Published in final edited form as:

ACS Macro Lett. 2013 January 15; 2(1): 77–81. doi:10.1021/mz300533w.

Positively Charged Dendron Micelles Display Negligible Cellular Interactions

Ryan M. Pearson¹, Niladri Patra³, Hao-jui Hsu¹, Sayam Uddin¹, Petr Král^{3,4}, and Seungpyo Hong^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Biopharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612

²Department of Bioengineering, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612

³Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612

⁴Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60612

Abstract

PEGylated dendron-based copolymers (PDC) with different end-group functionalities (-NH₂, -COOH, and -Ac) were synthesized and self-assembled into dendron micelles to investigate the effect of terminal surface charges on size, morphology, and cellular interactions of the micelles. All of the dendron micelles exhibited similar sizes (20–60 nm) and spherical morphologies, as measured using dynamic light scattering and transmission electron microscopy, respectively. The cellular interactions of dendron micelles were evaluated using confocal microscopy and flow cytometry. Surprisingly, although amine-terminated dendrimers are known to strongly interact with cells non-specifically, all of the surface-modified dendron micelles exhibited charge-independent low-levels of cellular interaction. The unexpected results, particularly from the amine-terminated dendron micelles, could be attributed to: i) minimal end-group effects, as each PDC has an approximately 10-fold lower charge-number-to-molecular-weight ratio compared to the dendrimer; and ii) intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonding between positively charged terminal groups with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) backbones, which leads to the sequestration of the charges, as demonstrated by atomistic molecular dynamics simulations. With the narrow size distribution, uniform morphologies, and low levels of non-specific cellular interactions, the dendron micelles offer a promising drug delivery platform.

Designing a nanocarrier that elicits controlled biological properties is critical to develop highly effective multifunctional drug delivery platforms. It has been demonstrated that the cellular interactions of such nanocarriers can be modulated through control over size, morphology, hydrophobicity, and surface charge.¹ Among the numerous investigated nano-materials, dendrimers are unique macromolecules that have been successfully developed as a drug delivery platform. Their well-defined molecular architecture coupled with advantageous properties such as high branching degree, flexibility, controllable surface chemistry, and multivalency have all been implemented, in one way or another, to enhance the efficacy of modern drug delivery platforms.²

*Corresponding Author: sphong@uic.edu.

These authors declare no competing financial interest.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Detailed experimental and computational protocols, ¹H-NMR spectra, CMC plots, additional cell uptake images, additional simulation figures, and MTS assay results. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/journal/amlccd>

Modulation of the surface charge of dendrimers has been used as major design criteria to control their cellular interactions and toxicity.³ In general, positively charged amine-terminated ($-\text{NH}_2$) dendrimers display a high level of non-specific cellular interactions and toxicity because of electrostatic interactions with negatively charged cell membranes, whereas negative and neutral-charged dendrimers (carboxylated ($-\text{COOH}$) or acetylated ($-\text{Ac}$) surfaces) do not.^{3b, 3f, g} A number of other polycationic polymers, such as poly(L-lysine) (PLL), polyethylenimine (PEI), diethylaminoethyl (DEAE)-dextran, have also shown non-specific, spontaneous cellular interactions, which leads to high toxicity.^{3h}

Recently, we have developed methoxy-terminated ($-\text{OMe}$) PEGylated dendron-based copolymers (PDC-OMe), which are triblock copolymers comprised of a polyester dendron (Generation 3 (G3), 8 surface groups), and two-types of linear polymers (polycaprolactone (PCL) and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG)) (see Scheme 1 for the chemical structure).⁴ At similar hydrophilic-lipophilic balances (HLB), PDCs self-assembled into dendron micelles with high thermodynamic stability as measured by critical micelle concentrations (CMCs) that were 1–2 orders of magnitude lower than those of linear-block copolymer (LBC) counterparts. Additionally, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations revealed that the surface of the dendron-OMe micelle was almost completely covered by a dense PEG outer layer as opposed to the LBC micelles, which is expected to be beneficial by imparting ‘stealth’ properties to the micelles *in vivo*.⁵

