Reverse Transcription Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification for Rapid Detection of Japanese Encephalitis Virus in Swine and Mosquitoes

Hao Liu,^{1,2} Zhen-Jiang Liu,^{2,3} Jie Jing,^{1,2} Jing-Qiang Ren,^{1,2} Yan-Yu Liu,^{1,2}
Huan-Huan Guo,^{2,3} Min Fan,^{2,3} Hui-Jun Lu,² and Ning-Yi Jin^{1,2*}

Abstract

Japanese encephalitis (JE) can infect many agriculturally important animals and humans, and has a high incidence in Asia. One of the natural hosts of the mosquito-borne JE virus (JEV) is domestic pigs, which act as amplifier hosts. Porcine infection results in fatal encephalitis, abortion, and stillbirth in pregnant sows, and hypospermia in boars. In this study, a rapid JEV detection method for swine and mosquitoes was developed based on reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP) targeting the nucleocapsid (E) genes of JEV genotype I (lineage K94PO5), and genotype III (lineage SA14-14-2). Fifty-six swine blood samples and 20,000 mosquitoes were used to evaluate the method, compared to conventional RT-polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and real-time RT-PCR. RT-LAMP had detection limits of 2.57 and 2.34 copies/ μ L for JEV I and III, respectively. Assay sensitivity was similar to real-time RT-PCR, but was 10-fold higher than conventional RT-PCR. Assay specificity was high, showing no cross-reactivity to other flaviviruses. The results of virus isolation and identification of swine blood samples and mosquito samples were fully consistent with RT-LAMP. Finally, the JEV RT-LAMP assay was simpler and less time consuming than conventional RT-PCR or real-time RT-PCR, since the amplification step could be completed in a single tube within 50 min at 63°C. In conclusion, the newly-developed RT-LAMP assay is an accurate and convenient method for rapid and sensitive diagnosis of JEV in swine and mosquitoes, and may prove to be a practical molecular tool for surveillance and epidemiological investigations.

Key Words: Diagnosis—Japanese encephalitis virus—Real-time RT-polymerase chain reaction—Reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification—RT-polymerase chain reaction.

Introduction

JAPANESE ENCEPHALITIS VIRUS (JEV) IS A MOSQUITO-BORNE flavivirus that causes severe encephalitis in humans in many countries in Asia (Lindenbach et al. 2007; Vaughn and Hoke, 1992). In mainland China, JEV genotypes I and III are the most prevalent (Solomon et al. 2003). The JEV transmission cycle involves a mosquito vector and two natural hosts, namely wild birds, which act as the maintenance host, and domestic pigs, which act as the amplifier host. As such, pigs are the primary source of JEV for naïve mosquito infection and transmission to humans. The *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* mosquito has been identified as the most important vector for

human and animal transmission in China. Similarly to many other vector-borne viral diseases, JEV transmission rates vary temporally and geographically (Samuel et al. 2010). The global annual incidence of JE in humans has been estimated at 30,000–50,000 cases (Erlanger et al. 2009), and the rates in animals are certainly much higher. Animal infection has devastating biological and economic impact; in swine, JEV disrupts sow and boar reproductive processes, and causes death in piglets (Graeber et al. 1998).

Diagnosis of JE by clinical symptoms is not feasible, due to non-specific signs at both the early and acute stages of infection. In addition, JEV isolation from peripheral blood is precluded by low-level transient viremia, which persists even

¹College of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Jilin University, Changchun, P.R. China.

²Genetic Engineering Laboratory of PLA, Academy of Military Medical Sciences of PLA, Changchun, P.R. China.

³College of Animal Science, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun, P.R. China.

in the acute stage of the disease (Shope and Meegan 1997). Currently, the most commonly used methods of swine diagnosis are laboratory-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) that detect immunoglobulin (Ig)M or IgG antibodies in serum or cerebrospinal fluid taken from suspect herds (Yang et al. 2006). Unfortunately, these serological assays have considerable cross-reactivity with other flaviviruses (Holbrook et al. 2004).

Human JEV infection is clinically diagnosed by a variety of methods, including reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP). The RT-LAMP assay has been reported to be effective for detecting JEV in cerebrospinal fluid samples from patients with a clinical diagnosis of acute encephalitis (Parida et al. 2006). However, this approach has yet to be applied to a swine population. Genome-based JEV diagnostic assays have been previously developed for swine, and include the conventional RT-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and real-time RT-PCR. While both of these assays are specific and sufficiently sensitive, they require expensive thermal cycling equipment and technical expertise.

