Published in final edited form as:

Trends Microbiol. 2013 January ; 21(1): 14–22. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2012.09.005.

The function and evolution of the *Aspergillus* genome

John G. Gibbons and Antonis Rokas

Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

Abstract

Species in the filamentous fungal genus *Aspergillus* display a wide diversity of lifestyles and are of great importance to humans. The decoding of genome sequences from a dozen species that vary widely in their degree of evolutionary affinity has galvanized studies of the function and evolution of the *Aspergillus* genome in clinical, industrial, and agricultural environments. Here, we synthesize recent key findings that shed light on the architecture of the *Aspergillus* genome, on the molecular foundations of the genus' astounding dexterity and diversity in secondary metabolism, and on the genetic underpinnings of virulence in *Aspergillus fumigatus*, one of the most lethal fungal pathogens. Many of these insights dramatically expand our knowledge of fungal and microbial eukaryote genome evolution and function and argue that *Aspergillus* constitutes a superb model clade for the study of functional and comparative genomics.

Keywords

sexual reproduction; pathogenesis; *A. fumigatus*; development; genome structure; horizontal gene transfer; genetic structure

Aspergillus: the 'Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde' genus of fungi

There is probably no genus better suited than *Aspergillus*, an important and efficient saprophytic genus found in diverse environments, to illustrate how inextricably intertwined fungi are with human affairs. Its Mr. Hyde personality is exemplified by species such as *Aspergillus fumigatus*, responsible for the highest number of deaths from fungi and the second highest number of human infections from fungi [1]; *Aspergillus flavus*, the opportunistic but very destructive agricultural pest that contaminates several crops with the potent carcinogen aflatoxin, causing major crop yield losses and a few deaths per year [2]; or *Aspergillus sydowii*, the opportunistic pathogen of Caribbean gorgonian coral communities, whose recent outbreak of infection threatens the collapse of this fragile ecosystem [3]. In contrast, no species better illustrate its Dr. Jekyll side than *Aspergillus niger*, a biotechnological 'cell factory' widely used in the food industry [4, 5], *Aspergillus nidulans*, an important model for eukaryotic genetics and cell biology [6], or the several *Aspergillus* species that drive production of beverages and sauces in the Far East; among others, *Aspergillus oryzae* is used in the making of sake [7], *Aspergillus sojae* of soy sauce [8], and *Aspergillus kawachii* in the brewing of the spirit shochu [9].

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Rokas, A. (antonis.rokas@vanderbilt.edu).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

First described nearly three hundred years ago by the priest and botanist Antonio Micheli, *Aspergillus* got its name from the resemblance of its asexual spore-forming structure to the aspergillum, an instrument used to disperse holy water in some Christian liturgical services. *Aspergillus* is thus the name that describes the asexual cycle of the fungus. Because the phenotypic diversity of the sexual fruiting bodies is greater, ten different genera describe the sexual cycles of *Aspergillus* species [10] (Figure 1). For example, *A. nidulans* and *A. fumigatus* describe the asexual cycles of these species, whereas *Emericella nidulans* and *Neosartorya fumigata* their sexual counterparts. Most commonly, species in the genus are referred to as *Aspergillus* species, which is quite practical given that only a third of *Aspergillus* species known to have a sexual cycle [10]. In their classic 1965 treatise on the genus, Raper and Fennell recognized 132 species [11], but the systematic application of a polyphasic approach that uses morphological, physiological, and molecular data to identify and classify new species, including several cryptic ones, has resulted in the present circumscription of more than 250 species [12]. The pace of discovery of new species continues unabated with approximately 50 new species having been described this century [12]. This genomics-enabled systematic revision of the *Aspergillus* taxonomy has dramatically influenced the design and application of molecular techniques to identify medically important *Aspergillus* [13], but also aided the identification of new clinically relevant species [14].

The early decoding of the genomes from some of the species currently available [4, 15-18], their widely varying degree of evolutionary affinity [6, 18, 19], as well as the advent of novel molecular [e.g., 20, 21, 22] and computational [e.g., 23, 24-26] tools, have dramatically accelerated *Aspergillus* ‘-omics’ research and the pace of discovery in genome-wide functional and evolutionary studies [e.g., 7, 27, 28-33]. Here, we describe the current status of genomics research on *Aspergillus* and synthesize recent key findings in three key areas, namely genome architecture, secondary metabolism and virulence, that not only dramatically expand our understanding of the function and evolution of the *Aspergillus* genome, but also argue that *Aspergillus* represents a model clade for the study of eukaryote comparative functional genomics.

A cornucopia of genomes and lifestyles

With the genomes from 14 species already publicly available (Figure 1), *Aspergillus* is the most genome sequenced-rich fungal genus, surpassing even the genome sequenced-rich *Saccharomyces* and *Candida* yeasts [34]. *Aspergillus* is likely to continue holding on to this distinction because the U. S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (JGI), as part of its 2011 community sequencing proposal mechanism, approved a multi-investigator proposal and is currently sequencing the genomes of eight additional species (Figure 1), including the coral pathogen *A. sydowii* and the xerophile *Aspergillus glaucus*. The panel of currently available genomes includes a good sample of the diversity of the fungi comprising *Aspergillus*; the model organism *A. nidulans*, the ‘cell factory’ *A. niger*, the human pathogens *A. fumigatus* and *Aspergillus terreus*, the human pathogen and agricultural pest *A. flavus*, as well as the fermenters *A. oryzae*, *A. sojae* and *A. kawachii*. Importantly, there appears to be no association between lifestyle and evolutionary affinity. For example, *A. oryzae* is a domesticated ecotype of *A. flavus* and their genomes share 99.5% identity, yet the first is used in the making of several traditional Far Eastern sauces and beverages and has a Generally Regarded as Safe label by the United States Department of Agriculture, whereas the second is a destructive agricultural pest and potent mycotoxin producer [7, 19]. Similarly, the top three most common human pathogens, *A. fumigatus*, *A. flavus* and *A. terreus*, do not group together in the *Aspergillus* family tree and all possess relatives that rarely, if ever, infect humans (Figure 1) [35-37]. This lack of association between lifestyle and evolutionary affinity is probably because many of the traits render fungi into potent

pathogens, agricultural pests, or cell factories are likely features that are generally associated with the saprophytic lifestyle and selected for survival in conditions independent of their current roles in pathogenesis, pestilence, or biotechnology.

