Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2012 November 14; 134(45): 18809–18815. doi:10.1021/ja309000s.

A Fluorogenic Probe for the Catalyst-Free Detection of Azide-Tagged Molecules

Frédéric Friscourt¹, Christoph J. Fahrni², and Geert-Jan Boons^{1,*}

¹Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, 315 Riverbend Road, Athens, GA 30602, USA. Fax: 706-542-4412

²School of Chemistry and Biochemistry and Petit Institute for Bioengineering and Bioscience, Georgia Institute of Technology, 901 Atlantic Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

Abstract

Fluorogenic reactions in which non- or weakly-fluorescent reagents produce highly fluorescent products can be exploited to detect a broad range of compounds including biomolecules and materials. We describe a modified dibenzocyclooctyne that under catalyst-free conditions undergoes fast strain-promoted cycloadditions with azides to yield strongly fluorescent triazoles. The cycloaddition products are more than 1000-fold brighter compared to the starting cyclooctyne, exhibit large Stokes shift, and can be excited above 350 nm, which is required for many applications. Quantum mechanical calculations indicate that the fluorescence increase upon triazole formation is due to large differences in oscillator strengths of the $S_0 \leftrightarrow S_1$ transitions in the planar C_{2v} -symmetric starting material compared to the symmetry-broken and non-planar cycloaddition products. The new fluorogenic probe was successfully employed for labeling of proteins modified by an azide moiety.

Keywords

click chemistry; cycloaddition; bioorthogonal; fluorogenic probe; cyclooctyne

INTRODUCTION

The selective and non-invasive labeling of biomolecules, ideally in context of their endogenous environment, is a prerequisite for a broad range of biological studies. As a genetically encoded label, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) and its variants have revolutionized cell biology by enabling the visualization of target proteins within live cells, tissues, and whole organisms.^{1–3} GFP is, however, not suitable for tagging metabolites and post-translationally modified biomolecules such as lipids, nucleic acids, and complex carbohydrates.

The bioorthogonal chemical reporter methodology, pioneered by Bertozzi and coworkers, is emerging as a versatile method for labeling a wide variety of biomolecules.^{4–6} In this approach, an abiotic chemical functionality (reporter) is incorporated into a target

Corresponding Author: gjboons@ccrc.uga.edu.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting Information

Synthetic procedures, kinetic data (Fig. S1), stability studies (Figs. S2–S6), solvatochromism (Fig. S7), absorption and fluorescence spectra (Figs. S8–S13), time-dependent fluorescence decay profiles (Figs. S14–S18), computational studies (Tables S1–S4) and NMR spectra of synthesized compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

biomolecule, which can be reacted with a complementary bioorthogonal functional group linked to a diverse set of probes, including biotin and fluorescent tags. Organic azides are particularly versatile reporters due to their small size and virtual absence in biological systems.⁷ They can be conjugated by Staudinger ligation using modified phosphines,^{8,9} copper(I)-catalyzed cycloaddition with terminal alkynes (CuAAC),^{10–12} or by strain-promoted alkyne–azide cycloaddition (SPAAC).^{13,14} The latter type of reaction is attractive because it is fast and does not require a potentially toxic metal catalyst, thereby offering opportunities for the labeling of glycans, lipids and proteins in living cells, tissues or whole organisms. For example, Bertozzi and coworkers have shown that glycoconjugates of zebrafish embryos can be visualized by metabolic labeling with *N*-azidoacetylgalactosamine followed by cycloaddition with difluorinated cyclooctyne (DIFO) conjugated to a fluorophore.¹⁵

We have demonstrated that 4-dibenzocyclooctynol (DIBO) is an attractive reagent for SPAAC. It rapidly reacts with azido-containing compounds and can be employed for tagging a wide variety of biomolecules including cell surface glycoconjugates of living cells.¹⁶ Dibenzylcyclooctynes can be readily derivatized, which was exploited to influence their subcellular location via incorporation of sulfates.¹⁷ Furthermore, the cycloadditions can be accelerated by modifying the 8-membered ring of DIBO with an sp²-hybridized atom.^{18–20} Dibenzocyclooctynes can also be generated photochemically by short irradiation with UV light of corresponding cyclopropenones, thereby making it possible to label target substrates in a spatially and temporally controlled manner.²¹ In addition to bioconjugation, SPAAC has found wide utility in materials and surface chemistry.²²

We envisaged that DIBO derivative **1**, which contains a reactive alkyne and an alkyne masked as a cyclopropenone, would offer an attractive bifunctional reagent for tagging biomolecules and materials under catalyst free conditions.²³ Although the sequential SPAAC did not proceed satisfactorily, we found that cycloaddition of **1** with azides yielded strongly fluorescent triazoles that possess favorable photophysical properties, such as exceptional brightness, a large Stoke shift, and excitation above 350 nm. Quantum mechanical calculations indicate that the fluorescence increase upon triazole formation is due to substantial differences in oscillator strengths of the S₀ ↔ S₁ transitions in the planar C_{2v}-symmetric Fl-DIBO (**1**) compared to the symmetry-broken and non-planar cycloaddition products. Compounds that become fluorescent upon reaction with a chemical reporter have many attractive features such as eliminating the need for probe washout, reducing background labeling, offering opportunities for monitoring biological processes in real time.²⁴

