurex N. Interactions between electron and proton currents in excised patches from human eosinophils. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2003; 122:713–726. [PubMed: 14638931]
58. Musset B, Cherny VV, Morgan D, Okamura Y, Ramsey IS, Clapham DE, DeCoursey TE. Detailed comparison of voltage- and pH-dependent gating of expressed proton channels and native voltage-gated proton currents. *J. Physiol.* In press. <http://jp.physoc.org/cgi/rapidpdf/jphysiol.2007.149427v1>.
59. Mori H, Sakai H, Morihata H, Kawawaki J, Amano H, Yamano T, Kuno M. Regulatory mechanisms and physiological relevance of a voltage-gated H⁺ channel in murine osteoclasts: phorbol myristate acetate induces cell acidosis and the channel activation. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* 2003; 18:2069–2076. [PubMed: 14606521]
60. de Boer M, Roos D. Metabolic comparison between basophils and other leukocytes from human blood. *J. Immunol.* 1986; 136:3447–3454. [PubMed: 3007619]
61. Musset B, Cherny V, Morgan D, DeCoursey TE. Activation of proton channels in basophils differs from other granulocytes. *Biophys. J.* 2008; 94:443a. {abstract}.
62. Koch HP, Kurokawa T, Sasaki M, Okamura Y, Larsson P. Multimeric nature of voltage-gated proton channels. *Biophys. J.* 2008; 94:277a. {abstract}.
63. Ulbrich MH, Tombola F, Isacoff EY. Subunit organization of the voltage-gated proton channel H_v1 and the voltage-gated phosphatase Ci-VSP. *Biophys. J.* 2008; 94:523a. {abstract}.
64. Tombola F, Ulbrich MH, Isacoff EY. Counting pores in a voltage-gated proton channel. *Biophys. J.* 2008; 94:25a. {abstract}.
65. Murata Y, Iwasaki H, Sasaki M, Inaba K, Okamura Y. Phosphoinositide phosphatase activity coupled to an intrinsic voltage sensor. *Nature.* 2005; 435:1239–1243. [PubMed: 15902207]
66. Murata Y, Okamura Y. Depolarization activates the phosphoinositide phosphatase Ci-VSP, as detected in *Xenopus* oocytes coexpressing sensors of PIP₂. *J. Physiol.* 2007; 583:875–889. [PubMed: 17615106]

67. Minor DL Jr. A sensitive channel family replete with sense and motion. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2006; 13:388–390. [PubMed: 16738606]
68. Aggarwal SK, MacKinnon R. Contribution of the S4 segment to gating charge in the *Shaker* K⁺ channel. *Neuron.* 1996; 16:1169–1177. [PubMed: 8663993]
69. Seoh SA, Sigg D, Papazian DM, Bezanilla F. Voltage-sensing residues in the S2 and S4 segments of the *Shaker* K⁺ channel. *Neuron.* 1996; 16:1159–1167. [PubMed: 8663992]
70. Bezanilla F. The voltage sensor in voltage-dependent ion channels. *Physiol. Rev.* 2000; 80:555–592. [PubMed: 10747201]
71. Starace DM, Stefani E, Bezanilla F. Voltage-dependent proton transport by the voltage sensor of the *Shaker* K⁺ channel. *Neuron.* 1997; 19:1319–1327. [PubMed: 9427254]
72. Starace DM, Bezanilla F. Histidine scanning mutagenesis of basic residues of the S4 segment of the *Shaker* K⁺ channel. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2001; 117:469–490. [PubMed: 11331357]
73. Starace DM, Bezanilla F. A proton pore in a potassium channel voltage sensor reveals a focused electric field. *Nature.* 2004; 427:548–553. [PubMed: 14765197]
74. Tombola F, Pathak MM, Gorostiza P, Isacoff EY. The twisted ion-permeation pathway of a resting voltage-sensing domain. *Nature.* 2007; 445:546–549. [PubMed: 17187057]
75. Tombola F, Pathak MM, Isacoff EY. Voltage-sensing arginines in a potassium channel permeate and occlude cation-selective pores. *Neuron.* 2005; 45:379–388. [PubMed: 15694325]
76. Alabi A-RA, Bahamonde MI, Jung HJ, Kim JI, Swartz KJ. Portability of paddle motif function and pharmacology in voltage sensors. *Nature.* 2007; 450:370–375. [PubMed: 18004375]
77. Neher E. Correction for liquid junction potentials in patch clamp experiments. *Methods Enzymol.* 1992; 207:123–131. [PubMed: 1528115]
78. Gordienko DV, Tare M, Parveen S, Fenech CJ, Robinson C, Bolton TB. Voltage-activated proton current in eosinophils from human blood. *J. Physiol.* 1996; 496:299–316. [PubMed: 8910217]
79. Kapus A, Romanek R, Qu AY, Rotstein OD, Grinstein S. A pH-sensitive and voltage-dependent proton conductance in the plasma membrane of macrophages. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1993; 102:729–760. [PubMed: 8270911]
80. Schilling T, Gratopp A, DeCoursey TE, Eder C. Voltage-activated proton currents in human lymphocytes. *J. Physiol.* 2002; 545:93–105. [PubMed: 12433952]
81. Cherny VV, Thomas LL, DeCoursey TE. Voltage-gated proton currents in human basophils. *Biologicheskie Membrany.* 2001; 18:458–465.
82. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Na⁺-H⁺ antiport detected through hydrogen ion currents in rat alveolar epithelial cells and human neutrophils. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1994; 103:755–785. [PubMed: 8035162]
83. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. II. Voltage-activated proton currents in human THP-1 monocytes. *J. Membr. Biol.* 1996; 152:131–140. [PubMed: 9139124]
84. Nagle JF, Morowitz HJ. Molecular mechanisms for proton transport in membranes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1978; 75:298–302. [PubMed: 272644]
85. Henderson LM. Role of histidines identified by mutagenesis in the NADPH oxidase-associated H⁺ channel. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1998; 273:33216–33223. [PubMed: 9837891]
86. Levitt DG, Elias SR, Hautman JM. Number of water molecules coupled to the transport of sodium, potassium and hydrogen ions via gramicidin, nonactin or valinomycin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1978; 512:436–451. [PubMed: 81687]
87. Myers VB, Haydon DA. Ion transfer across lipid membranes in the presence of gramicidin A: the ion selectivity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1972; 274:313–322. [PubMed: 5049000]
88. Chizhmakov IV, Geraghty FM, Ogden DC, Hayhurst A, Antoniou M, Hay AJ. Selective proton permeability and pH regulation of the influenza virus M2 channel expressed in mouse erythroleukemia cells. *J. Physiol.* 1996; 494:329–336. [PubMed: 8841994]
89. Mould JA, Drury JE, Frings SM, Kaupp UB, Pekosz A, Lamb RA, Pinto LH. Permeation and activation of the M₂ ion channel of influenza A virus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000; 275:31038–31050. [PubMed: 10913133]

