Published in final edited form as:

Nat Genet. 2012 October ; 44(10): 1111–1116. doi:10.1038/ng.2405.

Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies SOX2 as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer

Charles M Rudin^{1,8}, Steffen Durinck^{2,3,8}, Eric W Stawiski^{2,3,8}, John T Poirier^{1,8}, Zora Modrusan^{2,8}, David S Shames^{4,8}, Emily A Bergbower¹, Yinghui Guan², James Shin¹, Joseph Guillory², Celina Sanchez Rivers², Catherine K Foo², Deepali Bhatt², Jeremy Stinson², Florian Gnad³, Peter M Haverty³, Robert Gentleman³, Subhra Chaudhuri², Vasantharajan Janakiraman², Bijay S Jaiswal², Chaitali Parikh², Wenlin Yuan², Zemin Zhang³, Hartmut Koeppen⁵, Thomas D Wu³, Howard M Stern⁵, Robert L Yauch⁴, Kenneth E Huffman⁶, Diego D Paskulin⁷, Peter B Illei¹, Marileila Varella-Garcia⁷, Adi F Gazdar⁶, Frederic J de Sauvage², Richard Bourgon³, John D Minna⁶, Malcolm V Brock¹, and Somasekar Seshagiri²

¹The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

²Department of Molecular Biology, Genentech, South San Francisco, California, USA

³Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Genentech, South San Francisco, California, USA

⁴Department of Oncology Biomarker Development, Genentech, South San Francisco, California, USA

⁵Department of Pathology, Genentech, South San Francisco, California, USA

⁶Hamon Center for Therapeutic Oncology Research, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

⁷Division of Medical Oncology, University of Colorado Cancer Center, Aurora, Colorado, USA

Abstract

Small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) is an exceptionally aggressive disease with poor prognosis. Here, we obtained exome, transcriptome and copy-number alteration data from approximately 53

© 2012 Nature America, Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence should be addressed to C.M.R. (rudin@jhmi.edu) or S.S. (sekar@gene.com).

⁸These authors contributed equally to this work.

Accession codes. Sequencing and genotype data have been deposited at the European Genome-phenome Archive, which is hosted by the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI), under accession EGAS00001000334.

Note: Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.M.R. and S.S. conceived the study and designed the experiments. E.W.S. and S.D. performed the exome and whole-genome sequencing, RNA-seq and copy-number analysis. Z.M. and Y.G. performed validation of the fusions. Z.M. managed exome capture. J.T.P., E.A.B., S.C., V.J., B.S.J., W.Y. and C.P. performed biological validated studies. J. Shin, D.D.P., P.B.I. and M.V.-G. performed SOX2 IHC and FISH studies. K.E.H., A.F.G. and J.D.M. provided reagents and analysis support. J. Stinson, C.K.F., D.B., C.S.R. and J.G. collected sequencing data and performed mutation validation. F.G. and Z.Z. predicted the functional effects of mutations. E.W.S., P.M.H., R.B., T.D.W. and R.G. provided bioinformatics support, including the algorithm for variant calling, fusion detection and copy-number calling. R.B. and P.M.H. analyzed SNP array data. H.K., H.M.S., P.B.I., M.V.B. and A.F.G. provided pathology support. F.J.d.S., D.S.S., R.L.Y. and J.D.M. provided critical analysis and organizational support. D.S.S., E.W.S., S.D., Z.M., C.M.R. and J.T.P. wrote the manuscript, which was reviewed and edited by the other coauthors.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare competing financial interests: details are available in the online version of the paper.

samples consisting of 36 primary human SCLC and normal tissue pairs and 17 matched SCLC and lymphoblastoid cell lines. We also obtained data for 4 primary tumors and 23 SCLC cell lines. We identified 22 significantly mutated genes in SCLC, including genes encoding kinases, G protein-coupled receptors and chromatin-modifying proteins. We found that several members of the SOX family of genes were mutated in SCLC. We also found *SOX2* amplification in ~27% of the samples. Suppression of *SOX2* using shRNAs blocked proliferation of *SOX2*-amplified SCLC lines. RNA sequencing identified multiple fusion transcripts and a recurrent *RLF-MYCL1* fusion. Silencing of *MYCL1* in SCLC cell lines that had the *RLF-MYCL1* fusion decreased cell proliferation. These data provide an in-depth view of the spectrum of genomic alterations in SCLC and identify several potential targets for therapeutic intervention.

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality in the United States, where it is responsible for over 160,000 deaths annually¹. Approximately 10–15% of the new lung cancer cases diagnosed each year are SCLC². The genomic landscape of SCLC is of particular interest compared to those of other solid tumors, given the unique biological characteristics of this tumor type³. SCLC is an exceptionally aggressive malignancy with a high proliferative index and an unusually strong predilection for early metastasis.

Previous efforts to characterize the genetic alterations present in SCLC tumors identified high prevalence of inactivating mutations in *TP53* (75–90%)⁴, *RBI* (60–90%)^{5,6} and *PTEN* (2–4%)⁷, rare activating mutations in *PIK3CA*, *EGFR* and *KRAS*^{8–10}, amplification of *MYC* family members, *EGFR* and *BCL2*, and loss of *RASSF1A*, *PTEN* and *FHIT*^{6,11}.

A better understanding of the genomic changes in this cancer will be essential to developing new therapeutics. To this end, we have applied next-generation sequencing technologies to characterize multiple exomes and a single genome of primary SCLC, as well as exomes of SCLC cell lines, together with genome-wide copy-number analysis and whole-transcriptome sequencing.

