Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2012 December 21; 14(24): 6302–6305. doi:10.1021/ol3030692.

Iridium-Catalyzed Allylation of Chiral β -Stereogenic Alcohols: Bypassing Discrete Formation of Epimerizable Aldehydes

Daniel C. Schmitt, Anne-Marie R. Dechert-Schmitt, and Michael J. Krische*

University of Texas at Austin, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Austin, TX 78712

Abstract

The cyclometallated π -allyliridium 3,4-dinitro-*C,O*-benzoate complex modified by (*R*)- or (*S*)-Cl,MeO-BIPHEP promotes the transfer hydrogenative coupling of allyl acetate to β -stereogenic alcohols with good to excellent levels of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity to furnish homoallylic alcohols. Remote electronic effects of the *C,O*-benzoate of the catalyst play a critical role in suppressing epimerization of the transient α -stereogenic aldehyde.

Carbonyl allylation is one of the foremost methods utilized for the construction of polyketide natural products.¹ As established in pioneering work by Hoffmann,^{2 a,b} the vast majority of methods for enantioselective carbonyl allylation rely upon the use of allylmetal reagents modified by chiral auxiliaries.² Subsequently, enantioselective carbonyl allylations employing achiral allylmetal reagents in combination with chiral Lewis acidic and Lewis basic catalysts were developed,³ as well as related processes catalyzed by chiral H-bond donors and Brønsted acids.⁴ Other methods for catalytic carbonyl allylation include reductive couplings of allylic alcohols and their carboxylates to aldehydes,⁵ and asymmetric variants of the Nozaki-Hiyama reaction.⁶ Without exception, the aforementioned enantioselective methods employ either stoichiometric quantities of allylmetal reagent or stoichiometric (organo)metallic reductant.

In 2008, an alternate approach to enantioselective carbonyl allylation based on iridium catalyzed C-C bond forming transfer hydrogenation was developed in our laboratory.^{7,8a,b} In these processes, primary alcohols serve dually as hydrogen donors and aldehyde precursors, allowing carbonyl addition to occur directly from the alcohol oxidation level in the absence of stoichiometric organometallic reagents. However, initial attempts to perform stereoselective C-H allylations of β -stereogenic primary alcohols under these conditions, which at the time involved generation of the iridium catalyst *in situ*, were thwarted by epimerization of the transient α -stereogenic aldehydes. In subsequent work on *anti*-diastereo- and enantioselective carbonyl crotylations,^{8c} it was found that *para*-substitution of the *C,O*-benzoate moiety of cyclometallated catalyst could favorably influence selectivity and reactivity *via* remote electronic effects.⁹ Additionally, conventional chromatographic

*mkrische@mail.utexas.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Spectral data for all new compounds (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, HRMS). Single crystal x-ray diffraction data of complexes (*R*)-Ir-a-OMe, (*R*)-Ir-a-NO₂, and (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂. This material is available free of charge *via* the internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

isolation of the cyclometallated catalyst was found to enhance the purity and, hence, performance of the catalyst.^{8d}

The enhanced efficiency observed for the chromatographically isolated catalyst, along with the ability to tune catalyst performance *via* remote electronic effects, prompted a reinvestigation of the transfer hydrogenative allylation of β -stereogenic primary alcohols. Here, we report that β -stereogenic primary alcohols participate in direct diastereoselective transfer hydrogenative allylation with good to excellent levels of catalyst-directed stereoselectivity to furnish homoallylic alcohols. This protocol bypasses discrete generation of configurationally unstable chiral α -substituted aldehydes,¹⁰ which are used routinely in polyketide construction, yet cannot be stored or chromatographed without significant erosion of enantiomeric purity.^{10a,11}

