Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2012 October 5; 14(19): 4979–4981. doi:10.1021/ol3022726.

Practical Method for the Cu-Mediated Trifluoromethylation of Arylboronic Acids with CF₃ Radicals Derived from NaSO₂CF₃ and *tert*-Butyl Hydroperoxide (TBHP)

Yingda Ye, Stefan A. Küenzi, and Melanie S. Sanford

Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, 930 N. University Ave., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

Melanie S. Sanford: mssanfor@umich.edu

Abstract

A mild and practical protocol for the copper-mediated trifluoromethylation of aryl and heteroaryl boronic acids using NaSO₂CF₃ (Langlois' reagent) and TBHP is described. The reaction proceeds at room temperature under ambient conditions, and the products can be readily purified by extraction or column chromatography.

Trifluoromethyl-substituted arenes and heteroarenes are increasingly important structural motifs in pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and organic materials.¹ As a result, the development of practical protocols to achieve aromatic trifluoromethylation efficiently, selectively, and cost effectively has been the subject of intense research effort.² Over the past 3 years, a variety of Cu-mediated and/or catalyzed methods have been reported for the trifluoromethylation of aryl and heteroaryl boronic acids using "CF₃[−]" or "CF₃⁺" reagents, including TMSCF₃,³ K[CF₃B(OMe)₃],⁴ CF₃H,⁵ *S*-(trifluoromethyl)diphenylsulfonium triflate,⁶ Togni's reagent,⁷ and Umemoto's reagent.^{8,9} However, most of these procedures are limited by the requirement for an inert atmosphere and/or dry solvents. Further, the high cost and/or lack of bulk availability of many of these trifluoromethylating reagents limits their usage on a large scale. Finally, these methods commonly lead to competitive formation of protodeboronated by-products, which are challenging to separate from the desired compounds.

We recently reported the Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of aryl boronic acids with CF₃I in the presence of a photocatalyst and visible light (Scheme 1a).¹⁰ A key feature of this transformation is the merger of CF₃• (generated via a photocatalysis cycle)¹¹ with a Cu–aryl intermediate. The high selectivity and mild conditions associated with this process led us to consider more practical potential sources of CF₃• to use in Cu-mediated boronic acid trifluoromethylation. Several reports have shown that the combination of NaSO₂CF₃ (Langlois' reagent) and TBHP generates trifluoromethyl radicals at room temperature in the

Correspondence to: Melanie S. Sanford, mssanfor@umich.edu.

Supporting Information Available Experimental and spectroscopic data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>

presence of ambient air and moisture.^{12,13} This *in situ* generated $\text{CF}_3\bullet$ has been shown to react with electron-rich arenes and heterocycles to afford mixtures of isomeric C–H trifluoromethylation products.^{12,13} We reasoned that in the presence of a Cu salt, the $\text{CF}_3\bullet$ generated from NaSO_2CF_3 and TBHP could instead be harnessed to achieve site selective trifluoromethylation of a boronic acid (Scheme 1b). We report herein the feasibility of this approach and the development of a general, mild, and practical protocol for Cu-mediated trifluoromethylation of aryl boronic acids with NaSO_2CF_3 and TBHP.

Our initial studies focused on the CuOAc-mediated reaction of [1,1'-biphenyl]-4-ylboronic acid (**1**) with NaSO_2CF_3 and TBHP at room temperature in DCM/ H_2O . With 0.2 equiv of CuOAc, the desired trifluoromethylated product (**1a**) was formed in 18% yield.¹⁴ The yield increased to 47% in the presence of 1 equiv of CuOAc. Optimization of the solvent (to a 5:5:4 mixture of MeOH, DCM and H_2O) resulted in a further increase in yield to 71%.¹⁵ Finally, an extensive evaluation of copper salts revealed that CuCl provides 80% yield of **1a** on a 0.05 mmol scale.¹⁶ There are several important features of this protocol that highlight its practicality. First, the reactions are all set up on the bench top, without any purification of commercial solvents and reagents. Second, protodeboronation is not observed under these conditions, and the only detectable by-product is 4-hydroxybiphenyl. Third, the reaction scales well, proceeding in 85% isolated yield on 0.5 mmol scale.

While electron-neutral and rich boronic acids underwent trifluoromethylation in excellent yields under the optimal conditions from Table 1 (*vide infra*), several electron deficient derivatives did not. For example, (4-cyanophenyl)boronic acid (**2**) reacted to afford **2a** in only 36% yield. We reasoned that the lower yield might be due to slower transmetalation of the electron deficient boronic acid. Consistent with this proposal, the addition of 1 equiv of NaHCO_3 (which is expected to accelerate transmetalation) led to an increase in yield to 46%. Further evaluation of different Cu sources showed that the substitution of CuCl with $(\text{MeCN})_4\text{CuPF}_6$ resulted in the best yield of **2a** (59%).

