Published in final edited form as:

Analyst. 2013 January 7; 138(1): 249–262. doi:10.1039/c2an36205h.

Portable ceria nanoparticle-based assay for rapid detection of food antioxidants (NanoCerac)

Erica Sharpe, Thalia Frasco, Daniel Andreescu, and Silvana Andreescu*

Department of Chemistry and Biomolecular Science Potsdam, NY 13699-5810

Abstract

With increased awareness of nutrition and the advocacy for healthier food choices, there exists a great demand for a simple, easy-to-use test that can reliably measure the antioxidant capacity of dietary products. We report development and characterization of a portable nanoparticle based-assay, similar to a small sensor patch, for rapid and sensitive detection of food antioxidants. The assay is based on the use of immobilized ceria nanoparticles, which change color after interaction with antioxidants by means of redox and surface chemistry reactions. Monitoring corresponding optical changes enables sensitive detection of antioxidants in which the nanoceria provides an optical 'signature' of antioxidant power, while the antioxidants act as reducing agents. The sensor has been tested for the detection of common antioxidant compounds including ascorbic acid, gallic acid, vanillic acid, quercetin, caffeic acid, and epigallocatechin gallate and its function has been successfully applied for the assessment of antioxidant activity in real samples (teas and medicinal mushrooms). The colorimetric response was concentration dependent, with detection limits ranging from 20–400 μM depending on the antioxidant involved. Steady-state color intensity was achieved within seconds upon addition of antioxidants. The results are presented in terms of Gallic Acid Equivalents (GAE). The sensor performed favorably when compared with commonly used antioxidant detection methods. This assay is particularly appealing for remote sensing applications, where specialized equipment is not available, and also for high throughput analysis of a large number of samples. Potential applications for antioxidant detection in remote locations are envisioned.

Keywords

ceria; nanoparticles; antioxidant detection; portable assay; remote locations; GAE; tea; mushroom

Introduction

In recent years, much attention has been drawn to antioxidants because of their supposed ability to fight cancer, promote health and prevent a wide variety of diseases including heart disease, aging, and neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson's and Alzheimer's. Scientific knowledge and epidemiological evidence suggest that consumption of antioxidant compounds reduces oxidative stress, created by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS and RNS), and thus provides prophylactic and therapeutic benefits in the treatment of disease. However, the 'true' antioxidant capacity of any given compound and dietary food product is questionable. A current limitation is the lack of validated assays that can reliably and accurately measure antioxidant capacity.^{1,2} Conventional antioxidant assays involve the use of radical generation systems, colorimetric dyes, fluorophores or enzymes, and require spectroscopic or electrochemical instrumentation. In general, these assays are not portable

*Corresponding author: eandrees@clarkson.edu.

and their cost is prohibitive for general use. Additionally, all of these assays determine antioxidant capacity in a controlled laboratory based environment.

Most antioxidant activity assays currently in practice assess the ability of an antioxidant to scavenge synthetically created free radicals (e.g. ROS, RNS) or to reduce reactive redox metals (e.g. iron, copper or gold).^{2–5,6} Some assays assess the ability of an antioxidant to scavenge a specific radical (e.g. ABTS^{•+} (2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)),⁷ DPPH[•] (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl),⁸ superoxide (O₂^{•-}), while others provide a total antioxidant/reducing capacity. Examples of commonly used assays include the ORAC (oxygen radical absorbance capacity) and the TRAP (total radical trapping antioxidant parameter) assays which use fluorescence to test the ability of a compound to neutralize peroxy radicals.⁹ Assays designed to reduce redox metals involve iron, copper and gold reduction. For example, the FRAP (ferric reducing antioxidant power) assay monitors the ability of an antioxidant to reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II)^{10,11} and the CUPRAC (copper reduction antioxidant assay) monitors the ability of an antioxidant to reduce Cu(II) to Cu(I).¹² Each of these assays assess the ability of a compound to interact with one unique ROS (DPPH[•], ABTS^{•+}, DPPH[•], superoxide O₂^{•-}, H₂O₂) or a redox metal (Fe, Au, or Cu) and reveal different specificities toward free radicals. Therefore each assay ranks antioxidants in a different order of hierarchy and most interassay comparisons show significant discrepancies,³ and thus a questionable ranking of the antioxidant power. Most often, the use of multiple, complementary assays is needed to gain a complete understanding of the total antioxidant activity. At present there are no portable antioxidant assays suited for field use.

Several recent works report development of nanoparticle (NPs) based antioxidant assays, that monitor changes in the physicochemical properties of nanoparticles as they interact with antioxidants.¹³ The most commonly used strategies are based on gold NPs¹⁴ in which detection of antioxidants is achieved indirectly by monitoring NP aggregation,¹⁵ NP enlargement in the presence of AuCl₄⁻ and the antioxidant compound,¹⁶ formation of NPs by reduction of gold salts facilitated by antioxidants,¹⁷ or by inhibition of H₂O₂ - mediated growth of gold nanostructures by antioxidants.^{18–20} Changes in the physicochemical properties of the NPs in contact with antioxidants indicate antioxidant activity in the form of reducing power, which correlates well with the oxidation potential.^{17,21} All colorimetric NP-based assays reported to date for the detection of antioxidants are carried out in colloidal dispersions.

We report herein development of a fully-integrated colorimetric assay in which immobilized NPs of cerium oxide (CeO₂ or nanoceria) are used as color indicators. Due to the dual reversible oxidation state of cerium Ce(III)/Ce(IV) on the NP surface, nanoceria has the ability to change redox states and surface properties when in contact with antioxidants. These changes are accompanied by a color change that is used in this work to assess the total antioxidant capacity. To fabricate the sensor, ceria NPs are attached onto filter paper²² to create an active ceria based sensing platform that provides a colorimetric readout, is inexpensive and easy-to-use. Introduction of antioxidant samples to the ceria sensor induces a color change that is proportional to the antioxidant concentration of the sample. The assay does not require reagents (except for the sample), specialized equipment or the use of an external power supply.

To our knowledge this is the first study reporting the use of nanoceria functionalized paper as a portable sensing platform for the detection of antioxidants. The results obtained by this method, quantified in terms of GAE, are compared with those obtained using commonly accepted antioxidant assays. The proposed nanoceria assay can be used independently or in conjunction with current procedures (FRAP, CUPRAC, Au NPs reduction, ORAC, TEAC, TRAP, or DPPH) to more comprehensively assess antioxidant activity. Since the assay is

easy-to-use and portable, it can be particularly appealing for remote sensing applications, where specialized equipment is not available,²³ and for high throughput analysis of a large number of samples. Potential applications for antioxidant detection in remote locations and developing countries are envisioned.