Although no database contains all 14 available *Aspergillus* genomes, most are available from several, including AspGD [25], FungiDB [38], CADRE [39], and the *Aspergillus* Comparative Database (http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/genome/aspergillus_group/), with the rest available from GenBank [40] or the JGI genome portal [41]. Unfortunately, the annotations of some species, such as *A. sojae* [8], have not yet been made publicly available effectively stymieing easy access to some of the data for inclusion in ‘-omics’ studies. Furthermore, the gene models for the 14 currently available genomes have been constructed using several different algorithms and different standards of analysis, which is problematic because the process of whole genome annotation is highly sensitive to the algorithms and assumptions used in constructing these gene models. Take, for example, the genomes of two *A. niger* isolates; isolate CBS 513.88 is reported to contain 14,165 genes and isolate ATCC 1015 to contain 11,200 genes, but further analysis suggests that less than one third of the ~3,000 gene differential between the two annotations is real [42]. This lack of consistency and uniformity in the annotation of *Aspergillus* genomes significantly reduces the utility and value of the data. For gene-centered studies, elucidation of whether the annotation differences observed at a particular locus across genomes are real is non-trivial, whereas for genome-wide studies, gene number overestimation or underestimation makes studies that fundamentally rely on accurate gene counts, such as examination of gene family evolution or of genome size differences, vulnerable to annotation bias.

Although the availability and quality of *Aspergillus* genome data is a long-standing problem that is unlikely to disappear soon, the advent of next-generation sequencing technologies (NGSTs) have ameliorated another problem [21], namely the ability to generate genomic or other high-throughput sequencing data from any *Aspergillus* species. Besides the use of NGSTs to sequence the genomes of some of the species shown in Figure 1, such as *A. kawachii* [9] and *A. sojae* [8], NGSTs have been used to sequence the genomes of additional isolates from already sequenced species [7], whereas NGST applications such as RNA-Seq [43], have been employed to characterize the structure and variation of the *Aspergillus* transcriptome [7, 27, 30, 44, 45]. For example, in the most thorough application of these technologies in the genus *Aspergillus* to date, Gibbons and co-workers sequenced the genomes of seven *A. oryzae* and seven *A. flavus* isolates as well as three of the transcriptomes of each species [7].

The content and genetic structure of the *Aspergillus* genome

The genus *Aspergillus* is characterized by remarkable genome sequence diversity; using proteome divergence as a yardstick, *Aspergillus* is as diverse as our own phylum, the Vertebrates, whereas the ‘very close’ relatives *A. fumigatus* and *Aspergillus fischerianus* are as divergent as humans and mice [6, 18, 19]. In contrast to several other fungal lineages where genome structure within and between species is quite plastic [46, 47], and contrary to what would be expected based on the degree of sequence diversity in the genus, the structure of the *Aspergillus* genomes appears rather stable (Figure 1). All *Aspergillus* genomes sequenced so far have eight chromosomes, ranging in size between 28–40 Mb, and appear to have similar characteristics, although karyotype analyses suggest that natural populations of several of these species harbor chromosomal variants [see Ref. 48 and references therein].

The apparent lack of genome plasticity does not mean that the *Aspergillus* genome is devoid of conundrums. One question that has attracted considerable interest is why the genomes of species like *A. oryzae* and *A. flavus* are ~20% bigger and substantially more gene rich than

those of *A. nidulans* and *A. fumigatus*. Several potential explanations could account for the difference, including genome duplication, segmental duplication, as well as massive horizontal gene transfer (HGT). However, an in-depth comparison of the *A. oryzae*, *A. nidulans* and *A. flavus* genomes by Khaldi and coworkers did not find support for any of these explanations [49], suggesting that several different mechanisms, each acting in a piecemeal fashion, likely account for the difference. Nevertheless, both this study as well as a few others have provided several examples indicating that the *Aspergillus* genome has been sculpted by HGT (Figure 2), serving both as the donor lineage [42, 50] as well as the recipient [42, 49, 51-54].

Early analysis of the *Aspergillus* genome focused considerably on whether all species have a sexual cycle [55], greatly contributing to what has been termed the ‘fungal sexual revolution’ [56]. This revolution encompasses not only the demonstration of sex in a few, previously thought to be asexual, species [57-59], but also the realization that experiments, such as the ability of the mating genes to regulate expression of downstream genes in a mating type-specific manner [60], suggest that most, if not all, asexual fungal species have cryptic sexual cycles yet to be discovered. In *A. fumigatus*, perhaps the most celebrated case of fungal sex cycle discovery [59], mixing and matching of pairs has revealed considerable variation in fertility [61], opening the door to understanding why the sexual cycle has been so elusive.