Specifically, we characterized 80 human SCLCs, including 36 primary SCLC human tumor and adjacent normal sample pairs and 17 paired SCLC cell lines and their patient-matched lymphoblastoid cell lines, as well as 4 primary SCLC tumors and 23 SCLC cell lines without matched normal controls (Supplementary Table 1). We sequenced and analyzed the complete genome of one SCLC tumor–normal tissue pair.

Exome capture, sequencing and analysis of 42 SCLC tumor–normal tissue pairs identified 26,406 somatic mutations. Approximately 30% (7,977) of these mutations were protein altering (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 2). The somatic mutations identified included 7,154 missense, 536 nonsense, 12 stop loss, 243 essential splice site, 32 protein-altering insertion and/or deletion (indel), 2,674 synonymous, 11,460 intronic and 4,295 other types (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). Comparison of the protein-altering changes identified in this study with those reported in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC)¹² showed that 98% (7,824/7,977) of these variations are newly identified somatic changes. Nineteen percent of the protein-altering somatic mutations reported were validated using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data or mass spectrometry genotyping, with a validation rate of 91% (Supplementary Table 3). We confirmed the effect of several splice-site mutations using RNA-seq data (Supplementary Table 3). We validated all of the indels reported using Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Table 4). One sample represented a distinct profile, with 2,953 mutations (757 validated protein-altering variants; Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 3). Given the exceptionally high number of mutations in this sample, we excluded it from our calculations of the background mutation rate. Excluding the hypermutated sample, the SCLC tumors had an average of 175 protein-altering single-nucleotide variants (range 31–388) with a mean nonsynonymous mutation rate of 5.5

mutations per megabase (Fig. 1a). This is comparable to the 92 protein-altering variants observed in the previously sequenced genome of a single SCLC cell line¹³.

Analysis of the base-level transitions and transversions showed that G-to-T transversions were predominant, followed in prevalence by G-to-A and A-to-G transitions (Fig. 1b), both at the exome (Fig. 1c) and whole-genome (Fig. 1d,e and Supplementary Fig. 1) levels. This pattern is consistent with demonstrated effects of tobacco smoke carcinogens on DNA¹³.

In assessing the whole-genome data for an SCLC tumor–normal tissue pair, we found 59,784 somatic mutations, of which 286 were protein-altering changes (256 missense, 19 nonsense, 11 essential splice site, 77 synonymous, 13,924 intronic and 45,497 others). The average whole-genome mutation rate was 21.34 mutations per mega-base (Fig. 1d). Previously, 22,910 somatic variants were reported for the NCI-H209 SCLC cell line¹³.

Our mutation analysis identified protein-altering somatic single-nucleotide variants in 5,179 genes, including 4,775 genes that were mutated in the non-hypermutated SCLC sample set. Frequently mutated classes included genes encoding kinases, G protein–coupled receptors and chromatin-modifying proteins. To further understand the impact of the mutations on gene function, we applied SIFT¹⁴, Polyphen¹⁵ and Condel¹⁶ and found that ~53% of the somatic mutations identified are likely to have functional consequences according to at least two of the three methods (Supplementary Table 3). In contrast, only approximately 17% of germline variants identified in the normal samples are predicted by these methods to have a functional impact (Supplementary Fig. 2).

To further assess the relevance of mutated genes, we applied a *q*-score metric¹⁷ to rank significantly mutated cancer-associated genes. We identified 22 significantly mutated genes in SCLC (*q* score = 1; false discovery rate = 10%; Supplementary Table 5). These genes included *TP53* and *RBI* and several genes that have not previously been reported as mutated in SCLC (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 5). To further confirm the relevance of the 22 genes, we assessed the mutation frequency for these genes using exome data from a set of 21 additional samples (Supplementary Table 6). We found a significant correlation between the mutation frequencies of the 22 genes in the initial sample set and the validation cohort ($P = 1.16 \times 10^{-5}$, $r^2 = 0.63$; Supplementary Table 7). In addition, we found that 42 genes that were mutated in our primary tumor samples (Supplementary Table 8) were also previously reported to be mutated in the genome of the NCI-H209 SCLC cell line¹³.

Mutational hotspots are indicative of genes that are relevant to cancer. In this study, we have identified 17 genes with 18 hotspot mutations (Supplementary Table 9). By comparing our mutations with those reported in COSMIC¹² and a large-scale colon cancer mutation screen¹⁸, we identified an additional 150 hotspot mutations in 116 genes (Supplementary Table 9). Besides known hotspots in *TP53*, *RBI*, *PIK3CA*, *CDKN2A* and *PTEN*, several new hotspot mutations were identified. These included genes encoding Ras family regulators (*RAB37*, *RASGRF1* and *RASGRF2*), chromatin-modifying enzymes or transcriptional regulators (*EP300*, *DMBX1*, *MLL2*, *MED12L*, *TRRAP* and *RUNX1T1*), ionotropic glutamate receptor (*GRID1*), kinases (*STK38*, *LRRK2*, *PRKD3* and *CDK14*), protein phosphatases (*PTPRD* and *PPEF2*) and G protein–coupled receptors (*GPR55*, *GPR113* and *GPR133*). Further, three of the genes with the top *q* scores—*RUNX1T1*, *CDYL* and *RIMS2*—contained a hotspot mutation.