Detection principle

Two antioxidant detection mechanisms using immobilized ceria NPs have been investigated and are described in this work. The first strategy relies on the ability of antioxidant compounds to reduce cerium on the NP surface from Ce(IV) to Ce(III). This method takes advantage of coexistent dual Ce(IV/III) oxidation states on the NPs surface, and the changes in the physicochemical and spectroscopic properties of the NPs in the presence of antioxidants. This process is dependent on the concentration of the reducing/antioxidant compound and is accompanied by a color change that is specific to the type of antioxidant and proportional to its concentration. Soluble Ce(IV) ions (e.g. cerium sulfate in sulfuric acid solution) are known powerful oxidizing agents²⁴ However, studies on the potential use of colloidal ceria NPs as an oxidizer and colorimetric agent for the detection of antioxidants have not been reported. Monitoring the corresponding optical changes in the ceria NPs enables sensitive detection of antioxidants in which the nanoceria provides an optical 'signature' of antioxidant power, while antioxidants themselves, act as reducing agents. To exemplify, the redox reaction between CeO₂ and a common antioxidant (ascorbic acid as an example) is:

The second method indirectly quantifies the ability of antioxidants to scavenge surface adsorbed peroxy radicals residing on pre-treated ceria NPs. Formation of surface adsorbed ceria-peroxy complexes on ceria particles treated with H₂O₂ has been reported. This process occurs concomitantly with a color change from white/yellow to dark brown (Ce-peroxy complexes).²² In this assay, addition of antioxidants causes a decrease in color intensity of the cerium complex in a concentration dependent manner. This principle was used as an indirect color inhibition method for assessing antioxidant power through inactivation of surface adsorbed superoxides.

Experimental

Reagents and equipment

Cerium (IV) oxide nanoparticles, or ceria 20 wt. % colloidal dispersion in 2.5% acetic acid, 10–20 nm (289744) average particle size, hydrogen peroxide and sodium phosphate were from Sigma Aldrich. The average particle size of the 10–20 nm ceria NPs was verified by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and particle size distribution (PSD). Filter paper (P5; medium porosity; slow flow rate) was purchased from Fisher Scientific and used as received. All reagents were used without further purification and all solutions were prepared with distilled, deionized water (Millipore, Direct-Q system) with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ. Fluorescein sodium salt, and [2,2'-azobis(2-amidino-propane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) were from Fisher Scientific. The antioxidants 6-Hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetra-methylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), and epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) were from Sigma Aldrich; L-ascorbic acid (AA), and gallic acid (GA) were from Acros; vanillic acid (VA) and quercetin (Q) were from Alfa Aesar; and caffeic acid (CA) was from Spectrum Chemical. Teas, *Camellia Sinensis* (tea), and *Aspalathus linearis* (rooibos) were attained from PCC Natural Market in Seattle, WA in commercial form from *Choice* Teas, and also from the Potsdam, NY Food Co-op, sold as individually packaged loose leaf teas. Medicinal mushrooms were obtained from biologist Colden McClurg; *Ganoderma lucidum* (reishi) was purchased at a

farmer's market in Seattle, WA in fall 2011; and *Ganoderma tsugae* (reishi) was harvested in Trumansburg, NY in late July 2012; *Morchella elata* (morel) was harvested in mid June 2012 in the Bitterroot National Forest Montana, following a forest fire in September 2011, and *Pleurotus ostreatus* (oyster) were harvested at Allen's Falls, Potsdam, NY in late July 2012. *Inonotus obliquus* (chaga) was obtained by Mike Perry and harvested in the northern Adirondack Mountains in early April 2012.

UV-VIS spectrophotometric tests to monitor the spectral properties of nanoceria in the presence of antioxidants were carried out with a Shimadzu P2041 spectrophotometer equipped with a 1-cm path length cell. A fluorescence 96 well plate reader (Gemini EM fluorescence plate reader by Molecular Devices) was used to perform the ORAC assay for validation and inter-assay comparison purposes.

Fabrication of the ceria sensor

To prepare the ceria sensor, round-cut 11 cm Fisher filter paper disks were soaked in 4% cerium oxide in distilled water, and dried at 85 C for 5 minutes. Dried ceria papers were cut with a 9/32" hole-puncher into 0.67 mm diameter circular discs.

Colorimetric measurements

Direct nanoceria reduction procedure—The direct assay was carried out by applying antioxidant solutions in concentrations ranging from 0.008 to 100 mM in 20 μ L aliquots to ceria paper discs. The samples were allowed to dry on the paper. The disks were then attached to the back of a sticker for color visibility, organization and ease of sample handling, and scanned for color analysis. Calibrations were made for each antioxidant showing the direct relationship between blue color intensity and concentration.

Indirect assay: peroxy radical scavenging—The ability of an antioxidant to scavenge peroxy radicals was quantified as % yellow color inhibition, indicating the peroxy radical scavenging capacity of an antioxidant against surface adsorbed Ce-peroxy complexes. To induce formation of peroxy complexes, the immobilized ceria was first treated with hydrogen peroxide. For this, 20 μ L of 0.55 M hydrogen peroxide was added to each paper disc, which was then rinsed with water to remove excess H_2O_2 and allowed to dry for 30 minutes on filter paper. After pre-treatment, 20 μ L of antioxidant solution of various concentrations was applied to each disc. After reaction with antioxidants, the ceria discs were scanned and analyzed for % color inhibition. A calibration was created for each antioxidant showing the direct relationship between color intensity and concentration.

Data Analysis

Sensors were scanned in color photo setting 200 dpi using an HP Scanjet Scanner and saved as JPEG images, which were imported into Adobe Photoshop and analyzed using the eyedropper tool. Images were analyzed using RGB, CMYK, greyscale and Lab color intensities, all of which were graphed versus concentration in order to determine the most sensitive and accurate method of analysis. Analysis using the blue (B) color channel allowed for detection of lowest concentrations with greatest sensitivity and linearity of all color analysis options and thus all calibrations were created using blue color intensity. Antioxidant activity is presented in units of gallic acid equivalence (GAE), noted as mM GA with equivalent activity to 1 mM sample, determined from the ratio of slopes ($m_{\text{sample}}/m_{\text{Gallic acid}}$).