In an initial experiment, the primary alcohol **1** derived from the Roche ester¹² was exposed to the cyclometallated complex (*S*)-Ir-a-CN (5 mol%), which is modified by (*S*)-SEGPHOS, in THF-H₂O solvent at 60 °C using Cs₂CO₃ (60 mol%) as base and 4-CN-3-NO₂BzOH as additive. The desired allylation product **2a** was generated stereoselectively in low isolated yield (Table 1, Entry 1). Elevating reaction temperature enhanced the isolated yield of **2a**, but led to epimerization of the transient α -substituted chiral aldehyde (Table 1, Entries 1–4). Remarkably, increased loadings of Cs₂CO₃ (100 mol%) improved the isolated yield of **2a** while suppressing epimerization, and use of excess Cs₂CO₃ relative to alcohol **1** resulted in diminished yield, but high diastereoselectivity was maintained (Table 1, Entries 5–8). Water suppresses competitive transesterification between allyl acetate and alcohol **1** and epimerization to form **2c** (Table 1, Entry 9). As revealed in a comparison of 4-substituted *C,O*-benzoates (Table 1, Entries 7, 10, 12–14), an optimal balance in yield and selectivity is obtained using the 3,4-dinitro-*C,O*-benzoate (Table 1, Entry 14). Perhaps related to the observation that the cyclometallated *C,O*-benzoate moiety of the catalyst is kinetically labile and can exchange with exogenous benzoic acid, added benzoic acid improves the isolated yield of **2a** (Table 1, Entry 11). Finally, by changing the ligand of the 3,4-dinitro-*C,O*-benzoate to (*S*)-Cl,MeO-BIPHEP, and by increasing the loading of water, alcohol **1** is converted to the product of allylation **2a** in optimal isolated yield and selectivity (Table 1, Entries 15, 16). This catalyst, (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂, was characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Under these same conditions, the enantiomeric catalyst (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂ delivers the diastereomeric product **2b** with optimal levels of stereoselectivity (Table 1, Entry 20). Thus, diastereomeric products of carbonyl allylation **2a** (32:1 dr) or **2b** (15:1 dr) are formed with good levels of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity¹³ and with exceptionally little racemization of the transient aldehyde. Notably, the “Roche aldehyde” is known to suffer significant racemization upon exposure to silica gel chromatography.^{10a} The formation of **2a** represents the “matched case,” wherein the diastereofacial bias of the catalyst is amplified by Felkin-Anh selectivity embodied by the transient aldehyde, and the formation of **2b** represents the corresponding mismatched cases.

Using these optimal conditions, the catalyst-directed diastereoselective allylation of higher chiral alcohols possessing embedded propionate substructures was explored (Scheme 1). Upon exposure of alcohol *syn*-**3**, to the catalyst (*S*)-Ir-b-NO₂ under standard conditions, the desired product of allylation **4a** is obtained as a 17:1 mixture of diastereomers. The enantiomeric catalyst (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂ delivers the diastereomeric product **4b** as a 9:1 mixture of diastereomers. Similarly, exposure of alcohol *anti*-**3**, which is formed *via* asymmetric *anti*-aldol addition of isobutyraldehyde,¹³ to the catalysts (*S*)-Ir-b-NO₂ and (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂ under standard conditions, provides diastereomers **4c** (6:1 dr) and **4d** (12:1 dr), respectively. Thus, all four diastereomers, **4a–4d**, are accessible *via* catalyst-directed diastereoselective *C*-allylation of primary alcohols *syn*-**3** and *anti*-**3**. In a similar fashion, alcohols **5**, **7** and **9** are converted to their respective diastereomeric products **6a** and **6b**, **8a** and **8b**, and **10a** and

10b, with good levels of catalyst-directed stereocontrol. In contrast to the “Roche alcohol” **1**, the minor diastereomer obtained from the allylation of β -substituted chiral alcohols *syn*-**3**, *anti*-**3**, **5**, **7** and **9** principally stems from epimerization of the transient aldehydes. Here, it is likely that increased steric demand of the aldehyde retards the rate of C-C formation with respect to aldehyde epimerization (Table 2). For the redox-allylation of chiral β -stereogenic alcohols, the presence of an electron withdrawing group at the 4-position of the *C,O*-benzoate moiety was found to favorably influence conversion and stereoselectivity, minimizing epimerization of the transient chiral α -stereogenic aldehyde.

To gain insight into how electronic characteristics of the *C,O*-benzoate moiety manifest structurally, a series of π -allyliridium *C,O*-benzoate complexes were analyzed *via* single crystal x-ray diffraction and selected bond lengths were surveyed (Figure 1). While the influence of crystal packing forces on bond length cannot be quantified, a clear trend in the series (*R*)-Ir-a-OMe, (*S*)-Ir-a-H, (*R*)-Ir-a-NO₂, and (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂ is apparent: the more electron deficient the *C,O*-benzoate moiety, the longer the C-Ir, O-Ir, and P-Ir bonds. The elongation of bonds to iridium, as evident in (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂, naturally suggests greater Lewis acidity at iridium. This interpretation is corroborated by the infrared spectra absorption of the iridium carboxylate moiety of (*R*)-Ir-a-NO₂ (1648 cm⁻¹) and (*R*)-Ir-a-OMe (1633 cm⁻¹). Superior Lewis acidity at iridium should attenuate donicity of the carboxylate oxygen, strengthening the carbonyl bond.