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of **1** and Cycloaddition to Azides

The preparation of target compound **1** commenced by Sonogashira cross-coupling of 3-ethynylanisole (**2**) and 3-iodoanisole (**3**) to give the symmetrical ethyne **4** in near quantitative yield (Scheme 1). Partial hydrogenation of **4** using Lindlar's catalyst produced *Z*-alkene **5**, and subsequent Friedel-Crafts alkylation with tetrachlorocyclopropene in the presence of AlCl₃^{21,25} yielded the corresponding annulated dichlorocyclopropene intermediate, which after in situ hydrolysis gave cyclopropenone derivative **6** in 62% overall yield. Selective bromination of the bridging double bond provided dibromocyclopropenone derivative **7**, which upon treatment with ethanolic KOH solution underwent a double-elimination reaction to give the target compound **1**. Despite the considerable strain imposed by the central ring system, compound **1** is stable in aqueous solution over a broad pH range and inert towards treatment with a diverse set of nucleophiles including glutathione (10 mM) (Supporting Information, Figure S2–S5).

Triazoles **8a-e** were obtained in near quantitative yield by cycloaddition of **1** with the corresponding azide derivatives in a mixture of dichloromethane and methanol (4:1, v/v) at room temperature in the course of 2 h (Figure 1a). The progress of the reaction of **1** with benzyl azide in a mixture of CDCl₃ and CD₃OD (4:1, v/v) was monitored by ¹H NMR by integration of the benzylic proton signals, and yielded a second-order rate constant of 0.019 M⁻¹s⁻¹ (Supporting Information, Figure S1). The reaction rate is somewhat lower than that of the parent DIBO (0.057 M⁻¹s⁻¹) indicating that the cyclopropenone moiety does not induce additional ring strain.²⁶

Photophysical Properties

An unexpected and exciting observation was the strong fluorescence increase upon conversion of the FI-DIBO (**1**) probe to the corresponding triazole products **8a-e** (Figure 1b). Thus, excitation of FI-DIBO **1** at 420 nm produces only a weak emission band centered around 574 nm with a low quantum yield of 0.2% (Table 1 and Figure 1b). In contrast, triazole **8a**, which was obtained by cycloaddition of **1** with triethyleneglycol methyl monoester azide, fluoresces strongly upon excitation at 370 nm with a maximum intensity at 491 nm and a quantum yield of 11.9% (Table 1 and Figure 1b). Due to the large difference in the extinction coefficients of FI-DIBO (**1**) ($\epsilon_{420\text{nm}} = 190\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and the triazole product **8a** ($\epsilon_{370\text{nm}} = 4300\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$), the apparent brightness, defined as the product of quantum yield and molar absorption coefficient, is more than 1000-fold increased. Whereas many common fluorophores including fluorescein, rhodamine, or BODIPY derivatives exhibit small Stokes shifts that may cause reabsorption of emitted photons, triazole **8a** possesses an unusually large Stokes shift of 7180 cm⁻¹ (128 nm).

More detailed examination of compounds **8a-e** revealed that the nature of the triazole substituent does not substantially affect the photophysical properties (Table 1 and Supporting Information, Figures S8–S18). Only compound **8c** showed a significant red-shift of the emission maximum along with a reduced quantum yield and shorter fluorescence lifetime, presumably due to direct π -interaction of the anisole substituent with the fluorophore core π -system. The radiative deactivation rate constants of **8a-e**, calculated from the fluorescence quantum yields and lifetimes, are narrowly distributed with an average of $1.13 \pm 0.16 \cdot 10^7\text{ s}^{-1}$, suggesting a single and uniform deactivation pathway.

Furthermore, compounds **8a-e** showed a pronounced negative solvatochromism, indicating a less polar excited state compared to the ground state. For example, the emission maximum of benzyl triazole **8b** moved from 493 nm in toluene to 482 nm in methanol (Supporting Information, Figure S7). Finally, treatment of the triazole **8b** (10^{-5} M in MeOH) with glutathione (10 mM) for 2 h resulted in marginal bleaching. Strong reducing agents such as DTT caused, however, complete bleaching within 30 min, probably by addition of DDT to the cyclopropenone moiety of **8b** (Supporting Information, Figure S6).