Validation and interassay comparison

To validate the ceria sensors, the ORAC assay was selected to perform interassay comparison, and determine the relative antioxidant capacity of real samples. The ORAC assay was selected as this method has been adopted by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA), as its major antioxidant assay for quantification of the health benefits of a variety of foods. The ORAC assay was carried out using guidelines from ZenBio Laboratories and Henning.²⁵ For this, 75 μL of 1.9 μM fluorescein, in a 75 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.44) were added to each well of a 96 well plate, followed by 50 μL of samples: antioxidants (0.01–50 μM), tea (0.08 mg/mL), Trolox control (50 μM) or buffer as a blank. The plate was incubated for 10–20 minutes at 37°C and then 240 mM AAPH oxidant (2,2'-azobis(amidinopropane) dihydrochloride) was added using a multichannel pipette to initiate the reaction. A kinetic assay was performed for 90 minutes with readings taken every minute. The quenching of fluorescein emission peak by AAPH was monitored at an excitation and emission wavelengths set to 485 nm and 538 nm, respectively, and antioxidant protection was assessed and given an ORAC value. The ORAC value was calculated by finding the net area under the curve (net AUC) of each sample: (AUC sample-AUC blank). The net AUC provided by each sample was compared to that provided by Trolox, revealing antioxidant strength in terms of mmol Trolox/g sample. The equation used was $(\text{net AUC}_{\text{sample}}/\text{net AUC}_{\text{Trolox}}) * (\text{mmol Trolox/g sample})$.

Analysis of real samples

Four varieties of tea (*Camellia sinensis* teas (green, black and white), and herbal tea, *Aspalathus linearis* (Rooibos)) from the same distributor (*Choice Teas*) were brewed under the same conditions (2 g tea/200 mL water, 80 C, 5 minute brew). To determine TEAC using the ORAC assay, all samples were diluted to appropriate concentrations. 0.08 g/L samples of all four teas were tested side by side, along with 50 μM Trolox as the standard, and buffer as the blank. For GAE determination, 1:1 serial dilutions of each sample were prepared and applied to ceria sensors. After drying, sensors were analyzed as described above, and a linear calibration (color intensity vs. concentration (g/L)) was created for each sample. The slope (m) of this correlation was compared to that of gallic acid ($m_{\text{sample}}/m_{\text{GA}}$) to determine GAE (mmol GA/g sample). GAEs were determined, as well for five types of medicinal mushrooms (*Ganoderma tsugae* and *lucidum* (Reishi), *Morchella elata* (Morel), *Pleurotus ostreatus* (oyster), and *Inonotus obliquus* (chaga)). Each sample of mushroom was finely chopped and simmered for 2 hours. The resulting volume of solution was used to calculate concentration of the final solution (g sample/mL final solution). Samples were analyzed following the same procedure as was used in tea analysis.

Results

Spectroscopic investigation of ceria NPs dispersions in the presence of antioxidants

UV-VIS spectroscopy on colloidal dispersions was used to study if interaction of ceria NPs with antioxidants in solution induces spectral changes of ceria and if the color change is concentration dependent. A series of six antioxidants were tested (Trolox, EGCG, AA, GA, VA and CA), while the NPs concentration was varied from 0.013 to 20 % (w/v). Absorption spectra of ceria NPs at a concentration of 0.013% shows a distinctive peak with a maximum at ~300 nm corresponding to the band gap of CeO_2 due to the charge transfer between O_{2p} and Ce_{4f} in O_2^- and Ce^{4+} .²⁶ Addition of antioxidants to this dispersion increased the maximum absorption peak of the antioxidants (Figure 1). This indicates an enhancement in the UV absorption behavior and might be due to oxidation of the antioxidants by ceria. This is consistent with previous observations that ceria acts as an oxidizing agent in the oxidation of organic compounds.²⁷ This oxidative action was associated in some reports with an 'oxidase-like' mimetic activity.²⁸ When much higher concentrations of ceria (>2%) were

tested, addition of antioxidants induced a strong almost instantaneous visual color change of the colloidal solution from colorless to dark brown for all six antioxidants tested. Figure 2 shows the corresponding absorption spectra of a series of ceria NPs dispersions in the absence and presence of antioxidants, indicating formation a strong red shift in the absorption spectra of ceria. The magnitude of the red shift and the intensity of the color varies with the type of antioxidant. The spectral changes suggest alterations in the composition, structure or physico-chemical properties of the ceria NPs' surface induced by the presence of antioxidants. The observed red shift is similar with that reported previously in studies of the interaction of titania NPs with catechol-type derivatives, that suggested formation of charge transfer complexes between the semiconductor and catechol.²⁹ These charge transfer complexes have shown significantly improved optical and charge separation properties explained by a charge transfer of electrons from the catechol-type ligands into the conduction band of titania.

Studies of the interaction of ceria with catecholate compounds have not been reported. We speculate that the dual Ce(IV)/Ce(III) oxidation states in ceria and the strong oxidising ability of these particles contribute to the overall process. Our proposed mechanism involves partial reduction of the ceria surface from Ce(IV) to Ce(III) which occurs with concomitant oxidation of the antioxidants (Reaction 1 as an example for AA). The oxidation compounds and reaction intermediates have high reactivity. Some of these compounds are quinoid type, involving a number of highly reactive phenoxyl radicals³⁰ derived from the catecholic structure of the antioxidant. As a result of their reactivity, either the parent compound or the oxidation products can bind to the OH-rich particles and self-assemble around the particle, generating charge transfer ceria-antioxidant complexes, or antioxidant modified ceria NPs, that possess distinct spectral and electronic properties as compared with the bare ceria or the parent antioxidant. Since the color change is indicative of the reducing power of the antioxidant and their binding ability to the ceria NPs surface by means of surface chemical reactions, these changes can be used to assess the antioxidant capacity. The results in Figure 2 show a possible correlation of the color intensity with the chemical structure and the binding ability of the antioxidants. The lowest shift was observed for AA, which lacks the catecholate structure, but contains four hydroxyl groups that could participate in binding to the ceria particles. The next two compounds, GA and VA have a phenolic acid structure with three and one OH groups, respectively, on the phenolic ring. Both can form o-substituted quinones and have higher reactivity than AA due to potential formation of phenoxyl intermediates. In the same order, EGCG, Q and CA show a greater red shift and the strongest color intensity. Structurally, these compounds have increased reactivity likely due to their large number of OH functional groups, many of which are o-substituted, allowing them to function as a "claw-like" structure during reaction with ceria NPs.