90. Lin TI, Schroeder C. Definitive assignment of proton selectivity and attoampere unitary current to the M2 ion channel protein of influenza A virus. *J. Virol.* 2001; 75:3647–3656. [PubMed: 11264354]
91. Moffat JC, Vijayvergiya V, Gao PF, Cross TA, Woodbury DJ, Busath DD. Proton transport through influenza A virus M2 protein reconstituted in vesicles. *Biophys. J.* 2008; 94:434–445. [PubMed: 17827230]
92. Pinto LH, Dieckmann GR, Gandhi CS, Papworth CG, Braman J, Shaughnessy MA, Lear JD, Lamb RA, DeGrado WF. A functionally defined model for the M₂ proton channel of influenza A virus suggests a mechanism for its ion selectivity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A.* 1997; 94:11301–11306. [PubMed: 9326604]
93. Schweighofer KJ, Pohorille A. Computer simulation of ion channel gating: the M₂ channel of influenza A virus in a lipid bilayer. *Biophys. J.* 2000; 78:150–163. [PubMed: 10620282]
94. Shuck K, Lamb RA, Pinto LH. Analysis of the pore structure of the influenza A virus M₂ ion channel by the substituted-cysteine accessibility method. *J. Virol.* 2000; 74:7755–7761. [PubMed: 10933681]
95. Lear JD. Proton conduction through the M2 protein of the *influenza* A virus; a quantitative, mechanistic analysis of experimental data. *FEBS Lett.* 2003; 552:17–22. [PubMed: 12972146]
96. Sansom MSP, Kerr ID, Smith GR, Son HS. The influenza A virus M2 channel: a molecular modeling and simulation study. *Virology.* 1997; 233:163–173. [PubMed: 9201226]
97. Kukol A, Adams PD, Rice LM, Brunker AT, Arkin TI. Experimentally based orientational refinement of membrane protein models: A structure for the *Influenza* A M2 H⁺ channel. *J Mol. Biol.* 1999; 286:951–962. [PubMed: 10024461]
98. Smondyrev AM, Voth GA. Molecular dynamics simulation of proton transport near the surface of a phospholipid membrane. *Biophys. J.* 2002; 82:1460–1468. [PubMed: 11867461]
99. Kass I, Arkin IT. How pH opens a H⁺ channel: the gating mechanism of influenza A M2. *Structure.* 2005; 13:1789–1798. [PubMed: 16338407]
100. Chen H, Wu Y, Voth GA. Proton transport behavior through the influenza A M2 channel: insights from molecular simulation. *Biophys. J.* 2007; 93:3470–3479. [PubMed: 17693473]
101. Stouffer AL, Acharya R, Salom D, Levine AS, Di Costanzo L, Soto CS, Tereshko V, Nanda V, Staybrook S, DeGrado WF. Structural basis for the function and inhibition of an influenza virus proton channel. *Nature.* 2008; 451:596–599. [PubMed: 18235504]
102. Freitas JA, Tobias DJ, White SH. A voltage-sensor water pore. *Biophys. J.* 2006; 91:L90–92L. [PubMed: 17012321]
103. Sands ZA, Mokrab Y, Sansom MSP. Insights into the structure and function of the voltage-gated proton channel Hv1. *Biophys. J.* 2007; 92:394a. [PubMed: 17056728]
104. Brewer ML, Schmitt UW, Voth GA. The formation and dynamics of proton wires in channel environments. *Biophys. J.* 2001; 80:1691–1702. [PubMed: 11259283]
105. Jonsson B-H, Steiner H, Lindskog S. Participation of buffer in the catalytic mechanism of carbonic anhydrase. *FEBS Lett.* 1976; 64:310–314. [PubMed: 6328]
106. Silverman DN, Tu CK. Buffer dependence of carbonic anhydrase catalyzed oxygen-18 exchange at equilibrium. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975; 97:2263–2269.
107. Tu CK, Silverman DN. The mechanism of carbonic anhydrase studied by ¹³C and ¹⁸O labeling of carbon dioxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975; 97:5935–5936. [PubMed: 239984]
108. Fillingame RH, Angevine CM, Dmitriev OY. Coupling proton movements to c-ring rotation in F₁F₀ ATP synthase: aqueous access channels and helix rotations at the a-c interface. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2002; 1555:29–36. [PubMed: 12206887]
109. Backgren C, Hummer G, Wikström M, Puustinen A. Proton translocation by cytochrome c oxidase can take place without the conserved glutamic acid in subunit I. *Biochemistry.* 2000; 39:7863–7867. [PubMed: 10891065]
110. Namslauer A, Aagaard A, Katsonouri A, Brzezinski P. Intramolecular proton-transfer reactions in a membrane-bound proton pump: the effect of pH on the peroxy to ferryl transition in cytochrome c oxidase. *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:1488–1498. [PubMed: 12578361]
111. Faxén K, Gilderson G, Älroth P, Brzezinski P. A mechanistic principle for proton pumping by cytochrome c oxidase. *Nature.* 2005; 437:286–289. [PubMed: 16148937]