Protein Labeling

Next, FI-DIBO (**1**) was examined as a fluorogenic labeling reagent for proteins. For this purpose, bovine serum albumin (BSA) was modified with an azide moiety by reaction of the Cys34 sulfhydryl group with *N*-{2-[2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl}-2-iodoacetamide to give **9** (Supporting Information). A stock solution of FI-DIBO (**1**) in ethanol was then added to a solution of BSA-azide (**9**) in PBS containing 1% SDS and the resulting reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 18 h. An aliquot was analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by fluorescence imaging and staining with Coomassie Blue for protein detection (Figure 2, lane 1). As expected, a strong fluorescent band was observed at 66 kDa. As negative controls, FI-DIBO was excluded (lane 3), or BSA-azide replaced by unmodified BSA (lane 2) and gratifyingly the fluorescent images showed an absence of background labeling. As an

additional control, BSA-azide was exposed to DIBO-FITC and after appropriate washing steps a fluorescent band was observed at 66 kDa (lane 4). A similar reaction with unmodified BSA (lane 5) showed faint background labeling, thus highlighting superior properties of **1**.^{27,28}

Computational Studies

The unexpected and large increase in quantum yield upon conversion of FI-DIBO (**1**) to the triazoles **8a-e** prompted us to explore the underpinning mechanism by quantum chemical calculations. The fluorescence switching of previously reported fluorogenic CuAAC reagents^{24,29–31} can be rationalized by considering the relative energy levels of the emissive singlet $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ -excited state and a quenching triplet $^3(n-\pi^*)$ -state,³² which is typically the lowest excited state in azido-substituted fluorophores.³³ Upon conversion to the triazole, the quenching $^3(n-\pi^*)$ -state rises above the emissive $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ -state and the fluorescence is switched on. A similar excited state inversion mechanism is also responsible for the fluorogenic response of an alkyne-substituted coumarin derivative.²⁹ In this case, triazole formation increases the charge-transfer character of the emissive $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ -state, which in polar solvents is sufficiently stabilized to move below the quenching $^3(n-\pi^*)$ -state. Similar to coumarins, excitation of the carbonyl lone-pair electrons in FI-DIBO (**1**) could yield an energetically low-lying $^3(n-\pi^*)$ -state, which upon conversion to the triazoles might then rise above the emissive $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ -level. To explore this scenario, we calculated the excited state manifold of FI-DIBO (**1**) and the corresponding methyl-substituted triazole product **8f** by TD-DFT at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory and gauged the validity of the computational results based on the experimental absorption and emission data. As evident from the data compiled in Table 2, the vertical excitation and emission energies were underestimated by an average of 0.39 eV, a value that falls within the error margin of previous benchmark tests of TD-DFT/B3LYP.³⁴ Accounting for solvent effects with a polarized continuum model (PCM) yielded further improved values, and switching to the long-range corrected hybrid functional CAM-B3LYP³⁵ reproduced the experimental data very well with a low average error of 0.08 eV. Although the vertical excitation energy is not a measurable quantity as the electronic spectrum is further resolved into vibrational bands, the experimental absorption maximum for symmetry-allowed transitions lies usually close to the vertical excitation energy,³⁶ and thus may still serve as a meaningful gauge. As illustrated with the electron density difference plots and the state energy diagram in Figure 3, the lowest energy absorption and emission bands correspond to $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ states, both for FI-DIBO (**1**) and the triazole **8f**. Furthermore, the $^1(n-\pi^*)$ state of FI-DIBO (**1**) resides by more than 1 eV above the emissive $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ state, suggesting that non-radiative deactivation via a $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ - $^3(\pi-\pi^*)$ route is unlikely responsible for the low quantum yield. Within the C_{2v} -symmetric molecular framework the two states have B_2 and B_1 symmetry, respectively, thus rendering such a deactivation pathway also symmetry-forbidden. Given the rigid molecular architecture of FI-DIBO (**1**), excited state deactivation pathways that require large structural changes, for example via a conical intersection to a lower-lying triplet state, appear also improbable. Rather, the low quantum yield of FI-DIBO (**1**) likely originates from the much weaker oscillator strengths and lower energy associated with the $S_0 \leftrightarrow S_1$ transitions compared to the triazoles **8**. According to classical theory, the radiative rate constant k_r for emission is proportional to the product of the oscillator strength and the squared state energy.³⁷ Based on the data in Table 2, k_r is expected to be approximately 13-times slower for **1** compared to **8f**, a value that mirrors well the experimental data (Table 1).