To understand the cellular interactions of dendron micelles at the molecular level, we engineered the chemical structure of each PDC to be suitable for self-assembly and to display various end-group functionalities on their surface. To that aim, we hypothesized that the surface functionality of the formed dendron micelles would largely determine these interactions, as in dendrimers and other nanocarriers. It was expected that amine-terminated dendron micelles would tend to interact spontaneously with cell membranes, while negative and neutral-charged micelles would exhibit low levels of cellular interactions. To test this hypothesis, four structurally similar PDCs that varied only by surface functional group ($-\text{NH}_2$, $-\text{COOH}$, $-\text{Ac}$, and $-\text{OMe}$) were synthesized and self-assembled into dendron micelles. The cellular interaction of each micelle was evaluated using confocal microscopy and flow cytometry. Surprisingly, we observed that all four dendron micelles displayed similar cellular uptake and cell-associated fluorescence. This finding disproved our hypothesis and prompted a thorough investigation of the potential reasons for these observations, such as size, morphology, surface charge density, and end-group orientation of the dendron micelles.

The synthesis of PDC- NH_2 , PDC- COOH , and PDC- Ac with low polydispersity indices ($\text{PDI} < 1.2$) was completed using a modified pre-existing protocol (Scheme 1 and Table 1).⁴ The molecular weights of the hydrophobic PCL tail and the hydrophilic PEG chains were 3.5 kDa and 2 kDa, respectively. Each surface-modified PDC was synthesized through a series of steps starting from BOC-protected PDC (PDC-BOC). Deprotection of PDC-BOC resulted in amine-terminated PDC (PDC- NH_2), and subsequent carboxylation using succinic anhydride or acetylation using acetic anhydride resulted in PDC- COOH and PDC- Ac , respectively. Details of the synthesis and characterization ($^1\text{H-NMR}$) of each PDC can be found in the Supporting Information (Figures S1–S3 of the Supporting Information). The dendron micelles with various surface groups were prepared by the self-assembly of the individual PDCs using the dialysis method and immediately used for further experiments (see details in the Supporting Information).

Figures 1A–B show the particle size distribution, zeta potential, and CMC for dendron micelles of the four different types. The micelles predominantly exhibited diameters of 20–60 nm, obtained by dynamic light scattering (DLS). Their particle sizes were stable for up to 21 days at room temperature in water (data not shown) and had the zeta potentials between

–20 and 23 mV. The negative zeta potential value of the dendron-OMe micelles is likely attributed to the presence of partially negatively charged oxygen atoms near the termini of the PEG chain and is in agreement with a previous report employing methoxy-terminated polymer micelles.⁷ The zeta potential of the dendron-Ac micelles was close-to-neutral because the proton in the amide end-group does not appreciably dissociate at pH 5.6 (ddH₂O) due to its high pK_a value (typically >15), maintaining a neutral zeta potential.

The thermodynamic stability of the PDCs was evaluated by measuring the CMC through monitoring their micelle formation with pyrene used as a fluorescent probe in water and in PBS (Figure S4 of the Supporting Information).⁸ As expected, the surface-modified PDCs displayed very low CMCs on the order of 10^{–7} M at high hydrophilic-lipophilic balances (HLB) of ~16.5. HLB is defined as the $20 \times M_H / (M_H + M_L)$, where M_H is the mass of the mass of the hydrophilic portion and M_L is the lipophilic portion of an amphiphilic molecule.^{4, 9} The CMCs of the PDCs measured in water and PBS appeared to be very similar under the conditions tested. For cell-level experiments based on fluorescence detection, a rhodamine-labeled PDC (PDC-RHO) was synthesized from PDC-NH₂ and incorporated at 10 wt% into each micelle through mixing of PDCs before micelle formation (Scheme 1 and the Supporting Information).

Next, we investigated cellular interactions of the dendron micelles. KB cells were treated with the dendron micelles at a polymer concentration of 4 μM (> 20-fold over CMC) to ensure that the structure of each micelle remained intact. Rhodamine-labeled, amine-terminated G4 polyamidoamine (PAMAM) dendrimer was prepared as described in our previous studies^{3i, 10} and was used as a positive control in the cell studies at a concentration of 1 μM (Figure 2A). Differences in the concentration of polymers used in the cell experiments are due to the normalization of rhodamine content between samples (dendrimer has a higher rhodamine amount than dendron micelles by 4-fold). This normalization allowed for the direct comparison of levels of cellular interaction using confocal microscopy and flow cytometry.