Therefore, we sought to develop a technically simple and highly accurate genome-based JEV diagnostic procedure for swine that is inexpensive and also easily applicable outside of the laboratory setting. To this end, the nucleocapsid (E) genes of JEV I (lineage K94P05) and JEV III (lineage SA14-14-2) were selected as the detection targets in an RT-LAMP assay. The efficiency of this novel diagnostic procedure was comparatively analyzed with conventional RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR for detecting JEV I and JEV III in swine and mosquitoes.

Materials and Methods

Viruses

JL0801 and YN0901 strains of JEV belong to the K94P05 and SA14-14-2 lineages, respectively, and they were selected as positive standards based on previous isolation from swine and mosquitoes.

Working stocks of the JL0801 and YN0901 strains were propagated in the mosquito cell line C6/36. Porcine stool specimens that contained porcine circovirus (PCV), porcine reproductive and respiratory virus (PRRSV), classical swine fever virus (CSFV), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV), or swine hepatitis E virus (SHEV), were obtained from swine with laboratory-confirmed infections. A 10% (w/v) suspension of the respective stools were made and stored at -80°C until use. *C. tritaeniorhynchus* infected with Sindbis virus, chikungunya virus (CHIKV), or yellow fever virus (YFV) were confirmed and selected for study.

Sample collection

Fifty-six swine blood samples, including 46 positive sera and 10 negative serum samples, were obtained from different farms in the Li Shu County of Jilin Province (latitude $22^{\circ}00' \text{N}$ and longitude $100^{\circ}47' \text{E}$), and were analyzed using molecular biological methods. *C. tritaeniorhynchus* adult female mosquitoes were trapped with ultraviolet lamps at dusk from cattle sheds and hog pens in the Jing Hong canton of Yun Nan Province (latitude $43^{\circ}18' \text{N}$ and longitude $124^{\circ}20' \text{E}$). A total of 200 tubes of mosquitoes (100 mosquitoes/tube) were identified and sorted according to species, and only the *C. tritaeniorhynchus* females were used for these experiments.

Study methods

Gene amplification-based molecular techniques such as RT-PCR have emerged as a preferred method to make viral diagnoses using infected host tissue and fluid samples (Deubel et al. 1990; Tanaka 1993). However, since these methods require expensive equipment and technical expertise, they have not been adopted by many small and/or frontline laboratories. In addition, the low copy numbers of viral RNA in the blood of JEV-infected swine makes it difficult to extract sufficient amounts of RNA for such methods (Chuang et al. 2003).

RT-LAMP is a relatively new nucleic acid amplification method that can amplify DNA rapidly, efficiently, and with high specificity under isothermal conditions (Notomi et al. 2000). RT-LAMP relies on autocycling strand displacement DNA synthesis, which is carried out by *Bst* DNA polymerase large fragments with high strand displacement activity. The reaction is conducted under isothermal conditions that range from $60\text{--}65^{\circ}\text{C}$, and the amplified products can be readily analyzed by naked-eye visualization or digital imaging after electrophoresis through an agarose gel. In addition, detection can be carried out by evaluating the turbidity properties of the samples, caused by the large amounts of the by-product magnesium pyrophosphate from the amplification reaction, or by a colorimetric method that involves a visible color change produced by the addition of SYBR Green I to the amplification reaction (Mori et al. 2001; Nagamine et al. 2002).

Design of primers for RT-LAMP, real-time RT-PCR, and conventional RT-PCR, of JEV I and JEV III

For RT-LAMP amplification of the E gene sequences of JEV, four primers were designed based on alignment analysis of various JEV strains' genomic sequences (GenBank: Beijing-1, CJN-L1, JoArS982, SA14-14-2, TL Taiwan, K94P05, and ThCMAR4492). Primer Explorer version 3 software was used (<http://primerexplorer.jp/lamp3.0.0/index.html>). Each set of primers included an outer pair (F3 and B3) and an inner pair (FIP and BIP). The resultant YG1/YG2, YG3/YG4, E1/E2, and E3/E4 primers (Table 1) were used for real-time RT-PCR and conventional RT-PCR amplification of the JEV I and JEV III E genes.