Based on an extensive set of structural and computational data, it was recently suggested that the alkyne bond angle in cyclooctyne derivatives may serve as a predictor for their reactivity.³⁸ Consistent with this proposal, the alkyne bond angles in the ground state equilibrium geometry of FI-DIBO (**1**) are 156° , thus implying a reduced ring strain

compared to the more reactive biarylazacyclooctynone derivative BARAC with alkyne bond angles of 153° .³⁸

CONCLUSIONS

Bioorthogonal fluorogenic reactions in which non- or weakly-fluorescent reagents produce highly fluorescent products greatly expand the possibilities for detecting a broad range of compounds and are particularly advantageous for applications in which probe washout is not possible or desirable.²⁴ A number of such probes have been developed by modifying common fluorophore platforms such as anthracene, BODIPY, or coumarins with an azide moiety as quencher.^{29–31,39,40} Upon Cu(I)-catalyzed cycloaddition to an alkyne-tagged target molecule, the quenching azide is converted to a triazole and the fluorophore emission restored. While in principle the same approach could be used for strain-promoted cycloadditions, the corresponding tags would be inevitably much larger compared to the rather inconspicuous propargyl groups used in CuAAC, and the increased molecular size might adversely affect the properties of smaller target molecules, especially with regard to their biodistribution and biological activity. For this reason, the preferred approach for developing a catalyst-free fluorogenic click reagent is to utilize azide as the tagging moiety and to integrate the strained and bulky cyclooctyne group into the fluorophore structure. A first attempt towards this goal was recently reported by Bertozzi and coworkers, who designed the fluorogenic reagent CoumBARAC by fusing the cyclooctyne ring with a coumarin fluorophore.⁴¹ Although the reaction product with 2-azidoethanol exhibited a 10-fold increased emission compared to unreacted CoumBARAC, it exhibited a low fluorescence quantum yield and required excitation at 300 nm, a wavelength regime that is incompatible with many biological applications. In contrast, the cycloaddition product of FI-DIBO (**1**) is more than 1000-fold brighter compared to the unreacted reagent, offers a large Stokes shift, and can be excited above 350 nm, the typical cut-off wavelength of standard fluorescence microscopes. Quantum mechanical calculations indicate that the fluorescence increase upon triazole formation is not due to a $(n-\pi^*)/(\pi-\pi^*)$ inversion mechanism as found in previous probes but rather related to the substantial differences in oscillator strengths of the $S_0 \leftrightarrow S_1$ transitions in the planar C_{2v} -symmetric FI-DIBO (**1**) compared to the symmetry-broken and non-planar cycloaddition products. Because the fluorescence switching mechanism does not rely on the alteration of excited state energy levels, the turn-on response of FI-DIBO (**1**) is very robust and virtually independent from the polarity of the surrounding environment, thus eliminating the potential for artifacts in complex biological samples. Compared to previously reported fluorogenic click reagents, FI-DIBO (**1**) does not require a metal-catalyst for bioconjugation while still maintaining rapid reaction kinetics. Given these favorable properties, FI-DIBO (**1**) represents a significant advance and is expected to facilitate fluorescent labeling of biomolecules and materials while eliminating the problem of background labeling and need of washing steps. The proposed fluorescent turn-on mechanism will offer unique opportunities to design additional fluorogenic probes with modified properties.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Bis(3-methoxyphenyl)acetylene (**4**)

N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (1.5 mL, 9.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 3-ethynylanisole (0.45 mL, 3.6 mmol), 3-iodoanisole (0.36 mL, 3.0 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (170 mg, 0.15 mmol) and copper(I) iodide (60 mg, 0.3 mmol) in THF (15 mL). The reaction mixture was then refluxed overnight. After being cooled to room temperature, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was then purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate (8:1) affording pure alkyne **4** as a colorless solid (694 mg, 97%): ¹H NMR

(300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.82 (s, 6H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 7.8, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (br s, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 55.44 (2×CH₃), 89.26 (2×C), 115.15 (2×CH), 116.49 (2×CH), 124.31 (2×C), 124.35 (2×CH), 129.55 (2×CH), 159.50 (2×C); HRMS (*m/z*): [*M*⁺] calcd. for C₁₆H₁₄O₂, 238.0994; found, 238.0971.

(*Z*)-3,3'-Dimethoxystilbene (5)

A solution of alkyne **4** (672 mg, 2.82 mmol), Lindlar's catalyst (135 mg, 20% w/w) and quinoline (0.72 mL, 6.1 mmol) in hexane (17 mL) were stirred at room temperature under 1 atm of H₂ for 20 min. The mixture was then filtered through Celite and washed with ethyl acetate (20 mL). The filtrate was then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of hexane and ethyl acetate (8:1) affording pure (*Z*)-alkene **5** as a colorless oil (604 mg, 89%): ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.64 (s, 6H), 6.57 (s, 2H), 6.73 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (br s, 2H), 6.84 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.14 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 55.15 (2×CH₃), 113.41 (2×CH), 113.93 (2×CH), 121.62 (2×CH), 129.31 (2×CH), 130.46 (2×CH), 138.65 (2×C), 159.49 (2×C); HRMS (*m/z*): [*M*⁺] calcd. for C₁₆H₁₆O₂, 240.1150; found, 240.1109.

