Published in final edited form as:

Nat Biotechnol. ; 30(5): 423–433. doi:10.1038/nbt.2197.

B-cell–lineage immunogen design in vaccine development with HIV-1 as a case study

Barton F Haynes^{1,2}, Garnett Kelsoe², Stephen C Harrison³, and Thomas B Kepler^{2,4,5}

¹Duke Human Vaccine Institute, Department of Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

²Duke Human Vaccine Institute, Department of Immunology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

³Children's Hospital, Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁴Duke Human Vaccine Institute, Center for Computational Immunology, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, USA

Abstract

Failure of immunization with the HIV-1 envelope to induce broadly neutralizing antibodies against conserved epitopes is a major barrier to producing a preventive HIV-1 vaccine. Broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (BnAbs) from those subjects who do produce them after years of chronic HIV-1 infection have one or more unusual characteristics, including polyreactivity for host antigens, extensive somatic hypermutation and long, variable heavy-chain third complementarity-determining regions, factors that may limit their expression by host immunoregulatory mechanisms. The isolation of BnAbs from HIV-1–infected subjects and the use of computationally derived clonal lineages as templates provide a new path for HIV-1 vaccine immunogen design. This approach, which should be applicable to many infectious agents, holds promise for the construction of vaccines that can drive B cells along rare but desirable maturation pathways.

Traditional strategies for vaccine development have relied on killed, attenuated or subunit preparations as homologous ‘prime-boosts’, followed by tests for safety and efficacy^{1,2}. Vaccines developed in this way are used worldwide for both bacterial and viral infectious diseases^{1–4}. Some key viral targets have resisted these classic vaccine development schemes, among them HIV-1, influenza virus and hepatitis C virus (HCV)^{5–10}. Each of these viruses presents the major challenge of antigenic variation, either requiring frequent redevelopment of vaccines (influenza) or inhibiting vaccine development altogether (HIV-1 and HCV). We can therefore take HIV-1 as a paradigm of those viral diseases for which inducing BnAbs is especially difficult.

Most current vaccine strategies (empirical vaccinology^{1–3}, genomics-based ‘reverse vaccinology’¹¹ and structure-based reverse vaccinology^{12,13}) rely on the host to produce a

© 2012 Nature America, Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence should be addressed to B.F.H. (hayne002@mc.duke.edu).

⁵Present address: Department of Microbiology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare competing financial interests: details accompany the full-text HTML version of the paper at <http://www.nature.com/naturebiotechnology/>.

protective response, provided that the appropriate antigen is in the vaccine (Table 1). For many viral vaccines currently in use, the induction of BnAbs is a primary correlate of protection^{3,4}. New strategies have therefore focused on immunogens bearing epitopes that are bound with high affinities by antibodies produced by memory B cells. This approach assumes that the antigens recognized by memory B cells in a vaccine boost are the same as those recognized by naive B cells during the priming immunization. However, in a majority of vaccinated individuals, this and other strategies have not led to an induction of antibodies that neutralize a wide range of strains of HIV-1 or influenza (Table 1). This failure may stem in part from characteristics of the chosen immunogens (for example, glycan masking of HIV-1 envelope protein epitopes⁹) and limited accessibility of conserved viral epitopes⁵ (for example, the 'stem' and sialic acid-binding epitopes on influenza hemagglutinin (HA)). Work by two of us (B.F.H. and G.K.) and collaborators¹⁴ indicates that mimicry of host antigens by some of these conserved epitopes may be another complication of such vaccines, leading to the suppression of potentially useful antibody responses (B.F.H., G.K. *et al.*¹⁴), and lack of a heavy-chain variable region (V_H) allelic variant may also limit the breadth or effectiveness of the antibodies induced by the vaccine¹⁵ (Box 1).

Making vaccines for infectious agents with transient, cryptic or host-mimicking epitopes requires a detailed understanding of antibody affinity maturation. If we understood the patterns of clonal maturation and selection that lead to the development of rare, broadly protective antibodies^{16–25}, we might be able to design immunogens that increase the likelihood of maturation along these desired but disfavored pathways. Recent data from mouse studies show that the survival and persistence of B cells in the germinal center reaction depends on a high affinity of the B-cell receptors for the antigen^{26–29} (see Box 2 for a glossary of the terms used). Moreover, for some responses to viral antigens, the antigen that stimulates the memory B cells during affinity maturation and the antigen that initially activates the naive B cells may not be identical^{15,19–22,30}. Thus, to optimize the induction of such a protective antibody response, it may be necessary to use one antigen as the vaccine prime (to trigger naive B cells) and others as boosts to drive the clonal evolution and affinity maturation^{15,19–22,30,31}.

We discuss here a proposed approach to vaccine design based on insights from basic B-cell biology, structural biology and new methods for inferring unmutated ancestor antibodies as estimates of naive B-cell receptors and their clonal progeny. The majority of this discussion will center on HIV-1, for which the preliminary data are available regarding this approach.

Human B cells and antibody responses

Newly generated human B cells are frequently (70–75%) autoreactive and are subject to elimination or inactivation by several physiologic processes^{32–34}. However, not all self-reactive B cells are purged during these processes, and some (20–25%) of the mature, naive B cells circulating in the blood express autoreactive antigen B-cell receptors (BCRs)^{33–35} (Box 3 and Fig. 1).

The concept of selection imposed by tolerance implies that the full potential of the primary, or germline, BCR repertoire is unavailable to vaccine immunogens: only those naive mature B cells that have been vetted by tolerance are available to respond. For microbial pathogens and vaccine antigens that mimic self-antigen determinants, the pool of mature B cells that can respond may therefore be small or absent.

This censoring of the primary BCR repertoire by tolerance sets up a roadblock in the development of effective HIV-1 vaccines, as the success of naive B cells in humoral responses is largely determined by BCR affinity^{26–29}. If immunological tolerance reduces the BCR affinity and the number of naive B cells that can recognize HIV-1–neutralizing

epitopes, the humoral responses to those determinants will be suppressed. Indeed, HIV-1 infection and experimental HIV-1 vaccines are extremely inefficient in selecting B cells that go on to secrete high-affinity, broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibodies^{36–39}.

The predicted effects of immune tolerance on the production of HIV-1 BnAbs have been illustrated in 2F5 immunoglobulin, variable (V), diversity (D), joining (J) knock-in (2F5 VDJ-KI) mice that contain the human *VDJ* gene rearrangement of the 2F5 BnAb^{38,39}. In 2F5 VDJ-KI mice, early B-cell development is normal, but the generation of immature B cells is severely impaired in a manner that is diagnostic of apoptotic tolerization of autoreactive B cells^{40,41}. Subsequent studies have shown that the 2F5 monoclonal antibody (mAb) avidly binds both mouse and human kynureninase, an enzyme of tryptophan metabolism, at an α -helical motif that matches exactly the 2F5 HIV-1 envelope protein (Env) glycoprotein subunit 41 (gp41) membrane-proximal external region (MPER) epitope ELDKWA⁴² (G. Yang, B.F.H. and G.K., unpublished data).

Although immunologic tolerance eliminates most autoreactivity^{33,34}, antigen-driven, somatic hypermutation in mature, germinal center B cells can generate *de novo* self-reactivity, and these B-cell mutants can become memory B cells^{43–45}. Hypermutation of immunoglobulin genes is driven by activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID). Natural selection of mutant germinal center B cells not only drives affinity maturation for exogenous immunogens^{26,46–48} but also creates newly autoreactive B cells that are only weakly regulated by T cells^{29,49–52}.

Accumulation of mutations in the germinal center eventually compromises antigen binding and cell survival^{29,46,53} (Box 3). Indeed, the frequency of *V(D)J* mutations approaches a ceiling above which further mutation can only lower BCR affinity and decrease cell fitness^{52–54}. The mean frequency of human immunoglobulin mutations in secondary immune responses is approximately 6%^{30,55,56}, and the substantially higher frequencies (10–15%) of *V(D)J* mutations present in genes encoding HIV-1 BnAbs^{14,36} suggest atypical pathways of clonal evolution and/or selection. In contrast to clonal debilitation by a high mutational burden^{52–54}, HIV-1 BnAbs seem to require extraordinarily high frequencies of *V(D)J* misincorporation^{14,36}. A plausible explanation for this unusual characteristic is the serial induction and selection of *V(D)J* hypermutation by distinct antigens. This explanation also suggests pathways for generating BnAb responses that are normally proscribed by the effects of tolerance.

The low efficiency with which infection and immunization elicit BnAbs and the unusually high frequency of immunoglobulin mutations present in most BnAb gene rearrangements imply that BnAb B cells are the products of disfavored and tortuous pathways of clonal evolution as a result of their long, variable heavy-chain third complementarity-determining regions (HCDR3s) or polyreactivity and their need for extensive somatic hypermutation. Because BCR affinity is the crucial determinant of the fitness of germinal center B cells, it should be possible to select immunogens that direct germinal center B-cell evolution along normally disfavored pathways and promote the maturation of typically subdominant or disfavored B-cell clonal lineages. Any method for directed somatic evolution must take into account the complex and inter-related processes of immunoglobulin hypermutation, affinity-driven selection and cognate interaction with T follicular helper (T_{FH}) cells. These hurdles are real but not insurmountable. Indeed, the BnAb responses elicited by HIV-1 infection may be an example of fortuitous sequential immunizations that favor BnAb development from nonreactive, naive B cells^{57,58}.