RNA extraction from the JL0801 and YN0901 strains, and reverse transcription to cDNA

The C6/36 cells were infected with the JL0801 and YN0901 strains. Culture supernatant containing the virus was harvested at 72 h post-infection. The supernatant was clarified of cellular debris by centrifugation. The RNA was extracted from 0.5 mL of culture supernatant containing 10^7 plaque-forming units of virus using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. In order to evaluate the detection limit of the assays, the amount of JEV RNA was determined by spectrophotometry and converted to molecular copies using a previously published formula (Krieg 1990):

$$Y(\text{molecules}/\mu\text{L}) = \frac{X(\text{g}/\mu\text{L})\text{RNA}}{\text{transcript length (bp)} \times 340} \times 6.02 \times 10^{23}$$

Ten-fold serial dilutions of the JEV RNA (molecular copies from $10^6\text{--}10^{-1}$) were used as templates in reverse

TABLE 1. LIST OF PRIMERS USED FOR RT-LAMP, REAL-TIME RT-PCR, AND CONVENTIONAL RT-PCR AMPLIFICATION OF THE JEV I AND JEV III E GENES

Primer name	Sequence 5'-3'
JEV1-F3	GGCTCCATTGGAGGGGTAT
JEV1-B3	GTGGCCAGAAAAGCCAGG
JEV1-FIP	AAGACATTCCCCCGAAGAGCG-GGGAAAGCTGTTCACCAAGT
JEV1-BIP	CACACAAGGACTAATGGGGGCC-ATTGACCGGTTTCGTGCG
JEV3-F3	GGACTGAACACTGAAGCGT
JEV3-B3	CTGTGACCCAAGAGCAACAA
JEV1-FIP	CCAGGGGAGAGCGAGGTCATACCGTGGGGTCAAAGTCAT
JEV3-BIP	TTCGAGCACAGCGTGGAGAAACGGACTGTTTTGTGGCGT
JEVYG1	CGTACGAATGTCCGAAGCTTG
JEVYG2	TTTTTCATGAGGTATCGCGTGG
JEVYG3	GGCAAACGACAAACCAACATT
JEVYG4	ATCAGCTCGCTTCTCGTTGTG
JEV E1	CCATGACCTTTCTCTTCCCTG
JEV E2	TAATCTGCTTGTCCCCTCTTC
JEV E3	GCGCACGCCACAAAACAGTCC
JEV E4	GCGTGCTTCCAGCTTTGTGCC
R1	TGCACATTGGTCGCTAAAAAC
R2	ATGTAACTTCACTGAGCTTG

JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; RT-LAMP, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification; RT-PCR, RT-polymerase chain reaction.

FIG. 1. Amplification of the E gene from JEV I (A) and JEV III (B) by RT-LAMP. JEV RNA was used as template and four genotype-specific primers targeting the virus E gene were used in an RT-LAMP assay performed at 65°C for 60 min (lanes: M, DL2000 marker; 1, RT-LAMP product; 2, negative control).

FIG. 2. Determination of optimal conditions for RT-LAMP detection of JEV I (A and C) and JEV III (B and D) E genes. The optimal temperature of the RT-LAMP assay was analyzed by performing the reaction at 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, or 65°C for 60 min. The reaction results are shown in lanes 1–6, respectively, for JEV I (A) and JEV III (B). The optimal reaction time for the RT-LAMP assay was analyzed by performing the reaction at 65°C for 60, 50, 45, 40, 35, 30, or 20 min. The reaction results are shown in lanes 1–7 for JEV I (C) and JEV III (D; lanes: M, DL2000 DNA marker; 7, negative control).

FIG. 3. Sensitivity of the RT-LAMP assay for the JEV I and JEV III E genes, as detected by electrophoresis and SYBR Green I staining. Shown are the RT-LAMP 2% agarose gel electrophoresis detection limits for JEV I (A) and JEV III (B), which were 2.57 and 2.34 copies/ μ L, respectively (lanes: 1–8, JEV I RNA concentrations from 2.57×10^6 to 0.257 copies/ μ L; JEV III RNA concentrations from 2.34×10^6 to 0.234 copies/ μ L; M, 100-bp DNA ladder marker; 9, negative control). Shown are the conventional RT-PCR assay 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis detection limits for JEV I (C) and JEV III (D) were 257 and 234 copies/ μ L, respectively (lanes: 1–8, JEV I RNA concentrations from 2.57×10^6 to 0.257 copies/ μ L; JEV III RNA concentrations from 2.34×10^6 to 0.234 copies/ μ L; M, DL2000 DNA marker; 9, negative control). Shown here is the naked-eye inspection of the RT-LAMP reaction stained with SYBR Green I under normal light (E and G) and UV transillumination (F and H). For tubes 1–8, the concentrations of JEV I (E and F) and JEV III (G and H) RNA range from 2.57×10^6 to 0.257 copies/ μ L, and from 2.34×10^6 to 0.234 copies/ μ L, respectively. Only positive amplifications are shown (the original orange color of SYBR Green I is green in the online version of this article; E and G). When visualized under UV transillumination the reaction appears as bright green fluorescence (F and H). The detection limits of JEV I and JEV III RT-LAMP are, respectively, 2.57 and 2.34 copies/ μ L by naked-eye inspection (in the online version), and 2.57 and 2.34 copies/ μ L under UV transillumination (tube 9, negative control).