4,9-Dimethoxy-1*H*-dibenzo[*a,e*]cyclopropa[*c*]cycloocten-1-one (6)

A solution of aluminum chloride (400 mg, 3.0 mmol) and tetrachlorocyclopropene (0.15 mL, 1.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 20 min. The reaction mixture was then cooled to −20 °C and a solution of (*Z*)-alkene **5** (240 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at −20 °C for 1 h, was then allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 2 h and was stirred for an extra h at room temperature. Water (20 mL) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred for an extra 30 min. The organic layer was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×20 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (16 g) using a mixture of 2% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ affording pure cyclopropenone **6** (180 mg, 62%) as a yellow/orange solid: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.82 (s, 6H), 5.99 (s, 2H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.69 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 55.66 (2×CH₃), 113.45 (2×CH), 115.34 (2×C), 121.75 (2×CH), 131.87 (2×CH), 136.13 (2×CH), 140.01 (2×C), 147.73 (2×C), 152.39 (C=O), 163.52 (2×C); HRMS (*m/z*): [*M*+H⁺] calcd. for C₁₉H₁₅O₃, 291.1016; found, 291.1011.

6,7-Dibromo-4,9-dimethoxy-6,7-dihydro-1*H*-dibenzo[*a,e*]cyclopropa[*c*]cycloocten-1-one (7)

A solution of bromine (48 μL, 0.93 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of cyclopropenone **6** (180 mg, 0.62 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 4 h. The mixture was then quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium thiosulfate (10 mL). The two phases were then separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×10 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (16 g) using a mixture of 2% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ affording pure dibromo-cyclopropenone **7** (243 mg, 87%) as a colorless solid: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.91 (s, 6H), 5.75 (s, 2H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.05 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 8.06 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 50.44 (2×CH), 55.88 (2×CH₃), 114.14 (2×CH), 115.71 (2×C), 118.24 (2×CH), 137.16 (2×CH), 141.74 (2×C), 142.96 (2×C), 152.71 (C=O), 162.72 (2×C); HRMS (*m/z*): [*M*⁺] calcd. for C₁₉H₁₄O₃⁷⁹Br₂, 447.9310; found, 447.9313.

4,9-Dimethoxy-6,7-didehydro-1*H*-dibenzo[*a,e*]cyclopropa[*c*]cycloocten-1-one (1)

A solution of potassium hydroxide (300 mg, 5.30 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) was added to a solution of dibromo-cyclopropenone **7** (240 mg, 0.53 mmol) in ethanol (25 mL). The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature overnight. The solution was quenched with an aqueous solution of HCl (1N, until pH≈6). The mixture was then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×10 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was then purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (16 g) using a mixture of 1% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ affording pure cyclooctyne **1** (116 mg, 76%) as a yellow solid: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.80 (s, 6H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.63 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 55.81 (2×CH₃), 106.82 (2×C), 113.70 (2×CH), 114.80 (2×CH), 125.38 (2×C), 126.87 (2×C), 136.76 (2×CH), 146.48 (2×C), 153.81 (C=O), 163.39 (2×C); HRMS (*m/z*): [M+H⁺] calcd. for C₁₉H₁₃O₃, 289.0859; found, 289.0813.

General Procedure for Triazole Formation

A solution of FI-DIBO **1** (14.4 mg, 0.05 mmol) and the respective azide **10a**, **10b** (BnN₃), **10c**, **10d** and **10e** (0.1 mmol) in a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ and methanol (4:1, 5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (7 g) using an appropriate mixture of MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ affording pure triazoles **8a-e**, respectively (for product characterization see SI).

Kinetic Measurements

The rate measurements of cycloaddition of cyclooctyne **1** with benzyl azide **10b** were conducted by using ¹H-NMR spectroscopy (Varian Mercury 300 MHz) at 25 °C. A 20 mM solution of benzyl azide **10b** (0.2 mL) in CDCl₃:MeOD (4:1) was added to a thermally equilibrated solution of cyclooctyne **1** (10 mM, 0.4 mL) in a mixture of CDCl₃:MeOD (4:1), leading to a mixture of both reactants in 1:1 ratio with a respective concentration of 6.66 mM. Reactions were monitored by following the decay of characteristic peaks of cyclooctyne **1** (d= 3.78 (2×OCH₃), 6.43 (2×CH_{Ar}) and 6.63 (2×CH_{Ar}) ppm) as well as the formation of characteristic triazole peaks (d= 3.65 (OCH₃), 3.89 (OCH₃), 5.43 (CH₂-triazole) ppm). Consumption of starting materials followed a second order equation and the second order rate constants were obtained by least-squares fitting of the data to a linear equation (Figure S1).

Azido-BSA (9)

A solution of *N*-{2-[2-(2-azidoethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl}-2-iodoacetamide **17** (50 mM, 0.6 mL) in a mixture of PBS buffer (20 mM, pH 7.3) and CH₃CN (1:1) was added to a solution of BSA (1 mM, 1 mL) in PBS buffer (20 mM, pH 7.3). The reaction mixture was shaken overnight at room temperature, dialyzed (MWCO=10KDa) against a mixture of PBS buffer (20 mM, pH 7.3) and CH₃CN (4:1) and stored at 4 °C.