In addition to the hotspots, we found mutations clustering in particular gene families and pathways (Supplementary Table 10). Evidence of clustering was found in genes in the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway (*PIK3CA*, *AKT1–3*, *MTOR*, *RPS6KA2* and *RPS6KA6*), the mediator complex (*MED12*, *MED12L*, *MED13*, *MED13L*, *MED15*, *MED24*, *MED25*, *MED27* and *MED29*), Notch and Hedgehog family members (*NOTCH1*,

NOTCH2, *NOTCH3* and *SMO*), glutamate receptor family members (*GRIA1*, *GRIA2*, *GRIA3*, *GRIA4*, *GRIN1*, *GRIN2* and *GRM1–3*, *GRM5*, *GRM7* and *GRM8*), SOX family members (*SOX3*, *SOX4*, *SOX5*, *SOX6*, *SOX9*, *SOX11*, *SOX14* and *SOX17*; Fig. 2b) and DNA repair and/or checkpoint pathway genes (*ATM*, *ATR*, *CHEK1* and *CHEK2*). The mutations in SOX family members were mutually exclusive (Supplementary Fig. 3). In contrast to non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC)¹², we did not observe any SCLC samples with a *KRAS* mutation. Among the receptor tyrosine kinase genes, we identified mutations in *FLT1*, *FLT4*, *KDR* and *KIT* and members of the Ephrin family (*EPHA1–7* and *EPHB4*). Notably, the *KIT* mutation affecting codon 761 has previously been reported in mast cell activation disorder and is likely an activating change¹⁹ (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Chromosomal copy-number analysis of 56 SCLC samples identified recurrent copy gains and losses (Supplementary Tables 11 and 12). Genes with copy-number loss included the previously reported *RB1*, *RASSF1* and *FHIT* (Fig. 3) and several genes not previously known to be altered in SCLC, including *KIF2A* and *CNTN3* (refs. 6,20). Among the genes with recurrent copy-number gain, we confirmed previously reported amplifications involving *MYC*, *SOX4* and *KIT* (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 4b and Supplementary Table 11)^{6,20,21}.

In addition, we identified high levels of amplification (copy number of 4) of *SOX2* in ~27% (15/56) of the SCLC samples (Fig. 3b). RNA-seq data showed that the majority of the SCLC samples, including those with *SOX2* amplification, had higher *SOX2* expression compared to adjacent normal samples (Fig. 3c). We further examined the expression of *SOX2* by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and copy-number change by FISH in an independent cohort of 110 primary SCLC tumor samples (Fig. 4a,b). Expression of *SOX2* was strongly correlated with increased gene copy number and with clinical stage (Fig. 4c,d).

To further assess the relevance of *SOX2* in SCLC, we analyzed a panel of SCLC cell lines for *SOX2* protein expression and gene copy number (Supplementary Fig. 5). Among these cell lines, H446 and H720 both had strong *SOX2* protein expression, and H720 was found to have elevated gene copy number. *SOX2* has previously been implicated in the maintenance of proliferative potential and stem cell function^{22–25}. To test whether H446 and H720 were dependent on *SOX2* for continued growth and proliferation, we stably transduced them with lentiviruses carrying either a doxycycline-inducible *SOX2*-targeting short hairpin RNA (shRNA) or a scrambled control shRNA. Induction of *SOX2* shRNA in both H446 and H720 resulted in lower amounts of *SOX2* protein and reduced cell proliferation (Fig. 3d,e). Previously, amplification of *SOX2* and its role as an oncogene have been reported in lung and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma²⁶. Our findings further support the idea of *SOX2* as a genuine SCLC driver gene.

Analysis of RNA-seq data obtained from SCLC samples for fusion transcripts identified 41 gene fusions, including 4 recurrent fusions (Supplementary Table 13). A majority of the predicted gene fusions were intrachromosomal (83%, 34/41). All of the gene fusions reported were verified and confirmed to be somatic by RT-PCR (Supplementary Table 13). A fusion involving *RLF* and *MYCL1* (Supplementary Fig. 6a) was found in one primary SCLC tumor and four SCLC cell lines (H889, HCC33, H1092 and COR-L47). *RLF* and *MYCL1* are ~259 kb apart and are encoded by opposing strands. The observed fusion requires an inversion event that brings exon 1 of *RLF* in frame with *MYCL1*, leading to the expression of a fusion protein composed of the first 79 amino acids of *RLF* and a *MYCL1* protein lacking its first 27 amino acids, thereby generating a 446-residue fusion protein. The clinical sample that had the *RLF-MYCL1* fusion also overexpressed *MYCL1*. This fusion has previously been noted²⁷, but its role as an oncogene in SCLC has not been established. We found that small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated targeting of *MYCL1* in H1092 and

CORL47 fusion-positive cells effectively reduced the proliferation of these cells, strongly suggesting a functional role for *MYCL1* in SCLC (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Multiple gene fusions involving kinase genes have recently been shown to be activating²⁸. We identified four such fusions—*NPEPPS-EPHA6*, *SKP1-CDKL3*, *NEK4-SFMBT1* and *ZAK-RAPGEF4*—that are predicted by sequence to result in functional fusion proteins (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Figs. 7–9). The roles of these fusion products in cancer remain to be elucidated.