FIG. 3. (Continued).

transcription reactions to synthesize cDNA. The 30- μ L RT synthesis reaction for JEV consisted of 9.0 μ L of DEPC H₂O, 1.0 μ L of 50 ng/ μ L genotype-specific reverse primer R1 or R2, and 5.0 μ L of total RNA. The mixture was incubated at 75°C for 5 min, then chilled on ice for at least 5 min. Afterwards, the following reagents were added to each of the reactions: 9.0 μ L

of DEPC H₂O, 1.0 μ L of 200 U/ μ L M-MLV RT (Promega, Madison, WI), 1.0 μ L of 40 U/ μ L ribonuclease inhibitor (Promega), 2.0 μ L of 5 $\times$ RT buffer, and 2 μ L of 10 mM dNTP mix. The reaction mixture was incubated at 42°C for 50 min and 75°C for 5 min.

The resultant cDNA products were concentration detected by a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo-Scientific, Kalamazoo, MI), and used as a template in RT-LAMP reactions.

RT-LAMP reaction and product detection

RT-LAMP was carried out in a 25- μ L reaction mixture that contained 1.0 μ L of 5 μ M each of the F3 and B3 primers, 1.0 μ L of 40 μ M each of the BIP and FIP primers, 2.5 μ L of 10 $\times$ ThermoPol reaction buffer, 1.0 μ L of 8 U/ μ L *Bst* DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), 2.5 μ L of 10 mM dNTP mix (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan), 4.0 μ L of 5 M Betaine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), 5.0 μ L of 30 mM MgSO₄ (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), 4.0 μ L of target cDNA, and 2 μ L of nuclease-free water. The amplification reaction was performed at 65°C for 60 min, and terminated by heating to 80°C for 10 min. Sterile water was used as a negative control template. RT-LAMP products were resolved by 2.0% agarose gel electrophoresis, and visualized by ethidium bromide staining and ultraviolet (UV) light detection; the positive reaction mixtures showed a characteristic ladder-like pattern. In an alternative protocol, the products were observed directly with the naked eye by adding 1.0 μ L of SYBR Green I (Invitrogen) to the reaction mix. The solution turned green in the presence of RT-LAMP amplification products, but stayed orange in the absence of the amplicon.

Optimization of the RT-LAMP assay

The RT-LAMP reaction mixtures were incubated at 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, or 65°C for 60 min to determine the optimal reaction temperature. Subsequently, the optimal reaction time was determined by performing RT-LAMP at 63°C for 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, or 60 min. The reactions were terminated by heat inactivation at 80°C for 10 min. The amplified RNA products from the RT-LAMP assays were visualized, as described above, by agarose gel electrophoresis or SYBR Green I.

Determination of the RT-LAMP assay detection limit

To determine the sensitivity of the RT-LAMP assay, the 10-fold serial dilutions of the JEV I and JEV III RNA molecular copies (from 10⁶–10^{–1}) were reacted. The various reaction

TABLE 2. COMPARISONS OF RT-PCR METHOD DETECTION SENSITIVITY FOR JEV I AND JEV III RNA

JEV gene	Concentrations of JEV I AND JEV III RNA (copies/ μ L)							
JEV I	2.57 $\times$ 10 ⁶	2.57 $\times$ 10 ⁵	2.57 $\times$ 10 ⁴	2.57 $\times$ 10 ³	2.57 $\times$ 10 ²	2.57 $\times$ 10 ¹	2.57 $\times$ 10 ⁰	2.57 $\times$ 10 ^{–1}
JEV III	2.34 $\times$ 10 ⁶	2.34 $\times$ 10 ⁵	2.34 $\times$ 10 ⁴	2.34 $\times$ 10 ³	2.34 $\times$ 10 ²	2.34 $\times$ 10 ¹	2.34 $\times$ 10 ⁰	2.34 $\times$ 10 ^{–1}
Methods								
RT-PCR	+	+	+	+	+	–	–	–
RT-LAMP								
Agarose gel analysis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	–
Naked-eye inspection	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	–
UV transillumination	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	–

+, positive reaction; –, negative reaction; JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; RT-LAMP, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification; RT-PCR, RT-polymerase chain reaction.

mixtures were incubated at 63°C for 50 min, followed by termination at 80°C for 10 min. RT-LAMP products were analyzed by the electrophoresis and SYBR Green I detection methods.