With these two sets of conditions in hand, we next explored the full substrate scope of Cu-mediated trifluoromethylation with NaSO_2CF_3 and TBHP. As shown in Scheme 2, arenes bearing electron-donating alkyl, alkoxy, or phenoxy substituents reacted in excellent yield under the CuCl-mediated conditions (conditions a). Trifluoromethylation of electron deficient substrates (eg, cyano, trifluoromethyl, and carbonyl-substituted aryl boronic acids) afforded the desired trifluoromethylated products in good to excellent yield in the presence of $(\text{MeCN})_4\text{CuPF}_6$ and NaHCO_3 (conditions b).¹⁷ Interestingly, the reaction of (4-iodophenyl)boronic acid resulted in exclusive trifluoromethylation of the C–B bond, leaving the C–I linkage intact. Sterically hindered boronic acid derivatives, which are generally challenging substrates for Cu-mediated cross-couplings,¹⁸ afforded good to excellent yields in this transformation (cf, products **6a**, **8a**, **14a**, **20a** and **23a**). Moreover, the reaction is compatible with diverse functional groups, including enolizable ketones, esters, amides, and phenols. Finally, heteroaryl boronic acids based on pyridine, quinoline, thiophene, and furan afforded moderate to excellent yield. Notably, trifluoromethylation of the C–B bond outcompeted free radical C–H trifluoromethylation in all of these substrates.

As noted above, most previously reported boronic acid trifluoromethylation protocols employ inert atmosphere conditions and dry solvents. Even under these controlled conditions, significant quantities (2–10%) of protodeboronated products are commonly observed and are very challenging to separate and purify from the desired Aryl– CF_3 products. In contrast, all of the trifluoromethylation reactions in Scheme 2 were insensitive to ambient air and moisture and were set up on the benchtop without purification of commercial reagents nor solvents. Despite the presence of water, protodeboronation of the boronic acid was not detected under these conditions. This makes product isolation

extremely straightforward, as the major side-product (the corresponding hydroxylated arene) is readily removable by extraction or column chromatography.

In conclusion, this paper describes a practical copper-mediated trifluoromethylation of a variety of aryl and heteroaryl boronic acids using readily available NaSO_2CF_3 and TBHP. These reagents are believed to react *in situ* to generate $\text{CF}_3\bullet$ as the active trifluoromethylating reagent. The reactions are easy to set up under ambient conditions, and product purification is similarly straightforward. As a result, this protocol represents a significant synthetic advance for the selective preparation of trifluoromethylated compounds.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the NIH NIGMS (GM073836) for financial support of this work. We also thank Professor Phil Baran (Scripps Research Institute) for providing a sample of $\text{Zn}(\text{SO}_2\text{CF}_3)_2$ sample for evaluation.

References

1. (a) Schlosser M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:5432. (b) Müller K, Faeh C, Diederich F. *Science*. 2007; 317:1881. [PubMed: 17901324] (c) Hagmann WK. *J. Med. Chem.* 2008; 51:4359. [PubMed: 18570365] (d) Kirk KL. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2008; 12:305. (e) Purser S, Moore PR, Swallow S, Gouverneur V. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008; 37:320. [PubMed: 18197348]
2. (a) Tomashenko OA, Grushin VV. *Chem. Rev.* 2011; 111:4475. [PubMed: 21456523] (b) Furuya T, Kamlet AS, Ritter T. *Nature*. 2011; 473:470. [PubMed: 21614074] (c) Roy S, Gregg BT, Gribble GW, Le VD. *Tetrahedron*. 2011; 67:2161. (d) Wu XF, Neumann H, Beller M. *Chem. Asian J.* 2012; 7:1744. [PubMed: 22715145] (e) Besset T, Schneider C, Cahard D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:5048. (f) Ye Y, Sanford MS. *Synlett*. 2012; 23:2005. (g) Studer A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:8950.
3. (a) Chu L, Qing FL. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:5060. [PubMed: 20923196] (b) Senecal TD, Parsons AT, Buchwald SL. *J. Org. Chem.* 2011; 76:1174. [PubMed: 21235259] (c) Jiang X, Chu L, Qing FL. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012; 77:1251. [PubMed: 22251059] (d) Litvinas ND, Fier PS, Hartwig JF. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:536.
4. Khan BA, Buba AE, Gooßen LJ. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012; 18:1577. [PubMed: 22238176]
5. Novák P, Lishchynskiy A, Grushin VV. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:7767.
6. Zhang CP, Cai J, Zhou CB, Wang XP, Zheng X, Gu Y-C, Xiao JC. *Chem. Commun.* 2011; 47:9516.
7. (a) Liu T, Shen Q. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:2342. [PubMed: 21473568] (b) Liu T, Shao X, Wu Y, Shen Q. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:540.
8. Xu J, Luo DF, Xiao B, Liu ZJ, Gong TJ, Fu Y, Liu L. *Chem. Commun.* 2011; 47:4300.
9. For an example of fluorobutylation of arylboronic acids with RFI see: Qi Q, Shen Q, Lu L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:6548. [PubMed: 22458339]
10. Ye Y, Sanford MS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:9034. [PubMed: 22624669]
11. (a) Nagib DA, Scott ME, MacMillan DWC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:10875. [PubMed: 19722670] (b) Pham PV, Nagib DA, MacMillan DWC. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:6119. (c) Nagib DA, MacMillan DWC. *Nature*. 2011; 480:224. [PubMed: 22158245]
12. Langlois BR, Laurent E, Roidot N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991; 32:7525.
13. Ji Y, Brueckl T, Baxter RD, Fujiwara Y, Seiple IB, Su S, Blackmond DG, Baran PS. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2011; 108:14411. [PubMed: 21844378]
14. When sub-stoichiometric Cu was used under these conditions, the major side-product was the corresponding phenol (derived from substitution of the C–B bond with a C–OH bond).
15. See Supporting Information for full optimization details.