The spectroscopic studies indicate that a visible color change, or formation of charge transfer complexes, is observed for high-concentration dispersions of ceria NPs. Figure 3A shows color changes of ceria NPs dispersions of increasing concentrations in the presence and absence of 0.45 mM caffeic acid. Figure 3B shows spectral changes of ceria NP dispersions of increasing concentrations in the presence of 0.5 mM GA. The greatest red shift occurs at or above 0.2 % ceria. Similar color changes were observed for the other antioxidants tested. These results indicate that use of relatively high concentrations of ceria is required to develop a ceria based analytical method for the detection of antioxidants with adequate sensitivity. While the assay can be performed in colloidal ceria dispersions, we aim in this work to fabricate portable self-integrated ceria sensors, as described in the following section.

Analytical characterization of the ceria assay with direct color quantification

Further experiments were performed with ceria NPs immobilized onto filter paper, effectively constituting the sensing platform for the detection of antioxidants. Attachment of the particles onto the paper surface was achieved by surface adsorption. The particles are stabilized through the formation of hydrogen bonding between the OH-rich ceria surface and the cellulosic fibers.³¹ The proof-of-concept for this ceria sensor was demonstrated through detection of six antioxidant compounds. The operational conditions and analytical performance characteristics including detection limit, sensitivity, reproducibility and linearity were established. Figure 4 displays the colorimetric responses of the ceria paper to addition of increasing concentrations of antioxidants and the corresponding calibration curves. The color intensity is linearly dependent on the antioxidant concentration for all tested antioxidants. The color changes of the immobilized ceria on paper indicate surface chemistry reactions that are similar to those observed in colloidal suspensions. The intensity of color is dependent on the type of antioxidant and varies with the reducing capacity of Ce(IV) to Ce(III) as well as with the binding ability of each antioxidant (or their oxidation products) to the ceria surface. Therefore the color formed and consequently the sensitivity of these sensors is dependent on the oxidation potential and the chemical structure (e.g. the size, position and number of the OH substituents) of the antioxidants.

Table 1 summarizes the analytical performance characteristics of ceria paper for the detection of the antioxidants and ranks their reducing capacity quantified using the sensitivity of each calibration curve. For comparison with conventional antioxidant tests, the reducing ability of each antioxidant used in the ceria assay is presented in terms of GAE or mM GA with equal ceria reducing power to 1 mM sample. GAE is a commonly used method of representing relative antioxidant strength, as is seen in methods such as the Folin Ciocalteu spectroscopic assay.³² This term relates the antioxidant strength (electron donating capacity, ROS scavenging capacity, etc.) of one compound to another by relating it to GA as a common standard. The GAE was calculated by dividing the slope of each antioxidant calibration curve by that of GA. In an attempt to correlate sensor response with chemical reactivity and structure, Table 1 compares the antioxidant activity quantified by the ceria sensor with the oxidation potentials of the tested antioxidants, and relates these findings to the chemical structure of each antioxidant. The binding ratio of the antioxidant to the ceria particles has been estimated through UV-Vis studies monitoring the formation of binding complexes in colloidal ceria solutions with each antioxidant using the method reported by Xu in 2011.³³

We speculate that the optimum color formation is reached when all ceria has been reduced, and the binding sites have been occupied by the antioxidant. The rate at which this occurs (color intensity vs. concentration of antioxidant added) can be related to the binding affinity or electron donating capacity of each antioxidant toward ceria. This assumption allows comparison of antioxidants to one another in terms of slope or sensitivity. Other factors such as size of the antioxidant and the position of the OH groups may influence sensor response. For example, a high GAE was obtained for EGCG which has the highest number of OH (8) and the lowest oxidation potential. In general, we observed that the GAE varied with the number of OH groups in this order: EGCG (8OH) > AA (4OH) > GA(4OH) > Q(5) > CA(3OH) > VA(2OH). This trend is consistent to that reported previously with other antioxidant assays.³⁴ Significant differences were noticed in the linearity range and binding ratio, which appears to be related to the size and position of OH groups within the molecule. For example, while having a high GAE, the EGCG shows the lowest limit of linearity (LOL), which can be due to the large size of the molecule. When EGCG was compared with GA, which has a similar catecholic type reactive group but a significantly smaller size, it was found that GA had a wider linear response range. Significantly wider linearity ranges were obtained for smaller molecules like AA and VA. Within the group of antioxidants

tested, the orientation of nucleophilic groups (-OH, and - OCH₃) appears to have a strong effect on GAE. This parameter may override the effect of size on GE. Table 1 shows that those antioxidants which contain 3 consecutive ortho-OH groups have the highest GAE, and those without ortho-OH groups have the lowest. The location of nucleophilic groups on the ring also determines the reactivity (ceria reduction) and the surface modifications of the NPs. The higher reactivity of ortho conformations is consistent with antioxidant activity trends reported in previous works.³⁵

Table 2 compares the ranking of antioxidant capacity in terms of GAE quantified with the ceria sensors to those reported with conventional antioxidant assays. Ceria sensors rank antioxidants in similar order to the commonly used assays, indicating that this is a valid method of analysis. It is particularly interesting to note that the other assays, which involve reduction of a redox metal (FRAP and CUPRAC), show the most similar rankings of antioxidants, indicating that a similar mechanism may be involved. These results show good correlation between the ceria sensor and the other antioxidant assays.

The effect of interfering species was investigated by testing glucose, as well as three solvents used for dissolution of antioxidant standards (Figure 5). Glucose at a concentration often found in commercial juice did not show a significant color response. Ethanol showed a significant response, and thus the color response of ethanol alone has been subtracted as a blank from all color readings in the calibration curves of antioxidants dissolved in ethanol. Figure 6 shows possible interferences due to the presence of colored compounds present in real samples (acai berry juice and merlot wine). In the case of acai berry juice, the original red color of the juice transforms to blue upon reaction with the sensor, indicating that the red color comes largely from antioxidant compounds, which changes upon interaction with ceria NPs. In the case of Merlot, the color of sensor response remains very similar to the original red color of wine. Negligible color was observed when low concentrations of the original colored sample were tested. To eliminate possible interferences from colored species, colored samples should be analyzed for antioxidant content only at dilute concentrations.