112. Hosler JP, Ferguson-Miller S, Mills DA. Energy transduction: proton transfer through the respiratory complexes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2006; 75:165–187. [PubMed: 16756489]
113. Accardi A, Walden M, Nguitragool W, Jayaram H, Williams C, Miller C. Separate ion pathways in a Cl^-/H^+ exchanger. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2005; 126:563–570. [PubMed: 16316975]
114. Miller C. CIC chloride channels viewed through a transporter lens. *Nature.* 2006; 440:484–489. [PubMed: 16554809]
115. Jewell DA, Tu CK, Paranawithana SR, Tanhauser SM, LoGrasso PV, Laipis PJ, Silverman DN. Enhancement of the catalytic properties of human carbonic anhydrase III by site-directed mutagenesis. *Biochemistry.* 1991; 30:1484–1490. [PubMed: 1899618]
116. Boriack-Sjodin PA, Heck RW, Laipis PJ, Silverman DN, Christianson DW. Structure determination of murine mitochondrial carbonic anhydrase V at 2.45-Å resolution: implications for catalytic proton transfer and inhibitor design. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1995; 92:10949–10953. [PubMed: 7479916]
117. Tu C, Qian M, Earnhardt JN, Laipis PJ, Silverman DN. Properties of intramolecular proton transfer in carbonic anhydrase III. *Biophys. J.* 1998; 74:3182–3189. [PubMed: 9635771]
118. Fetter JR, Qian J, Shapleigh J, Thomas JW, Garcia-Horsman A, Schmidt E, Hosler J, Babcock GT, Gennis RB, Ferguson-Miller S. Possible proton relay pathways in cytochrome *c* oxidase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1995; 92:1604–1608. [PubMed: 7878026]
119. Salomonsson L, Brändén G, Brzezinski P. Deuterium isotope effect of proton pumping in cytochrome *c* oxidase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2007
120. Brändén G, Pawate AS, Gennis RB, Brzezinski P. Controlled uncoupling and recoupling of proton pumping in cytochrome *c* oxidase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2006; 103:317–322. [PubMed: 16407159]
121. Thomas JW, Puustinen A, Alben JO, Gennis RB, Wikström M. Substitution of asparagine for aspartate-135 in subunit I of the cytochrome *bo* ubiquinol oxidase of *Escherichia coli* eliminates proton-pumping activity. *Biochemistry.* 1993; 32:10923–10928. [PubMed: 8399242]
122. Garcia-Horsman JA, Puustinen A, Gennis RB, Wikström M. Proton transfer in cytochrome *bo*₃ ubiquinol oxidase of *Escherichia coli*: second-site mutations in subunit I that restore proton pumping in the mutant Asp135→Asn. *Biochemistry.* 1995; 34:4428–4433. [PubMed: 7703256]
123. Hanke W, Miller C. Single chloride channels from *Torpedo* electroplax: activation by protons. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1983; 82:25–45. [PubMed: 6310023]
124. DeCoursey TE. Four varieties of voltage-gated proton channels. *Frontiers in Bioscience.* 1998; 3:d477–d482. {<http://www.bioscience.org/1998/v3/d/decourse/d477-482.htm>}.
125. Lengyel, S.; Conway, BE. Proton solvation and proton transfer in chemical and electrochemical processes. In: Conway, BE.; Bockris, JO'M.; Yeager, E., editors. *Comprehensive Treatise of Electrochemistry*. Vol. vol. 5. New York: Plenum Press; 1983. p. 339-398. Thermodynamic and Transport Properties of Aqueous and Molten Electrolytes.
126. Akeson M, Deamer DW. Proton conductance by the gramicidin water wire: model for proton conductance in the F_1F_0 ATPases? *Biophys. J.* 1991; 60:101–109. [PubMed: 1715764]
127. Chernyshev A, Cukierman S. Thermodynamic view of activation energies of proton transfer in various gramicidin A channels. *Biophys. J.* 2002; 82:182–192. [PubMed: 11751307]
128. Stühmer W, Conti F, Suzuki H, Wang XD, Noda M, Yahagi N, Kubo H, Numa S. Structural parts involved in activation and inactivation of the sodium channel. *Nature.* 1989; 339:597–603. [PubMed: 2543931]
129. Ma Z, Lou XJ, Horrigan FT. Role of charged residues in the S1–S4 voltage sensor of BK channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2006; 127:309–328. [PubMed: 16505150]
130. Hirschberg B, Rovner A, Lieberman M, Patlak J. Transfer of twelve charges is needed to open skeletal muscle Na^+ channels. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1995; 106:1053–1068. [PubMed: 8786350]
131. Schoppa NE, McCormack K, Tanouye MA, Sigworth FJ. The size of gating charge in wild-type and mutant *Shaker* potassium channels. *Science.* 1992; 255:1712–1715. [PubMed: 1553560]
132. DeCoursey TE, Cherny VV. Effects of buffer concentration on voltage-gated H^+ currents: does diffusion limit the conductance? *Biophys. J.* 1996; 71:182–193. [PubMed: 8804602]
133. Almers W. Gating currents and charge movements in excitable membranes. *Rev. Physiol. Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1978; 82:96–190. [PubMed: 356157]