Unexpectedly, the dendron-NH₂ micelles did not exhibit a higher cellular uptake or cell-associated fluorescence than other surface-modified micelles (Figure 2B and C, and Figure S5 of the Supporting Information). Instead, all surface-modified dendron micelles showed similar cellular uptake and low cell-associated fluorescence. The results from the dendron-NH₂ micelle are in contrast to our positive control achieved with PAMAM-NH₂ dendrimers, where we found that after 60 min of incubation; a significant amount of the dendrimers was interacting with the cells (Figure 2A and C). Our observations related to the dendron-NH₂ micelles are also in contrast with the results of previous reports, where the cellular interactions of G5 and G7 PAMAM dendrimers with -NH₂, -COOH, and -Ac end-group modifications were tested.^{3b, 3f} PAMAM-NH₂ dendrimers strongly interacted with KB cells, while PAMAM-COOH and PAMAM-Ac dendrimers did not exhibit any significant cellular interactions after the same 60 min incubation time. In addition to studies using other dendrimers,^{3d, 11} negatively charged PEGylated gold nanoparticles (GNP) have shown limited cellular uptake and yet positively charged PEGylated GNPs showed significant cellular uptake.¹² Liposomes prepared from positive, negative, neutral, and neutral-PEG lipids have been also evaluated for their cellular uptake behaviors. It was found that the liposomes interact with cells in a charge-dependent manner, where the degree of non-specific cellular interaction goes with the charge as: positive > neutral > negative.¹³

To understand this unexpected behavior of the dendron micelles, we investigated a number of parameters, such as size, morphology, PEG density, and orientation of the end groups, which may play roles in determining the cellular interactions of the dendron micelles. Note that nanocarrier-cell interactions are complex and potentially affected by a number of

factors.^{1e} We used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to evaluate the effect of size and morphology of the dendron micelles. Figure 1C–F shows electron micrographs of the dendron micelles after negative staining with 2% phosphotungstic acid. The size of the dendron micelles observed using TEM correlated with the results obtained using DLS. The micelles also had a spherical morphology, confirming our previous results (Figure 1).⁴

One possible reason for the absence of observable cellular interactions for the four types of dendron micelles could be their unusually dense PEG layer due to the dendritic architecture, as demonstrated in our previous study.⁴ PEG is well known to enhance the evasion of nanoparticles from detection by the reticuloendothelial system (RES) *in vivo*.¹⁴ However, the presence of PEG does not always prevent micelles from non-specifically interacting with cells.¹⁵ For amphiphilic polymers that comprise micelles with relatively low HLBs (typically lower than 3), a significant degree of non-specific interactions with cell surfaces has been observed as opposed to those from higher HLB polymers (higher than 8 in general).¹⁶

In our dendron micelles, a PDC molecule theoretically accommodates 8 PEG arms, resulting in an extraordinarily high PEG density on the PDC surface. Atomistic MD simulations revealed that the PEG density is significantly increased when the number of PEG chains per PDC is increased (Figure S6 of the Supporting Information). This high PEG density, along with the almost complete surface coverage of the PEG outer layer,⁴ could likely result in the maximized non-fouling effect of PEG, which would, in turn, minimize non-specific interactions of the dendron micelles *in vitro* regardless of the surface charge.

Another reason for the weak cellular interactions of the dendron micelles could be their significantly higher ratio of molecular weight to surface functional groups. Each PDC has an approximate molecular weight of 18,000 Da and 8 end-groups, resulting in a high molecular-weight-to-surface-functional-group ratio (~2,250). G4 PAMAM dendrimers with an ethylenediamine core on the other hand have a theoretical molecular weight of 14,215 Da and 64 end-groups, resulting in a 10-fold lower ratio (~222). One can thus expect that the end-group effect of the dendron micelles should be smaller than in dendrimers.