Study of reproducibility, robustness and stability of the ceria based assay

The reproducibility of the assay was evaluated for 15 identical ceria paper sensors, prepared following an identical experimental procedure. The average color intensity after addition of 5 mM CA was 125(±5) CIU (color intensity units) for n=15 paper sensors with a calculated R.S.D. value of 4%, which demonstrate that the assay is highly reproducible. When this color intensity was used along with the CA calibration curve (Figure 4) to confirm CA concentration, CA was revealed to be 5.9 ± 1.7 mM showing the reproducible applicability of this assay for analysis of real unknown samples.

To test the robustness of the assay when same size ceria NPs (average diameter ~20 nm as reported by the provider) were purchased from different suppliers, we have performed reproducibility tests with the sensors fabricated in the same conditions but using different types of NPs. It is well known that surface reactivity varies with the synthetic procedure and additives/surface coatings used to stabilize the particles. Colloidal nanopowders and liquid dispersions were used in these assays. In general, all particles provided quantifiable color change but the intensity of the color varied among the different particles tested (Figure 7). Aqueous suspensions (in acetic acid or citrate solutions) provided the best reproducibility, uniformity, and the highest colorimetric response on the paper platform. Therefore, the highest reproducibility and sensitivity was achieved with these particles. It is possible that the acetate and citrate stabilizers participate in the binding of the antioxidants through the surface exposed citrate or acetate groups, which might act as linkers. In contrast, dry nanopowders showed poor NP distribution on the paper, a relatively large standard deviation and significantly lower color intensity. This is likely due to formation of aggregates upon

dispersion in the aqueous solution, potentially related to absence of stabilizer agents. To assess the effect of NPs size, particles of 5 and 200 nm were also tested. Both yielded a visual color change; the largest particles gave a lower response as expected, due to lower surface area to volume ratio. However, no significant difference was observed between the 5 and 20 nm particles.

Stability and robustness of the proposed ceria assay are clear advantages of this method over conventional antioxidant assays, all of which involve the use of sensitive enzymes and colorimetric dyes. Due to the nature of the inorganic material constituents (ceria NPs are stable for years at room temperature) these sensors are extremely robust and do not require special storage or temperature conditions. In addition, ceria NPs are relatively inexpensive and since the assay does not require additional reagents, analysis of antioxidants using this procedure is cost-effective. The easy-to-use is an additional advantage that distinguishes this assay among other antioxidant tests. Analysis is a single-step process consisting of simple addition of the sample to the ceria paper strip, with no need for incubation, pre-treatment or radical generation requirements, or use of instrumentation.

Indirect Assay

In the indirect assay, the ability of the antioxidant to scavenge surface adsorbed peroxy and hydroperoxy radicals was colorimetrically determined by quantifying the ability of the antioxidants to inactivate these radicals from the ceria NPs surface. Surface adsorbed peroxy groups were produced by pre-treatment of ceria with hydrogen peroxide, a marker of oxidative stress, which creates a yellow colored complex attributed to Ce-peroxy complexes upon reaction with ceria.³¹ Addition of antioxidants to hydrogen peroxide treated ceria paper inactivates these peroxy groups decreasing the yellow color intensity. Thus, the color inhibition response can be related to the amount of antioxidant added. The ability of an antioxidant to scavenge peroxy radicals was quantified as % yellow color inhibition, indicating the peroxy radical scavenging capacity of an antioxidant, which causes the decomposition of the yellow Ce-peroxy and hydroperoxy complexes. Since the sensor is based on color inhibition, we refer to this method as an 'indirect' quantification assay. While the concept of color inhibition due to peroxy radical scavenging by antioxidants is a promising concept for this assay, AA was the only antioxidant, of the six tested, to display this ability in a quantifiable and reproducible fashion, with a distinct decrease in yellow color intensity in direct relation to antioxidant concentration. The decrease in color was observed for AA concentrations between 0.01 and 1.5 mM (Figure 8), in a much lower concentration range than that obtained with the direct assay. When low concentrations of the other antioxidants in the 0.01 – 1.5 mM range were added to the treated ceria paper, the yellow color did not show a concentration dependent decrease, while when higher concentrations were used, the paper turned pink/brownish due to the binding of these compounds onto the immobilized particles as described in the direct assay. AA is the only antioxidant among the six without a catecholic ring in its structure, possibly having a greater peroxy radical scavenging than it has for ceria reduction. We conclude that while the indirect method does provide some quantifiable results and lower detection limits, the method is generally not applicable to all antioxidants and it is poorly reproducible. Therefore, only the ceria sensors with direct detection have been applied for the analysis of real samples. A comparison of the performance of two methods is presented in Table 3.

Analysis of real samples

The direct method of analysis was used to analyze the antioxidant capacity of aqueous extracts of several teas and medicinal mushrooms. *Choice* brand white, and green tea, as well as loose leaf black and rooibos teas and tisanes were brewed and compared to one another in triplicate to determine GAE values for Ce(IV) reduction. The results were

compared to those obtained from analysis of the *Choice* brand of all four teas, using an USDA accepted assay,³ the ORAC assay based on peroxy radical scavenging capacity. Table 4 summarizes results from the application of the ceria sensors for the analysis of antioxidant capacity of teas, as well as comparison to results attained using the conventional ORAC assay. The sensor results follow a similar trend with the ORAC assay, demonstrating good correlation between the new assay and the conventional assay. Figure 9 displays results of analysis of five varieties of medicinal mushrooms, including Reishi, “the mushroom of immortality” in traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), among others. GAEs are included for each compound, in the legend. It was found that for two species of Reishi mushrooms, the one with a darker colored fruiting body (*G. lucidum*), which is thought to occur because of increased concentrations of medicinal compounds (such as polyphenols), show a higher GAE than the *G. tsugae* species. *G. lucidum* showed GAE close to that of chaga, a fungi known for its anti-inflammatory properties. Morel and Oyster mushrooms, primarily consumed for dietary rather than medicinal purposes showed lowest antioxidant capacity in accordance to predictions based on medicinal use. These results show favorable agreement with antioxidant capacity assumptions based on traditional usage of each plant.