SPAAC with Azido-BSA

A solution of FI-DIBO **1** (2.5 mM, 20 μL) in ethanol was added to a solution of azido-BSA **9** (50 μM, 180 μL) in PBS buffer (20 mM, pH 7.3, containing 1% SDS). The reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C overnight, separated by SDS-PAGE (10% gradient) and the gel was analyzed by fluorescence imaging (λ_{exc}=365 nm; λ_{detec}=480 nm) and by Coomassie Blue stain to reveal total protein content.

Absorption and Fluorescence Measurements

UV-Vis spectra were recorded using Varian Cary Bio50 UV-Vis spectrophotometer at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$. Fluorescence spectra were recorded with a PTI fluorimeter using a cuvette with 1 cm pathlength. All spectra were corrected for the spectral response of the detection system and for the spectral irradiance of the excitation source (via a calibrated photodiode). For spectra, see SI Figs S8–S13.

Quantum Yield Determination

Quantum yields were determined from the slope of the integrated fluorescence emission between 360 and 700 nm (excitation at 346 nm) versus absorbance using quinine sulfate ($\Phi_f = 0.54 \pm 0.03$) as fluorescence standard.⁴² For each compound, four data points were acquired with absorbances ranging between 0.05 and 0.5 ($l = 10$ cm).

Computational Studies

Quantum chemical calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 09 suite of programs (Rev. C01). All ground-state geometries were energy minimized by DFT with the B3LYP hybrid functional and Pople's 6-31G(d) split valence basis set with added polarization functions. In case of compound **1**, geometry optimizations were performed within the C_{2v} point group. To ensure a stationary point on the ground-state potential surface, the optimized geometries were verified by a vibrational frequency analysis. Vertical excitation energies were calculated at the ground-state equilibrium geometry based on TD-DFT with the B3LYP or CAM-B3LYP³⁵ hybrid functionals and the 6-31+G(d) basis set with added diffuse functions. To estimate fluorescence emission energies, the geometry of the lowest excited state was optimized by TD-DFT at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory and the vertical emission energy was calculated at the excited state geometry (TD-DFT with B3LYP/6-31+G(d)). Bulk solvent effects were evaluated based on the polarizable continuum model (PCM) using default parameters.⁴³ For this purpose, ground state geometries were energy minimized with PCM equilibrium solvation (Table S1 and S2), and the vertical excitation energies were subsequently calculated with ground-state solvation using TD-DFT in combination with B3LYP/6-31+G(d) or CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d), respectively. Similarly, fluorescence emission energies were obtained based on TD-DFT geometry optimizations with state-specific PCM equilibrium solvation,^{44,45} followed by a TD-DFT calculation with state-specific solvation using B3LYP/6-31+G(d) or CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d), respectively. Total electron density differences between the ground and excited states were visualized with VMD⁴⁶ based on Gaussian cube output files.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from the National Institutes of Health (5R01CA088986, 5P41RR005351, and 8P41GM103390 to G.-J.B) and (R01GM067169 to C.J.F.) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Crivat G, Taraska JW. Trends Biotechnol. 2012; 30:8. [PubMed: 21924508]
2. Lippincott-Schwartz J, Patterson GH. Science. 2003; 300:87. [PubMed: 12677058]
3. Zhang J, Campbell RE, Ting AY, Tsien RY. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio. 2002; 3:906. [PubMed: 12461557]
4. Best MD, Rowland MM, Bostic HE. Acc Chem Res. 2011; 44:686. [PubMed: 21548554]