The favorable influence of the 4-nitro-moiety of *C,O*-benzoate ligand on conversion and stereoselectivity may be interpreted on the basis of the previously postulated catalytic mechanism (Scheme 2).^{11b} Enhanced Lewis acidity at iridium may strengthen the agostic interaction between the iridium center and the carbinol C-H bond, thereby facilitating alcohol dehydrogenation. Additionally, the highly inductive nature of the 4-nitro-moiety may facilitate deprotonation of the iridium(III) hydride obtained upon alcohol dehydrogenation. Finally, both carbonyl addition and product-to-reactant alkoxide exchange may be accelerated by enhanced Lewis acidity at iridium. An acceleration of carbonyl addition with respect to aldehyde epimerization in response to enhanced Lewis acidity would account for how remote electron withdrawing groups of the catalyst serve to minimize racemization of the transient chiral α -stereogenic aldehyde.

In summary, cyclometallated π -allyliridium 3,4-dinitro-*C,O*-benzoate complexes modified by (*R*)- or (*S*)-Cl,MeO-BIPHEP promote the direct C-H allylation of diverse β -substituted chiral primary alcohols to furnish homoallylic alcohols with good to excellent levels of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity accompanied by minimal epimerization of the transient α -stereogenic aldehyde intermediates. This protocol avoids discrete generation of configurationally and chemically labile chiral α -substituted aldehydes¹⁰ in asymmetric carbonyl allylation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgment is made to the Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038) and the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM093905).

References

- (1). For selected reviews on enantioselective carbonyl allylation, see: Ramachandran PV. *Aldrichim. Acta*. 2002; 35:23.. Denmark SE, Fu J. *Chem. Rev.* 2003; 103:2763. [PubMed: 12914480] . Yu C-M, Youn J, Jung H-K. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2006; 27:463.. Marek I, Sklute G. *Chem.*