134. Bahamonde MI, Chang H, Swartz K. Interaction of tarantula toxins with voltage-activated proton channels. *Biophys. J.* 2008; 94:275a. { *abstract* }.
135. Frankenhaeuser B, Hodgkin AL. The action of calcium on the electrical properties of squid axons. *J. Physiol.* 1957; 137:218–244. [PubMed: 13449874]
136. Urbach V, Helix N, Renaudon B, Harvey BJ. Cellular mechanisms for apical ATP effects on intracellular pH in human bronchial epithelium. *J. Physiol.* 2002; 543:13–21. [PubMed: 12181278]
137. Diarra A, Sheldon C, Brett CL, Baimbridge KG, Church J. Anoxia-evoked intracellular pH and Ca^{2+} concentration changes in cultured postnatal rat hippocampal neurons. *Neuroscience.* 1999; 93:1003–1016. [PubMed: 10473265]
138. Sheldon C, Church J. Intracellular pH response to anoxia in acutely dissociated adult rat hippocampal CA1 neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 2002; 87:2209–2224. [PubMed: 11976362]
139. Chenevier-Gobeaux C, Simonneau C, Therond P, Bonnefont-Rousselot D, Poiraudau S, Ekindjian OG, Borderie D. Implication of cytosolic phospholipase A_2 (cPLA $_2$) in the regulation of human synoviocyte NADPH oxidase (Nox2) activity. *Life Sci.* 2007; 81:1050–1058. [PubMed: 17869312]
140. Kawahara BT, Quinn MT, Lambeth JD. Molecular evolution of the reactive oxygen-generating NADPH oxidase (Nox/Duox) family of enzymes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 2007; 7:109. [PubMed: 17612411]
141. Schapiro FB, Grinstein S. Determinants of the pH of the Golgi complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000; 275:21025–21032. [PubMed: 10748071]
142. Schrenzel J, Lew DP, Krause K-H. Proton currents in human eosinophils. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1996; 271:C1861–C1871. [PubMed: 8997186]
143. Nanda A, Grinstein S. Chemoattractant-induced activation of vacuolar H^+ pumps and of an H^+ -selective conductance in neutrophils. *J. Cell Physiol.* 1995; 165:588–599. [PubMed: 7593238]
144. Kapus A, Romanek R, Grinstein S. Arachidonic acid stimulates the plasma membrane H^+ conductance of macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1994; 269:4736–4745. [PubMed: 7508928]
145. Meves H. Modulation of ion channels by arachidonic acid. *Progr. Neurobiol.* 1994; 43:175–186.
146. Ely EW, Seeds MC, Chilton FH, Bass DA. Neutrophil release of arachidonic acid, oxidants, and proteinases: causally related or independent. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1995; 1258:135–144. [PubMed: 7548176]
147. Barry OP, Praticò D, Lawson JA, FitzGerald GA. Transcellular activation of platelets and endothelial cells by bioactive lipids in platelet microparticles. *J. Clin. Invest.* 1997; 99:2118–2127. [PubMed: 9151784]
148. Morihata H, Nakamura F, Tsutada T, Kuno M. Potentiation of a voltage-gated proton current in acidosis-induced swelling of rat microglia. *J. Neurosci.* 2000; 20:7220–7227. [PubMed: 11007878]
149. Morihata H, Kawawaki J, Okina M, Sakai H, Notomi T, Sawada M, Kuno M. Early and late activation of the voltage-gated proton channel during lactic acidosis through pH-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Pflügers Arch.* 2008; 455:829–838.
150. Klee R, Heinemann U, Eder C. Changes in proton currents of brain macrophages induced by cytoskeletal reorganization. *Neurosci. Lett.* 1998; 247:191–194. [PubMed: 9655625]
151. Berger F, Borchard U, Hafner D, Weis T. Activation of membrane outward currents by human low density lipoprotein in mouse peritoneal macrophages. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.* 1993; 348:207–212. [PubMed: 7694157]
152. Pethe GL, Girardin NC, Goossens N, Molnár GZ, Demareux N. Role of nucleotides and phosphoinositides in the stability of electron and proton currents associated with the phagocytic NADPH oxidase. *Biochem. J.* 2006; 400:431–438. [PubMed: 16842239]
153. Roos A, Boron WF. Intracellular pH. *Physiol. Rev.* 1981; 61:296–434. [PubMed: 7012859]
154. Nordström T, Rotstein OD, Romanek R, Asotra S, Heersche JNM, Manolson MF, Brisseau GF, Grinstein S. Regulation of cytoplasmic pH in osteoclasts: contribution of proton pumps and a proton-selective conductance. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1995; 270:2203–2212. [PubMed: 7836451]