HIV-1 initial antibody responses versus BnAbs

The initial antibody response to HIV-1 after transmission is to non-neutralizing epitopes on gp41 (refs. 30,59). The first antibody response that can neutralize the transmitted or founder virus *in vitro* appears only ~12–16 weeks after transmission. This antibody is to gp120 and is of extremely limited breadth^{60,61}.

Antibodies to the HIV-1 envelope that neutralize a broad range of HIV-1 isolates have not yet been induced in high titers by vaccination and are present only in a minority of subjects with chronic HIV-1 infection³⁶ (Fig. 2 and Table 2). Moreover, only ~20% of these subjects eventually make plasma BnAb and then only after 4 or more years of infection⁵⁷. It is probable that an individual will need BnAbs of more than one specificity for protection^{24,62,63}; therefore, B-cell lineage vaccine design will probably require multiple lineages of B cells driven to make multiple specificities of BnAbs.

Goals for an HIV-1 vaccine

Passive infusion of human broadly neutralizing mAbs can protect against challenge with simian HIVs (SHIVs) at concentrations of antibodies thought to be achievable by immunization^{64–67}. Passive protection studies of BnAb administration in rhesus macaques suggest that a plasma concentration 100 times the *in vitro* 50% inhibitory concentration is needed to protect from SHIV acquisition⁶⁸. Thus, a major goal of HIV-1 vaccine development is to find strategies for inducing antibodies that have sufficient HIV-1 neutralization breadth to be globally effective.

Recent advances in isolating human mAbs using single-cell sorting of plasmablasts/plasma cells^{30,55}, antigen-specific memory B cells decorated with labeled antigen^{24,69,70} and clonal cultures of memory B cells^{31,68,71} have led to the isolation of mAbs that recognize new targets for HIV-1 vaccine development (Fig. 2 and Table 2). Those BnAbs that are made in the setting of chronic HIV-1 infection have one or more of the following unusual traits: restricted V_H usage, long HCDR3s, a high number of somatic mutations or antibody polyreactivity for self- or other non-HIV-1 antigens^{14,36}. Several HIV-1 antibodies have been reverted experimentally to their unmutated ancestral state and were found to bind weakly or undetectably to native HIV-1 Env^{15,19,21,22}. These observations suggest a strategy in which different or non-native immunogens are used to prime the Env response followed by the use of other immunogens to boost it^{15,19–21,23,30,31}. Thus, the B-cell–lineage vaccine design strategy discussed below is an effort to drive rare or complex B-cell maturation pathways.

B-cell–lineage vaccine design

We anticipate three general steps for any lineage-based approach to vaccine design (Fig. 3). First, the identification of a set of clonally related memory B cells using single-cell technology to obtain the native immunoglobulin heavy (VDJ) and light (VJ) gene pairs. Second, use of the computational methods described below to infer the unmutated ancestral BCR (that is, the presumptive receptor of the targeted naive B cell), along with probable intermediate ancestor BCRs at key clonal lineage branch points. Finally, the design of immunogens with an enhanced affinity for unmutated and intermediate ancestor BCRs using the unmutated and intermediate ancestor paratopes as structural templates (Fig. 3). Thus, in contrast to the usual vaccine immunogens that prime and boost with a common immunogen, a vaccination protocol based on B-cell lineage may prime with one immunogen, boost with another and potentially boost further with a sequence of several different immunogens^{15,19–23,30,31} (Fig. 3). For example, whereas a gp140 Env antigen did not bind the inferred unmutated ancestor of a human BnAb, it was capable of binding if Env was

deglycosylated²¹. Immunization of rhesus macaques showed that the deglycosylated Env that was bound by the unmutated ancestor antibody was superior to the native Env as an immunogen²¹.

It is noteworthy that variability of the antibody repertoire among individuals poses a potential problem for this strategy: a clonal lineage isolated from one subject may not be relevant for inducing a similar antibody in another subject. Even so, recent observations of limited V_H gene segment usage suggest that for some viral-neutralizing epitopes, the relevant immunoglobulin repertoire is restricted to a very small number of V_H families, and that the maturation pathways may be similar among individuals^{23,70,72} (Box 1). Examples of the convergent evolution of human antibodies in different individuals come from analyses of influenza and HIV-1 V_H1-69 antibodies, in which similar V_H1-69 neutralizing antibodies can be isolated from different subjects^{73–78}. Another example comes from the structures of V1/V2 loop conformational (quaternary) antibodies in which the antibodies have very similar HCDR3 structures but arise from different V_H families^{31,68,79,80}. Recently, use of 454 deep-sequencing technology has shown convergent evolution and restricted V_H gene segment usage in the maturation of BnAbs^{23,30,72}. Determining how distinct the affinity maturation pathways are for each specificity of HIV-1 BnAbs will require experimental testing.

Inferring unmutated ancestors and intermediates of BnAbs

B-cell-lineage vaccine design requires the inference of unmutated ancestor antibodies and their intermediates from the *V(D)J* sequences of clonally related, mutated antibodies, as depicted in the clonal lineages in Figure 3 and Box 4, Figure 4. Functional antibody genes are assembled from a fixed set of gene segments. In humans, the numbers of V_H, D_H and J_H gene segments per haploid genome are approximately 38–46, 23 and 6, respectively, with some variation among individuals. In addition to this combinatorial diversity, there is diversity in the locations of the recombination sites for each junction. Together there are on the order of 10⁹ different *V*, *D* and *J* gene segment combinations. Although this number seems large, it is a tiny fraction of the 4³⁵⁰ possible nucleotide sequences of comparable length (350 bases). This enormous reduction in the space of possible ancestors makes quantitative inference plausible^{15,23,30,31}.

The starting point for any likelihood-based phylogenetic analysis is a model for the introduction of changes along the branches. To infer the unmutated ancestral *V(D)J* gene arrangements of a clonal lineage (Fig. 3), one needs a model for somatic mutation describing the probability that a given nucleotide that initially has state *n*₁ will, after the passage of *t* units of evolutionary time, have state *n*₂. This substitution model would allow for the computation of the likelihood of the observed data, given any hypothesized ancestor, from which, as described in Box 4 and ref. 81, the posterior probability for any such ancestor can be computed.

Antibody ancestors as templates for immunogen design

The goal of the B-cell-lineage vaccine design strategy described here is to derive proteins (or peptides) with an enhanced affinity for the unmutated and intermediate ancestor antibodies of a BnAb clonal lineage compared to existing antigens. The method of choice for finding such proteins will clearly depend on the extent of the structural information available (Tables 3 and 4). Ideally, one might have the crystal structures for the complex of the mature antibody Fab with antigen, the structures of the unmutated ancestor and of one or more intermediate ancestors and, perhaps, a structure of an unmutated ancestor–antigen or intermediate ancestor–antigen complex. It is possible that the native antigen on a virion will not bind tightly enough to the unmutated ancestor to enable a determination of the structure

of that complex. In the absence of any direct structural information, cases in which the antibody footprint has been mapped by one or more indirect methods can also be considered (for example, Env mutational analysis).

Computational methods for ligand design are becoming more robust and, for certain immunogen-design applications, will probably be valuable⁸². We anticipate, however, that for the epitopes presented by HIV-1 Env, the available structural information may be too restricted to rely primarily on computational approaches. The interface between an antibody and a tightly bound antigen is generally between 750 Å² and 1,000 Å², and on the surface of gp120, for example, such an interface might include several loops from different segments of gp120. Even if both the structure of the mature antibody–Env complex and that of the unmutated ancestor antibody were known, the computational design of a modified Env with an enhanced affinity for the unmutated ancestor would be challenging. Selection approaches should, at least in the near term, be more satisfactory and reliable.

For continuous epitopes, phage display is a well-developed selection method for finding high-affinity peptides^{83,84}. The best-studied continuous epitopes on HIV-1 Env are those for the antibodies that are directed against the MPER of gp41: 2F5 and 4E10. Efforts to obtain high titers of neutralizing antibodies by immunization with peptides or other MPER immunogens bearing the sequence of these epitopes have generally been unsuccessful, presumably in part because a peptide, even if cyclized, only rarely adopts the conformation required for recognition in the context of gp41. In a computational effort to design suitable immunogens, the 2F5 epitope was grafted onto computationally selected protein scaffolds that presented the peptide epitope in the conformation seen in its complex with the 2F5 antibody⁸⁵. These immunogens indeed elicited antibodies that recognized the epitope in its presented conformation but did not neutralize viral infectivity⁸⁵. The MPER epitopes are exposed only on the fusion-intermediate conformation of gp41 (ref. 86). To have neutralizing activity, these antibodies must have a membrane-targeting segment at the tip of their HCDR3 in addition to a high-affinity site for the peptide epitope⁸⁷. In this manner, a liposome containing the 2F5 gp41-neutralizing epitope induces rhesus macaque antibodies to the epitope—again in the absence of neutralizing activity—indicating a lack of induction of polyreactive (lipid-binding) gp41 BnAbs¹⁴ and showing the necessity of potent adjuvants to overcome peripheral tolerance controls.