Another major, and perhaps more complex, question whose investigation has been dramatically enhanced by the availability of genomes is whether *Aspergillus* populations are genetically differentiated, and the implication of population structure for their lifestyles. Global surveys from a variety of species show lack of genetic differentiation [3, 62, 63]; however, the presence of distinct lineages in phylogenetic analyses of such cosmopolitan species, which are usually interpreted to represent cryptic species [64], could also be interpreted as evidence for the existence of genetically distinct populations within species. Nevertheless, local examinations often identify considerable levels of differentiation and the existence of genetically distinct populations. For example, despite the lack of differentiation of *A. fumigatus* isolates across the globe [62, 64], a recent analysis of 255 Dutch isolates using data from 20 molecular markers identified five distinct populations [65]. Interestingly, all multidrug-resistant isolates nest within a single, predominantly asexual, population, suggesting that both genetic differentiation and reproductive mode are influencing the dynamics of drug resistance patterns in natural *A. fumigatus* populations [65]. Similarly, the apparent lack of structure in *A. flavus* isolates from around the globe [63], contrasts with the existence and long-term (~10,000 years) maintenance of three genetically distinct sympatric populations [66]. Intriguingly, Olarte and co-workers recently showed that crosses of isolates from distinct *A. flavus* populations not only interbreed in the laboratory, but also recombine and convert nonaflatoxigenic isolates into aflatoxin-producing ones [67]. Whether and how these lab-based findings impact the efficacy of nonaflatoxigenic biocontrol strains aimed to competitively exclude their aflatoxin-producing relatives in the field is a major, yet unanswered, riddle [68].

Secondary metabolites: the drugs behind the lifestyles

The cholesterol-reducing drug lovastatin, the antibiotic penicillin, as well as the potent mycotoxins aflatoxin and gliotoxin are just a tiny sample of the pharmacopoeia encoded in and manufactured by the *Aspergillus* genome. One is tempted to think that this bewildering diversity of small organic molecules, also known as secondary metabolites (SMs), is a direct byproduct of the enormous genomic diversity of *Aspergillus*. Remarkably, the levels of variation in both the number and the identity of SM pathways, which most often are physically linked or clustered on the chromosome, far exceed those observed in the rest of

the genome. For example, although *A. fumigatus*, *A. fischerianus* and *Aspergillus clavatus* share ~80% of their genes [18, 69], only 30% of their SM genes are conserved across all three species [18].

The presence of SM gene clusters is highly variable even within species. Genome-wide comparison of two *A. fumigatus* isolates identified a putative SM gene cluster that was uniquely present in only one of the isolates [18], whereas a similar analysis between two *A. niger* isolates revealed unique putative SM gene clusters in both of their genomes [42]. Furthermore, a recent population genomic survey of *A. flavus* and *A. oryzae* led to the discovery of a SM locus occupied by two different gene clusters. The two gene clusters differ in gene number, gene content and evolutionary history, with *A. flavus* isolates being polymorphic for the two gene cluster 'alleles' [7]. Although much less is known about SM production, the available data suggests that the dramatic variation observed within and between *Aspergillus* species extends beyond differences in genome sequence; for example, a comparison of small molecule chemistry between *A. oryzae* and *A. flavus*, two species that are ~99.5% identical at the nucleotide level, suggests that they have quite dissimilar metabolite profiles [70].

Commonalities in the genome architecture of SM gene clusters, such as the presence of an essential biosynthetic 'backbone' gene as well as 'decorating' ones that encode for proteins involved in modification, transport and regulation of SM, have led to the recent development of powerful computational algorithms that predict SM gene clusters in genomes [23, 71]. Application of these algorithms on *Aspergillus* genomes has identified surprisingly large numbers of putative SM gene clusters; for example, although only the gene clusters responsible for the synthesis of aflatoxin, cyclopiazonic acid and aflatrem were previously known to be produced by *A. flavus*, computational analysis of its genome predicts the presence of 55 putative SM gene clusters [23, 72].

Another characteristic of SM gene clusters that is useful in predicting novel clusters is that the expression of their genes is regulated in a coordinated fashion. For instance, comparison of the expression patterns of *A. nidulans* strains whose *laeA* gene copy, a global regulator of SM as well as of numerous non-SM genes [73], was either knocked out or overexpressed identified a novel five-gene SM cluster that is responsible for the synthesis of terrequinone, a class of compounds for which no gene cluster had been previously described [74]. Extending this strategy, a recent examination of the transcriptome profile of *A. fumigatus* grown in two different growth conditions showed that changes in gene expression were not randomly distributed across the genome; rather, they tended to reside within genomic neighborhoods largely composed of gene sets containing many of the hallmarks characteristic of SM gene clusters [27]. Given the importance of SMs to humans, the emerging consensus that there are many more putative SM gene clusters than previously indicated is perhaps one of the most significant, and potentially far-reaching, discoveries of the decade-long exploration of the *Aspergillus* genome.

The advent of *Aspergillus* genomes has also augmented studies on understanding the regulation of SM gene clusters. One of the most interesting recent developments is the discovery that the velvet family of proteins, together with the global regulator *laeA* [73], form a complex that links and coordinates SM production with morphological differentiation [75, 76], which is in turn activated when a highly conserved signal transduction module receives the appropriate external environmental signals (Figure 3) [77]. This coupling of SM with development presumably evolved because the protection offered by the deposition of SMs into the spores is vital to propagation [76]. In line with this hypothesis, *A. nidulans* mutants deficient in the production of SMs are less toxic to their insect predators than the wild-type [78]. However, SMs are not only important in predator avoidance; evidence that

certain *Aspergillus* SM gene clusters are activated only when physically interacting with other microbes [79], that SMs provide a competitive advantage [80], as well as the discovery of self-protection genes nested within others [81], suggest that SMs are also likely to be critical in interactions between *Aspergillus* and other microbes.