155. Nordström T, Shrode LD, Rotstein OD, Romanek R, Goto T, Heersche JNM, Manolson MF, Brisseau GF, Grinstein S. Chronic extracellular acidosis induces plasmalemmal vacuolar type H⁺ ATPase activity in osteoclasts. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1997; 272:6354–6360. [PubMed: 9045656]
156. Nanda A, Gukovskaya A, Tseng J, Grinstein S. Activation of vacuolar-type proton pumps by protein kinase C: role in neutrophil pH regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1992; 267:22740–22746. [PubMed: 1331065]
157. Demaurex N, Downey GP, Waddell TK, Grinstein S. Intracellular pH regulation during spreading of human neutrophils. *J. Cell Biol.* 1996; 133:1391–1402. [PubMed: 8682873]
158. Murphy R, Cherny VV, Morgan D, DeCoursey TE. Voltage-gated proton channels help regulate pH_i in rat alveolar epithelium. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* 2005; 288:L398–L408. [PubMed: 15516489]
159. Simchowitz L, Roos A. Regulation of intracellular pH in human neutrophils. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1985; 85:443–470. [PubMed: 2985737]
160. Humez S, Fournier F, Guilbault P. A voltage-dependent and pH-sensitive proton current in *Rana esculenta* oocytes. *J. Membr. Biol.* 1995; 147:207–215. [PubMed: 8568856]
161. Humez S, Collin T, Matifat F, Guilbault P, Fournier F. InsP₃-dependent Ca²⁺ oscillations linked to activation of voltage-dependent H⁺ conductance in *Rana esculenta* oocytes. *Cell. Signal.* 1996; 8:375–379. [PubMed: 8911687]
162. DeCoursey TE. Hypothesis: do voltage-gated H⁺ channels in alveolar epithelial cells contribute to CO₂ elimination by the lung? *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2000; 278:C1–C10. [PubMed: 10644504]
163. Effros RM, Mason G, Silverman P. Asymmetric distribution of carbonic anhydrase in the alveolar-capillary barrier. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1981; 51:190–193. [PubMed: 6790500]
164. Effros RM, Mason G, Silverman P. Role of perfusion and diffusion in ¹⁴CO₂ exchange in the rabbit lung. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1981; 51:1136–1144. [PubMed: 6795165]
165. Swenson ER, Deem S, Kerr ME, Bidani A. Inhibition of aquaporin-mediated CO₂ diffusion and voltage-gated H⁺ channels by zinc does not alter rabbit lung CO₂ and NO excretion. *Clin. Sci.* 2002; 103:567–575. [PubMed: 12444909]
166. Joseph D, Tirmizi O, Zhang X-L, Crandall ED, Lubman RL. Polarity of alveolar epithelial cell acid-base permeability. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* 2002; 282:L675–L683. [PubMed: 11880292]
167. Effros RM, Olson L, Lin W, Audi S, Hogan G, Shaker R, Hoagland K, Foss B. Resistance of the pulmonary epithelium to movement of buffer ions. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* 2003; 285:L476–L483. [PubMed: 12851214]
168. Fischer H, Widdicombe JH, Illek B. Acid secretion and proton conductance in human airway epithelium. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2002; 282:736–743.
169. Geiszt M, Witta J, Baffi J, Lekstrom K, Leto TL. Dual oxidases represent novel hydrogen peroxide sources supporting mucosal surface host defense. *FASEB J.* 2003; 17:1502–1504. [PubMed: 12824283]
170. Fischer H, Gonzales LK, Kolla V, Schwarzer C, Miot F, Illek B, Ballard PL. Developmental regulation of DUOX1 expression and function in human fetal lung epithelial cells. *Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell Mol. Physiol.* 2007; 292:L1506–L1514. [PubMed: 17337509]
171. Willumsen NJ, Davis CW, Boucher RC. Intracellular Cl[−] activity and cellular Cl[−] pathways in cultured human airway epithelium. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1989; 256:C1033–C1044. [PubMed: 2719093]
172. Fischer H. Airway surface liquid pH and innate defense by the NADPH oxidase. *Ped. Pulmonol. suppl.* 2007; 30:175–177.
173. Cheng YM, Kelly T, Church J. Potential contribution of a voltage-activated proton conductance to acid extrusion from rat hippocampal neurons. *Neurosci.* 2008; 151:1084–1098.
174. Woodward OM, Willows AO. Dopamine modulation of Ca²⁺ dependent Cl[−] current regulates ciliary beat frequency controlling locomotion in *Tritonia diomedea*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2006; 209:2749–2764. [PubMed: 16809466]

175. DeCoursey TE. Interactions between NADPH oxidase and voltage-gated proton channels: Why electron transport depends on proton transport. *FEBS Lett.* 2003; 555:57–61. [PubMed: 14630319]
176. Rada BK, Geiszt M, Hably C, Ligeti E. Consequences of the electrogenic function of the phagocytic NADPH oxidase. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2005; 360:2293–2300. [PubMed: 16321799]
177. Badwey JA, Curnutte JT, Robinson JM, Lazdins JK, Briggs RT, Karnovsky MJ, Karnovsky ML. Comparative aspects of oxidative metabolism of neutrophils from human blood and guinea pig peritonea: magnitude of the respiratory burst, dependence upon stimulating agents, and localization of the oxidases. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 1980; 105:541–551. [PubMed: 6257739]
178. Baldrige CW, Gerard RW. The extra respiration of phagocytosis. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1933; 103:235–236.
179. Karnovsky ML. Metabolic basis of phagocytic activity. *Physiol. Rev.* 1962; 42:143–168. [PubMed: 14454025]
180. Schrenzel J, Serrander L, Bánfi B, Nüsse O, Fouyouzi R, Lew DP, Demaurex N, Krause K-H. Electron currents generated by the human phagocyte NADPH oxidase. *Nature.* 1998; 392:734–737. [PubMed: 9565037]
181. Morgan D, Cherny VV, Murphy R, Xu W, Thomas LL, DeCoursey TE. Temperature dependence of NADPH oxidase in human eosinophils. *J. Physiol.* 2003; 550:447–458. [PubMed: 12754316]
182. Morgan D, Cherny VV, Murphy R, Katz BZ, DeCoursey TE. The pH dependence of NADPH oxidase in human eosinophils. *J. Physiol.* 2005; 569:419–431. [PubMed: 16195320]
183. DeChatelet LR, Shirley PS, McPhail LC, Huntley CC, Muss HB, Bass DA. Oxidative metabolism of the human eosinophil. *Blood.* 1977; 50:525–535. [PubMed: 884325]
184. Yamashita T, Someya A, Hara E. Response of superoxide anion production by guinea pig eosinophils to various soluble stimuli: comparison to neutrophils. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 1985; 241:447–452. [PubMed: 2994568]
185. Shult PA, Graziano FM, Wallow IH, Busse WW. Comparison of superoxide generation and luminol-dependent chemiluminescence with eosinophils and neutrophils from normal individuals. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* 1985; 106:638–645. [PubMed: 2999272]
186. Petreccia DC, Nauseef WM, Clark RA. Respiratory burst of normal human eosinophils. *J. Leukocyte Biol.* 1987; 41:283–288. [PubMed: 3033106]
187. Yagisawa M, You A, Yonemaru M, Imajoh-Ohmi S, Kanegasaki S, Yazaki Y, Takaku F. Superoxide release and NADPH oxidase components in mature human phagocytes: correlation between functional capacity and amount of functional proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1996; 228:510–516. [PubMed: 8920944]
188. Someya A, Nishijima K, Nunoi H, Irie S, Nagaoka I. Study on the superoxide-producing enzyme of eosinophils and neutrophils- comparison of the NADPH oxidase components. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 1997; 345:207–213. [PubMed: 9308891]
189. Nanda A, Grinstein S. Protein kinase C activates an H^+ (equivalent) conductance in the plasma membrane of human neutrophils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1991; 88:10816–10820. [PubMed: 1720552]
190. Jankowski A, Grinstein S. A noninvasive fluorometric procedure for measurement of membrane potential. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1999; 274:26098–26104. [PubMed: 10473559]
191. Geiszt M, Kapus A, Nemet K, Farkas L, Ligeti E. Regulation of capacitative Ca^{2+} influx in human neutrophil granulocytes. Alterations in chronic granulomatous disease. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1997; 272:26471–26478. [PubMed: 9334224]
192. Rada BK, Geiszt M, Káldi K, Tímár C, Ligeti E. Dual role of phagocytic NADPH oxidase in bacterial killing. *Blood.* 2004; 104:2947–2953. [PubMed: 15251984]
193. Whitin JC, Chapman CE, Simons ER, Chovaniec ME, Cohen HJ. Correlation between membrane potential changes and superoxide production in human granulocytes stimulated by phorbol myristate acetate. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1980; 255:1874–1878. [PubMed: 6243652]
194. Cohen HJ, Newburger PE, Chovaniec ME, Whitin JC, Simons ER. Opsonized zymosan-stimulated granulocytes-activation and activity of the superoxide-generating system and membrane potential changes. *Blood.* 1981; 58:975–982. [PubMed: 6271311]