One can map differences between the antibody 2F5 and its most probable unmutated ancestor onto the 2F5 Fab peptide–epitope complex. The side chains on the peptide that contact the antibody are all within a ten-residue stretch, and several of these (an AspLysTrp sequence, in particular) must clearly be an anchor segment, even for a complex with the unmutated ancestor antibody. Randomization of no more than five positions in the peptide would cover contacts with all the residues in the unmutated ancestor antibody that are different from their counterparts in the mature antibody. Phage display libraries can accommodate this extent of sequence variation (about 3×10^6 members), and therefore a direct lineage-based, experimental approach to finding potential immunogens is possible through selection of peptides that bind unmutated or intermediate ancestor antibodies from such libraries.

For discontinuous epitopes on gp120 that are antigenic on cell-surface–expressed, trimeric Env, one can devise a selection scheme for variant Envs based on the same kind of single-cell sorting and subsequent sequencing that is used to derive the antibodies. Cells would be transfected with a library of Env-encoding vectors selectively randomized at a few positions, and the tag used for the sorting would be a fluorescently labeled version of the unmutated ancestor antibody. A procedure would then be required to select only those cells expressing an Env variant with a high affinity for the antibody.

The recognition of the HIV-1 envelope by several classes of BnAbs includes glycans presented by conformational protein epitopes. Such antibodies account for ~25% of the broadly neutralizing activity in the plasma of subjects selected for broad activity^{62,88}. By analogy with selection from phage-displayed libraries, synthetic libraries of glycans or peptide–glycan complexes could be screened to select potential immunogens with a high affinity for the unmutated and intermediate ancestor antibodies of clonal lineages⁸⁹. A large-scale synthesis of the chosen glycoconjugates could then yield the bulk material for immunization trials^{90,91}.

Beyond HIV-1

The approaches discussed here should be equally applicable to the design of influenza vaccines. On the influenza virus HA, two conserved epitopes have received recent attention: a patch that covers the fusion peptide on the stem of the elongated HA trimer^{74,75,78}, and the pocket for binding sialic acid, the influenza-virus receptor⁹². Screens of three phage-displayed libraries of human antibodies from quite different sources yielded similar antibodies directed against the stem epitope, and additional human mAbs of this kind have been identified subsequently by B-cell sorting. Conservation of the stem epitope may be partly a consequence of low exposure resulting from the tight packing of HA on the virion surface and, hence, a low immunogenicity on intact virus particles. An antibody from a vaccinated subject has been characterized that binds the sialic acid–binding pocket, mimics most of the sialic acid contacts and neutralizes a very broad range of H1 seasonal strains of influenza⁹².

The phenomenon of ‘original antigenic sin’, sometimes seen in influenza vaccination, is the recall of specificities of antibodies to prior infections by a new vaccination⁹³. For HIV-1 and HCV, B-cell–lineage design will be for primary immunizations of individuals with no prior infection, so the original antigenic sin phenomenon is not expected to occur in this context.

Should the B-cell–lineage vaccine design strategy be successful, could it drive the survival of B-cell clones that are sufficiently autoreactive to be pathogenic? It is key in this context to note that polyreactivity is a normal component of the immune response⁹⁴ and that polyreactive BnAbs are not necessarily expected to be pathogenic when produced. Indeed, polyreactivity of HIV-1 antibodies has been suggested to improve their protective effect⁹⁵, and, in some cases, polyreactivity is required for antibody neutralization⁸⁷.

Conclusions

HIV-1 is a paradigm for viruses that express conserved epitopes on their envelope proteins, which, by various mechanisms, are prevented from efficiently inducing antibodies. Among these mechanisms, at least in the case of HIV-1, is the physiological control of immunological tolerance to viral epitopes that structurally mimic self-antigens. It is therefore understandable why conventional immunization strategies for BnAb induction have not as yet succeeded.

With recombinant antibody technology, clonal cultures of memory B cells and 454 deep sequencing, numerous clonal lineages of BnAbs can now be detected and analyzed. We anticipate optimizing immunogens for high-affinity binding to antibodies (BCRs of clonally related B cells) at multiple stages of clonal lineage development by combining the analysis of these lineages with structural analyses of the antibodies and their ligands. The work described here outlines an approach for testing this strategy for inducing B-cell maturation along pathways that would not be taken in response to conventional, single-immunogen vaccines.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge H.-X. Liao, M. Anthony Moody, S. Munir Alam, L. Verkoczy, M. Bonsignori and G.D. Tomaras at Duke University School of Medicine and D. Dimitrov at the National Cancer Institute, US National Institutes of Health (NIH), USA, for discussions and key collaborations on the work cited in this review; H.-X. Liao for Figure 3; and K. McClammy and S. Devine for expert secretarial assistance. This work was supported by a Vaccine Development Center grant in the Collaboration for AIDS Vaccine Discovery Program from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, by the NIH, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), Division of AIDS grant for the Center for HIV/AIDS Vaccine Immunology, cooperative agreement U19 AI-067854, and by an NIAID 'Modeling Immunity for Biodefense' contract. S.C.H. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

1. Hilleman MR. Overview of the needs and realities for developing new and improved vaccines in the 21st century. *Intervirology*. 2002; 45:199–211. [PubMed: 12566702]
2. Plotkin SA. Vaccines: the fourth century. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 2009; 16:1709–1719. [PubMed: 19793898]
3. Plotkin SA. Vaccines: correlates of vaccine-induced immunity. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2008; 47:401–409. [PubMed: 18558875]
4. Plotkin SA. Correlates of protection induced by vaccination. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 2010; 17:1055–1065. [PubMed: 20463105]
5. Karlsson Hedestam GB, et al. The challenges of eliciting neutralizing antibodies to HIV-1 and to influenza virus. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2008; 6:143–155. [PubMed: 18197170]
6. Ray R. Progress toward development of a hepatitis C vaccine with broad shoulders. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2011; 3 94ps33.
7. Garrone P, et al. A prime-boost strategy using virus-like particles pseudotyped for HCV proteins triggers broadly neutralizing antibodies in macaques. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2011; 3 94ra71.
8. Thimme R, Neumann-Haefelin C, Boettler T, Blum HE. Adaptive immune responses to hepatitis C virus: from viral immunobiology to a vaccine. *Biol. Chem.* 2008; 389:457–467. [PubMed: 18953713]
9. Burton DR, Stanfield RL, Wilson IA. Antibody vs. HIV in a clash of evolutionary titans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2005; 102:14943–14948. [PubMed: 16219699]
10. Walker BD, Burton DR. Toward an AIDS vaccine. *Science*. 2008; 320:760–764. [PubMed: 18467582]
11. Rappuoli R. Reverse vaccinology. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2000; 3:445–450. [PubMed: 11050440]
12. Dormitzer PR, Ulmer JB, Rappuoli R. Structure-based antigen design: a strategy for next generation vaccines. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2008; 26:659–667. [PubMed: 18977045]
13. Burton DR. Antibodies, viruses and vaccines. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2002; 2:706–713. [PubMed: 12209139]
14. Verkoczy L, Kelsoe G, Moody MA, Haynes BF. Role of immune mechanisms in induction of HIV-1 broadly neutralizing antibodies. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 2011; 23:383–390. [PubMed: 21524897]
15. Alam SM, et al. Differential reactivity of germline allelic variants of a broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibody to a gp41 fusion intermediate conformation. *J. Virol.* 2011; 85:11725–11731. [PubMed: 21917975]
16. Haynes BF, Moody MA, Verkoczy L, Kelsoe G, Alam SM. Antibody poly-specificity and neutralization of HIV-1: a hypothesis. *Hum. Antibodies.* 2005; 14:59–67. [PubMed: 16720975]
17. Haynes BF, Moody MA, Liao HX, Verkoczy L, Tomaras GD. B cell responses to HIV-1 infection and vaccination: pathways to preventing infection. *Trends Mol. Med.* 2011; 17:108–116. [PubMed: 21112250]
18. Haynes BF, Liao HX, Tomaras GD. Is developing an HIV-1 vaccine possible? *Curr. Opin. HIV AIDS.* 2010; 5:362–367. [PubMed: 20978375]
19. Xiao X, Chen W, Yang F, Dimitrov DS. Maturation pathways of cross-reactive HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies. *Viruses.* 2009; 1:802–817. [PubMed: 21994570]