We also investigated the effect of end-group orientation, which may contribute to the unobservable cellular interactions of the dendron-NH₂ micelles. The hydrogens of the amino-functional groups on the micelle surface could interact through hydrogen bonding with the oxygen atoms of the PEG backbone, in analogy to the coupling observed from other ions.¹⁷ This would result in a decreased number of available positive charges to interact with the plasma membrane. This charge sequestration may be one potential mechanism by which the dendron-NH₂ micelles exhibit limited cellular interactions.

To confirm the intramolecular hydrogen bond formation, we performed atomistic MD simulations. Since our aim was to investigate the orientation of each of the employed end-groups, it was not necessary to match the size of the experimentally produced micelles. Dendron micelles were simulated using 30 PDCs arranged into spherical micelles (Figure S7 of the Supporting Information). The simulated dendron micelle data are presented in Table S1 of the Supporting Information. The PCL core size of each micelle was similar (~8 nm), and the average thickness of the surface-modified PEG layers ranged between 10–11 nm. To observe the details of intramolecular hydrogen bond formation, three different simulations were carried out, each of them containing a single PEG chain that was terminated by one of the functional groups, -NH₃⁺, -Ac, or -COO⁻ (see details in the Supporting Information). Hydrogen bonding between amine-hydrogens and oxygen atoms of the PEG chains was evaluated using a cut-off distance of 2.75 Å. From these simulations, we found that the PEG chain wraps around the terminal amine group through hydrogen bonding, as seen in Figure

3. No hydrogen bonding was observed between PEG and the other end-groups used in this study. Although we focused on one PEG chain in this simulation due to the long equilibrium time required, in principle, the hydrogen bond formation should be present throughout the whole micelle both intra- and intermolecularly. This phenomenon is likely responsible for the decreased presentation of positive charges at the surface of the dendron-NH₂ micelles, contributing to the reduced cellular interactions.

To further identify differences between PDCs and dendrimers, cytotoxicity experiments were performed using PDCs and G4 PAMAM dendrimers at concentrations from 0.1 to 100 μ M. PDCs did not display any significant toxicity to KB cells, whereas dendrimers were toxic at concentrations above 1 μ M, as measured using MTS assay after 24 h incubation (Figure S8 of the Supporting Information). This result was expected for neutral and negative-charged PDCs, however, the difference in toxicity between PDC-NH₂ and PAMAM dendrimers^{3f} further confirms that the effect of end-groups on determining cytotoxicity, as observed for dendrimers, is most likely negligible for our dendron micelles.

In summary, we have presented the synthesis of three new-types of surface-modified PDCs, with -NH₂, -COOH, -Ac, and -OMe surface groups, and systematically studied their interactions with cells. These micelles did not exhibit charge-dependent cellular interactions, as hypothesized based on the previous observations from PAMAM dendrimers. The lack of cellular interaction for the dendron-NH₂ micelles could be attributed to the dense PEG layers, the high molecular-weight-to-surface-group ratio (~2,250), and the orientation of end-groups (charge sequestration via hydrogen bond formation). Our results show that surface-modification of the dendronized copolymers does not significantly alter the size or morphology of the formed micelles. Additionally, we have demonstrated that the dendron micelles are non-toxic up to concentrations of 100 μ M, which is highly beneficial for PDCs to be accepted as a potential drug delivery platform. These results provide a guideline for designing highly effective and targeted drug delivery micelles by overcoming, at times, the non-specific interactions associated with PEGylated nanocarriers.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Hans W. Vahlteich Research Fund awarded to SH from the University of Illinois Foundation and was conducted in a facility constructed with support from NIH (grant# C06RR15482). RMP acknowledges the partial support from a Pre-doctoral Fellowship in the Pharmaceutical Sciences from the American Foundation for Pharmaceutical Education (AFPE). NP acknowledges the support from the UIC Harbert E. Paaren Academic Year Research Fellowship. The calculations were performed on the NERSC supercomputer networks.