Additional support for the hypothesis that SMs are critical components of fungal–microbial interactions comes from a recent study aimed at identifying the molecular signature of domestication in *A. oryzae* [7], one of the two fungi used in the making of sake in the last few millennia in the Far East. During sake making, *A. oryzae* is responsible for breaking down rice starch into simpler sugars, a process that occurs, to a large degree, in parallel with the conversion of sugars to alcohol by the brewer's yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. In contrast to its wild relative *A. flavus*, the entire SM profile of *A. oryzae* is dramatically downregulated when grown on rice, including the gene clusters responsible for the synthesis of the mycotoxins aflatoxin and cyclopiazonic acid [7]. Because aflatoxin, and presumably other SMs as well, is genotoxic to *S. cerevisiae* [82] and its presence during fermentation would affect yeast survival and, consequently, sake making, the domestication process may have converted *A. oryzae* into a microbe that is 'friendly' to its other microbial co-inhabitants.

The inner workings of a pathogen

More than two dozen *Aspergillus* species are capable of causing opportunistic infections in humans with compromised immune systems, which are collectively known as aspergillosis [14, 83]. Aspergillosis is typically acquired following inhalation of the very small, aerially dispersed, asexual spores produced by *Aspergillus* fungi [84]. Aspergillosis covers a remarkably wide spectrum of diseases that encompasses chronic diseases, such as chronic fibrosing aspergillosis, which occurs in individuals with normal immune systems but who have preexisting structure lung diseases (e.g., tuberculosis), rapidly progressing and acute infections of immunocompromised individuals, such as acute invasive aspergillosis, as well as allergies, such as sinusitis (Figure 4) [84]. This diversity of host-pathogen interactions provides a potentially extremely fertile ground for high-throughput comparative studies that simultaneously examine the *in vivo* transcriptome or proteome profiles of pathogen and host.

By far the leading cause of aspergillosis is *A. fumigatus*, the fungal opportunistic pathogen with the biggest impact on human pathogenesis; it is responsible for the highest number of deaths and for the second highest number of infections, behind only *Candida albicans* [83]. This dominance of *A. fumigatus* is likely due to ecological traits, such as the high prevalence and buoyancy of its spores in the environment [85], as well as genetic ones, such as the ability to grow well at 37°C and the coating of its spores with a hydrophobin that renders them immunologically inert [86]. In the aftermath of the decoding of the *A. fumigatus* genome and its close relatives [17, 18], a number of studies have greatly increased our understanding of its genetic makeup and how it interacts with the human host to produce a wide range of aspergillosis diseases.

A broad comparison of *A. fumigatus* with the rarely pathogenic *A. fischerianus* and *A. clavatus* reveals extensive conservation [18]. For example, all of the 45 known and predicted *A. fumigatus* allergens appear to be conserved across all *Aspergillus* genomes, suggesting that differences in gene content between species are unlikely to explain why *A. fumigatus* is a major contributor to diseases such as allergic bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. Nevertheless, patterns of allergen gene expression in response to oxidative stress may differ between species [87]. Despite the broad conservation of gene content, approximately 8.5%

of genes appear to be present only in *A. fumigatus*, and lacking or absent from *A. fischerianus* and *A. clavatus* [18].

One of the most striking findings of the analysis of *A. fumigatus* lineage-specific genes is that they are much smaller than genes that are conserved across *Aspergillus* [18]. Although small proteins have received a great deal of attention in the study of fungal plant pathogens [88], understanding their involvement in *A. fumigatus* pathogenesis, remains an important, yet virtually unexplored topic. Part of the reason for this neglect may be because genome annotation pipelines often exclude small gene models due to lack of independent evidence supporting their validity. For example, the original annotation of the *A. fumigatus* Af293 genome did not include transcripts smaller than 150 base pairs [17], whereas the current annotation excluded only species-specific transcripts that fall below the same length threshold [18]. However, recent work suggests that small transcripts and proteins may play important roles in the infection process. For example, during conidial dormancy, the first stage of infection at which spores are inhaled and adhere to host tissue [89], the *A. fumigatus* proteome profile is dominated by small, lineage specific proteins whose function is mostly unknown [90]. Transcriptome studies in the same species also reveal an abundance of small transcripts; although some of these transcripts have significant similarity to sequences from other species most of them appear to be uniquely present in *A. fumigatus* [43]. Similarly, sequencing of non-coding transcripts shorter than 500 base pairs in *A. fumigatus* identified a few dozen non-coding RNAs, several of which appear to also be developmentally regulated [91]. In the next few years, aided by RNA-Seq [43] and high-throughput proteomics approaches [22], we predict that the identification and functional characterization of these small transcripts and proteins will become an indispensable part of the study of *Aspergillus* development and pathogenicity.

Notwithstanding our knowledge gap on small transcripts and proteins, a considerable amount of effort has been devoted on obtaining the transcriptome and proteome profile of *in vitro* and *in vivo* aspergillosis models [27, 28, 32]. For example, two recent studies describe the transcriptome and proteome profile of an *in vitro* model of the *A. fumigatus* biofilm [27, 28], the dense network of hyphae embedded in an extracellular matrix made up of proteins, monosaccharides, polysaccharides and secondary metabolites that *A. fumigatus* grows as when in aerial colony conditions [92, 93]. In agreement with the morphology and presumed function of the biofilm, these studies revealed extensive upregulation of gene sets encoding for structural and adhesive components of the extracellular matrix, for drug resistance, as well as for SM [27, 28].