20. Dimitrov DS. Therapeutic antibodies, vaccines and antibodyomes. *MAbs*. 2010; 2:347–356. [PubMed: 20400863]
21. Ma B-J, et al. Envelope deglycosylation enhances antigenicity of HIV-1 gp41 epitopes for both broad neutralizing antibodies and their unmutated ancestor antibodies. *PLoS Pathog*. 2011; 7 e1002200.
22. Xiao X, et al. Germline-like predecessors of broadly neutralizing antibodies lack measurable binding to HIV-1 envelope glycoproteins: implications for evasion of immune responses and design of vaccine immunogens. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun*. 2009; 390:404–409. [PubMed: 19748484]
23. Wu X, et al. Focused evolution of HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies revealed by structures and deep sequencing. *Science*. 2011; 333:1593–1602. [PubMed: 21835983]
24. Scheid JF, et al. Broad diversity of neutralizing antibodies isolated from memory B cells in HIV-infected individuals. *Nature*. 2009; 458:636–640. [PubMed: 19287373]
25. Kwong PD, Mascola JR, Nabel GJ. Rational design of vaccines to elicit broadly neutralizing antibodies to HIV-1. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med*. 2011; 1 a007278.
26. Dal Porto JM, Haberman AM, Shlomchik MJ, Kelsoe G. Antigen drives very low affinity B cells to become plasmacytes and enter germinal centers. *J. Immunol*. 1998; 161:5373–5381. [PubMed: 9820511]
27. Dal Porto JM, Haberman AM, Kelsoe G, Shlomchik MJ. Very low affinity B cells form germinal centers, become memory B cells, and participate in secondary immune responses when higher affinity competition is reduced. *J. Exp. Med*. 2002; 195:1215–1221. [PubMed: 11994427]
28. Shih TA, Meffre E, Roederer M, Nussenzweig M. Role of BCR affinity in T cell dependent antibody responses *in vivo*. *Nat. Immunol*. 2002; 3:570–575. [PubMed: 12021782]
29. Schwickert TA, et al. A dynamic T cell-limited checkpoint regulates affinity-dependent B cell entry into the germinal center. *J. Exp. Med*. 2011; 208:1243–1252. [PubMed: 21576382]
30. Liao HX, et al. Initial antibodies binding to HIV-1 gp41 in acutely infected subjects are polyreactive and highly mutated. *J. Exp. Med*. 2011; 208:2237–2249. [PubMed: 21987658]
31. Bonsignori M, et al. Analysis of a clonal lineage of HIV-1 envelope V2/V3 conformational epitope-specific broadly neutralizing antibodies and their inferred unmutated common ancestors. *J. Virol*. 2011; 85:9998–10009. [PubMed: 21795340]
32. Nemazee D, Weigert M. Revising B cell receptors. *J. Exp. Med*. 2000; 191:1813–1817. [PubMed: 10839798]
33. Wardemann H, et al. Predominant autoantibody production by early human B cell precursors. *Science*. 2003; 301:1374–1377. [PubMed: 12920303]
34. Wardemann H, Nussenzweig MC. B-cell self-tolerance in humans. *Adv. Immunol*. 2007; 95:83–110. [PubMed: 17869611]
35. Scheid JF, et al. Differential regulation of self-reactivity discriminates between IgG+ human circulating memory B cells and bone marrow plasma cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2011; 108:18044–18048. [PubMed: 22025722]
36. McElrath MJ, Haynes BF. Induction of immunity to human immunodeficiency virus type-1 by vaccination. *Immunity*. 2010; 33:542–554. [PubMed: 21029964]
37. Haynes BF, et al. Cardiolipin polyspecific autoreactivity in two broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibodies. *Science*. 2005; 308:1906–1908. [PubMed: 15860590]
38. Verkoczy L, et al. Autoreactivity in an HIV-1 broadly reactive neutralizing antibody variable region heavy chain induces immunologic tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2010; 107:181–186. [PubMed: 20018688]
39. Verkoczy L, et al. Rescue of HIV-1 broad neutralizing antibody-expressing B cells in 2F5 VH/VL knockin mice reveals multiple tolerance controls. *J. Immunol*. 2011; 187:3785–3797. [PubMed: 21908739]
40. Nemazee DA, Burki K. Clonal deletion of B lymphocytes in a transgenic mouse bearing anti-MHC class I antibody genes. *Nature*. 1989; 337:562–566. [PubMed: 2783762]
41. Chen C, et al. Deletion and editing of B cells that express antibodies to DNA. *J. Immunol*. 1994; 152:1970–1982. [PubMed: 8120401]

42. Phillips RS. Structure, mechanism, and substrate specificity of kynureninase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2011; 1814:1481–1488. [PubMed: 21167323]
43. Shlomchik M, et al. Anti-DNA antibodies from autoimmune mice arise by clonal expansion and somatic mutation. *J. Exp. Med.* 1990; 171:265–292. [PubMed: 2104919]
44. Tiller T, et al. Autoreactivity in human IgG⁺ memory B cells. *Immunity.* 2007; 26:205–213. [PubMed: 17306569]
45. Mietzner B, et al. Autoreactive IgG memory antibodies in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus arise from nonreactive and polyreactive precursors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2008; 105:9727–9732. [PubMed: 18621685]
46. Victora GD, et al. Germinal center dynamics revealed by multiphoton microscopy with a photoactivatable fluorescent reporter. *Cell.* 2010; 143:592–605. [PubMed: 21074050]
47. Clarke SH, et al. Inter- and intraclonal diversity in the antibody response to influenza hemagglutinin. *J. Exp. Med.* 1985; 161:687–704. [PubMed: 3920342]
48. Clarke SH, et al. V region gene usage and somatic mutation in the primary and secondary responses to influenza virus hemagglutinin. *J. Immunol.* 1990; 144:2795–2801. [PubMed: 2108213]
49. Pulendran B, Kannourakis G, Nouri S, Smith KG, Nossal GJ. Soluble antigen can cause enhanced apoptosis of germinal-centre B cells. *Nature.* 1995; 375:331–334. [PubMed: 7753199]
50. Shokat KM, Goodnow CC. Antigen-induced B-cell death and elimination during germinal-centre immune responses. *Nature.* 1995; 375:334–338. [PubMed: 7753200]
51. Han S, Zheng B, Dal Porto J, Kelsoe G. In situ studies of the primary immune response to (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)acetyl. IV. Affinity-dependent, antigen-driven B cell apoptosis in germinal centers as a mechanism for maintaining self-tolerance. *J. Exp. Med.* 1995; 182:1635–1644. [PubMed: 7500008]
52. Batista FD, Neuberger MS. Affinity dependence of the B cell response to antigen: a threshold, a ceiling, and the importance of off-rate. *Immunity.* 1998; 8:751–759. [PubMed: 9655489]
53. Zhang J, Shakhnovich EI. Optimality of mutation and selection in germinal centers. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 2010; 6:e1000800.
54. Kepler TB, Perelson AS. Somatic hypermutation in B cells: an optimal control treatment. *J. Theor. Biol.* 1993; 164:37–64. [PubMed: 8264243]
55. Wrammert J, et al. Rapid cloning of high-affinity human monoclonal antibodies against influenza virus. *Nature.* 2008; 453:667–671. [PubMed: 18449194]
56. Moody MA, et al. H3N2 influenza infection elicits more cross-reactive and less clonally expanded anti-hemagglutinin antibodies than influenza vaccination. *PLoS ONE.* 2011; 6:e25797. [PubMed: 22039424]
57. Gray ES, et al. The neutralization breadth of HIV-1 develops incrementally over four years and is associated with CD4⁺ T cell decline and high viral load during acute infection. *J. Virol.* 2011; 85:4828–4840. [PubMed: 21389135]
58. Malherbe DC, et al. Sequential immunization with a subtype B HIV-1 envelope quasispecies partially mimics the in vivo development of neutralizing antibodies. *J. Virol.* 2011; 85:5262–5274. [PubMed: 21430056]
59. Tomaras GD, et al. Initial B-cell responses to transmitted human immunodeficiency virus type 1: virion-binding immunoglobulin M (IgM) and IgG antibodies followed by plasma anti-gp41 antibodies with ineffective control of initial viremia. *J. Virol.* 2008; 82:12449–12463. [PubMed: 18842730]
60. Wei X, et al. Antibody neutralization and escape by HIV-1. *Nature.* 2003; 422:307–312. [PubMed: 12646921]
61. Richman DD, Wrin T, Little SJ, Petropoulos CJ. Rapid evolution of the neutralizing antibody response to HIV type 1 infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2003; 100:4144–4149. [PubMed: 12644702]
62. Walker LM, et al. A limited number of antibody specificities mediate broad and potent serum neutralization in selected HIV-1 infected individuals. *PLoS Pathog.* 2010; 6:e1001028.