In this study, we have identified multiple new recurrent somatic mutations in SCLC, including multiple mutations and copy-number alterations in SOX gene family members. The potential role of SOX family members in SCLC is further emphasized here by the identification of *SOX2* amplification and overexpression in approximately a quarter of the SCLC samples analyzed. SOX proteins have an important role in diverse biological processes, including cell type specification. Among the SOX family members, *SOX2* in particular is a key factor in the maintenance of pluripotency and self-renewal of stem cells²³. Aberrant *SOX2* expression has also been implicated in reprogramming mature cells to acquired pluripotency²⁴. Its expression in mouse fibroblasts, together with *FoxG1*, has been shown to generate self-renewing neural precursor cells²⁵. Conditional deletion of *Sox2* in mice indicates its critical role in lung development²². Conversely, overexpression of *SOX2* in lung epithelial cells has been shown to promote tumorigenesis²⁹.

Notably, conditional induction of *SOX2* in lung epithelial cells is also known to increase the number of neural progenitor cells³⁰. SCLCs are tumors with neuroendocrine features. *SOX2* protein overexpression has previously been noted in high-grade SCLC³¹, and immunoreactive antibodies against *SOX2* have been detected in sera from SCLC patients³². These observations, together with the frequent amplifications identified here, imply that *SOX2* has an important role as a putative lineage-survival oncogene in SCLC. This suggestion is further supported by the correlation of *SOX2* expression with SCLC stage and the role of *SOX2* expression in maintaining SCLC proliferation.

The recurrent nature of the *RLF-MYCL1* fusion and its functional relevance provide additional opportunities for therapeutic intervention in SCLC. Recently, oncogenic kinase gene fusions have become a major focus of interest in the therapeutic targeting of NSCLC^{33–35}. Understanding the role of tumor-specific in-frame kinase fusion transcripts identified in SCLC in this study may provide promising opportunities for targeted therapy development.

ONLINE METHODS

Samples, DNA and RNA preparations

In this study, we have characterized 80 human SCLCs, including 36 primary SCLC human tumor and adjacent normal sample pairs and 17 paired SCLC cell lines and their patient-matched lymphoblastoid lines, as well as 4 primary SCLC tumors and 23 SCLC cell lines without matched normal controls (Supplementary Table 1).

Patient-matched fresh-frozen primary SCLC tumors and normal tissue samples were obtained from commercial sources or the Johns Hopkins tissue repository (Supplementary Table 1). All samples used in the study had appropriate IRB approval and informed consent from study participants. All tumor and normal tissues were subjected to review by a pathologist to confirm diagnosis and tumor content. The Qiagen AllPrep DNA/RNA kit was used to prepare DNA and RNA.

Exome capture and sequencing

We analyzed the exomes of 42 SCLC samples (30 primary tumor–normal tissue pairs and 12 paired cell lines) and their patient-matched normal samples to assess their mutational burden. We also obtained exome data for an additional 21 SCLC samples that included 5 primary SCLC tumors and 16 SCLC cell lines (Supplementary Table 1). Exome capture was performed using the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exome kit (38 Mb or 50 Mb). The SureSelect 50 Mb kit includes all of the capture probes from the 38 Mb kit plus some additional content derived from CCDS, GENCODE and RefSeq. Exome capture libraries were sequenced by HiSeq 2000 (Illumina) to generate 2×75 -bp paired-end data (Supplementary Table 1). Targeted mean coverage of $80\times$ and $162\times$ with 96% and 92% of bases covered at $10\times$ was achieved for 38 Mb and 50 Mb exome libraries, respectively (Supplementary Table 2).

RNA-seq

We obtained RNA-seq data for 55 samples (24 primary tumor–normal tissue pairs, 7 primary tumors, 2 adjacent normal samples and 22 SCLC cell lines) using the TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation kit (Illumina). Libraries were multiplexed two per lane and sequenced on HiSeq 2000 to obtain at least ~ 30 million paired-end (2×75 -bp) reads per sample.

Sequence data processing

All sequencing reads were evaluated for quality using the Bioconductor ShortRead package³⁶. Sample identity was confirmed by comparing data derived from exome sequencing and RNA-seq against Illumina 2.5 M array data as described¹⁸.

Variant calling and validation

Sequencing reads were mapped to the UCSC human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) software³⁷ set to default parameters. Local realignment, duplicate marking and raw variant calling were performed as described previously³⁸. Known germline variations represented in dbSNP Build 131 (ref. 39) but not represented in COSMIC v56 (ref. 12) were filtered out. Variations present in the tumor sample but absent in matched normal tissue were predicted to be somatic. Predicted somatic variations were additionally filtered to include only positions with a minimum of $10\times$ coverage in both the tumor and matched normal tissue, as well as an observed variant allele frequency of $<3\%$ in the matched normal tissue and a significant difference in variant allele counts, as determined using Fisher's exact test. To control for possible low-level tumor contamination in adjacent normal tissue, the allele frequency cutoff was expanded to 5% if a gene was significantly mutated, allowing for an additional 11 variants to be included. We performed whole-genome sequencing of the 1 hypermutated sample and only report the 755 protein-altering variants that were found in both the exome and whole-genome data for this sample. This sample was excluded from background mutation rate calculations. For unpaired samples, in addition to dbSNP, variants were filtered against normal variants from this data set, as well as normal variants from a published colon data set¹⁸. In addition, data from 2,500 normal exomes in the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) Exome Sequencing Project was used to filter out variants and hotspot mutations. To evaluate the performance of the variant calling algorithm, we randomly selected 594 protein-altering variants and validated them using Sequenom, as described previously¹⁷. Of these variants, 91% (539) were validated as somatic. All variants that were invalidated were removed from the final set. Variants that were also validated by RNA-seq are labeled as VALIDATED: RNA-Seq to show confirmed expression of the variant (Supplementary Table 3). Indels were called using the GATK Indel Genotyper Version 2 (ref. 28). Indel validation was performed as described in a recent study¹⁸. The effects of all nonsynonymous somatic mutations on

gene function were predicted using SIFT¹⁴, PolyPhen¹⁵ and Condel¹⁶. All variants were annotated using Ensembl (release 59).