5. Jing C, Cornish VW. *Acc Chem Res.* 2011; 44:784. [PubMed: 21879706]
6. Sletten EM, Bertozzi CR. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:6974.
7. Debets MF, van der Doelen CWJ, Rutjes FPJT, van Delft FL. *ChemBioChem.* 2010; 11:1168. [PubMed: 20455238]
8. Schilling CI, Jung N, Biskup M, Schepers U, Bräse S. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2011;4840. [PubMed: 21687844]
9. Saxon E, Bertozzi CR. *Science.* 2000; 287:2007. [PubMed: 10720325]
10. Meldal M, Tornøe CW. *Chem Rev.* 2008; 108:2952. [PubMed: 18698735]
11. Kennedy DC, McKay CS, Legault MCB, Danielson DC, Blake JA, Pegoraro AF, Stolor A, Mester Z, Pezacki JP. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:17993. [PubMed: 21970470]
12. Soriano Del Amo D, Wang W, Jiang H, Besanceney C, Yan AC, Levy M, Liu Y, Marlow FL, Wu P. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:16893. [PubMed: 21062072]
13. Debets MF, van Berkel SS, Dommerholt J, Dirks ATJ, Rutjes FPJT, van Delft FL. *Acc Chem Res.* 2011; 44:805. [PubMed: 21766804]
14. Jewett JC, Bertozzi CR. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2010; 39:1272. [PubMed: 20349533]
15. Laughlin ST, Baskin JM, Amacher SL, Bertozzi CR. *Science.* 2008; 320:664. [PubMed: 18451302]
16. Ning X, Guo J, Wolfert MA, Boons G-J. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2008; 47:2253.
17. Friscourt F, Ledin PA, Mbua NE, Flanagan-Steet HR, Wolfert MA, Steet R, Boons G-J. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:5381. [PubMed: 22376061]
18. Mbua NE, Guo J, Wolfert MA, Steet R, Boons G-J. *ChemBioChem.* 2011; 12:1912. [PubMed: 21661087]
19. Debets MF, van Berkel SS, Schoffelen S, Rutjes FPJT, van Hest JCM, van Delft FL. *Chem Commun.* 2010; 46:97.
20. Jewett JC, Sletten EM, Bertozzi CR. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:3688. [PubMed: 20187640]
21. Poloukhine AA, Mbua NE, Wolfert MA, Boons G-J, Popik VV. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:15769. [PubMed: 19860481]
22. Canalle LA, van Berkel SS, de Haan LT, van Hest JCM. *Adv Funct Mat.* 2009; 19:3464.
23. Kii I, Shiraishi A, Hiramatsu T, Matsushita T, Uekusa H, Yoshida S, Yamamoto M, Kudo A, Hagiwara M, Hosoya T. *Org Biomol Chem.* 2010; 8:4051. [PubMed: 20657923]
24. Le Droumaguet C, Wang C, Wang Q. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2010; 39:1233. [PubMed: 20309483]
25. Tobey SW, West R. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1964; 86:1459.
26. Dommerholt J, Schmidt S, Temming R, Hendriks LJ, Rutjes FPJT, van Hest JCM, Lefebvre DJ, Friedl P, van Delft FL. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010; 49:9422.
27. van Geel R, Pruijn GJM, van Delft FL, Boelens WC. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2012; 23:392.
28. Yao JZ, Uttamapinant C, Poloukhine A, Baskin JM, Codelli JA, Sletten EM, Bertozzi CR, Popik VV, Ting AY. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:3720. [PubMed: 22239252]
29. Zhou Z, Fahrni CJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:8862. [PubMed: 15264794]
30. Sivakumar K, Xie F, Cash BM, Long S, Barnhill HN, Wang Q. *Org Lett.* 2004; 6:4603. [PubMed: 15548086]
31. Sawa M, Hsu T-L, Itoh T, Sugiyama M, Hanson SR, Vogt PK, Wong C-H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; 103:12371. [PubMed: 16895981]
32. Lower SK, Elsayed MA. *Chem Rev.* 1966; 66:199.
33. Reiser A, Marley R. *Trans Faraday Soc.* 1968; 64:1806.
34. Silva-Junior MR, Schreiber M, Sauer SPA, Thiel W. *J Chem Phys.* 2008; 129:104103. [PubMed: 19044904]
35. Yanai T, Tew DP, Handy NC. *Chem Phys Lett.* 2004; 393:51.
36. Roos, B. *Theoretical and Computational Chemistry Vol 16 Computational Photochemistry.* Olivucci, M., editor. Vol. 16. Elsevier; 2005. p. 317
37. Turro, NJ. *Modern Molecular Photochemistry.* University Science Books; 1991.

38. Gordon CG, Mackey JL, Jewett JC, Sletten EM, Houk KN, Bertozzi CR. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:9199. [PubMed: 22553995]
39. Devaraj NK, Hilderbrand S, Upadhyay R, Mazitschek R, Weissleder R. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2010; 49:2869.
40. Lemieux GA, De Graffenried CL, Bertozzi CR. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2003; 125:4708. [PubMed: 12696879]
41. Jewett JC, Bertozzi CR. *Org Lett.* 2011; 13:5937. [PubMed: 22029411]
42. Demas JN, Crosby GA. *J Phys Chem.* 1971; 75:991.
43. Tomasi J, Mennucci B, Cammi R. *Chem Rev.* 2005; 105:2999. [PubMed: 16092826]
44. Improta R, Barone V, Scalmani G, Frisch MJ. *J Chem Phys.* 2006; 125:054103. [PubMed: 16942199]
45. Improta R, Scalmani G, Frisch MJ, Barone V. *J Chem Phys.* 2007; 127:074504. [PubMed: 17718617]
46. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. *J Mol Graphics Modell.* 1996; 14:33.

Figure 1.

a) SPAAC between FI-DIBO probe (**1**) and various azides; b) Various triazole products generated from the corresponding azides with FI-DIBO probe; Absorption (solid blue trace) and emission (solid green trace, $\lambda_{\text{exc}}=420$ nm) spectra of FI-DIBO and absorption (dashed blue trace) and emission (dashed green trace, $\lambda_{\text{exc}}=370$ nm) spectra of triethyleneglycol triazole **8a** recorded in MeOH. Inset images compare the visible fluorescence emission of the FI-DIBO probe (left cuvette) to the triazole product (**8a**) (right cuvette) under UV excitation (365 nm).