63. Bonsignori M, et al. Two distinct broadly neutralizing antibody specificities of different clonal lineages in a single HIV-1-infected donor: implications for vaccine design. *J. Virol.* 2012; 86:4688–4692. [PubMed: 22301150]
64. Hessel AJ, et al. Fc receptor but not complement binding is important in antibody protection against HIV. *Nature.* 2007; 449:101–104. [PubMed: 17805298]
65. Hessel AJ, et al. Broadly neutralizing human anti-HIV antibody 2G12 is effective in protection against mucosal SHIV challenge even at low serum neutralizing titers. *PLoS Pathog.* 2009; 5:e1000433.
66. Hessel AJ, et al. Effective, low-titer antibody protection against low-dose repeated mucosal SHIV challenge in macaques. *Nat. Med.* 2009; 15:951–954. [PubMed: 19525965]
67. Hessel AJ, et al. Broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibodies 2F5 and 4E10 directed against the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp41 membrane-proximal external region protect against mucosal challenge by simian-human immunodeficiency virus SHIVBa-L. *J. Virol.* 2010; 84:1302–1313. [PubMed: 19906907]
68. Walker LM, et al. Broad neutralization coverage of HIV by multiple highly potent antibodies. *Nature.* 2011; 477:466–470. [PubMed: 21849977]
69. Gray ES, et al. Isolation of a monoclonal antibody that targets the alpha-2 helix of gp120 and represents the initial autologous neutralizing-antibody response in an HIV-1 subtype C-infected individual. *J. Virol.* 2011; 85:7719–7729. [PubMed: 21613396]
70. Wu X, et al. Rational design of envelope identifies broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies to HIV-1. *Science.* 2010; 329:856–861. [PubMed: 20616233]
71. Walker LM, et al. Broad and potent neutralizing antibodies from an African donor reveal a new HIV-1 vaccine target. *Science.* 2009; 326:285–289. [PubMed: 19729618]
72. Scheid JF, et al. Sequence and structural convergence of broad and potent HIV antibodies that mimic CD4 binding. *Science.* 2011; 333:1633–1637. [PubMed: 21764753]
73. Morris L, et al. Isolation of a human anti-HIV gp41 membrane proximal region neutralizing antibody by antigen-specific single B cell sorting. *PLoS ONE.* 2011; 6:e23532. [PubMed: 21980336]
74. Throsby M, et al. Heterosubtypic neutralizing monoclonal antibodies cross-protective against H5N1 and H1N1 recovered from human IgM+ memory B cells. *PLoS ONE.* 2008; 3:e3942. [PubMed: 19079604]
75. Sui J, et al. Structural and functional bases for broad-spectrum neutralization of avian and human influenza A viruses. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2009; 16:265–273. [PubMed: 19234466]
76. Kashyap AK, et al. Combinatorial antibody libraries from survivors of the Turkish H5N1 avian influenza outbreak reveal virus neutralization strategies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2008; 105:5986–5991. [PubMed: 18413603]
77. Zwick MB, et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies targeted to the membrane-proximal external region of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 glycoprotein gp41. *J. Virol.* 2001; 75:10892–10905. [PubMed: 11602729]
78. Ekiert DC, et al. Antibody recognition of a highly conserved influenza virus epitope. *Science.* 2009; 324:246–251. [PubMed: 19251591]
79. Pancera M, et al. Crystal structure of PG16 and chimeric dissection with somatically related PG9: structure-function analysis of two quaternary-specific antibodies that effectively neutralize HIV-1. *J. Virol.* 2010; 84:8098–8110. [PubMed: 20538861]
80. Changela A, et al. Crystal structure of human antibody 2909 reveals conserved features of quaternary structure-specific antibodies that potently neutralize HIV-1. *J. Virol.* 2011; 85:2524–2535. [PubMed: 21191009]
81. Felsenstein J. Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach. *J. Mol. Evol.* 1981; 17:368–376. [PubMed: 7288891]
82. Fleishman SJ, et al. Computational design of proteins targeting the conserved stem region of influenza hemagglutinin. *Science.* 2011; 332:816–821. [PubMed: 21566186]
83. Smith GP, Petrenko VA. Phage Display. *Chem. Rev.* 1997; 97:391–410. [PubMed: 11848876]
84. Irving MB, et al. Exploring peptide mimics for the production of antibodies against discontinuous protein epitopes. *Mol. Immunol.* 2010; 47:1137–1148. [PubMed: 20031219]

85. Ofek G, et al. Elicitation of structure-specific antibodies by epitope scaffolds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2010; 107:17880–17887. [PubMed: 20876137]
86. Frey G, et al. A fusion-intermediate state of HIV-1 gp41 targeted by broadly neutralizing antibodies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2008; 105:3739–3744. [PubMed: 18322015]
87. Alam SM, et al. Role of HIV membrane in neutralization by two broadly neutralizing antibodies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2009; 106:20234–20239. [PubMed: 19906992]
88. Tomaras GD, et al. Polyclonal B cell responses to conserved neutralization epitopes in a subset of HIV-1 infected individuals. *J. Virol*. 2011; 85:11502–11519. [PubMed: 21849452]
89. Calarese DA, et al. Dissection of the carbohydrate specificity of the broadly neutralizing anti-HIV-1 antibody 2G12. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2005; 102:13372–13377. [PubMed: 16174734]
90. Wang P, Danishefsky SJ. Promising general solution to the problem of ligating peptides and glycopeptides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2010; 132:17045–17051. [PubMed: 21049949]
91. Yuan Y, et al. Toward homogeneous erythropoietin: fine tuning of the C-terminal acyl donor in the chemical synthesis of the Cys29-Gly77 glycopeptide domain. *J. Am. Chem. Soc*. 2009; 131:5432–5437. [PubMed: 20560636]
92. Whittle JR, et al. Broadly neutralizing human antibody that recognizes the receptor-binding pocket of influenza virus hemagglutinin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2011; 108:14216–14221. [PubMed: 21825125]
93. Kim JH, Skountzou I, Compans R, Jacob J. Original antigenic sin responses to influenza viruses. *J. Immunol*. 2009; 183:3294–3301. [PubMed: 19648276]
94. Mouquet H, Nussenzweig MC. Polyreactive antibodies in adaptive immune responses to viruses. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci*. 2011 Nov 2. published online.
95. Mouquet H, et al. Polyreactivity increases the apparent affinity of anti-HIV antibodies by heterologation. *Nature*. 2010; 467:591–595. [PubMed: 20882016]
96. Bonsignori M, et al. HIV-1 envelope induces memory B cell responses that correlate with plasma antibody levels after envelope gp120 protein vaccination or HIV-1 infection. *J. Immunol*. 2009; 183:2708–2717. [PubMed: 19625640]
97. Amanna IJ, Carlson NE, Slifka MK. Duration of humoral immunity to common viral and vaccine antigens. *N. Engl. J. Med*. 2007; 357:1903–1915. [PubMed: 17989383]
98. Moriel DG, et al. Identification of protective and broadly conserved vaccine antigens from the genome of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2010; 107:9072–9077. [PubMed: 20439758]
99. Sette A, Rappuoli R. Reverse vaccinology: developing vaccines in the era of genomics. *Immunity*. 2010; 33:530–541. [PubMed: 21029963]
100. Bambini S, Rappuoli R. The use of genomics in microbial vaccine development. *Drug Discov. Today*. 2009; 14:252–260. [PubMed: 19150507]
101. Muster T, et al. Cross-neutralizing activity against divergent human immunodeficiency virus type 1 isolates induced by the gp41 sequence ELDKWAS. *J. Virol*. 1994; 68:4031–4034. [PubMed: 7514684]
102. Zhu Z, et al. Cross-reactive HIV-1-neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies identified from a patient with 2F5-like antibodies. *J. Virol*. 2011; 85:11401–11408. [PubMed: 21880764]
103. Trkola A, et al. Human monoclonal antibody 2G12 defines a distinctive neutralization epitope on the gp120 glycoprotein of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. *J. Virol*. 1996; 70:1100–1108. [PubMed: 8551569]
104. Saphire EO, et al. Crystal structure of a neutralizing human IGG against HIV-1: a template for vaccine design. *Science*. 2001; 293:1155–1159. [PubMed: 11498595]
105. Diskin R, et al. Increasing the potency and breadth of an HIV antibody by using structure-based rational design. *Science*. 2011; 334:1289–1293. [PubMed: 22033520]
106. Corti D, et al. Analysis of memory B cell responses and isolation of novel monoclonal antibodies with neutralizing breadth from HIV-1-infected individuals. *PLoS ONE*. 2010; 5:e8805. [PubMed: 20098712]
107. Chen B, et al. Structure of an unliganded simian immunodeficiency virus gp120 core. *Nature*. 2005; 433:834–841. [PubMed: 15729334]

108. Hong M, Zhang Y, Hu F. Membrane protein structure and dynamics from NMR spectroscopy. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2012; 63:1–24. [PubMed: 22136620]
109. Grigorieff N, Harrison SC. Near-atomic resolution reconstructions of icosahedral viruses from electron cryo-microscopy. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 2011; 21:265–273. [PubMed: 21333526]
110. Settembre EC, Chen JZ, Dormitzer PR, Grigorieff N, Harrison SC. Atomic model of an infectious rotavirus particle. *EMBO J.* 2011; 30:408–416. [PubMed: 21157433]
111. Liao HX, et al. High-throughput isolation of immunoglobulin genes from single human B cells and expression as monoclonal antibodies. *J. Virol. Methods.* 2009; 158:171–179. [PubMed: 19428587]
112. Shen X, et al. Prolonged exposure of the HIV-1 gp41 membrane proximal region with L669S substitution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2010; 107:5972–5977. [PubMed: 20231447]
113. Polyansky AA, Zubac R, Zagrovic B. Estimation of conformational entropy in protein-ligand interactions: a computational perspective. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2012; 819:327–353. [PubMed: 22183546]
114. McLellan JS, et al. Structure of HIV-1 gp120 V1/V2 domain with broadly neutralizing antibody PG9. *Nature.* 2011; 480:336–343. [PubMed: 22113616]
115. Azoitei ML, et al. Computation-guided backbone grafting of a discontinuous motif onto a protein scaffold. *Science.* 2011; 334:373–376. [PubMed: 22021856]
116. Yang T, et al. Virtual screening using molecular simulations. *Proteins.* 2011; 79:1940–1951. [PubMed: 21491494]
117. Ofek G, et al. Structure and mechanistic analysis of the anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 antibody 2F5 in complex with its gp41 epitope. *J. Virol.* 2004; 78:10724–10737. [PubMed: 15367639]
118. Cardoso RM, et al. Broadly neutralizing anti-HIV-1 antibody 4E10 recognizes a helical conformation of a highly conserved fusion associated motif in gp41. *Immunity.* 2005; 22:163–173. [PubMed: 15723805]
119. Pejchal R, et al. A potent and broad neutralizing antibody recognizes and penetrates the HIV glycan shield. *Science.* 2011; 334:1097–1103. [PubMed: 21998254]
120. Hardy RR, Carmack CE, Shinton SA, Kemp JD, Hayakawa K. Resolution and characterization of pro-B and pre-pro-B cell stages in normal mouse bone marrow. *J. Exp. Med.* 1991; 173:1213–1225. [PubMed: 1827140]
121. Li YS, Hayakawa K, Hardy RR. The regulated expression of B lineage associated genes during B cell differentiation in bone marrow and fetal liver. *J. Exp. Med.* 1993; 178:951–960. [PubMed: 8350062]
122. Alt FW, et al. Ordered rearrangement of immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region segments. *EMBO J.* 1984; 3:1209–1219. [PubMed: 6086308]
123. Ehlich A, Martin V, Muller W, Rajewsky K. Analysis of the B-cell progenitor compartment at the level of single cells. *Curr. Biol.* 1994; 4:573–583. [PubMed: 7953531]
124. Karasuyama H, Kudo A, Melchers F. The proteins encoded by the VpreB and lambda 5 pre-B cell-specific genes can associate with each other and with mu heavy chain. *J. Exp. Med.* 1990; 172:969–972. [PubMed: 2117638]
125. Karasuyama H, Rolink A, Melchers F. A complex of glycoproteins is associated with VpreB/lambda 5 surrogate light chain on the surface of mu heavy chain-negative early precursor B cell lines. *J. Exp. Med.* 1993; 178:469–478. [PubMed: 8340754]
126. Goldsby, RA.; Kindt, TJ.; Osborne, BA.; Kuby, JJ. *Immunology.* 5th edn.. New York: W.H. Freeman & Co.; 2003.
127. Karasuyama H, Rolink A, Melchers F. Surrogate light chain in B cell development. *Adv. Immunol.* 1996; 63:1–41. [PubMed: 8787628]
128. Lin WC, Desiderio S. Regulation of V(D)J recombination activator protein RAG-2 by phosphorylation. *Science.* 1993; 260:953–959. [PubMed: 8493533]
129. Li Z, Dordai DI, Lee J, Desiderio S. A conserved degradation signal regulates RAG-2 accumulation during cell division and links V(D)J recombination to the cell cycle. *Immunity.* 1996; 5:575–589. [PubMed: 8986717]