Due to the unique concentration dependant color formation upon each antioxidant's interaction with ceria, these compounds can be potentially identified using the distinct combination of RGB color intensities. This will facilitate determination of unknown samples, both qualitatively and quantitatively using simple tools such as a computer, camera phone³⁶ or scanner, Adobe Photoshop, and Excel for quick analysis and matching of the sample to its antioxidant profile (mM of an AOX). The system is particularly appealing for analysis of new and unexplored plants³⁷, as is demanded by many field researchers.³⁸

Conclusions and Future Perspectives

This paper described a robust, stable, easy-to-use and inexpensive portable sensor for measuring food antioxidants, similar to a small sensor patch. The platform is based on immobilized ceria NPs that changes color when in contact with antioxidants by means of surface chemistry reactions. The sensor performed favourably when compared with commonly used antioxidant assays. There are a number of commercial antioxidant kits that are used in laboratory settings, none of which are portable. Table 5 shows a summary of commonly used assays, analysis time and performance, as compared to the nanoceria sensor described in this work. Typically, these tests involve multiple steps, require radical stabilization or radical generation systems, expensive reagents (peroxidase enzymes and indicator dyes), special storage conditions, and all need spectrophotometric equipment, which limits price and portability^{2,3,5}.

The ceria nanoparticle assay described in this work, based on the use of robust inorganic chromogens can overcome these limitations. The sensor has a number of advantages including: (1) stability – nanoceria is stable under normal room-temperature conditions, (2) portability - the particles are immobilized and fixed onto a compact small size (0.67 mm) solid platform, (3) cost and ease of quantification: the color change can be quantified visually with the naked eye. (4) Analysis is a single step process, requiring only addition of sample to the sensor strip, without involving additional reagents; quantification does not involve specialized equipment. Cameras which are installed on cellular phones currently do a sufficient job in capturing color intensity as well.³⁶ (5) The method is sensitive, with performance characteristics comparable with conventional assays. Robustness, ease-of-use, high reproducibility and stability of the assay set these sensors apart among other antioxidant assays that involve sensitive colorimetric dyes and enzymes.

The ease-of-use makes this assay ideal for field explorations for antioxidant containing botanicals. Scientists have previously reported the lack of portable, efficient antioxidant assays for use in remote places such as the jungles of the Niger³⁸ which contain many medicinal and antioxidant containing botanicals. Commonly used spectrophotometric assays, which require cumbersome laboratory equipment, electricity, and a significant level of training and understanding for proper execution are difficult to be performed in field. There are an estimated 300,000–500,000 plant species on the planet, and only a small percentage have been examined for use as potential drug candidates for prophylaxis and therapy.³⁹ The high portability of the ceria assay can facilitate field work and advance the search for antioxidant containing botanical medicines. Applications to study bioaccumulation of antioxidants in biological fluids are also envisioned.

Because of the multidimensional properties of ceria NPs (dual oxidation state, redox activity, surface functionality), this assay may be the first of several possible ways to utilize these particles to assess antioxidant activity. For example, in the future other tests can be created that utilize the ability of nanoceria to interact with reactive oxygen and nitrogen species to analyze antioxidant capacity. Additionally, because the assay is based on the interaction of ceria with antioxidants, a variety of other antioxidants could be determined. However, further mechanistic investigations of the interaction between antioxidants and ceria, with a study of surface properties, surface coverage and reactivity are needed to fully understand the nature and the origin of the spectral changes and better relate sensor response to antioxidant structure and antioxidant activity.

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0954919. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. ES acknowledge support of the NIH T32 Pre-doctoral Training Grant and the guidance of Ryan Bradley, ND, MPH at the Tierney Research Laboratory at Bastyr University for performing ORAC measurements. TF acknowledges support from the Clarkson University Honors program.

References

1. Blasco AJ, Crevillen AG, Gonzalez MC, Escarpa A. *Electroanalysis*. 2007; 19:2275.
2. Huang DJ, Ou BX, Prior RL. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. 2005; 53:1841. [PubMed: 15769103]
3. Ou BX, Huang DJ, Hampsch-Woodill M, Flanagan JA, Deemer EK. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2002; 50:3122. [PubMed: 12009973]
4. Rodriguez-Amaya DB. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*. 2010; 23:726.
5. Pellegrini N, Serafini M, Colombi B, Del Rio D, Salvatore S, Bianchi M, Brighenti F. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2003; 133:2812. [PubMed: 12949370]
6. Cheung PCK, Ma YT. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2007; 55:4222. [PubMed: 17441728]
7. Pellegrini NS, Colombi MB. *American Society for Nutritional Sciences*. 2003:2812.
8. Huang DJ, Ou BX, RL. Prior *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2005; 53:1841.
9. Ghiselli A, Serafini M, Maiani G, Azzini E, Ferro-Luzzi A. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 1995; 18:29. [PubMed: 7896168]
10. Tache F, Farca A, Medvedovici A, David V. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*. 2002; 28:549. [PubMed: 12008134]
11. Guo M, Perez C, Wei Y. *The Royal Society of Chemistry*. 2007:4951.
12. Apak RG, Ozyurek KM. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2004; 52:7970. [PubMed: 15612784]
13. Vasilescu A, Sharpe E, Andreescu S. *Current Analytical Chemistry*. 2012 In Press.
14. Scampicchio MW, Blasco JA. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2006; 78:2060. [PubMed: 16536447]