Remarkably, transcriptome analysis of an *in vivo* murine model of invasive aspergillosis infection [32] shared several commonalities with the biofilm studies, including upregulation of SM gene clusters. For example, pseurotin, a SM produced by the fumitremorgin gene cluster, was upregulated both *in vivo* [32] and *in vitro* [27, 28]. Finally, examination of the physical location of differentially regulated genes along the *A. fumigatus* chromosomes suggests that they are not randomly distributed. *In vitro* [27] and *in vivo* [32] studies argue that genes located near chromosome ends are much more likely to be upregulated during biofilm growth and infection, arguing that the increased diversity in genome structure near *A. fumigatus* telomeres may be key to its pathobiology.

Concluding remarks

Less than a decade after the release of the first publicly available *Aspergillus* genomes, the study of the function and evolution of the genome of this broadly important genus has already begun yielding remarkable novel insights into genome architecture [18, 42, 50], sexual reproduction [56, 59, 61], population biology [7, 65-67], secondary metabolism and

development [76], and virulence mechanisms [32, 86]. The emerging synergy between the substantial and ever-expanding bodies of knowledge on *Aspergillus* genomics, natural history, systematics, molecular genetics and development, natural products chemistry, human, animal and plant disease, and biotechnology, coupled with the remarkable phenotypic versatility present in the genus make it ideal for addressing fundamental questions across several levels of biological organization. However, several novel challenges and big questions remain unaddressed. Understanding why the sexual cycle is cryptic in so many species, what are the molecular mechanisms that drive the production of such diverse chemistry, or what is the Achilles' heel(s) of *A. fumigatus* during human infections, are just a small sample of major questions that await answers. Antonio Micheli would have been happy to know that study of the organism he discovered nearly three centuries ago continues to sprinkle us with new insights.

Acknowledgments

We thank David Denning for allowing us to develop and reproduce a modified version of Figure 4. J.G.G. was funded by the Graduate Program in Biological Sciences at Vanderbilt University and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health (NIH, NIAID: F31AI091343-01). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIAID or the NIH. Research in A.R.'s lab is supported by the Searle Scholars Program and the National Science Foundation (DEB-0844968).

References

1. Pfaller MA, et al. Invasive fungal pathogens: Current epidemiological trends. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006; 43:S3–S14.
2. Amaike S, Keller NP. *Aspergillus flavus* Annu Rev Phytopathol. 2011; 49:107–133.
3. Rypien KL, et al. Globally panmictic population structure in the opportunistic fungal pathogen *Aspergillus sydowii*. *Mol Ecol*. 2008; 17:4068–4078. [PubMed: 18684135]
4. Pel HJ, et al. Genome sequencing and analysis of the versatile cell factory *Aspergillus niger* CBS 513.88. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2007; 25:221–231. [PubMed: 17259976]
5. Machida M, et al. Genomics of *Aspergillus oryzae*: learning from the history of Koji mold and exploration of its future. *DNA Res*. 2008; 15:173–183. [PubMed: 18820080]
6. Rokas, A.; Galagan, JE. The *Aspergillus nidulans* genome and a comparative analysis of genome evolution in *Aspergillus*. In: Goldman, GH.; Osmani, SA., editors. *The Aspergilli: Genomics, Medical Applications, Biotechnology, and Research Methods*. CRC Press; 2008. p. 43-55.
7. Gibbons JG, et al. The evolutionary imprint of domestication on genome variation and function of the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Curr Biol*. 2012; 22:1403–1409. [PubMed: 22795693]
8. Sato A, et al. Draft genome sequencing and comparative analysis of *Aspergillus sojae* NBRC4239. *DNA Res*. 2011; 18:165–176. [PubMed: 21659486]
9. Futagami T, et al. Genome sequence of the white koji mold *Aspergillus kawachii* IFO 4308, used for brewing the Japanese distilled spirit shochu. *Eukaryot Cell*. 2011; 10:1586–1587. [PubMed: 22045919]
10. Geiser DM. Sexual structures in *Aspergillus*: morphology, importance and genomics. *Med Mycol*. 2009; 47(Suppl 1):S21–26. [PubMed: 18608901]
11. Raper, KB.; Fennell, DI. *The Genus Aspergillus*. Williams & Wilkins; 1965.
12. Geiser DM, et al. The current status of species recognition and identification in *Aspergillus*. *Stud Mycol*. 2007; 59:1–10. [PubMed: 18490947]
13. Klaassen CHW, Oshervov N. *Aspergillus* strain typing in the genomics era. *Stud Mycol*. 2007; 47–51. [PubMed: 18490948]
14. Balajee SA, et al. Molecular identification of *Aspergillus* species collected for the Transplant-Associated Infection Surveillance Network. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2009; 47:3138–3141. [PubMed: 19675215]