Mutational significance

We evaluated the mutational significance of genes using a previously described method¹⁷, with the addition of an expression filter, as mutation rates are known to vary with expression level^{13,40}. The hypermutated sample was excluded from analysis so that it did not affect the background mutation rate. Because of the variability in background mutation, the uniform background mutation rate used to assess the significance of mutation in cancer-associated genes is at times lower than the actual mutation rate in some regions, resulting in false positive candidates, such as the olfactory genes, seeming to be significantly mutated cancer-associated genes. To address this, a recent study used an RNA-seq-based expression filter to focus on expressed genes, thereby potentially filtering out genes that are expressed at very low levels or are not expressed at all⁴¹. In this study, we classified average gene expression on the basis of RNA-seq data into tertiles (high, medium and low) and used this information to remove low expressors that would otherwise be identified as significantly mutated cancer-associated genes.

Whole-genome, RNA-seq and pathway analysis

Whole-genome analysis, RNA-seq-based expression assessment and pathway-level analysis were performed as described previously¹⁸.

SNP array data generation and analysis

Illumina HumanOmni2.5_4v1 arrays were used to assay 56 samples (36 primary tumor-normal pairs, 15 SCLC cell line-normal pairs, 1 SCLC cell line and 4 unpaired primary tumors) for genotype, DNA copy number and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at ~2.5 million SNP positions. These samples all passed our quality control metrics for sample identity and data quality. A subset of 2,295,239 high-quality SNPs was selected for all analyses.

After making modifications to permit use with Illumina array data, we applied the PICNIC⁴² algorithm to estimate total copy number, allele-specific copy number and LOH, as described recently¹⁸. Recurrent genomic regions with DNA copy gain and loss were identified using GISTIC, version 2.0 (ref. 43).

Fusion detection and validation

Fusion identification and validation were performed as has been recently described¹⁸.

Cell lines and culture conditions

All cell lines used in the study, except where noted, were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. H446 and H720 were cultured in RPMI 1640 with 10% tetracycline-free FBS (Hyclone, R10). Cell line identity for lines used to assess *SOX2* copy number was confirmed by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling using the StemElite ID System (Promega). HCC33, HCC2433, H289, H2141, H2107, H209, H1963, H1672, H1607, H1450, H1339, H1184, H2171, HCC1772, HCC970, H128 and H2195 SCLC cell lines, their patient-matched lymphoblastoid lines and their culture conditions have been described previously^{44–46} (Supplementary Table 1). Additional SCLC cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC).

Doxycycline-inducible shRNA-expressing cell lines and protein blotting

Scrambled or *SOX2*-targeting (TRC Clone TRCN0000003253) shRNAs were cloned as annealed oligonucleotides (Sigma) into Tet-pLKO-puro (Addgene plasmid 21915) digested

with AgeI and EcoRI according to published protocols^{47,48}. Sequence-verified clones were used to produce lentiviral particles according to TRC protocols. Lentiviral supernatants were used to infect cultured H446 or H720 cells in R10 medium at low multiplicity of infection in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene for 16 h. After incubation, medium was replaced with fresh R10, and cells were cultured for an additional 24 h before being selected and maintained in 500 ng/ml puromycin. The optimal doxycycline dose for inducible knockdown was determined to be 2 µg/ml, which was the minimum dose that resulted in maximal knockdown of *SOX2* after 96 h. The effect of *SOX2* knockdown on the amount of SOX2 protein was assessed by protein blot using antibody to SOX2 (Cell Signaling Technology 27485) or GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-25778) horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies, followed by signal detection with chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

Cell viability and proliferation assays

Stable cell lines were plated in quad-ruplicate at a density of 1×10^3 cells per well in opaque 96-well plates in the presence or absence of 2 µg/ml doxycycline. Cells were plated in replicate plates for each time point tested. ATP content was measured as an indicator of metabolically active cells using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) read on a SpectraMax M2e plate reader in luminescence mode (Molecular Devices). Viability was normalized between cell lines at 48 h to correct for differences in the initial number of cells plated in each group. All experiments were repeated a minimum of three times with similar results, and one representative experiment is shown.

Analysis of copy-number variation in SCLC cell lines

SOX2 copy number was assessed by quantitative RT-PCR using TaqMan Copy Number Assays (Hs02719379_cn) on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). *RPPH1* served as the reference gene (Applied Biosystems). Copy-number calls relative to normal human genomic DNA (Promega) were made with CopyCaller v2.0 (Applied Biosystems).

Tissue microarrays

SCLC tissue microarrays were obtained from US Biomax (LC703, LC802a, LC1009 and LC10010a) for IHC and FISH as fresh-cut slides. The four tissue microarrays contain replicate cores and a small set of overlapping cases. For analysis, missing or inconclusive cores were removed, and the replicate or overlapping case core with the highest percentage of tumor area was used for analysis, yielding 110 unique SCLC cases and 15 normal lung cases. Histological diagnosis with SCLC was confirmed by an attending pathologist.