Figure 2.

Formation of azido-BSA and its labeling with FI-DIBO probe. Purified azido-BSA (50 μM) and native BSA (50 μM) were labeled with 250 μM of FI-DIBO (**1**) or DIBO-FITC for 18 h at 37 °C in PBS containing 10% EtOH and 1% SDS. The crude reaction mixtures were separated by SDS-PAGE and the gel was analyzed by fluorescence imaging (top row; $\lambda_{\text{exc}}=365$ nm; $\lambda_{\text{detec}}=480$ nm) and by Coomassie Blue stain to reveal total protein content (bottom row).

Figure 3.

Excited state manifold for A) FI-DIBO (**1**) and B) the triazole **8f** illustrating the relative energies of the $^1(\pi-\pi^*)$ and $^1(n-\pi^*)$ singlet states in methanol (TD-DFT at the CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d)//B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory, including PCM solvent correction). The color plots illustrate the total electron density difference between the respective ground and excited states (decreasing density shown in blue, increasing density red; GS = ground state; S_1 , S_2 = first and second excited singlet state).

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of FI-DIBO. Reagents and conditions: a) $\text{Pd(PPh}_3)_4$, CuI , $(i\text{-Pr})_2\text{NEt}$, THF, reflux, 18 h, 97%; b) H_2 , Lindlar's catalyst, quinoline, hexane, rt, 20 min, 89%; c) AlCl_3 , tetrachlorocyclopropene, CH_2Cl_2 , -20°C to rt, 4 h, H_2O , 62%; d) Br_2 , CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C , 2 h, 87%; e) KOH , EtOH , rt, 18 h, 76%.

Table 1
Photophysical Properties of FI-DIBO (**1**) and Triazoles **8a-e** in Methanol at 298K

Compound	1	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e
λ_{abs} [nm]	420	363	364 ^e	366	360	362
ϵ_{abs} [M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹] ^a	190	4300	4600	1700	5000	6100
λ_{em} [nm]	574	491	489	499	492	489
Stokes shift [cm ⁻¹]	6390	7180	7020	7280	7450	7170
Φ_f ^b	0.002	0.119	0.12	0.065	0.087	0.12
Life time [ns] ^c	1.61±0.01 ^f	9.55±0.01	8.59±0.01 ^g	7.10±0.01 ^h	8.81±0.01	9.80±0.01
k_f [10 ⁷ s ⁻¹] ^d	0.12	1.25	1.16	0.92	0.99	1.22

^a determined at 420 nm for **1** and at 370 nm for **8a-e**.

^b fluorescence quantum yield, quinine sulfate in 1.0 N H₂SO₄ as standard.

^c fluorescence decay acquired at 490 nm and fitted to a monoexponential decay model.

^d radiative deactivation rate constant ($k_f = \Phi_f/\tau_f$).

^e maximum of excitation spectrum.

^f natural decay lifetime based on biexponential decay with $\tau_1 = 0.51$ ns (62%) and $\tau_2 = 3.40$ ns (38%).

^g natural decay lifetime based on biexponential decay with $\tau_1 = 9.43$ ns (88%) and $\tau_2 = 2.41$ ns (12%).

^h acquired at 500 nm.

Table 2

Computational Data for Fl-DIBO (**1**) and Triazole **8f** ($R = CH_3$)^a

compd	data	exp ^b	state	TD-B3LYP/6-31+G(d) gasphase	TD-B3LYP/6-31+G(d) PCM ^d	TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G(d) PCM ^d
1	absorption [eV] ^c	2.95	S ₁ ($\pi-\pi^*$)	2.60 (0.014)	2.60 (0.023)	2.95 (0.023)
			S ₂ ($n-\pi^*$)	2.79 (0.0001)	3.24 (0.0002)	4.01 (0.0003)
	emission [eV]	2.16	S ₁ ($\pi-\pi^*$)	1.78 (0.007)	1.82 (0.011)	2.06 (0.011)
	E_{00} [eV] ^e	2.56		2.19	2.21	2.50
8f	absorption [eV] ^c	3.41	S ₁ ($\pi-\pi^*$)	3.17 (0.093)	3.23 (0.18)	3.65 (0.23)
			S ₂ ($n-\pi^*$)	3.27 (0.0152)	3.68 (0.0123)	4.37 (0.028)
	emission [eV]	2.53	S ₁ ($\pi-\pi^*$)	2.13 (0.026)	2.26 (0.058)	2.56 (0.071)
	E_{00} [eV] ^e	3.06		2.65	2.75	3.11
	MAE [eV] ^f			0.36	0.30	0.08

^a all geometries were optimized at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level of theory.^b lowest energy absorption band maximum of **8a** in methanol.^c vertical excitation energy; oscillator strength in parentheses.^d polarized continuum model with methanol as solvent.^e excited state equilibrium energy.^f mean absolute error.