130. Reth M, Petrac E, Wiese P, Lobel L, Alt FW. Activation of V kappa gene rearrangement in pre-B cells follows the expression of membrane-bound immunoglobulin heavy chains. *EMBO J.* 1987; 6:3299–3305. [PubMed: 3123216]
131. Alt FW, Blackwell TK, Yancopoulos GD. Development of the primary antibody repertoire. *Science.* 1987; 238:1079–1087. [PubMed: 3317825]
132. Rajewsky K. Clonal selection and learning in the antibody system. *Nature.* 1996; 381:751–758. [PubMed: 8657279]
133. Hardy RR, Hayakawa K. B cell development pathways. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2001; 19:595–621. [PubMed: 11244048]
134. Perez-Andres M, et al. Human peripheral blood B-cell compartments: a crossroad in B-cell traffic. *Cytometry B Clin. Cytom.* 2010; 78(Suppl. 1):S47–S60. [PubMed: 20839338]
135. Adams E, Basten A, Goodnow CC. Intrinsic B-cell hyporesponsiveness accounts for self-tolerance in lysozyme/anti-lysozyme double-transgenic mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1990; 87:5687–5691. [PubMed: 2143020]
136. Goodnow CC. Transgenic mice and analysis of B-cell tolerance. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 1992; 10:489–518. [PubMed: 1590994]
137. Wardemann H, Hammersen J, Nussenzweig MC. Human autoantibody silencing by immunoglobulin light chains. *J. Exp. Med.* 2004; 200:191–199. [PubMed: 15263026]
138. Tiegs SL, Russell DM, Nemazee D. Receptor editing in self-reactive bone marrow B cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 1993; 177:1009–1020. [PubMed: 8459201]
139. Radic MZ, Erikson J, Litwin S, Weigert M. B lymphocytes may escape tolerance by revising their antigen receptors. *J. Exp. Med.* 1993; 177:1165–1173. [PubMed: 8459210]
140. ten Boekel E, Melchers F, Rolink AG. Changes in the V(H) gene repertoire of developing precursor B lymphocytes in mouse bone marrow mediated by the pre-B cell receptor. *Immunity.* 1997; 7:357–368. [PubMed: 9324356]
141. Rolink AG, Andersson J, Melchers F. Characterization of immature B cells by a novel monoclonal antibody, by turnover and by mitogen reactivity. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 1998; 28:3738–3748. [PubMed: 9842916]
142. Tsuiji M, et al. A checkpoint for autoreactivity in human IgM⁺ memory B cell development. *J. Exp. Med.* 2006; 203:393–400. [PubMed: 16446381]
143. Meffre E, et al. Surrogate light chain expressing human peripheral B cells produce self-reactive antibodies. *J. Exp. Med.* 2004; 199:145–150. [PubMed: 14699083]
144. Carsetti R, Kohler G, Lamers MC. Transitional B cells are the target of negative selection in the B cell compartment. *J. Exp. Med.* 1995; 181:2129–2140. [PubMed: 7760002]
145. Loder F, et al. B cell development in the spleen takes place in discrete steps and is determined by the quality of B cell receptor-derived signals. *J. Exp. Med.* 1999; 190:75–89. [PubMed: 10429672]
146. Wang H, et al. Transitional B cells lose their ability to receptor edit but retain their potential for positive and negative selection. *J. Immunol.* 2007; 179:7544–7552. [PubMed: 18025199]
147. Hayakawa K, et al. Positive selection of natural autoreactive B cells. *Science.* 1999; 285:113–116. [PubMed: 10390361]
148. Di Noia JM, Neuberger MS. Molecular mechanisms of antibody somatic hypermutation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2007; 76:1–22. [PubMed: 17328676]
149. Kelsoe G. In situ studies of the germinal center reaction. *Adv. Immunol.* 1995; 60:267–288. [PubMed: 8607371]
150. Rogozin IB, Kolchanov NA. Somatic hypermutagenesis in immunoglobulin genes: II. Influence of neighbouring base sequences on mutagenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1992; 1171:11–18. [PubMed: 1420357]
151. Kepler TB. Codon bias and plasticity in immunoglobulins. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 1997; 14:637–643. [PubMed: 9190065]
152. Crotty S. Follicular helper CD4 T cells (TFH). *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2011; 29:621–663. [PubMed: 21314428]

Box 1 Factors preventing the induction of long-lasting HIV-1 BnAbs

Several factors can act to prevent the production of BnAbs against pathogens; these are listed below. The B-cell-lineage design approach is likely to help overcome the problems posed by tolerance control and the diversion of B-cell responses, as well as the requirements for extensive somatic hypermutations and specific germline allelic variants.

- Masking of neutralizing epitopes by carbohydrates
- The conformational flexibility of the HIV-1 envelope
- Transient neutralization of epitope expression
- Molecular mimicry of the Env carbohydrates and protein regions of host molecules
- Tolerance control of gp41-neutralizing epitope responses
- The brief half-lives of all induced antibodies to Env, and the fact that Env fails to induce long-lived plasma cells
- Rapid viral escape from induced neutralizing antibodies
- Diversion of B-cell responses from neutralizing determinants by immune-dominant, non-neutralizing epitopes of Env
- The requirements for extensive somatic hypermutations and for complex maturation pathways
- The requirement for specific germline V_H allelic variants for a BnAb response

Box 2 Glossary of terms

Autologous neutralizing antibodies: antibodies that are produced early after the transmission of disease that selectively neutralize the transmitted/founder virus.

B-cell anergy: a type of B cell tolerance that renders antigen-binding B cells unresponsive to their antigen ligands.

B-cell tolerance: a physiologic process that purges or inactivates B cells that are substantially reactive to self-antigens. Most autoreactive B cells are either removed by apoptosis or receptor editing or are rendered unresponsive (that is, anergic).

Broadly neutralizing antibodies (BnAbs): antibodies that neutralize diverse strains of a particular infectious agent.

Committed B cell: a lymphocyte progenitor that has undergone irreversible differentiation to enter the B cell lineage.

Germinal center: antigen-driven histologic structures in immune tissues comprising populations of B and T lymphocytes and follicular dendritic cells. Germinal center B cells present antigens to follicular helper T cells to receive activation signals necessary for immunoglobulin class-switching and somatic hypermutations as well as the generation of memory B cell compartments.

Heavy-chain second and third complementary-determining regions (HCDR1, HCDR2 and HCDR3): three loops from each of the two immunoglobulin polypeptide chains contribute to its antigen-binding surface. The third of these complementarity-determining regions on the heavy chain is particularly variable (because the V_H, D and J_H segments can all contribute) and often makes a particularly crucial contribution to antigen recognition.

Immunoglobulin class switching: the process by which an antigen drives the switching of an immunoglobulin made by a developing memory B cell from IgM to IgG, IgA or IgE. This process requires the expression of the enzyme AID but is independent of somatic hypermutation. Not all memory B cells undergo class switching, however, and some memory B cells retain surface IgM.

Intermediate ancestor antibodies: antibodies made by intermediates in the clonal lineage that are generated during the affinity maturation of a naive B cell in a germinal center.