Immunohistochemistry

IHC for SOX2 was performed on the tissue microarrays using a Leica Bond-III automated slide stainer (Leica Microsystems). The 4-µm sections were deparaffinized and subjected to antigen retrieval with Cell Conditioning Solution (high pH CC1 standard, Ventana Medical Systems) for 60 min. Sections were then incubated for 44 min with rabbit monoclonal antibody to SOX2 (1:100 dilution; clone SP76, Cellmarque). Reactions were developed through biotin-free, polymer detection (Ultra-view, Ventana Medical Systems) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Scoring was performed on each sample. Nuclear labeling was scored by intensity (no (0), weak (1), moderate (2) or strong (3)) and for extent (expressed as the percentage of nuclei that were positive). Results were expressed by assigning a composite IHC score that was

calculated by multiplying the intensity score by the percentage of nuclei with positive staining, with a maximum value of 300.

FISH analysis

FISH was performed on the tissue microarrays. The BAC clone RP11-459K6 containing a human DNA insert from the genomic region of *SOX2* (previously validated by PCR) was used for preparation of the *SOX2* FISH probe. The *SOX2* probe was validated for chromosome mapping and quality of hybridization in the human lymphoblastoid cell line AG09391 (Coriell Institute).

One slide of each tissue microarray was subjected to a two-color FISH assay using a mixture of the *SOX2* probe (red) and a commercially available probe for the chromosome 3 centromere (Kreatech) (green). The steps before hybridization were performed using the Zymed Spot-Light Tissue Pretreatment kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Analysis was performed on an epifluorescence microscope using single interference filter sets for blue (DAPI), green (FITC) and red (Texas red). For each interference filter, monochromatic images were acquired and merged using CytoVision (Leica Microsystems). Tumor cells were scored for copy-number signals of *SOX2* in 30–50 cells. In this analysis, a scoring system was proposed to identify increased levels of copy number per cell. Scores were assigned on a scale from 1–6 (according to pattern of copy-number gain, median per-cell change): 1 (no, 1–2), 2 (low, 2–3), 3 (moderate, 3–4), 4 (high, 4–5), 5 (very high, >5), 6 (gene amplification, gene clusters).

MYCL1 knockdown studies

The SCLC cell lines, NCI-H1092, CORL47 and NCI-H2171 were transfected with siRNA pools targeting *MYCL1* (Dharmacon) or with a non-targeting control siRNA (Dharmacon) following a reverse transfection protocol. The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 5 d after transfection and were subjected to a cell viability assay using the CellTiter-Glo kit (Promega).

MYCL1 (Hs00420495_m1) and *GAPDH* (Hs00266705_g1) TaqMan probes and primers were obtained from Life Technologies and were used to assess knockdown according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data were analyzed using the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method by normalizing to *GAPDH* and mock-transfected controls. TaqMan reactions were performed in duplicate to obtain a mean value and s.d. *P* values were calculated by *t* test.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Genentech DNA Sequencing and Oligo groups for their help with the project. We thank M.A. Huntley and J. Degenhardt for bioinformatics support and the Pathology Core Labs for providing histology, IHC and tissue management support. This work was also supported by grants from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund, the Flight Attendant Medical Research Institute, the Johns Hopkins Specialized Programs of Research Excellence (SPORE) NCI P50CA058184 (M.V.B. and C.M.R.), the Colorado SPORE NCI P50CA058187 (M.V.-G) and the University of Texas SPORE NCI P50CA70907 (J.D.M., A.F.G. and K.E.H.). D.D.P. is supported by the Coordenacao de Aperfeicoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior (CAPES) Foundation and the Ministry of Education of Brazil.