15. Galagan JE, et al. Sequencing of *Aspergillus nidulans* and comparative analysis with *A. fumigatus* and *A. oryzae*. *Nature*. 2005; 438:1105–1115. [PubMed: 16372000]
16. Machida M, et al. Genome sequencing and analysis of *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Nature*. 2005; 438:1157–1161. [PubMed: 16372010]
17. Nierman WC, et al. Genomic sequence of the pathogenic and allergenic filamentous fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Nature*. 2005; 438:1151–1156. [PubMed: 16372009]
18. Fedorova ND, et al. Genomic islands in the pathogenic filamentous fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *PLoS Genet*. 2008; 4:e1000046. [PubMed: 18404212]
19. Rokas A, et al. What can comparative genomics tell us about species concepts in the genus *Aspergillus*? *Stud Mycol*. 2007; 59:11–17. [PubMed: 18490942]
20. Kuck U, Hoff B. New tools for the genetic manipulation of filamentous fungi. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2010; 86:51–62. [PubMed: 20107987]
21. Rokas A, Abbot P. Harnessing genomics for evolutionary insights. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 2009; 24:192–200. [PubMed: 19201503]
22. Doyle S. Fungal proteomics: from identification to function. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*. 2011; 321:1–9. [PubMed: 21517945]
23. Khaldi N, et al. SMURF: Genomic mapping of fungal secondary metabolite clusters. *Fungal Genet Biol*. 2010; 47:736–741. [PubMed: 20554054]
24. Tuckwell D, et al. A public resource for metabolic pathway mapping of *Aspergillus fumigatus* Af293. *Med Mycol*. 2011; 49(Suppl 1):S114–119. [PubMed: 20507264]
25. Arnaud MB, et al. The *Aspergillus* Genome Database (AspGD): recent developments in comprehensive multispecies curation, comparative genomics and community resources. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012; 40:D653–659. [PubMed: 22080559]
26. Nitsche BM, et al. New resources for functional analysis of omics data for the genus *Aspergillus*. *BMC Genomics*. 2011; 12:486. [PubMed: 21974739]
27. Gibbons JG, et al. Global transcriptome changes underlying colony growth in the opportunistic human pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Eukaryot Cell*. 2012; 11:68–78. [PubMed: 21724936]
28. Bruns S, et al. Functional genomic profiling of *Aspergillus fumigatus* biofilm reveals enhanced production of the mycotoxin gliotoxin. *Proteomics*. 2010; 10:3097–3107. [PubMed: 20645385]
29. Andersen MR, et al. A trispecies *Aspergillus* microarray: comparative transcriptomics of three *Aspergillus* species. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105:4387–4392. [PubMed: 18332432]
30. Wang B, et al. Survey of the transcriptome of *Aspergillus oryzae* via massively parallel mRNA sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2010; 38:5075–5087. [PubMed: 20392818]
31. Liang Y, et al. Analysis of extracellular proteins of *Aspergillus oryzae* grown on soy sauce koji. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem*. 2009; 73:192–195. [PubMed: 19129648]
32. McDonagh A, et al. Sub-telomere directed gene expression during initiation of invasive aspergillosis. *PLoS Pathog*. 2008; 4:e1000154. [PubMed: 18787699]
33. Reese BN, et al. Gene expression profile and response to maize kernels by *Aspergillus flavus*. *Phytopathology*. 2011; 101:797–804. [PubMed: 21341988]
34. Dujon B. Yeast evolutionary genomics. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2010; 11:512–524. [PubMed: 20559329]
35. Geiser DM, et al. A review of molecular phylogenetics in *Aspergillus*, and prospects for a robust genus-wide phylogeny. In: Varga, J.; Samson, RA., editors. *Aspergillus in the Genomics Era*. Wageningen Academic Publishers; 2008. p. 17–32.
36. Peterson SW. Phylogenetic analysis of *Aspergillus* species using DNA sequences from four loci. *Mycologia*. 2008; 100:205–226. [PubMed: 18595197]
37. Houbraken J, Samson RA. Phylogeny of *Penicillium* and the segregation of Trichocomaceae into three families. *Stud Mycol*. 2011; 70:1–51. [PubMed: 22308045]
38. Stajich JE, et al. FungiDB: an integrated functional genomics database for fungi. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012; 40:D675–681. [PubMed: 22064857]
39. Mabey Gilson J, et al. CADRE: the Central *Aspergillus* Data REpository 2012. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012; 40:D660–666. [PubMed: 22080563]
40. Benson DA, et al. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009; 37:D26–31. [PubMed: 18940867]