Polyreactivity: the property of an antibody binding to multiple and distinct antigens with substantial affinity; a common characteristic of virus-specific antibodies that also bind either host self-antigens or other nonviral antigens.

Somatic hypermutation: a process in germinal centers mediated by the enzyme AID that leads to affinity maturation of the antibody-antigen binding. In HIV-1, broadly neutralizing antibodies typically have more somatic mutations (~15%) than non-neutralizing antibodies isolated from subjects with HIV-1 infection (~7%) (A. Trama, H.-X. Liao and B.F.H., unpublished data).

Simian human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV): a chimeric virus of simian immunodeficiency virus and HIV-1 used in challenge experiments of rhesus macaques vaccinated with HIV-1 envelope.

Unmutated ancestor antibodies: antibodies that represent the BCRs of naive B cells that give rise to clonal lineages of mutated B cells. Unmutated ancestor antibodies can be

isolated from transitional or mature naive B cell populations or inferred from analyses of mutated memory B-cell clonal lineages.

V_H restriction: recurrent usage of the same V_H gene segment in antibody responses from many individuals to the same epitope.

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Box 3 Human B-cell development

Human B cells develop from hematopoietic progenitors that express the V(D)J recombinase, [RAG2], recombination activating gene 1 (RAG1) and RAG2 and rearrange the immunoglobulin heavy locus (IGH) gene loci^{120–123}. In pre-B I cells, functional IgM heavy chain (μ H) polypeptides formed by these rearrangements associate with surrogate light chains^{124–126} and Ig- α -Ig- β heterodimers to form pre-BCRs¹²⁷ that are necessary for cell survival and proliferation^{120,128,129}. These cells exit the cell cycle as pre-B II cells¹²¹, initiate rearrangements in the κ or λ light-chain loci^{35,130} and assemble a mature BCR^{131,132} that binds antigen^{120,133} (Fig. 1). The generation of BCRs by genomic rearrangement of V, D and J gene segments and the combinatorial association of IGH, with κ or λ light chains ensures a diverse primary repertoire of BCRs but frequently produces self-reactive B cells^{32–34}.

Most immature B cells in the bone marrow are autoreactive and are normally eliminated or inactivated by immunological tolerance^{33,34}. The remaining B cells mature through the transitional 1 (T1) and T2 stages, which are characterized by changes in membrane IgM and IgD expression and the loss or diminution of markers associated with developmental immaturity¹³⁴. In the periphery, newly formed (T2) B cells are subject to a second round of immune tolerization before entering the mature B-cell pools^{33,34}.

At least three mechanisms of immunological tolerance deplete the immature and maturing B-cell pools of self-reactivity: apoptosis^{40,41}, cellular inactivation by anergy^{135,136} and replacement of autoreactive BCRs by secondary V(D)J rearrangement^{32,137–139}. The majority of lymphocytes committed to the B-cell lineage do not reach maturity because they express dysfunctional μ H polypeptides and cannot form a pre-BCR^{140,141} or because they carry self-reactive BCRs³³.

Autoreactive BCR numbers decline with increasing B-cell maturity^{40,137}, even in cells drawn from peripheral sites (Fig. 1)^{142–145}. Tolerance mechanisms, especially apoptotic deletion^{144–146}, operate during the transitional stages of B-cell development, and the number of self-reactive cells decreases substantially after entry into the mature pools³³.

Despite having multiple tolerance pathways and checkpoints, not all autoreactive B cells are lost during development^{34,39}. In mice, mature follicular B cells are substantially purged of autoreactivity, but the marginal zone and B1 B-cell compartments are enriched for self-reactive cells¹⁴⁷. In humans, 20–25% of mature, naive B cells circulating in the blood continue to express autoreactive BCRs^{33–35}.

In germinal centers, antigen-reactive mature B cells are driven to proliferate and express high amounts of AID, an enzyme required for immunoglobulin class-switch recombination and V(D)J hypermutation¹⁴⁸. Autoreactivity in the germinal center B cell compartment increases as a result of the incorporation of mutations that alter antibody specificity^{33,34,43–45} (Fig. 1), although this autoreactivity is decreased in the human bone marrow plasma-cell pool³⁵.

In germinal centers, clonally related B cells rapidly divide, and their clonal evolution is a Darwinian process comprising two mechanisms: hypermutation and affinity-dependent selection^{29,46,149}. Selection clearly is not random, but hypermutation is also nonrandom and is influenced substantially by local DNA sequence¹⁵⁰ because of the template specificity of AID¹⁴⁸. Furthermore, codon biases conserved in V_H and variable light (V_L) gene segments increase the likelihood of mutations in the regions that specify antigen-binding domains¹⁵¹. Therefore, even before selection, some evolutionary trajectories for germinal center B cells are favored over others. The continued survival and proliferation of germinal center B cells is strongly correlated with BCR affinity and seems to be

determined by the capacity of each B cell to collect and present antigen^{29,46} to local chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 5 (CXCR5)⁺CD4⁺ T_{FH} cells¹⁵².

Unlike AID-driven hypermutation, in which molecular biases remain constant, clonal selection in the germinal center depends on BCR fitness (affinity and specificity) and changes over the course of clonal maturation. Individual germinal centers are therefore microcosms of Darwinian selection, and each germinal center is essentially an independent 'experiment' in clonal evolution with regard to the founding B- and T-cell populations and the order and distribution of the introduced mutations.

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Box 4 Inference of antibody precursors

The known unrearranged germline sequences of the *V*, *D* and *J* gene segments and the rules for their recombination provide very restrictive prior distributions on possible ancestors. From the likelihood of the sequence data, given the ancestor and the substitution model, as well as the prior distribution on the ancestors, one can apply Bayes' rule to compute the probability of any hypothesized ancestor. Bayes' rule describes the relationship between the conditional probabilities relating data and hypotheses. If we can compute the probability of the data given each hypothesis (the likelihood), Bayes' rule tells us how to compute the probability of any given hypothesis given the data.

The posterior probability at each position in the unmutated ancestor can be computed from the posteriors over the gene segments and over other parameters of the rearrangement. The complete probability function provides a measure of the certainty of the inference at each position in addition to the most likely nucleotide state itself. This additional information may be crucial to ensuring the relevance of the antigen binding assays performed on the synthesized unmutated ancestor. Some of the intermediate forms of the antibody genes through which a given member of the clone passed can be similarly inferred, though not all of them can be (Fig. 3). The more members of the antibody clone that are able to be isolated, the higher the resolution with which one can reconstruct the clonal intermediates³⁰.

The inference of the posterior probabilities of the unmutated common ancestor and intermediates proceeds in several steps, outlined as follows and in Figure 4. First, one starts with an initial estimate of the clonal lineage tree, which will be iteratively updated. Each node of the tree is an antibody intermediate, and the root of the tree is the unmutated common ancestor antibody. The likelihood function is a function over the nucleotides at each position of the undetermined sequences at the nodes of the tree. Second, the likelihood is computed backwards from the observed sequences (O1 and O2) at the tips of the tree to each node in sequence through the substitution model back to the unmutated ancestor. Third, the likelihood at the unmutated ancestor is used to align the germline gene segments to compute the prior probability on nucleotides in the unmutated ancestor. Normalizing the product of the likelihood and the prior probability gives the posterior probability of the unmutated ancestor. The posterior probabilities are then propagated back up the tree through all the intermediates, again using the substitution matrix and Bayes' rule. One may re-estimate the clonal tree and repeat the steps above until the tree stabilizes and the posterior probabilities converge.

Location of obstacles B cells must overcome to make broadly neutralizing antibodies

Figure 1. B-cell ontogeny and the locations of obstacles B cells must overcome to make broadly neutralizing antibodies. Human B cells arise from committed pro-B cells that proliferate in response to hematopoietic growth factors and rearrange IGH *V*, *D* and *J* gene segments (Box 2). After assembly of the pre-BCR, pre-B I cell numbers expand through proliferation and exit the cell cycle as pre-B II cells. Increased expression of the V(D)J recombinase in pre-B II cells drives light-chain gene rearrangements and the assembly of mature BCRs that are capable of binding antigen. B cells in this primary repertoire with long BCR HCDR3s are often autoreactive, and many of these and other autoreactive cells are lost in the bone marrow at the first tolerance checkpoint (as in 2F5 BnAb mice^{38,39}); the remainder of the B cells mature as T1 and T2 B cells, which migrate into the peripheral lymphoid tissues via the blood. In the periphery, T2, or newly formed, B cells are subject to another round of immune tolerization (tolerance checkpoint 2) before entering the mature B-cell pools. At each of the tolerance checkpoints, the number of autoreactive B cells is reduced by half. Mature B cells activated by antigen and T_{FH} cells form germinal centers (GCs), which are sites of intense B-cell proliferation, AID-dependent somatic hypermutation, class-switch recombination and affinity-driven selection. A portion of the mutated germinal center B cells acquire new autoreactivity as a consequence of this process of mutation and selection, and some of these cells may become anergic (tolerance checkpoint 3). For serum antibody levels to persist, long-lived plasma cells in bone marrow or elsewhere must be induced. Although the precise location of the long-lived plasma cells is under debate, the HIV-1 envelope is probably a poor inducer of these cells because the durations of the envelope responses in HIV-1 vaccination are generally short lived compared to those in other vaccinations^{96,97}. Recent data suggest that human plasma cells are less autoreactive than

memory B cells³⁵. B cells that make BnAbs must survive tolerance checkpoints 1 and 2 and must also be selected for activation and expansion. The affinity of antigen binding to BCRs is one determinant of B-cell survival and expansion in germinal centers^{26–29}. Most HIV-1 BnAbs are very heavily somatically mutated, indicating a requirement for persistent antigen drive and complicated antigen-maturation pathways that are probably driven by multiple antigens. BnAbs that recognize the gp41 MPER frequently have VH1-69 as the heavy-chain variable domain⁷³, and CD4 binding site BnAbs frequently come from VH1-2 or VH1-46 genes^{70,72}. This restricted VH usage for CD4 binding site BnAbs may derive from the requirement for selection of VH-VL pairs that, after extensive somatic hypermutation and affinity maturation, can form an antigen-combining site that resembles CD4 (ref. 70). HCDR2, contained within V_H, corresponds to the gp120 contact loop in CD4. Imm. B, immature B cells.