195. Sklar LA, Jesaitis AJ, Painter RG, Cochrane CG. The kinetics of neutrophil activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1981; 256:9909–9914. [PubMed: 6268635]
196. Seeds MC, Parce JW, Szejda P, Bass DA. Independent stimulation of membrane potential changes and the oxidative metabolic burst in polymorphonuclear leukocytes. *Blood.* 1985; 65:233–240. [PubMed: 3965049]
197. Korchak HM, Weissmann G. Changes in membrane potential of human granulocytes antecede the metabolic responses to surface stimulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1978; 75:3818–3822. [PubMed: 278994]
198. Jones GS, VanDyke K, Castranova V. Transmembrane potential changes associated with superoxide release from human granulocytes. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 1981; 106:75–83. [PubMed: 6259186]
199. Cameron AR, Nelson J, Forman HJ. Depolarization and increased conductance precede superoxide release by concanavalin A-stimulated rat alveolar macrophages. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1983; 80:3726–3728. [PubMed: 6304734]
200. Bankers-Fulbright JL, Gleich GJ, Kephart GM, Kita H, O'Grady SM. Regulation of eosinophil membrane depolarization during NADPH oxidase activation. *J. Cell Sci.* 2003; 116:3221–3226. [PubMed: 12829741]
201. Grinstein S, Furuya W. Amiloride-sensitive Na^+/H^+ exchange in human neutrophils: mechanism of activation by chemotactic factors. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1984; 122:755–762. [PubMed: 6087813]
202. Simchowitz L. Chemotactic factor-induced activation of Na^+/H^+ exchange in human neutrophils. II. Intracellular pH changes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1985; 260:13248–13255. [PubMed: 2997160]
203. Morgan D, Rios E, DeCoursey TE. Dynamic imaging the cytosolic pH of individual phagocytosing neutrophils by shifted excitation and emission ratioing of fluorescence (SEER). *Biophys. J.* 2008; 94:194a. {abstract}.
204. DeCoursey TE, Morgan D, Cherny VV. The voltage dependence of NADPH oxidase reveals why phagocytes need proton channels. *Nature.* 2003; 422:531–534. [PubMed: 12673252]
205. Hampton MB, Kettle AJ, Winterbourn CC. Inside the neutrophil phagosome: oxidants, myeloperoxidase, and bacterial killing. *Blood.* 1998; 92:3007–3017. [PubMed: 9787133]
206. Reeves EP, Lu H, Jacobs HL, Messina CGM, Bolsover S, Gabella G, Potma EO, Warley A, Roes J, Segal AW. Killing activity of neutrophils is mediated through activation of proteases by K^+ flux. *Nature.* 2002; 416:291–297. [PubMed: 11907569]
207. Hirsch JG, Cohn ZA. Degranulation of polymorphonuclear leucocytes following phagocytosis of microorganisms. *J. Exp. Med.* 1960; 112:1005–1014. [PubMed: 13714579]
208. Borregaard N, Cowland JB. Granules of the human neutrophilic polymorphonuclear leukocyte. *Blood.* 1997; 89:3503–3521. [PubMed: 9160655]
209. Winterbourn CC, Hampton MB, Livesey JH, Kettle AJ. Modeling the reactions of superoxide and myeloperoxidase in the neutrophil phagosome: implications for microbial killing. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006; 281:39860–39869. [PubMed: 17074761]
210. Pethe GL, Demareux N. Voltage- and NADPH-dependence of electron currents generated by the phagocytic NADPH oxidase. *Biochem. J.* 2005; 388:485–491. [PubMed: 15689187]
211. Jankowski A, Scott CC, Grinstein S. Determinants of the phagosomal pH in neutrophils. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002; 277:6059–6066. [PubMed: 11744729]
212. Beletskii A, Cooper M, Sriraman P, Chiriac C, Zhao L, Abbot S, Yu L. High-throughput phagocytosis assay utilizing a pH-sensitive fluorescent dye. *BioTechniques.* 2005; 39:894–897. [PubMed: 16382909]
213. Meech RW, Thomas RC. Voltage-dependent intracellular pH in *Helix aspersa* neurones. *J. Physiol.* 1987; 390:433–452. [PubMed: 2450997]
214. Gu X, Sackin H. Effect of pH on potassium and proton conductance in renal proximal tubule. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1995; 269:F289–F308. [PubMed: 7573477]
215. Weidema, AF. Ph.D. dissertation. the Netherlands: Rijksuniversiteit te Leiden; 1995. Ion channels and ion transport in chicken osteoclasts; p. 159
216. Cherny VV, Henderson LM, DeCoursey TE. Proton and chloride currents in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Membrane and Cell Biology.* 1997; 11:337–347. [PubMed: 9460053]