15. Pal T, Basu S, Ghosh SK, Kundu S, Panigrahi S, Praharaj S, Pande S, Jana S. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 2007; 313:724. [PubMed: 17540397]
16. Qian WP, Ma XY. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*. 2010; 26:1049. [PubMed: 20863682]
17. Rios A, Zougagh M, Salghi R, Dhair S. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 2011; 399:2395. [PubMed: 21221541]
18. Qian WP, Rao YY, Chen QF, Dong JA. *Analyst*. 2011; 136:769. [PubMed: 21152631]
19. Qian WP, Chen QF, Rao YY, Ma XY, Dong JA. *Analytical Methods*. 2011; 3:274.
20. Qian WP, Ma XY, Li H, Dong JA. *Food Chemistry*. 2011; 126:698.
21. Wang J, Scampicchio M, Blasco AJ, Arribas AS, Mannino S, Escarpa A. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2006; 78:2060. [PubMed: 16536447]
22. Andreescu S, Ornatska M, Sharpe E, Andreescu D. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2011; 83:4273. [PubMed: 21524141]
23. Cook JA, VanderJagt DJ, Dasgupta A, Mounkaila G, Glew RS, Blackwell W, Glew RH. *Life Sciences*. 1998; 63:105. [PubMed: 9674944]
24. Ozyurt D, Demirata B, Apak R. *Talanta*. 2007; 71:1155. [PubMed: 19071427]
25. Henning S. *Nutrition and Cancer*. 2003; 45:226. [PubMed: 12881018]
26. Tsunekawa S, Fukuda T, Kasuya A. *J Appl Phys*. 2000; 87:1318.
27. Peng YF, Chen XJ, Yi GS, Gao ZQ. *Chem Commun*. 2011; 47:2916.
28. Asati A, Santra S, Kaittanis C, Nath S, Perez JM. *Angew Chem Int Edit*. 2009; 48:2308.
29. Jankovic IA, Saponjic ZV, Dzunuzovic ES, Nedeljkovic JM. *Nanoscale Res Lett*. 2010; 5:81. [PubMed: 20652142]
30. Eslami AC, Pasanphan W, Wagner BA, Buettner GR. *Chemistry Central journal*. 2010; 4:15. [PubMed: 20687941]
31. Ornatska M, Sharpe E, Andreescu D, Andreescu S. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2011; 83:4273. [PubMed: 21524141]
32. Singleton VL, Rossi JA. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*. 1965; 16:144.
33. Xu M, Bunes BR, Zang L. *ACS applied materials & interfaces*. 2011; 3:642. [PubMed: 21355618]
34. Apak R, Guclu K, Demirata B, Ozyurek M, Celik SE, Bektasoglu B, Berker KI, Ozyurt D. *Molecules*. 2007; 12:1496. [PubMed: 17909504]
35. Zheng W, Wang SY. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2003; 51:502. [PubMed: 12517117]
36. Whitesides AW. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2008; 80:3699. [PubMed: 18407617]
37. Reich, ESA. *High-performance thin-layer chromatography for the analysis of medicinal plants (illustrated edition)*. Thieme; New York: 2007.
38. Cook. *Life Sciences*. 1998; 63:105. [PubMed: 9674944]
39. Williams, L. *Foye's Principles of Medicinal Chemistry*. 5. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.
40. Tsao R. *Nutrients*. 2010; 2:1231. [PubMed: 22254006]
41. Apak RG, Demirata KB. *Molecules*. 2007; 12:1496. [PubMed: 17909504]
42. Cao GA, Cutler HM, RG. *Free Radical Biol Med*. 1993; 14:303. [PubMed: 8458588]
43. Benzie IF, Strain JJ. *Analytical Biochemistry*. 1996; 239:70. [PubMed: 8660627]
44. Cheng Z. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2006; 54:7429. [PubMed: 17002404]
45. Said TK, Sharma NR. *Journal of Andrology*. 2003:24.

Figure 1. UV-VIS spectra of ceria NPs dispersion (13 ppm) in the presence and absence of selected antioxidants: trolox (A), ascorbic acid (B), gallic acid (C) and vanillic acid (D) as compared to the spectra of the antioxidant in the absence of ceria.

Figure 2. UV-VIS responses of a ceria NPs dispersion before (Ctrl) and after addition of various antioxidants. Vials depicted represent (from left to right) the samples shown in the legend (top to bottom). All antioxidants were present at 0.5 mM in 2% (v/w) ceria solutions.

Figure 3.

A: Effect of ceria concentration on color formation in the absence (bottom row) and presence of 0.45 mM caffeic acid (top row). Ceria concentrations tested are 0.0025, 0.005, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 2, and 4% (left to right). B: Spectral changes of various concentrations of ceria in the presence of a constant amount of gallic acid (0.5 mM). Vials from left to right indicate ceria concentrations of 2, 0.2, 0.02, 0.002%.

Figure 4. Calibration curves of the blue color intensity of ceria paper sensors as a function of antioxidant concentration.

Figure 5.

Effect of interfering compounds on the colorimetric response of the ceria sensor for the detection of antioxidants. Interference from glucose (at a concentration often present in juices, wines and commercially prepared teas) as well as solvents used to prepare antioxidant standards: water, ethanol and acetone.

Figure 6. Colorimetric response to richly colored beverages: a) acaí berry juice and b) Merlot wine. Top rows depict color retained on blank filter paper, while bottom rows depict sensor response to the beverage. All beverages were prepared in 1:1 serial dilutions. The box surrounding lower concentrations indicates the range of dilutions used to determine antioxidant activity, as at these concentrations the color formed on blank paper is negligible, thus eliminating any possible interfering effects. Using concentrations in this range, color comparisons to GA can be performed, and the GAE determined as in graph (c) in which Merlot is determined to have a GAE of 0.54 mmol GA/L wine.

Figure 7.

Colorimetric responses of sensors prepared with various types of ceria after addition of 5 mM CA. From left to right: 1 – Sigma-Aldrich, # 289744, 10–20 nm (20% colloidal dispersion in aqueous acetic acid (2.5%)); 2- Alfa Aesar, #40125, 10–20 nm (20% in H₂O, colloidal dispersion stabilized in 0.4 M acetate); 3- Alfa Aesar, 5 nm, (17% aq in citrate); 4- SkySpring, #2810NH, 10–30 nm; 5- Sigma, #MKBB9545, 25 nm; 6- Sigma, #166D13H, 25 nm; 7- 200 nm synthesized at CU (propylene glycol/pure after purification with water & calcination at 500°C).

Figure 8. Calibration curve showing blue color intensity of ceria paper sensors as an indirect function of ascorbic acid concentration (color reduction by surface adsorbed peroxy radical scavenging onto treated ceria NPs).

Figure 9.

Linear correlations of blue color intensity as a function of the log of the concentration for five medicinal mushroom samples. GAE was calculated by comparison of the slope of each line to that of GA, and represented in terms of GAE (mmol GA/g sample).

Table 1

Summary of analytical performance characteristics of the colorimetric ceria based paper sensors; correlation of sensor response with antioxidant structures, oxidation potential, gallic acid equivalents (GAE) and binding ratio.

