REFERENCES

1. www.dictionary.com
2. Smith R. *The Trouble with Medical Journals*. London: the Royal Society of Medicine Press; **2006**. pp. 86.
3. International Committee of Medical Journal Editors [webpage on the Internet]. Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Writing and Editing for Biomedical Publication [updated **2006**, February]. Available from: <http://www.icmje.org/index.html#state>
4. Lock S. *A difficult balance: editorial peer review in medicine*. London: Nuffield Provincials Hospital Trust, **1985**.
5. Godlee F, Jefferson T, eds. *Peer review in health sciences*, 2nd edition. London: BMJ Books, **2003**.
6. Godlee F, Gale CR, Martyn CN. Effect on the quality of peer review of blinding reviewers and asking them to sign their reports: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. **1998**;280:237–240.
7. Horton R. Pardonable revisions and protocol reviews. *Lancet*. **1997**;349:6.
8. Schroter S, Black N, Evans S, et al. Effects of training on quality of peer review. A randomized trial. *BMJ*. **2004**;328:673.
9. Rennie D. Guarding the guardians: a conference on editorial peer review. *JAMA*. **1986**;256:2391–2392.
10. Chen RT, DeStefano F. Vaccine adverse events: causal or coincidental? *Lancet*. **1998**;351:611–612.

ERRATUM

A disclaimer was omitted from the article entitled, "*Pediatric Sex Group Differences in Location of Snakebite Injuries Requiring Antivenom Therapy*," by Michael J. Matteucci, MD, et al. (Volume 3, Number 3:103–106) of *JMT*. The disclaimer should read:

"The views expressed in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government."