References

1. Siegel R, Ward E, Brawley O, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2011: the impact of eliminating socioeconomic and racial disparities on premature cancer deaths. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2011; 61:212–236. [PubMed: 21685461]
2. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2012; 62:10–29. [PubMed: 22237781]
3. Hann CL, Rudin CM. Fast, hungry and unstable: finding the Achilles' heel of small-cell lung cancer. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2007; 13:150–157. [PubMed: 17324626]
4. Wistuba II, Gazdar AF, Minna JD. Molecular genetics of small cell lung carcinoma. *Semin. Oncol.* 2001; 28:3–13. [PubMed: 11479891]
5. Mori N, et al. Variable mutations of the RB gene in small-cell lung carcinoma. *Oncogene.* 1990; 5:1713–1717. [PubMed: 2176283]
6. Arriola E, et al. Genetic changes in small cell lung carcinoma. *Clin. Transl. Oncol.* 2008; 10:189–197. [PubMed: 18411191]
7. Yokomizo A, et al. *PTEN/MMAC1* mutations identified in small cell, but not in non-small cell lung cancers. *Oncogene.* 1998; 17:475–479. [PubMed: 9696041]
8. Tatematsu A, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in small cell lung cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2008; 14:6092–6096. [PubMed: 18829487]
9. Shibata T, Kokubu A, Tsuta K, Hirohashi S. Oncogenic mutation of *PIK3CA* in small cell lung carcinoma: a potential therapeutic target pathway for chemotherapy-resistant lung cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2009; 283:203–211. [PubMed: 19394761]
10. Onuki N, et al. Genetic changes in the spectrum of neuroendocrine lung tumors. *Cancer.* 1999; 85:600–607. [PubMed: 10091733]
11. Sher T, Dy GK, Adjei AA. Small cell lung cancer. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 2008; 83:355–367. [PubMed: 18316005]
12. Forbes SA, et al. COSMIC (the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer): a resource to investigate acquired mutations in human cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010; 38:D652–D657. [PubMed: 19906727]
13. Pleasance ED, et al. A small-cell lung cancer genome with complex signatures of tobacco exposure. *Nature.* 2010; 463:184–190. [PubMed: 20016488]
14. Ng PC, Henikoff S. Accounting for human polymorphisms predicted to affect protein function. *Genome Res.* 2002; 12:436–446. [PubMed: 11875032]
15. Ramensky V, Bork P, Sunyaev S. Human non-synonymous SNPs: server and survey. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2002; 30:3894–3900. [PubMed: 12202775]
16. González-Pérez A, Lopez-Bigas N. Improving the assessment of the outcome of nonsynonymous SNVs with a consensus deleteriousness score, Condel. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2011; 88:440–449. [PubMed: 21457909]
17. Kan Z, et al. Diverse somatic mutation patterns and pathway alterations in human cancers. *Nature.* 2010; 466:869–873. [PubMed: 20668451]
18. Seshagiri S, et al. Recurrent R-spondin fusions in colon cancer. *Nature.* 2012 Aug 15. published online.
19. Molderings GJ, et al. Multiple novel alterations in *Kit* tyrosine kinase in patients with gastrointestinally pronounced systemic mast cell activation disorder. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 2007; 42:1045–1053. [PubMed: 17710669]
20. D'Angelo SP, Pietanza MC. The molecular pathogenesis of small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 2010; 10:1–10. [PubMed: 21361067]
21. Medina PP, et al. The SRY–HMG box gene, *SOX4*, is a target of gene amplification at chromosome 6p in lung cancer. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2009; 18:1343–1352. [PubMed: 19153074]
22. Tompkins DH, et al. Sox2 is required for maintenance and differentiation of bronchiolar Clara, ciliated, and goblet cells. *PLoS ONE.* 2009; 4:e8248. [PubMed: 20011520]
23. Wegner M. SOX after SOX: SOXession regulates neurogenesis. *Genes Dev.* 2011; 25:2423–2428. [PubMed: 22156204]

24. Takahashi K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell*. 2006; 126:663–676. [PubMed: 16904174]
25. Lujan E, Chanda S, Ahlenius H, Sudhof TC, Wernig M. Direct conversion of mouse fibroblasts to self-renewing, tripotent neural precursor cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2012; 109:2527–2532. [PubMed: 22308465]
26. Bass AJ, et al. *SOX2* is an amplified lineage-survival oncogene in lung and esophageal squamous cell carcinomas. *Nat. Genet.* 2009; 41:1238–1242. [PubMed: 19801978]
27. Mäkelä TP, Saksela K, Evan G, Alitalo K. A fusion protein formed by L-myc and a novel gene in SCLC. *EMBO J*. 1991; 10:1331–1335. [PubMed: 1851085]
28. Robinson DR, et al. Functionally recurrent rearrangements of the MAST kinase and Notch gene families in breast cancer. *Nat. Med.* 2011; 17:1646–1651. [PubMed: 22101766]
29. Lu Y, et al. Evidence that *SOX2* overexpression is oncogenic in the lung. *PLoS ONE*. 2010; 5:e11022. [PubMed: 20548776]
30. Gontan C, et al. Sox2 is important for two crucial processes in lung development: branching morphogenesis and epithelial cell differentiation. *Dev. Biol.* 2008; 317:296–309. [PubMed: 18374910]
31. Sholl LM, Long KB, Hornick JL. Sox2 expression in pulmonary non-small cell and neuroendocrine carcinomas. *Appl. Immunohistochem. Mol. Morphol.* 2010; 18:55–61. [PubMed: 19661786]
32. Güre AO, et al. Serological identification of embryonic neural proteins as highly immunogenic tumor antigens in small cell lung cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2000; 97:4198–4203. [PubMed: 10760287]
33. Kwak EL, et al. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase inhibition in non-small-cell lung cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2010; 363:1693–1703. [PubMed: 20979469]
34. Bergethson K, et al. *ROS1* rearrangements define a unique molecular class of lung cancers. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2012; 30:863–870. [PubMed: 22215748]
35. Takeuchi K, et al. *RET*, *ROS1* and *ALK* fusions in lung cancer. *Nat. Med.* 2012; 18:378–381. [PubMed: 22327623]
36. Morgan M, et al. ShortRead: a bioconductor package for input, quality assessment and exploration of high-throughput sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. 2009; 25:2607–2608. [PubMed: 19654119]
37. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. 2009; 25:1754–1760. [PubMed: 19451168]
38. DePristo MA, et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat. Genet.* 2011; 43:491–498. [PubMed: 21478889]
39. Sherry ST, et al. dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2001; 29:308–311. [PubMed: 11125122]
40. Martincorena I, Seshasayee AS, Luscombe NM. Evidence of non-random mutation rates suggests an evolutionary risk management strategy. *Nature*. 2012; 485:95–98. [PubMed: 22522932]
41. Barbieri CE, et al. Exome sequencing identifies recurrent *SPOP*, *FOXA1* and *MED12* mutations in prostate cancer. *Nat. Genet.* 2012; 44:685–689. [PubMed: 22610119]
42. Greenman CD, et al. PICNIC: an algorithm to predict absolute allelic copy number variation with microarray cancer data. *Biostatistics*. 2010; 11:164–175. [PubMed: 19837654]
43. Mermel CH, et al. GISTIC2.0 facilitates sensitive and confident localization of the targets of focal somatic copy-number alteration in human cancers. *Genome Biol.* 2011; 12:R41. [PubMed: 21527027]
44. Simms E, Gazdar AF, Abrams PG, Minna JD. Growth of human small cell (oat cell) carcinoma of the lung in serum-free growth factor-supplemented medium. *Cancer Res.* 1980; 40:4356–4363. [PubMed: 6254644]
45. Phelps RM, et al. NCI–Navy Medical Oncology Branch cell line data base. *J. Cell. Biochem. Suppl.* 1996; 24:32–91. [PubMed: 8806092]
46. Carney DN, Bepler G, Gazdar AF. The serum-free establishment and *in vitro* growth properties of classic and variant small cell lung cancer cell lines. *Recent Results Cancer Res.* 1985; 99:157–166. [PubMed: 2999914]