Determination of the real-time PCR assay detection limit

Real-time PCR was performed with the JEV I- and JEV III-specific primers (JEVYG1/YG2 and JEVYG3/YG4, respectively). Amplification was carried out in a 25- μ L total reaction volume consisting of 12.5 μ L of SYBR Green Real-Time PCR Master Mix (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan), 8.0 μ L of nuclease-free

water, 1.0 μ L of 0.4 μ M forward and reverse primers, and 5 μ L of the cDNA sample (molecular copies from 10^6 – 10^{-1}). The following real-time RT-PCR thermal cycling program was run on an ABI Prism 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA): one cycle of 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 60°C for 15 sec, and 72°C for 50 sec. The data were analyzed with the accompanying ABI 7500 software package, version 2.0.5.

Determination of the PCR assay detection limit

PCR was performed with the JEV I- and JEV III-specific primers that targeted the respective E genes. The reaction

FIG. 4. Detection sensitivity of the real-time RT-PCR assay for JEV I (A) and JEV III (B) RNA. The amplification plots for the detection of JEV I and JEV III show that the detection limits of the assay are 2.57 and 2.34 copies/ μ L, respectively. Replicates 1–8 (Repl.1 to Repl.8) represent the RNA concentration ranges for JEV I from 2.57×10^6 to 2.57×10^{-1} copies/ μ L, and for JEV III from 2.34×10^6 to 2.34×10^{-1} copies/ μ L of the initial quantity log copies (Replicate 9, negative control).

was carried out in a 20- μ L total reaction volume that contained 1.0 μ L of 5 U/ μ L *ExTaq* polymerase (TaKaRa), 0.5 μ L of 10 mM dNTP mix, 0.5 μ L each of 10 μ M primers JEV E1 and E2 or JEV E3 and E4, 2.0 μ L *ExTaq* buffer, 4.0 μ L cDNA, and 11.5 μ L nuclease-free water. The PCR reaction was carried out on a TC-512 instrument (Techne, Staffordshire, U.K.) using the following thermal cycling conditions: one cycle of 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 56°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec, and a final extension cycle of 72°C for 10 min. The products were analyzed by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide staining and UV light-assisted visualization. The expected amplicon sizes were 475 bp (JEV I) and 524 bp (JEV III).

Specificity of the RT-LAMP assay

To analyze the specificity of the established RT-LAMP assay, JEV I (JL0801) and JEV III (Yunnan 0901), PCV (NM), PRRSV (CC-1), CSFV (PR-2008), BVDV (HLJ-10), SHEV (CHN-XW), CHIKV (YN1011), YFV (YN1001), and Sindbis virus (YN87488), were used as templates and subjected to RT-LAMP as described above. The reaction was performed at 63°C for 50 min.

Virus isolation and identification of swine blood samples and mosquito samples

Emulsions [10% (w/v)] of suspensions of 56 swine blood samples, including 46 positive samples and 10 negative serum

FIG. 5. Standard curve of real-time RT-PCR for detecting JEV I (A) and JEV III (B). The assay standard curve was generated by the known concentration of viral RNA in serial 10-fold dilutions.

A **B**

FIG. 6. Dissociation curve of real-time RT-PCR for detecting JEV I (A) and JEV III (B), using the sequence-specific DNA melting temperatures of 87.3°C and 86.6°C, respectively.

samples, and 200 tubes of mosquito suspensions were prepared in Eagle's minimum essential medium (EMEM) that contained 2% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). The isolate was propagated in *Aedes albopictus* C6/36 cells. The cytopathogenic effects (CPEs) were observed in the C6/36 cell cultures inoculated with the emulsion made from the mosquito and swine blood suspensions. Viral RNA was reverse transcribed and PCR-amplified with PPM1 and PPM2 primers using the OneStep RT-PCR kit (Qiagen). These primers amplify the partial capsid/premembrane (C/prM) gene of JEV (Chung et al. 1996). RT-PCR was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions and the method described in a previous report (Chung et al. 1996). RT-PCR products with the expected size (198 base pairs) corresponding to the partial C/prM gene of JEV from all examined samples were identified in 2.0% agarose gel.