References

1. (a) Kataoka K, Harada A, Nagasaki Y. *Adv Drug Deliver Rev.* 2001; 47:113–131. (b) Duncan R. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2003; 2:347–60. [PubMed: 12750738] (c) Peer D, Karp JM, Hong S, Farokhzad OC, Margalit R, Langer R. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2007; 2:751–60. [PubMed: 18654426] (d) Jin SE, Bae JW, Hong S. *Microsc Res Techniq.* 2010; 73:813–823. (e) Zhao F, Zhao Y, Liu Y, Chang X, Chen C, Zhao Y. *Small.* 2011; 7:1322–1337. [PubMed: 21520409]
2. (a) Hong S, Leroueil PR, Majoros InJ, Orr BG, Baker JR, Banaszak Holl MM. *Chem Biol.* 2007; 14:107–115. [PubMed: 17254956] (b) Lee CC, MacKay JA, Frechet JMJ, Szoka FC. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2005; 23:1517–1526. [PubMed: 16333296] (c) Myung JH, Gajjar KA, Saric J, Eddington DT, Hong S. *Angew Chem Int Edit.* 2011; 50:11769–11772. (d) Pearson RM, Sunoqrot S, Hsu H-j, Bae JW, Hong S. *Ther Deliv.* 2012; 3:941–959. [PubMed: 22946429]

3. (a) Duncan R, Izzo L. *Adv Drug Deliver Rev.* 2005; 57:2215–2237. (b) Hong S, Rattan R, Majoros II, Mullen DG, Peters JL, Shi X, Bielinska AU, Blanco L, Orr BG, Baker JR Jr, Holl MM. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2009; 20:1503–13. (c) Kitchens KM, Kolhatkar IB, Swaan PW, Ghandehari H. *Mol Pharm.* 2008; 5:364–369. [PubMed: 18173246] (d) Perumal OP, Inapagolla R, Kannan S, Kannan RM. *Biomaterials.* 2008; 29:3469–3476. [PubMed: 18501424] (e) Albertazzi L, Serresi M, Albanese A, Beltram F. *Mol Pharm.* 2010; 7:680–688. [PubMed: 20394437] (f) Hong SP, Bielinska AU, Mecke A, Keszler B, Beals JL, Shi XY, Balogh L, Orr BG, Baker JR, Holl MMB. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2004; 15:774–782. (g) Leroueil PR, Hong S, Mecke A, Baker JR, Orr BG, Banaszak Holl MM. *Accounts Chem Res.* 2007; 40:335–342. (h) Hong S, Hessler JA, Banaszak Holl MM, Leroueil P, Mecke A, Orr BG. *J Chem Health Saf.* 2006; 13:16–20. (i) Yang Y, Sunoqrot S, Stowell C, Ji J, Lee CW, Kim JW, Khan SA, Hong S. *Biomacromolecules.* 2012; 13:2154–2162. [PubMed: 22621160]
4. Bae JW, Pearson RM, Patra N, Sunoqrot S, Vukovic L, Kral P, Hong S. *Chem Commun.* 2011; 47:10302–10304.
5. (a) Owens DE III, Peppas NA. *Int J Pharm.* 2006; 307:93–102. [PubMed: 16303268] (b) Ding BS, Dziubla T, Shuvaev VV, Muro S, Muzykantov VR. *Mol Interventions.* 2006; 6:98–112.
6. Yan J, Ye Z, Luo H, Chen M, Zhou Y, Tan W, Xiao Y, Zhang Y, Lang M. *Polym Chem.* 2011; 2:1331–1340.
7. Zhang Y, Zhang Q, Zha L, Yang W, Wang C, Jiang X, Fu S. *Colloid Polym Sci.* 2004; 282:1323–1328.
8. Gaucher G, Dufresne MH, Sant VP, Kang N, Maysinger D, Leroux JC. *J Control Release.* 2005; 109:169–188. [PubMed: 16289422]
9. Becher, P.; Schick, MJ. Marcel Dekker; New York: 1987. p. 435-491.
10. Sunoqrot S, Bae JW, Pearson RM, Shyu K, Liu Y, Kim D-H, Hong S. *Biomacromolecules.* 2012
11. (a) Kitchens KM, Foraker AB, Kolhatkar RB, Swaan PW, Ghandehari H. *Pharm Res.* 2007; 24:2138–2145. [PubMed: 17701324] (b) Kolhatkar RB, Kitchens KM, Swaan PW, Ghandehari H. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2007; 18:2054–2060. (c) Saovapakhiran A, D'Emanuele A, Attwood D, Penny J. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2009; 20:693–701.
12. Arnida, Malugin A, Ghandehari H. *J Appl Toxicol.* 2010; 30:212–217. [PubMed: 19902477]
13. Miller CR, Bondurant B, McLean SD, McGovern KA, O'Brien DF. *Biochemistry-US.* 1998; 37:12875–12883.
14. Veronese FM, Pasut G. *Drug Discov Today.* 2005; 10:1451–1458. [PubMed: 16243265]
15. (a) Xiao K, Li Y, Luo J, Lee JS, Xiao W, Gonik AM, Agarwal RG, Lam KS. *Biomaterials.* 2011; 32:3435–3446. [PubMed: 21295849] (b) Hu Y, Xie J, Tong YW, Wang CH. *J Control Release.* 2007; 118:7–17. [PubMed: 17241684]
16. (a) Fan W, Wu X, Ding B, Gao J, Cai Z, Zhang W, Yin D, Wang X, Zhu Q, Liu J, Ding X, Gao S. *Int J Nanomed.* 2012; 7:1127–38. (b) Luxenhofer R, Sahay G, Schulz A, Alakhova D, Bronich TK, Jordan R, Kabanov AV. *Journal of controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society.* 2011; 153:73–82. [PubMed: 21513750]
17. Vukovic L, Khatib FA, Drake SP, Madriaga A, Brandenburg KS, Kral P, Onyuksel H. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:13481–13488. [PubMed: 21780810]