217. Eder C, Fischer H-G, Hadding U, Heinemann U. Properties of voltage-gated currents of microglia developed using macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Pflügers Arch.* 1995; 430:526–533.
218. Eder C, DeCoursey TE. Voltage-gated proton channels in microglia. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 2001; 64:277–305. [PubMed: 11240310]
219. Mori H, Sakai H, Morihata H, Yamano T, Kuno M. A voltage-gated H⁺ channel is a powerful mechanism for pH homeostasis in murine osteoclasts. *Kobe J. Med. Sci.* 2002; 48:87–96. [PubMed: 12502906]
220. Essin K, Salanova B, Kettritz R, Sausbier M, Luft FC, Kraus D, Bohn E, Autenrieth IB, Peschel A, Ruth P, Gollasch M. Large-conductance calcium-activated potassium channel activity is absent in human and mouse neutrophils and is not required for innate immunity. *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2007; 293:C45–C54. [PubMed: 17329399]
221. Visentin S, Agresti C, Patrizio M, Levi G. Ion channels in rat microglia and their different sensitivity to lipopolysaccharide and interferon- γ . *J. Neurosci. Res.* 1995; 42:439–451. [PubMed: 8568930]
222. Morihata H, Kawawaki J, Sakai H, Sawada M, Tsutada T, Kuno M. Temporal fluctuations of voltage-gated proton currents in rat spinal microglia via pH-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Neurosci. Res.* 2000; 38:265–271. [PubMed: 11070193]
223. Sanchez JC, Powell T, Staines HM, Wilkins RJ. Electrophysiological demonstration of voltage-activated H⁺ channels in bovine articular chondrocytes. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 2006; 18:85–90. [PubMed: 16914893]
224. El Chemaly A, Guinamard R, Demion M, Fares N, Jebara V, Faivre F, Bois P. A voltage-activated proton current in human cardiac fibroblasts. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2006; 340:512–516. [PubMed: 16376300]
225. McLarnon JG, Xu R, Lee YB, Kim SU. Ion channels of human microglia. *Neuroscience.* 1997; 78:1217–1228. [PubMed: 9174088]

Voltage-gated cation channel

Voltage-gated proton channel

Figure 1.

The molecular architecture of voltage-gated proton channels and ordinary voltage-gated cation channels. Most voltage-gated K^+ , Na^+ , and Ca^{2+} channels are tetramers formed from four copies of the illustrated subunit with its six membrane-spanning regions. Regions S1–S4 comprise the “voltage-sensing domain” or VSD, within which S4 in particular has a number of charged Arg residues that appear to sense the membrane potential (cf. Table 1). The S5–S6 regions from all four copies of the illustrated subunits form a single central pore that opens and closes during gating. The voltage-gated proton channel has a generally similar sequence with S1–S4, but the S5–S6 regions are absent. Although three Arg residues are present in the proton channel S4 region (cf. Table 1), it is not clear that they contribute to voltage gating. Because the S5–S6 regions that comprise the pore of ordinary voltage-gated channels are absent, the conduction pathway is not obvious. Recent studies suggest that the

proton channel functions as a dimer, each subunit with its own pore [62–64]. It is conceivable that the proton channel gene product associates with some other molecule that forms a more traditional pore, but expression of the gene alone produces voltage-gated proton currents.

Figure 2.

The NADPH oxidase isoform in the airways is DUOX1. DUOX1 is highly expressed in the apical membrane of lung epithelial cells [170]. Like other NADPH oxidases (Fig. 3), DUOX1 generates intracellular acid as it transports electrons (e^-) across the membrane to form extracellular superoxide. H^+ extrusion through proton channels prevents excessive intracellular acidification and acts as a substrate to form H_2O_2 . Release of H_2O_2 into the airway surface liquid (ASL) may support bacterial defense. Apical CFTR channels are permeable to HCO_3^- , which tends to alkalize the subphase liquid and maintain the H^+ gradient that is necessary to keep the H^+ channel open. [adapted from 172].

Figure 3.

The main reactions that influence phagosome membrane potential and $\text{pH}_{\text{phagosome}}$ during the respiratory burst are summarized. The activity of NADPH oxidase is electrogenic [34], and most of the charge movement is compensated by proton efflux through voltage-gated proton channels. Each NADPH molecule consumed by NADPH oxidase activity and regenerated by the hexose monophosphate shunt (HMS) produces 2H^+ in the cytoplasm. Two electrons from each NADPH cross the membrane through an electron pathway within cytochrome b_{558} (the combination of p22^{phox} and $\text{gp91}^{\text{phox}}$), generating two $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$ molecules, which dismutate spontaneously, consuming two H^+ to form H_2O_2 . Myeloperoxidase (MPO) converts roughly half of the H_2O_2 into HOCl . In addition, the HMS produces one CO_2 for every two NADPH regenerated. The CO_2 may dissociate in the cytoplasm or cross the phagosome or plasma membrane before dissociating, with various consequences. From [4].

Table 1

S4 Regions of Voltage Gated K⁺ and H⁺ Channels

<i>Shaker</i>	L	R 362	V	I	R 365	L	V	R 368	V	F	R 371	I	F	K 374	L	S	R 377	H	S	K 380	G	13 e₀
mSlo1	W	L	G	L	R 207	F	L	R 210	A	L	R 213	L	-	I	Q	F	S	E 219	I	L	Q	2.3 e₀
H _v 1	L	I	L	L	R 205	L	W	R 208	V	A	R 211	I	I	N	G	I	I	I	S	V	K	6 e₀
mVSOP	L	I	L	L	R 201	L	W	R 204	V	A	R 207	I	I	N	G	I	I	I	S	V	K	6 e₀

The sequence of 21 amino acids in the putative S4 regions of the *Shaker*K⁺ channel, the maxi-K calcium-activated K⁺ channel (mSlo), the human proton channel (HV1), the mouse proton channel (mVSOP). Potentially charged amino acids are indicated in bold. Single neutralization mutations at the numbered positions in boxes reduce the gating charge, in italics do not affect gating charge, or were inconclusive [21, 22, 58, 68–70, 129]. Total gating charge (q) estimates are listed in the final column. The mouse R204Q mutant did not express well; R207Q was identical to wild type, and for R201Q activation was faster and the *g*H- Vrelationship was shifted by –50 mV and had a slightly steeper slope (z = 1.9 vs. 1.4 for wt) [22]. In human proton channels, gating was faster for all three Arg mutants, and for R205A the midpoint was shifted positively, and the slope was less steep by 1/3 (zδ = 0.57 vs. 0.90 for wt) [21]. The VSD of the Shaker K⁺ channel can be transformed into a proton channel by the R362H mutation [73], and R362X where X = Cys, Ala, Ser, or Val produces nonselective cationic “omega” current through the voltage sensor [75].