16. Notably, less than 1% of the trifluoromethylated product **1a** was observed when Cu(OAc) was excluded from the optimal conditions.
17. For products **15a** and **16a**, the isolated material contained ~4% of the corresponding chloroarene as an inseparable by-product. Elemental analysis of all of the reagents showed that the chlorine is an impurity in the NaSO₂CF₃. See Supporting Information for complete details.
18. Hassan J, Sévignon M, Gozzi C, Schulz E, Lemaire M. Chem. Rev. 2002; 102:1359. [PubMed: 11996540]

Scheme 1.
Cu-Mediated Trifluoromethylation of Aryl Boronic Acids using *in situ* generated CF_3 Radical

Scheme 2.

Substrate Scope of Copper-Mediated Trifluoromethylation of Aryl Boronic Acids[c]

^[a] Reaction conditions: substrate (1 equiv, 0.5 mmol), CuCl (1 equiv), NaSO₂CF₃ (3 equiv), TBHP (5 equiv) in DCM/MeOH/H₂O (5:5:4 ratio) at 23 °C for 12 h. ^[b] Reaction conditions: substrate (1 equiv), (MeCN)₄CuPF₆ (1 equiv), NaSO₂CF₃ (3 equiv), NaHCO₃ (1 equiv), TBHP (4 equiv) in MeOH at 23 °C for 12 h. ^[c] Isolated yield. ^[d] Yields determined by ¹⁹F NMR analysis.

Table 1Optimization of the Trifluoromethylation of **1**^{[a][b]}

entry	copper salt	solvent	yield
1 ^{c,d}	CuOAc	DCM/H ₂ O	18%
2 ^d	CuOAc	DCM/H ₂ O	47%
3 ^d	CuOAc	MeOH/H ₂ O	60%
4 ^e	CuOAc	MeOH/DCM/H ₂ O	71%
5 ^e	CuCl	MeOH/DCM/H ₂ O	80%
6 ^e	–	MeOH/DCM/H ₂ O	<1%

^[a] General conditions: substrate **1** (1 equiv, 0.05 mmol), [Cu] (1 equiv), NaSO₂CF₃ (3 equiv), TBHP (5 equiv) at 23 °C for 12 h.

^[b] Yields determined by ¹⁹F NMR analysis.

^[c] 0.2 equiv CuOAc.

^[d] Solvent ratio = 5:2.

^[e] Solvent ratio = 5:5:4

Table 2Optimization for Electron Poor Boronic Acids ^{[a],[b]}

entry	copper salt	base	yield
1 ^c	CuCl	–	36%
2 ^c	CuCl	NaHCO ₃	46%
3 ^d	(MeCN) ₄ CuPF ₆	–	42%
4 ^{d,e}	(MeCN) ₄ CuPF ₆	–	38%
5 ^d	(MeCN) ₄ CuPF ₆	NaHCO ₃	49%
6 ^{d,e}	(MeCN) ₄ CuPF ₆	NaHCO ₃	59%

^[a] General conditions: substrate **2** (1 equiv, 0.05 mmol), [Cu] (1 equiv), base (1 equiv), NaSO₂CF₃ (3 equiv), TBHP (5 equiv) at 23 °C for 12 h.

^[b] Yields determined by ¹⁹F NMR analysis.

^[c] Solvent = MeOH/DCM/H₂O (5:5:4 ratio).

^[d] Solvent = MeOH.

^[e] 4 equiv TBHP.