Figure 1.

Characterization of surface-modified dendron micelles. (A) Hydrodynamic diameter measurements of dendron micelles using dynamic light scattering. (B) Zeta potential (ZP) values measured in ddH₂O (pH 5.6) and critical micelle concentration (CMC) of dendron micelles measured in ddH₂O and PBS (pH 7.4). Transmission electron micrographs of dendron micelles comprised of (C) PDC-NH₂, (D) PDC-COOH, (E) PDC-Ac, and (F) PDC-OMe. Scale bar: 50 nm.

Figure 2.

Cellular uptake and association of rhodamine-labeled G4 PAMAM dendrimers and surface-modified dendron micelles. KB cells were treated with (A) G4 PAMAM dendrimer and (B) dendron-NH₂ micelles. Note that dendron micelles, regardless of their surface charges, do not exhibit non-specific cellular interactions unlike positively charged dendrimers. (C) Flow cytometry results of the cellular association of G4 PAMAM and dendron micelles. Experiments were carried out for 60 min. Nuclei were stained using DAPI (blue color).

Figure 3. Atomistic molecular dynamics simulations of dendron-NH₃⁺ micelle in water. Black arrows indicate hydrogen bonding (yellow bonds) between hydrogens (gold balls) present on the terminal amine group (blue ball; identified by a blue arrow) with the oxygen atoms (red balls) present on the PEG chain. The hydrogen bond cut-off distance is 2.75 Å. We expect to observe intra- as well as inter-molecular hydrogen bond formation within the micelle. Water is not shown for clarity.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of surface-modified PDCs from PCL-G3-PEG-NH₂ (PDC-NH₂). PCL-G3-PEG-COOH (PDC-COOH), PCL-G3-PEG-Ac (PDC-Ac), PCL-G3-PEG-RHO-Ac (PDC-RHO).

Table 1

Molecular weight and polydispersity indices (PDI) of synthesized PDCs

Samples	Theoretical Mw	M_n ^[a]	$M_{n, GPC}$ ^[b]	PDI
PDC-BOC	20,482	21,330	15,684	1.19
PDC-NH ₂	19,690	18,077	-	N/A ^[c]
PDC-COOH	20,042	17,831	13,960	1.17
PDC-Ac	20,026	18,453	14,687	1.18
PDC-OMe ⁴	21,990	22,568	15,690	1.02

^[a] Number-averaged molecular weight, M_n , estimated by ¹H-NMR. Measured by GPC using

^[b] conventional calibration against polystyrene standards,

^[c] Eluted as multiple broad peaks due to column interaction⁶