Results

RT-LAMP amplification of the E gene from JEV I and JEV III and assay specificity

JEV RNA and the genotype-specific primers that targeted the virus E gene from the JL0801 and YN0901 strains were included in an RT-LAMP assay that was performed in a 65°C water bath for 60 min. The agarose gel electrophoresis analysis indicated that the amplified DNA products produced a characteristic ladder pattern with multiple bands, which indicated that the final RT-LAMP products were mixtures of stem-loop DNAs with various stem lengths (Fig. 1A and B). In contrast, the negative control did not produce the characteristic multi-band ladder pattern.

Optimization of the RT-LAMP assay

The optimal reaction temperature and time of the RT-LAMP assay were investigated. As shown in Figure 2A and B, the products of the RT-LAMP assay at different temperatures produced the characteristic multi-band ladder pattern. However, the intensity of bands in the ladder was the strongest from the reactions carried out at 63°C, indicating that this is the optimal temperature for RT-LAMP amplification of JEV E genes from JL0801 and YN0901.

The RT-LAMP assay was then performed at the 63°C optimal temperature for different lengths of time. The subsequent results indicated that the DNA products with the highest intensity were produced using a 50-min reaction time (Fig. 2C and D). Therefore the optimal reaction conditions of

the RT-LAMP assay for detecting JEV was determined to be 63°C for 50 min.

Detection limit of the RT-LAMP assay compared with conventional RT-PCR

The sensitivity of the E-specific RT-LAMP assay was then compared with that of conventional PCR using E-specific primers. The JEV I and JEV III RNA initial concentrations were converted to 2.57×10^6 copies/ μ L and 2.34×10^6 copies/ μ L, respectively. Then 10-fold serial dilutions of the JEV I and JEV III RNA molecular copies (from 10^6 – 10^{-1}) were used to determine assay sensitivity.

As observed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, the JEV I and III detection limits of the RT-LAMP assay were estimated to be 2.57 copies/ μ L and 2.34 copies/ μ L, respectively (Fig. 3A and B). The RT-LAMP assay was more sensitive than the conventional RT-PCR assay (Fig. 3C and D). In addition, visual inspection of amplification products using SYBR Green I stain was better for the RT-LAMP assay than the conventional PCR assay (RT-LAMP detection sensitivity: 2.57 JEV I copies/ μ L and 2.34 JEV III copies/ μ L versus conventional RT-PCR; both $p < 0.05$; Fig. 3E and G). However, the SYBR Green I staining method was inferior to the electrophoresis detection method. Using UV transillumination allowed RT-LAMP detection limits of 2.57 JEV I copies/ μ L and 2.34 JEV III copies/ μ L (Fig. 3F and H; Table 2).

Detection limit of the RT-LAMP assay compared with real-time RT-PCR

Serial 10-fold dilutions of JEV I and JEV III RNA were tested in parallel by real-time RT-PCR. The detection limits of conventional real-time RT-PCR were 2.57 copies/ μ L and 2.34 copies/ μ L, respectively (Fig. 4A and B). Figure 5 shows the standard curves for JEV I (Fig. 5A) and JEV III (Fig. 5B), which were constructed using the known concentrations of the respective 10-fold serial dilutions. Melting curve analysis showed that specific amplification melting temperatures were 87.3°C (for JEV I; Fig. 6A) and 86.6°C (for JEV III, Fig. 6B). According to the amplification and dissociation plots, the amplified products could be identified as specific genomic sequences. Compared to products from the RT-LAMP assay, the detection sensitivities by agarose gel electrophoresis and SYBR Green I staining were equivalent with those of real-time RT-PCR. The details are summarized in Table 3. Moreover, the RT-LAMP amplification procedure was completed within 50 min, which was much shorter than the 2.5 h required for the real-time RT-PCR procedure.

TABLE 3. COMPARISON OF REAL-TIME RT-PCR METHOD DETECTION SENSITIVITY FOR JEV I AND JEV III RNA

JEV gene	Concentrations of JEV I AND JEV III RNA (copies/ μ L)							
JEV I	2.57×10^6	2.57×10^5	2.57×10^4	2.57×10^3	2.57×10^2	2.57×10^1	2.57×10^0	2.57×10^{-1}
JEV III	2.34×10^6	2.34×10^5	2.34×10^4	2.34×10^3	2.34×10^2	2.34×10^1	2.34×10^0	2.34×10^{-1}
Methods								
Real-time RT-PCR	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	–
RT-LAMP								
Agarose gel analysis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	–
Naked-eye inspection	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	–
UV transillumination	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	–

+, positive reaction; –, negative reaction; JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; RT-LAMP, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification; RT-PCR, RT-polymerase chain reaction.