- Commun. 2007; 1683. Hall DG. Synlett. 2007; 1644. Lachance H, Hall DG. Org. React. 2008; 73:1.. Yus M, Gonzalez-Gomez JC, Foubelo F. Chem. Rev. 2011; 111:7774. [PubMed: 21923136] .
- (2). For selected examples of chirally modified allylmetal reagents, see: Herold T, Hoffmann RW. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1978; 17:768.. Hoffmann RW, Herold T. Chem. Ber. 1981; 114:375.. Hayashi T, Konishi M, Kumada M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982; 104:4963.. Brown HC, Jadhav PK. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983; 105:2092.. Roush WR, Walts AE, Hoong LK. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985; 107:8186.. Reetz M. Pure Appl. Chem. 1988; 60:1607.. Short RP, Masamune S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989; 111:1892.. Brown HC, Bhat KS, Randad RS. J. Org. Chem. 1989; 54:1570.. Corey EJ, Yu C-M, Kim SS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989; 111:5495.. Seebach D, Beck AK, Imwinkeiried R, Roggo S, Wonnacott A. Helv. Chim. Acta. 1987; 70:954.. Riediker M, Duthaler RO. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1989; 28:494.. Panek JS, Yang M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991; 113:6594.. Kinnaird J, Ng PY, Kubota K, Wang X, Leighton JL. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:7920. [PubMed: 12095334] . Hackman BM, Lombardi PJ, Leighton JL. Org. Lett. 2004; 6:4375. [PubMed: 15524487] . Burgos CH, Canales E, Matos K, Soderquist JA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005; 127:8044. [PubMed: 15926828] .
- (3). For selected examples of catalytic enantioselective carbonyl allylation employing allylmetal reagents: Furuta K, Mouri M, Yamamoto H. Synlett. 1991; 561. Costa AL, Piazza MG, Tagliavini E, Trombini C, Umani-Ronchi A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993; 115:7001.. Keck GE, Tarbet KH, Geraci LS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993; 115:8467.. Denmark SE, Coe DM, Pratt NE, Griedel BD. J. Org. Chem. 1994; 59:6161.. Denmark SE, Fu J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:9488. [PubMed: 11562250] .
- (4). For selected examples of enantioselective Brønsted acid or H-bond donor catalyzed carbonyl allylations employing allylboron reagents, see: Rauniyar V, Hall DG. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006; 45:2426.. Lou S, Moquist PN, Schaus SE. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:12660. [PubMed: 17002355] . Rauniyar V, Zhai H, Hall DG. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:8481. [PubMed: 18540580] . Barnett DD, Moquist PN, Schaus SE. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009; 48:8679.. Jain P, Antilla JC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:11884. [PubMed: 20690662] .
- (5). For selected examples of enantioselective carbonyl allylations employing nucleophilic π -allyls derived from allylic alcohols and their carboxylates, see: Zanoni G, Gladiali S, Marchetti A, Piccinini P, Tredici I, Vidari G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004; 43:846.. Zhu S-F, Yang Y, Wang L-W, Liu B, Zhou Q-L. Org. Lett. 2005; 7:2333. [PubMed: 15932191] . Howell GP, Minnaard AJ, Feringa BL. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006; 4:1278.. Zhang T-Z, Dai L-X, Hou X-L. Tetrahedron: Asymm. 2007; 18:251.. Wang W-F, Zhang T, Shi M. Organometallics. 2009; 28:2640.. Jiang J-J, Wang D, Wang W-F, Yuan Z-L, Zhao M-X, Wang F-J, Shi M. Tetrahedron: Asymm. 2010; 21:2050.. Vogt M, Ceylan S, Kirschning A. Tetrahedron. 2010; 66:6450.. Zhu S-F, Qiao X-C, Zhang Y-Z, Wang L-X, Zhou Q-L. Chem. Sci. 2011; 2:1135..
- (6). For catalytic enantioselective carbonyl allylation and crotylation *via* Nozaki-Hiyama coupling, see: Bandini M, Cozzi PG, Umani-Ronchi A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999; 38:3357.. Bandini M, Cozzi PG, Umani-Ronchi A. Polyhedron. 2000; 19:537.. Bandini M, Cozzi PG, Umani-Ronchi A. Tetrahedron. 2001; 57:835.. Inoue M, Suzuki T, Nakada M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003; 125:1140. [PubMed: 12553795] . Berkessel A, Mench D, Sklorz CA, Schröder M, Paterson I. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003; 42:1032.. Lee J-Y, Miller JJ, Hamilton SS, Sigman MS. Org. Lett. 2005; 7:1837. [PubMed: 15844919] . McManus HA, Cozzi PG, Guiry PJ. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006; 348:551.. Xia G, Yamamoto H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:2554. [PubMed: 16492037] . Hargaden GC, Müller-Bunz H, Guiry PJ. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007; 4235. Hargaden GC, O'Sullivan T, Guiry PJ. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008; 6:562. [PubMed: 18219428] . Zhang Z, Huang J, Ma B, Kishi Y. Org. Lett. 2008; 10:3073. [PubMed: 18549224] . White JD, Shaw S. Org. Lett. 2011; 13:2488. [PubMed: 21462988] .
- (7). For selected reviews on C-C bond forming hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation, see: Bower JF, Kim IS, Patman RL, Krische MJ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 48:34.. Patman RL, Bower JF, Kim IS, Krische MJ. Aldrichim. Acta. 2008; 41:95.. Han SB, Kim IS, Krische MJ. Chem. Commun. 2009; 7278. Bower JF, Krische MJ. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011; 43:107. [PubMed: 21822399] . Hassan A, Krische MJ. Org. Proc. Res. Dev. 2011; 15:1236..
- (8). (a) Kim IS, Ngai M-Y, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:6340. [PubMed: 18444616] (b) Kim IS, Ngai M-Y, Krische MJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:14891. [PubMed: 18841896] (c)