Antioxidant	Class of Polyphenol	Oxidation Potential	Ceria Sensor GAE	Ceria sensor Sensitivity/LOD	Binding Ratio Ceria: AOX
<p>EGCG</p>	Flavonoids: Flavonols (monomeric: epicatechin (cis)/catechin (trans); polymeric: procyanidins).	0.19 (solid) 0.12	0.7	58.176/0.02	1:>8
<p>AA</p>	Antioxidant used to protect oxidation of polyphenols.	0.13	1.1	97.22/0.4	1:31±2

Antioxidant	Class of Polyphenol	Oxidation Potential	Ceria Sensor GAE	Ceria sensor Sensitivity/LOD	Binding Ratio Ceria: AOX
<p>Antioxidant</p> <p>GA</p>	<p>Phenolic Acids: <i>Benzoic Acids</i></p>	0.2	1	88.18/0.05	1:8
<p>Quercetin</p>	<p>Flavonoids: Flavonols</p>	0.14	0.9	76.757/0.04	1:3

Antioxidant	Class of Polyphenol	Oxidation Potential	Ceria Sensor GAE	Ceria sensor Sensitivity/LOD	Binding Ratio Ceria: AOX
Phenolic Acids: <i>Cinnamic Acids</i>	0.2	0.7	59.303/0.04	1.8±3
Phenolic Acids: <i>Benzoic Acids</i>	0.6 0.06 (solid)	0.3	30.716/0.03	1:20±7
Cerium(III)		1.44	-		-

Circled sites in the antioxidant structures indicate the position of the possible location of oxidation and binding sites to the particles. All polyphenolic class categorization are from Tsao, 2010⁴⁰. GAE values (mM GA/mM AOX) are calculated by taking a ratio of slopes of the sample versus the slope of GA. Binding ratio was determined as described by Xu. Limit of detection (LOD) was calculated using the $3\sigma_S$ -b/S criteria, where S is the slope of the linear calibration curve, b is the y-intercept and σ_S is the standard deviation of the blank. Experiments were performed in triplicate and the data presented show average values of the three independent trials.

Table 2

Comparison of ceria sensor analysis of antioxidant capacity to other commonly used AOX assays⁴¹ represented as a ranking of antioxidants in terms of antioxidant activity (units vary depending on the assay).

Principle	Ceria Sensors	FRAP	CUPRAC	ABTS	Folin Ciocalteu
	Nanoceria surface reduction ($\text{Ce}^{4+}/\text{Ce}^3$)	Fe^{3+} Reduction	Cu^{2+} Reduction	Radical Scavenging	Electron Donation
EGCG	1	1	1	1	1
Gallie Acid	2	2	3	2	4
Caffeic Acid	3	3	2	3	2
Ascorbic Acid	4	4	5	5	5
Vanillic Acid	5	NA	4	4	3

Table 3

Comparison of direct and indirect methods of ceria based sensors.

	Ease of use	Response time	Accuracy/Reproducibility	Sensitivity	Range (mM)
Direct	++ (no pre-treatment)	++ (immediate)	++	++	0.3 – 6
Indirect	+	–	–	+	0.01 – 1.5

Table 4

Comparison of the antioxidant capacities of four teas, determined using the direct colorimetric assay, and the ORAC assay.

Tea Type	Sensor response to sample addition (g/L)	Sensitivity (slope of CI vs conc g/L)	GAE (mmol GA/g sample)	ORAC (mmol Trolox/ g sample)
Rooibos <i>Aspalathus linearis</i>	0.6 1.3 2.6 5.1	86.149	0.98	1
White Tea <i>Camellia Sinensis</i>	0.08 0.14 0.23 0.38 0.63 1.06 1.76 2.93	64.421	0.73	1.5
Green Tea <i>Camellia Sinensis</i>	0.2 0.3 0.5 0.8 1.4 2.3 3.9 6.5 10.8	58.348	0.66	1.4
Black Tea <i>Camellia Sinensis</i>	0.2 0.4 0.8 1.6 3.1 6.2	57.643	0.65	1.8

Table 5
Summary of performance characteristics of the nanoceria assay in comparison with commonly used antioxidant tests.

Method	Operational principle	Instrumentation requirements	Storage	Steps, Time (prep and measure)	Linear Range	Assay conditions	Application in Food Science
NanoCera ^c (nanoceria reduction)	Ceria reduction (electron donation & complex formation)	Paper Based Colorimetric Sensor; scanner; Adobe Photoshop	Highly stable for years; RT	1 step <10 min	0.2–9 mM	Portable; less sample dilution required.	Antioxidant capacity of dietary sources; ID of antioxidants in solution.
ORAC ⁴²	Peroxy radical scavenging (H transfer)	Fluorescence 96 well plate	3 months: Fluorescein; 4 C AAPH radical generator; –20C	7 steps 2 hours est.	8nM – 50uM [7]	High inter-assay variability; Fluorescein is light sensitive	Foods, botanicals, nutraceuticals, dietary supplements.
FRAP ⁴³	Iron reduction (electron transfer)	UV-Vis Spectrophotometer 96 well plate	TPTZ; 2–8C FeCl ₃ ; RT	4 steps 1 hour	0.1 – 1mM	Low pH required; non phenol-specific	Tea, and vegetable analysis; other dietary sources.
CUPRAC ⁴²	Copper reduction (electron donation)	UV-Vis Spectrophotometer; 96 well plate	6 months; –20C	4 step 2 hours est.	1uM-1mM	Neutral pH; faster kinetics than FRAP	Total AOX capacity of vegetables; Addition of AOX to juices, teas, etc.
Folin Ciocalteu ³²	Reduction of Phosphomolybdic-Phosphotungstic acid (total reducing capacity)	UV-Vis Spectrophotometer	4 years or until turns green; RT	7 steps ~2 hours	uM	Not phenol-specific (many interferences)	Estimation of total phenolics in wine and plant extracts.
DPPH ⁴⁴	Neutralization of DPPH (H transfer)	UV-Vis Spectrophotometer	1 month; 4C; dark	5 steps 2–3 hours	0.3–1mM [9]	DPPH light sensitive	Screening of potential natural AOXs (grain, juice, etc.)
TEAC ⁴⁵	ABTS ⁺ radical scavenging	UV-Vis Spectrophotometer 96 well plate	3 months: ABTS; 4C Trolox; –20C	5 steps 1 hours est.	4–300uM [8]	Stable radical	Dietary supplements, topical protection, and therapeutics.