FIG. 7. Specificity of the RT-LAMP assay for detecting the JEV I and JEV III E genes. JEV and other selected viruses were used as templates and subjected to RT-LAMP performed at 65°C for 60 min (lanes: M, DL2000 DNA marker; 1–10, RT-LAMP results from templates JEV I, JEV III, PCV, PRRSV, CSFV, BVDV, SHEV, CHIKV, YFV, and Sindbis virus, respectively; 11, negative control).

Specificity of the RT-LAMP assay

Figure 7 shows that the RT-LAMP assay with JEV genotype-specific primers produced no positive reactions when using PCV, PRRSV, CSFV, BVDV, SHEV, CHIKV, YFV, and Sindbis virus as templates.

Validation of the JEV RT-LAMP assay with swine blood samples and mosquito samples

We tested the field applicability of the RT-LAMP assay by using positive sera and mosquitoes taken from local agricultural hog pens with ongoing JEV epidemics. The results were compared with those from conventional RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR. The samples (true-positive or false-positive) were determined by the results of isolation and identification of JEV.

The LAMP assay demonstrated much higher sensitivity than conventional RT-PCR, but had similar sensitivity to real-time RT-PCR (Tables 4 and 5). All 10 negative serum samples were also negative by all of the tests, thereby ruling out the possibility of false-positives. None of the RT-PCR- or real-time RT-PCR-positive samples was missed by RT-LAMP, thereby indicating the higher sensitivity of the RT-LAMP assay. Results of virus isolation and identification of swine blood samples and mosquito samples were consistent with RT-LAMP results. The results of swine blood samples by RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR were confirmed to be 2 false and 1 false for JEV I-negative, and 6 false and 1 false for JEV III-negative, respectively. The results of mosquito samples by RT-PCR and

real-time RT-PCR were confirmed to be 2 false and 0 false for JEV I-negative, and 14 false and 4 false for JEV III-negative, respectively.

Discussion

JEV is an important pathogen of humans and agricultural animals. JEV infection in pigs causes severe reproductive disorders in both male and female pigs. Accurate diagnosis of JE in suspected encephalitis infections is essential for optimal disease management and protection of the remaining herd. However, the application of conventional assays, such as RT-PCR, real-time RT-PCR, oligonucleotide microarray, and ELISA, has been limited by their requirements for laboratory-based operations, skilled technicians, and expensive equipment. A high-throughput method that can be readily adapted for use on pig farms, especially in remote rural areas where there is a high risk of JEV infection, is urgently needed for effective surveillance of new circulating JEV strains.

The RT-LAMP assay has the advantages of reaction simplicity and detection sensitivity, as the reaction is carried out in a single tube by isothermal incubation; the optimal reaction parameters for JEV E gene detection were 63°C and 50 min. In contrast to conventional RT-PCR, real-time RT-PCR, oligonucleotide microarray, and ELISA, the RT-LAMP method does not rely on expensive or sophisticated facilities or equipment, such as thermal cyclers. The amplification products of the reaction produced characteristic ladder-like patterns by agarose gel electrophoresis, or were detectable by naked-eye inspection with SYBR Green I under normal light or UV transillumination.

Herein, we describe the establishment of a novel two-step RT-LAMP assay that can rapidly detect the E genes of JEV I and JEV III in swine and mosquitoes, and has higher sensitivity than conventional RT-PCR and real-time RT-PCR. In addition, the JEV RT-LAMP assay showed good specificity, as no positive results were found in the negative control samples, which included PCV, PRRSV, CSFV, BVDV, SHEV, CHIKV, YFV, and Sindbis virus assayed under the same experimental conditions. However, since only Chinese JEV strains were tested, more research is required to determine how the RT-LAMP assay performs with all known strains of JEV.

In summary, we describe a LAMP method for the detection of JEV I and JEV III in swine that is rapid, sensitive, and specific. From a practical point of view, this method is more suitable than the currently available methods for use as a routine diagnostic tool, and can accommodate the large numbers of clinical samples required by active pig farms.

TABLE 4. JEV RT-LAMP ASSAY WITH SWINE BLOOD SAMPLES

Result	No. of positive or negative samples (%)		
	RT-LAMP	RT-PCR	Real-time RT-PCR
JEV I-positive	2 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.8)
JEV I-negative	54 (96.4)	56 (100.0)	55 (98.2)
JEV III-positive	44 (78.5)	38 (67.8)	43 (76.7)
JEV III-negative	12 (21.4)	18 (32.1)	13 (23.2)

JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; RT-LAMP, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification; RT-PCR, RT-polymerase chain reaction.