- Kim IS, Han SB, Krische MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:2514. [PubMed: 19191498] (d) Gao X, Townsend IA, Krische MJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011; 76:2350. [PubMed: 21375283]
- (9). For selected examples of remote electronic effects in enantioselective catalysis, see: Jacobsen EN, Zhang W, Güller ML. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:6703.. RajanBabu TV, Ayers TA, Casalnuovo AL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:4101.. Hamada T, Fukuda T, Imanishi H, Katsuki T. *Tetrahedron.* 1996; 52:515.. Shiomi T, Ito J-I, Yamamoto Y, Nishiyama H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 5594.
- (10). For selected examples of problematic aldehyde epimerization, see: Roush WR, Palkowitz AD, Ando K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990; 112:6348.. Nelson SG, Bungard CJ, Wang K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:13000. [PubMed: 14570453] . Haidle AM, Myers AG. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2004; 101:12048. [PubMed: 15208404] . Lane JW, Chen Y, Williams RM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:12684. [PubMed: 16144418] . Hara A, Morimoto R, Ishikawa Y, Nishiyama S. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:4036. [PubMed: 21744852] .
- (11). As stated in reference 10a, footnote 12, Attempts to purify the Roche aldehyde by silica gel chromatography resulted in 5–7% racemization.
- (12). Cohen N, Eichel WF, Lopresti RJ, Neukom C, Saucy G. *J. Org. Chem.* 1976; 41:3505. [PubMed: 978301]
- (13). For selected examples of catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity, see: Minami N, Ko SS, Kishi Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982; 104:1109.. Ko SY, Lee AWM, Masamune S, Reed LA, Sharpless KB, Walker FJ. *Science.* 1983; 220:949. [PubMed: 17816019] . Kobayashi S, Ohtsubo A, Mukaiyama T. *Chem. Lett.* 1991; 831. Hammadi A, Nuzillard JM, Poulin JC, Kagan HB. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry.* 1992; 3:1247.. Doyle MP, Kalinin AV, Ene DG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:8837.. Trost BM, Calkins TL, Oertelt C, Zambrano J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:1713.. Balskus EP, Jacobsen EN. *Science.* 2007; 317:1736. [PubMed: 17885133] . Han SB, Kong JR, Krische MJ. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:4133. [PubMed: 18729371] .

Scheme 1.

Catalyst-directed diastereoselective *C*-allylation of chiral alcohols *syn*-**3**, *anti*-**3**, **5**, **7** and **9**.^a

^aCited yields are of diastereomeric mixtures isolated by silica gel chromatography.

Stereoisomeric ratios were determined by ¹H NMR analysis of crude reaction mixtures (major diastereomer:sum of two minor diastereomers). See Supporting Information for further experimental details. ^b48 hours.

Scheme 2.
General catalytic mechanism.

Figure 1.

General catalytic mechanism.

^aSee supporting information for single crystal x-ray diffraction data of complexes (*R*)-Ir-a-OMe, (*R*)-Ir-a-NO₂, and (*R*)-Ir-b-NO₂.

Table 1

Direct allylation of the “Roche alcohol” **1** with catalyst-directed diastereoselectivity.

entry	temp °C	additive	H_2O (mol %)	Cs_2CO_3 (mol %)	Ir-X	yield (2a : 2b : 2d)
1	80	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	60	(S)-Ir-a-CN	19% (95:1:4:0)
2	90	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	60	(S)-Ir-a-CN	67% (87:2:11:0)
3	100	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	60	(S)-Ir-a-CN	71% (84:3:12:1)
4	110	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	60	(S)-Ir-a-CN	68% (87:2:10:1)
5	100	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	10	(S)-Ir-a-CN	14% (73:4:22:1)
6	100	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	30	(S)-Ir-a-CN	60% (84:2:13:1)
7	100	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(S)-Ir-a-CN	77% (92:5:3:0)
8	100	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	130	(S)-Ir-a-CN	41% (93:4:3:0)
9	100	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	---	100	(S)-Ir-a-CN	22% (68:4:25:3)
10	100	4-Cl-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(S)-Ir-a-Cl	79% (94:2:4:0)
11	100	---	700	100	(S)-Ir-a-Cl	68% (91:6:3:0)
12	100	3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(S)-Ir-a-H	59% (92:2:6:0)
13	100	4-OMe-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(S)-Ir-a-OMe	28% (89:7:4:0)
14	100	3,4-(NO ₂) ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(S)-Ir-a-NO ₂	71% (94:3:3:0)
15	100	3,4-(NO ₂) ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(S)-Ir-b-NO ₂	76% (97:2:1:0)
⇒ 16	100	3,4-(NO ₂) ₂ -BzOH	1000	100	(S)-Ir-b-NO ₂	79% (97:2:1:0)
17	100	4-Cl-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(R)-Ir-a-Cl	79% (7:89:0:4)
18	100	4-CN-3-NO ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(R)-Ir-a-CN	73% (5:93:0:2)
19	100	3,4-(NO ₂) ₂ -BzOH	700	100	(R)-Ir-b-NO ₂	65% (2:96:0:2)
⇒ 20	100	3,4-(NO ₂) ₂ -BzOH	1000	100	(R)-Ir-b-NO ₂	80% (4:94:0:2)

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

^aCited yields are of diastereomeric mixtures isolated by silica gel chromatography. Stereoisomeric ratios were determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis using authentic samples of diastereomers **2a–2d**. See Supporting Information for further experimental details.