41. Grigoriev IV, et al. The genome portal of the Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012; 40:D26–32. [PubMed: 22110030]
42. Andersen MR, et al. Comparative genomics of citric-acid-producing *Aspergillus niger* ATCC 1015 versus enzyme-producing CBS 513.88. *Genome Res.* 2011; 21:885–897. [PubMed: 21543515]
43. Rokas A, et al. The diverse applications of RNA-Seq for functional genomics studies in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2012; 1111/j.1749-6632.2012.06755.x
44. Yu JJ, et al. Tight control of mycotoxin biosynthesis gene expression in *Aspergillus flavus* by temperature as revealed by RNA-Seq. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2011; 322:145–149. [PubMed: 21707733]
45. Delmas S, et al. Uncovering the genome-wide transcriptional responses of the filamentous fungus *Aspergillus niger* to lignocellulose using RNA sequencing. *PLoS Genet.* 2012; 8:e1002875. [PubMed: 22912594]
46. Selmecki A, et al. Genomic plasticity of the human fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryot Cell.* 2010; 9:991–1008. [PubMed: 20495058]
47. Ma LJ, et al. Comparative genomics reveals mobile pathogenicity chromosomes in *Fusarium*. *Nature.* 2010; 464:367–373. [PubMed: 20237561]
48. Geiser DM, et al. Wild chromosomal variants in *Aspergillus nidulans*. *Curr Genet.* 1996; 29:293–300. [PubMed: 8595677]
49. Khaldi N, Wolfe KH. Elusive origins of the extra genes in *Aspergillus oryzae*. *PLoS One.* 2008; 3:e3036. [PubMed: 18725939]
50. Slot JC, Rokas A. Horizontal transfer of a large and highly toxic secondary metabolic gene cluster between fungi. *Curr Biol.* 2011; 21:134–139. [PubMed: 21194949]
51. Khaldi N, et al. Evidence for horizontal transfer of a secondary metabolite gene cluster between fungi. *Genome Biol.* 2008; 9:R18. [PubMed: 18218086]
52. Marcet-Houben M, Gabaldon T. Acquisition of prokaryotic genes by fungal genomes. *Trends Genet.* 2010; 26:5–8. [PubMed: 19969385]
53. Khaldi N, Wolfe KH. Evolutionary origins of the fumonisin secondary metabolite gene cluster in *Fusarium verticillioides* and *Aspergillus niger*. *Int J Evol Biol.* 2011 423821.
54. Mallet LV, et al. Whole genome evaluation of horizontal transfers in the pathogenic fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *BMC Genomics.* 2010; 11:171. [PubMed: 20226043]
55. Scazzocchio C. *Aspergillus* genomes: secret sex and the secrets of sex. *Trends Genet.* 2006; 22:521–525. [PubMed: 16911845]
56. Dyer PS, O’Gorman CM. A fungal sexual revolution: *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* show the way. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2011; 14:649–654. [PubMed: 22032932]
57. Horn BW, et al. Sexual reproduction and recombination in the aflatoxin-producing fungus *Aspergillus parasiticus*. *Fungal Genet Biol.* 2009; 46:169–175. [PubMed: 19038353]
58. Horn BW, et al. Sexual reproduction in aflatoxin-producing *Aspergillus nomius*. *Mycologia.* 2011; 103:174–183. [PubMed: 20943531]
59. O’Gorman CM, et al. Discovery of a sexual cycle in the opportunistic fungal pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Nature.* 2009; 457:471–474. [PubMed: 19043401]
60. Wada R, et al. Presence and functionality of mating type genes in the supposedly asexual filamentous fungus *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2012; 78:2819–2829. [PubMed: 22327593]
61. Sugui JA, et al. Identification and characterization of an *Aspergillus fumigatus* “supermater” pair. *MBio.* 2011; 2:e00234–00211. [PubMed: 22108383]
62. Rydholm C, et al. Low genetic variation and no detectable population structure in *Aspergillus fumigatus* compared to closely related *Neosartorya* species. *Eukaryot Cell.* 2006; 5:650–657. [PubMed: 16607012]
63. Ramirez-Camejo LA, et al. Phylogeography of the cosmopolitan fungus *Aspergillus flavus*: is everything everywhere? *Fungal Biol.* 2012; 116:452–463. [PubMed: 22385627]
64. Pringle A, et al. Cryptic speciation in the cosmopolitan and clonal human pathogenic fungus *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Evolution.* 2005; 59:1886–1899. [PubMed: 16261727]

65. Klaassen CH, et al. Evidence for genetic differentiation and variable recombination rates among Dutch populations of the opportunistic human pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Mol Ecol*. 2012; 21:57–70. [PubMed: 22106836]
66. Grubisha LC, Cotty PJ. Genetic isolation among sympatric vegetative compatibility groups of the aflatoxin-producing fungus *Aspergillus flavus*. *Mol Ecol*. 2010; 19:269–280. [PubMed: 20025654]
67. Olarte RA, et al. Effect of sexual recombination on population diversity in aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus* and evidence for cryptic heterokaryosis. *Mol Ecol*. 2012; 21:1453–1476. [PubMed: 22212063]
68. Fisher MC, Henk DA. Sex, drugs and recombination: the wild life of *Aspergillus*. *Mol Ecol*. 2012; 21:1305–1306. [PubMed: 22393930]
69. Gibbons JG, Rokas A. Comparative and functional characterization of intragenic tandem repeats in 10 *Aspergillus* genomes. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2009; 26:591–602. [PubMed: 19056904]
70. Rank C, et al. Comparative Chemistry of *Aspergillus oryzae* (RIB40) and *A. flavus* (NRRL 3357). *Metabolites*. 2012; 2:39–56.
71. Medema MH, et al. antiSMASH: rapid identification, annotation and analysis of secondary metabolite biosynthesis gene clusters in bacterial and fungal genome sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011; 39:W339–346. [PubMed: 21672958]
72. Georgianna DR, et al. Beyond aflatoxin: four distinct expression patterns and functional roles associated with *Aspergillus flavus* secondary metabolism gene clusters. *Mol Plant Pathol*. 2010; 11:213–226. [PubMed: 20447271]
73. Perrin RM, et al. Transcriptional regulation of chemical diversity in *Aspergillus fumigatus* by LaeA. *PLoS Pathog*. 2007; 3:e50. [PubMed: 17432932]
74. Bok JW, et al. Genomic mining for *Aspergillus* natural products. *Chem Biol*. 2006; 13:31–37. [PubMed: 16426969]
75. Calvo AM. The VeA regulatory system and its role in morphological and chemical development in fungi. *Fungal Genet Biol*. 2008; 45:1053–1061. [PubMed: 18457967]
76. Bayram O, Braus GH. Coordination of secondary metabolism and development in fungi: the velvet family of regulatory proteins. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 2012; 36:1–24. [PubMed: 21658084]
77. Bayram O, et al. The *Aspergillus nidulans* MAPK module AnSte11-Ste50-Ste7-Fus3 controls development and secondary metabolism. *PLoS Genet*. 2012; 8:e1002816. [PubMed: 22829779]
78. Rohlfs M, et al. Secondary chemicals protect mould from fungivory. *Biol Lett*. 2007; 3:523–525. [PubMed: 17686752]
79. Schroeckh V, et al. Intimate bacterial-fungal interaction triggers biosynthesis of archetypal polyketides in *Aspergillus nidulans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009; 106:14558–14563. [PubMed: 19666480]
80. Losada L, et al. Effect of competition on the production and activity of secondary metabolites in *Aspergillus* species. *Med Mycol*. 2009; 47(Suppl 1):S88–96. [PubMed: 19255906]
81. Schrettl M, et al. Self-protection against gliotoxin--a component of the gliotoxin biosynthetic cluster, GliT, completely protects *Aspergillus fumigatus* against exogenous gliotoxin. *PLoS Pathog*. 2010; 6:e1000952. [PubMed: 20548963]
82. Keller-Seitz MU, et al. Transcriptional response of yeast to aflatoxin B1: recombinational repair involving RAD51 and RAD1. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2004; 15:4321–4336. [PubMed: 15215318]
83. Latge, JP.; Steinbach, WJ., editors. *Aspergillus fumigatus* and Aspergillosis. ASM Press; 2009.
84. Segal BH. Aspergillosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 360:1870–1884. [PubMed: 19403905]
85. O’Gorman CM. Airborne *Aspergillus fumigatus* conidia: a risk factor for aspergillosis. *Fungal Biol Rev*. 2011; 25:151–157.
86. Aimaganianda V, et al. Surface hydrophobin prevents immune recognition of airborne fungal spores. *Nature*. 2009; 460:1117–1121. [PubMed: 19713928]
87. Fraczek MG, et al. *Aspergillus fumigatus* allergen expression is coordinately regulated in response to hydrogen peroxide and cyclic AMP. *Clin Mol Allergy*. 2010; 8:15. [PubMed: 21047420]
88. Stergiopoulos I, de Wit PJ. Fungal effector proteins. *Annu Rev Phytopathol*. 2009; 47:233–263. [PubMed: 19400631]