TABLE 5. JEV RT-LAMP ASSAY WITH MOSQUITO SAMPLES

Result	No. of positive or negative samples (%)		
	RT-LAMP	RT-PCR	Real-time RT-PCR
JEV I-positive	3 (1.5)	1 (0.5)	3 (1.5)
JEV I-negative	197 (98.5)	199 (99.5)	197 (98.5)
JEV III-positive	38 (19.0)	24 (12.0)	34 (17.0)
JEV III-negative	162 (81.0)	176 (88.0)	166 (83.0)

JEV, Japanese encephalitis virus; RT-LAMP, reverse transcription loop-mediated isothermal amplification; RT-PCR, RT-polymerase chain reaction.

Acknowledgments

We wish to express our sincere gratitude to the Yunnan Institute of Parasitic Diseases for assistance in mosquito collection, and especially to Hong-Ning Zhou and Zhong-Hua Yang for their excellent technical assistance. This work was supported by a grant from the Special Fund for Agro-Scientific Research in the Public Interest (no. 201203082).

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Chuang CK, Chiou SS, Liang LC, et al. Short report: detection of Japanese encephalitis virus in mouse peripheral blood mononuclear cells using an in situ reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003;69: 648–651.
- Chung YJ, Nam JH, Ban SJ, et al. Antigenic and genetic analysis of Japanese encephalitis viruses isolated from Korea 1996. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003;55:91–97.
- Deubel V, Laille M, Hugnot JP, et al. Identification of dengue sequences by genomic amplification: rapid diagnosis of dengue virus serotypes in peripheral blood. *J Virol Methods* 1990;30:41–54.
- Erlanger TE, Weiss S, Keiser J, et al. Past, present, and future of Japanese encephalitis. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009;15:1–7.
- Graeber MB, Grasbon-Frodl E, Eitzen UV, et al. Neurodegeneration and aging: role of the second genome. *J Neurosci Res* 1998;52:1–6.
- Holbrook MR, Shope RE, Barrett AD. Use of recombinant E protein domain III-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays for differentiation of tick-borne encephalitis serocomplex flaviviruses from mosquito-borne flaviviruses. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:4101–4110.
- Krieg PA. Improved synthesis of full-length RNA probe at reduced incubation temperatures. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1990;18: 6463.
- Lindenbach BD, Theil HJ, Rice CM. Flaviviridae: the viruses and their replication, in: *Fields Virology*, 5th ed. Howley PM, Knipe DM (eds.) New York: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2007:1101–1252.
- Mori Y, Nagamine K, Tomita N, et al. Detection of loop-mediated isothermal amplification reaction by turbidity derived from magnesium pyrophosphate formation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2001;289:150–154.
- Nagamine K, Hase T, Notomi T. Accelerated reaction by loop-mediated isothermal amplification using loop primers. *Mol Cell Probes* 2002;16:223–229.
- Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H, et al. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000; 28:E63.
- Parida MM, Santhosh SR, Dash PK, et al. Development and evaluation of reverse transcription-loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for rapid and real-time detection of Japanese encephalitis virus. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006;44: 4172–4178.
- Samuel PP, Arunachalam N, Rajendran R, et al. Temporal variation in the susceptibility of *Culex tritaeniorhynchus* (Diptera: Culicidae) to Japanese encephalitis virus in an endemic area of Tamil Nadu, South India. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2010;10: 1003–1008.
- Shope RE, Meegan JM. *Arboviruses*, 4th ed. New York: Plenum.
- Solomon T, Ni H, Beasley DW, et al. Origin and evolution of Japanese encephalitis virus in southeast Asia. *J Virol* 2003;77: 3091–3098.
- Tanaka M. Rapid identification of flavivirus using the polymerase chain reaction. *J Virol Methods* 1993;41:311–322.
- Vaughn DW, Hoke CH Jr. The epidemiology of Japanese encephalitis: prospects for prevention. *Epidemiol Rev* 1992;14: 197–221.
- Yang DK, Kim BH, Lim SI, et al. Development and evaluation of indirect ELISA for the detection of antibodies against Japanese encephalitis virus in swine. *J Vet Sci* 2006;7:271–275.

Address correspondence to:

Ning-Yi Jin

Genetic Engineering Laboratory of PLA

Academy of Military Medical Sciences of PLA

Liuying West Road 666

Changchun 130122

P.R. China

E-mail: jinningyi2000@yahoo.com.cn