Table 2

I_H Density in Species and Cells Reported to Have H^+ Channels

Group	Species	Tissue	Cell type	$I_{H,max}$ (pA/pF) (pH_i)	Reference
Protozoan	<i>Trypanosoma brucei</i>		kinetoplastid	gene	GenBank
	<i>Tetrahymena thermophila</i>		ciliate	gene	GenBank
Echinoderm	<i>Strongylocentrotus purpuratus</i>		purple sea urchin	gene	GenBank
Mollusc	<i>Helix aspersa</i>	Neuron	neuron	4.5 (~6.8)	[213]
	<i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i>	Neuron	neuron	14.6 (5.9)	[19]
	<i>Tritonia diomedea</i>	Epithelium	ciliated epithelium	91.1 [§] (7.3)	[174]
Tunicate	<i>Ciona intestinalis</i>		sea squirt	gene, X	[22]
Fish	<i>Danio rerio</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
	<i>Tetraodon nigroviridis</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
Amphibia	<i>Ambystoma</i>	Oocyte	oocyte	8.4 (~7.2)	[16]
	<i>Rana esculenta</i>	Oocyte	oocyte	~32	[160]
	<i>Rana pipiens</i>	Epithelium	renal proximal tubule	<75 (6.5)	[214]
	<i>Xenopus laevis</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
	<i>X. tropicalis</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
Birds	<i>Gallus gallus</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
	chicken	Macrophage	osteoclast	$\dot{V}_i$ (7.3)	[215]
Mammals	hamster	Epithelium	ovary CHO	1.6 (5.5)	[216]
	mouse	Connective tissue	fibroblast 3T3	2.5 (5.3)	[50]
	mouse	Macrophage	peritoneal	~30 (6.0)	[79]
	mouse	Microglia	microglia	42 (6.0)	[217]
	mouse	Microglia	BV-2	~20 (5.5)	[218]
	mouse	Granulocyte	mast cell	9.6 (5.5)	[30]
	mouse	Macrophage	osteoclast		[219]

Group	Species	Tissue	Cell type	$I_{H,max}$ (pA/pF) (pH _i)	Reference
	mouse	Granulocyte	granulocyte	~20 (7.0)	[39]
	mouse	Granulocyte	neutrophil	~50 (7.0)	[220]
	<i>Rattus norvegicus</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
	rat	Neuron	hippocampal CA1	0.9 (6.0)	[173]
	rat	Epithelium	alveolar	27.3 (5.5)	[23]
	rat	Macrophage	alveolar	~2 (7.5)	[218]
	rat	Microglia	microglia	$\dot{I} \sim 66$ (7.2)	[221]
	rat	Microglia	microglia	20 (5.5)	[148]
	rat	Microglia	GMI-R1	26 (5.5)	[222]
	rat	Microglia	MLS-9	0.22 (5.5)	[218]
	Rabbit (bunny)	Macrophage	osteoclast	6.7 (6.0)	[154]
	<i>Canis familiaris</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
	<i>Bos taurus</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
	cow	Chondrocyte	articular	17 (6.0)	[223]
	<i>Pan troglodytes</i>	-	-	gene	GenBank
Human	human	Epithelium	lung A549	~2 (5.5)	[20]
	human	Epithelium	prostate PC-3	~4 (5.5)	[20]
	human	Epithelium	kidney HEK-293	~1 (6.5)	[218]
	human	Epithelium	airway JME	*1.38 (5.3)	[168]
	human	Epithelium	airway gland Calu-3	**1.8 (5.3)	[49]
	human	Epithelium	tracheal	**2.9 (5.3)	[49]
	human	Epithelium	cervical Hela	1.38 (5.3)	[1]
	human	Fibroblast	cardiac	~2.6 (6.2)	[224]
	human	Skeletal muscle	myocyte	~10 (5.5)	[24]
	human	Lymphocyte	T lymphocyte	0.9 (6.0)	[80]
	human	Lymphocyte	B lymphocyte	94.7 (6.0)	[80]
	human	Lymphocyte	Jurkat E6-1	36.3 (6.0)	[80]
	human	Macrophage	monocyte	28 (6.0)	[41]
	human	Macrophage	THP-1 monocyte	22 (5.5)	[83]

Group	Species	Tissue	Cell type	$I_{H,max}$ (pA/pF) (pH _i)	Reference
	human	Microglia	microglia	$\bar{I}_\gamma$ (7.3)	[225]
	human	Granulocyte	neutrophil	17 (6.0)	[25]
	human	Granulocyte	eosinophil	~200 (6.0)	[78]
	human	Granulocyte	basophil	~100 (5.5)	[81]
	human	Granulocyte	HL-60	133 (5.5)	[26]
	human	Granulocyte	K-562	~5 (6.0)	[20]

* measured at 37°C

$\bar{I}_\gamma$ Identity of the conductance not established with certainty.

This table includes only cells in which the existence of H⁺ channels was established by direct voltage-clamp studies, and species in which homologs of the proton channel exist. X = proton currents demonstrated by heterologous gene expression. $I_{H,max}$ is the largest H⁺ current measured in a given cell (normalized to capacitance, which reflects surface area), usually at ~150 mV positive to V_{rev} ; $I_{H,max}$ values were converted to current at V_{rev} + 150 mV. In studies where typical values or cell size was not specified, estimates were based on data in figures, etc. and are preceded by a tilde (~), as are values from surveys including a small number of cells. All values are at room temperature (20–25°C), except where noted (*) at 37°C, (**) at 26°C, or ($\bar{I}$) at 10°C. Table updated from [1].