47. Sarbassov DD, Guertin DA, Ali SM, Sabatini DM. Phosphorylation and regulation of Akt/PKB by the rictor-mTOR complex. *Science*. 2005; 307:1098–1101. [PubMed: 15718470]
48. Wiederschain D, et al. Single-vector inducible lentiviral RNAi system for oncology target validation. *Cell Cycle*. 2009; 8:498–504. [PubMed: 19177017]

Figure 1. SCLC somatic mutations

(a) Histogram of the number of mutations in each primary tumor sample. (b) Base-level transitions and transversions in each SCLC sample shown in a. (c) Average number of transitions and transversions in the SCLC samples based on the exome sequencing data. (d) Whole genome of an SCLC sample shown as a Circos plot. Copy-number changes measured using sequencing reads are shown in blue. Somatic nonsynonymous, splice-site and stop-gain mutations are shown as red dots. Other somatic mutations are depicted as gray dots. Intra- (orange lines) and interchromosomal (gray lines) rearrangements are also shown. (e) Average number of transitions and transversions in the whole-genome sequence of an SCLC sample. Colors in c and e correspond to those defined in b.

Figure 2. Significantly mutated genes in SCLC

(a) Genes evaluated for significance on the basis of q score are shown. Each gene is represented as a circle, where the size of the circle is proportional to the observed frequency of mutation in that gene. Genes are arranged on the x axis in order of increasing number of expected mutations from left to right. Genes with significant q scores are labeled. (b) Alterations affecting the SOX family. *, nonsense change; HMG, high-mobility group; Sox_N, Sox developmental protein N terminal.

Figure 3. *SOX2* is amplified in SCLC and drives proliferation

(a) GISTIC plot depicting recurrent amplifications in SCLC samples ($n = 56$) with copy-number data. (b) Heatmap of segmented copy-number $\log_2 (\text{ratio})$ values from the 3q chromosomal region containing the *SOX2* locus. (c) Box plot of *SOX2* expression in SCLC and adjacent normal samples measured by RNA-seq. Samples with *SOX2* amplification are highlighted in red. Error bars at the top indicate the maximum values excluding outliers, and error bars at the bottom indicate the minimum values excluding outliers. Outliers are defined as values more than the third quartile $+1.5 \times \text{IQR}$ or less than the first quartile $-1.5 \times \text{IQR}$, where IQR is the innerquartile range. (d,e) Doxycycline-inducible shRNA targeting of *SOX2* suppresses *SOX2* protein levels (d) and inhibits cell proliferation (e) in H460 and H720 SCLC lines compared to scrambled control shRNA. Error bars in e, s.e.m. ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$.

Figure 4. SOX2 gene amplification and protein expression in SCLC

SOX2 protein expression was assessed by IHC, and *SOX2* gene copy number was assessed by FISH on a set of 110 SCLC cases and 15 normal lung controls. (a) Representative images showing variability of staining intensity by IHC, from 0 to 3. Scale bars, 100 μ m. (b) Representative image showing very high *SOX2* copy number by FISH. Red, *SOX2* probe; green, centromeric probe. Scale bar, 10 μ m. (c) Correlation between SOX2 IHC score (staining intensity $\times$ percent with positively stained nuclei) and *SOX2* FISH score (1–6). (d) Composite SOX2 IHC score of SCLC samples by stage and normal lung controls. Plots in c and d are box plots where the box encloses the first to third quartiles, the bar inside the box represents the median, the whisker at the top indicates the maximum value excluding outliers and the whisker at the bottom indicates the minimum value excluding outliers. Outliers are defined as values more than the third quartile $+1.5 \times$ IQR or less than the first quartile $-1.5 \times$ IQR, where IQR is the interquartile range.

Figure 5. Kinase fusions

(a) *NPEPPS-EPHA6* fusion identified using RNA-seq (top) along with a representative Sanger sequencing chromatogram derived from this fusion product (bottom). E, exon. (b) Independent product derived by RT-PCR confirming the *NPEPPS-EPHA6* somatic fusion resolved on an agarose gel. RT-PCR was performed on a tumor (T) and normal (N) sample. (c) Schematic of the *NPEPPS-EPHA6* fusion protein. EPH3-lbd, Ephrin receptor ligand-binding domain; FN3, fibronectin type 3 domain; TM, transmembrane domain; SAM, sterile α motif.