89. Sheppard DC. Molecular mechanism of *Aspergillus fumigatus* adherence to host constituents. *Curr Opin Microbiol.* 2011; 14:375–379. [PubMed: 21784698]
90. Suh MJ, et al. Development stage-specific proteomic profiling uncovers small, lineage specific proteins most abundant in the *Aspergillus fumigatus* conidial proteome. *Proteome Sci.* 2012; 10:30. [PubMed: 22545825]
91. Jochl C, et al. Small ncRNA transcriptome analysis from *Aspergillus fumigatus* suggests a novel mechanism for regulation of protein synthesis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2008; 36:2677–2689. [PubMed: 18346967]
92. Loussert C, et al. *In vivo* biofilm composition of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Cell Microbiol.* 2010; 12:405–410. [PubMed: 19889082]
93. Beauvais A, et al. An extracellular matrix glues together the aerial-grown hyphae of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Cell Microbiol.* 2007; 9:1588–1600. [PubMed: 17371405]
94. van den Berg MA, et al. Genome sequencing and analysis of the filamentous fungus *Penicillium chrysogenum*. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008; 26:1161–1168. [PubMed: 18820685]
95. James TY, et al. Reconstructing the early evolution of Fungi using a six-gene phylogeny. *Nature.* 2006; 443:818–822. [PubMed: 17051209]
96. Geiser DM, et al. Eurotiomycetes: Eurotiomycetidae and Chaetothyriomycetidae. *Mycologia.* 2006; 98:1053–1064. [PubMed: 17486980]
97. Richards TA. Genome evolution: horizontal movements in the Fungi. *Current Biology.* 2011; 21:R166–R168. [PubMed: 21334300]

Figure 1. Evolutionary relationship, relevance and content of available and in progress *Aspergillus* genomes

The phylogeny of *Aspergillus* genomes was synthesized from the phylogenies described by Houbraiken and Samson [37], Geiser and co-workers [35], Peterson [36], and Rokas and Galagan [6]. Dotted line branches indicate uncertainty about their placement on the phylogeny. Genome size and gene number data are from the published genome analyses [4, 8, 9, 15-18, 42, 94]; for unpublished genomes, these values were obtained from the JGI genome portal [41] (*A. acidus*, *A. aculeatus*, *A. brasiliensis*, *A. carbonarius*, and *A. tubigensis*) or the Broad Institute's *Aspergillus* Comparative Database (*A. flavus* and *A. terreus*).

Figure 2. The genomic and functional footprint of horizontal gene transfer to and from *Aspergillus*

The fungal species phylogeny was synthesized from the literature [6, 35, 36, 95, 96]. Arrows illustrate key examples of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) of single genes as well as gene clusters and the direction of transfer, when known, between fungi [42, 49-51, 53]; arrow colors correspond to different HGT events, whereas arrow thickness corresponds to the number of genes transferred. The numbers of *Aspergillus* genes inferred to have been acquired via HGT from prokaryotes [52], when known, are shown in blue color font next to each species name. Concept in figure adapted from [97].

Figure 3. A model of the genetic mechanisms underpinning the coordination of secondary metabolism and development in *Aspergillus*

Upon receiving the appropriate environmental signals (e.g., darkness), the Ste50–Ste11–Ste7–Fus3 complex leaves the internal side of cell membrane where it is attached partly through the action of the Ste50 protein and migrates to the nuclear envelope. There Fus3 is phosphorylated and enters the nucleus where it interacts with Ste12, forming a complex that is necessary for sexual development, as well as phosphorylates the VeA protein, which is also transferred to the nucleus as part of the VeA–VelB dimer through the aid of the α -importin KapA in dark conditions. Once in the nucleus, the VelB–VeA dimer activates sexual development as well as interacts with the global regulator LaeA to activate secondary metabolism. VelB also interacts with VosA, also a member of the velvet protein family together with VeA and VelB, to repress asexual development [76, 77].

Figure 4. Aspergillosis encompasses a diverse spectrum of diseases due to interactions between *Aspergillus* and the human host

In compromised immune systems, the risk of developing invasive aspergillosis positively correlates with dysfunction. In contrast, the risk of allergic aspergillosis increases in individuals with hyperactive immune systems. The figure was modified and reproduced from a concept developed by David Denning, with his permission.