V1/V2 conformational (quaternary)

PG9, PG16, CH01–CH04

CD4 binding site1b12, VRC01–VRC03, PG04
HJ16, CH30–CH34, NIH45–NIH46**gp120 carbohydrate**

2G12, PGT125–PGT128, PGT30

Membrane proximal

2F5, 4E10, CAP206-CH12

Figure 2.

Schematic diagram of trimeric HIV-1 Env with sites of epitopes for broadly neutralizing antibodies. The four general specificities for BnAbs detected to date are: the CD4 binding site, the V1/V2 variable loops, certain exposed glycans and the MPER. Red ovals, gp120 core; dark red ovals, V1/V2 loops; magenta ovals, V3 loop; blue and red squares, gp41; bright red stripe, MPER of gp41; light brown curved stripe, viral membrane bilayer. PGT antibodies⁶⁸ and 2G12 depend on Env N-linked glycans for binding gp120, as do V1/V2-directed conformational antibodies^{31,71}.

Figure 3.

Steps of a B-cell-lineage-based approach to vaccine design. Step 1 is to isolate V_H and V_L chain members from the peripheral blood or tissues of patients containing BnAbs and to express these native Ig chain pairs as whole antibodies. Step 2 is to infer intermediate ancestor antibodies (IAs, labeled 1, 2 and 3) and the unmutated ancestor antibody (UA) (Box 3). Step 3 requires producing the unmutated and intermediate ancestors as recombinant mAbs and using structure-based alterations in the antigen (changes in Env constructs predicted to enhance binding to the unmutated or intermediate ancestors) or deriving altered antigens using a suitably designed selection strategy. Vaccine administration might prime with the antigen that binds the unmutated ancestor most tightly, and this is then followed by sequential boosts with antigens optimized for binding to each intermediate ancestor. Shown here is an actual clonal lineage of the V1/V2-directed BnAbs CH01-CH04 (ref. 31). Targeting the unmutated ancestor with an immunogen that has enhanced binding may induce higher antibody responses²¹. If high-affinity ligands for unmutated ancestors cannot be found, then high-affinity ligands targeting the intermediate ancestors may be equally useful for triggering a response.

Figure 4.
Clonal tree illustrating the inference scheme.

Table 1

Strategies for vaccine development

Strategy	Comments
Empirical testing of immunizations with killed, live attenuated or subunit vaccines ¹⁻⁴	Traditional empirical testing of live attenuated, killed and subunit vaccines have been successful for many diseases, such as polio, measles, mumps and yellow fever. These approaches have yet to produce broadly effective vaccines for HIV-1, HCV and influenza, and live attenuated vaccines may be unsafe in the case of HIV-1.
Genomics-based reverse vaccinology ^{11,98-100}	Identifies all potential antigens in a pathogen genome and then uses high-throughput approaches to identify gene products as vaccine candidates. Genomics-based vaccine development has been successful in generating new vaccine candidates for meningococcus B, as well as for other bacterial pathogens.
Vaccine generation from known structures of neutralizing antibodies or antibody-antigen complexes ^{12,13}	Although elegant in concept, this strategy has not yet been successful for the development of vaccines.
B-cell-lineage vaccine design	The strategies listed above rely on finding the 'right' antigen structure for mature memory B-cell receptors. B-cell-lineage vaccine design takes into account the host regulatory suppression of unusual antibodies, even in the presence of the right antigen. By designing immunogens specifically targeted at the precursors of the desired antibodies, B-cell-lineage vaccine design aims to drive antibody responses through or around uncommon or forbidden pathways of B-cell maturation.

Table 2

Immunogenetic and functional characteristics of representative HIV-1 BnAbs

BnAb ^a	Env epitope specificity	V family usage		Percentage V _H mutations (%) ^c	HCDR3 length (aa)	Polyreactivity	Refs.
		Isotype	V _H V _κ /V _λ				
2F5	gp41 MPER	IgG3	2-5 κ1-13	15.2	24	Yes	101
M66.6	gp41 MPER	IgG1 ^b	5-51 κ1-39	9.3	23	Yes	102
4E10	gp41 MPER	IgG3	1-69 κ3-20	15.6	20	Yes	77
CAP206-CH12	gp41 MPER	IgG1	1-69 κ3-20	11.8	17	Yes	73
2G12	gp120 carbohydrate	IgG1	3-21 κ1-5	31.7	16	No	103
PGT128	gp120 carbohydrate	IgG1 ^b	4-39 λ2-8	19.0	19	No	68
1b12	gp120 CD4 binding site	IgG1 ^b	1-3 κ3-20	13.1	20	Yes	104
VRC01, VRC02	gp120 CD4 binding site	IgG1 ^b	1-2 κ3-11	32.1	14	No	70
VRC03	gp120 CD4 binding site	IgG1 ^b	1-2 κ3-20	30.2	16	No	70
CH30-CH34	gp120 CD4 binding site	IgG1 ^c	1-2 κ1-33	31.2-31.7	15	No	23
NIH45-46	gp120 CD4 binding site	IgG1 ^b	1-2 κ3-20	35.7	18	Yes	72,105
HJ16	gp120 CD4 core	IgG1 ^b	3-3 κ4-1	14.6	21	ND	106
PG9, PG16	gp120 conformational V1/V2 directed	IgG1 ^b	3-33 λ2-14	16.7-20.5	30	No	71
CH01-CH04	gp120 conformational V1/V2-directed	IgG1	3-20 κ3-20	11.5-14.3	24	Yes, CH03	31

^aThe BnAbs listed in the same row are somatic variants of the same clonal lineage.^bIgG1 vector construct; the original isotype was either not reported or not determined.^cEstimate based on the amino acid sequence comparisons of original (mutated) and inferred reverted (unmutated) V(D)J rearrangements. aa, amino acids; CD4, cluster of differentiation 4; ND, not determined; V1 and V2, variable loops; V_κ and V_λ, kappa and lambda light chains. This table was adapted with permission from the authors of a previous study¹⁴.

Table 3

Designing proteins with enhanced affinity for unmutated-ancestor or intermediate antibodies

Strategy	Methods	Representative refs.	Evidence that methods can be applied to vaccine design
Determine the structure of unliganded and liganded unmutated and intermediate ancestor antibodies	X-ray crystallography	23,79,85,92,107	The structures of antibody-antigen complexes can be analyzed for an antigen modification that is predicted to increase affinity; modified antigens can be tested for an increased affinity with recombinant unmodified and intermediate ancestor antibodies. Modification based on known structures can apply to glycan as well as to protein epitopes.
	Nuclear magnetic resonance	108	
	Cryoelectron microscopy	109,110	
New vaccine antigen selection approaches	Phage display of peptide libraries	83	Phage-displayed peptide libraries are a well-developed way to select for antigens that bind to antibodies; however, peptides may not fully mimic conformational epitopes.
	Transfection of the library of Env- or HA-encoding vectors into mammalian cells	111	Transient transfection of plasmids is readily achievable. Screening large numbers of Env or HA variants is currently difficult.
	Mutation of known antibody ligands	112	Site-directed mutations in expressed proteins are readily achievable; alanine-substituted peptide sets have been used for MPER antigen design.
Computational protein design	Computational protein design	82,113	Computational protein design in the future will probably be very useful in the absence of a known structure. Currently, protein design methods in the absence of a known structure require either full-scale molecular dynamics or modeling of the primary amino acid sequences on known structures using methods such as Rosetta. With both approaches, calculated conformations must be confirmed experimentally.
	Overlay of protein sequences on known structure scaffolds	85,114,115	
	Molecular dynamics modeling	113,116	

Table 4

BnAb-antigen interactions discussed in this article

Target	Examples of BnAbs	Description
Influenza virus HA	CH65-HA head sialic acid-binding site, CR6261, F10-HA stalk-binding site	<p>HA1 (green) CR6261 binding site (epitope in yellow) HA2 (red)</p> <p>HA is one of the two principal surface proteins on influenza A and B. Like HIV-1 Env, HA has both strain-specific and conserved determinants that elicit neutralizing antibodies, although antibodies to the former are much more common than antibodies to the latter. The conserved targets of the BnAbs are the binding pocket for the receptor, sialic acid (CH65), and the 'stalk' of the rod-like HA stalk trimer (CR6261 and F10). (Image adapted from ref. 74 with permission.)</p>
HIV MPER of gp41	2F5, 4E10, CAP206-CH12	<p>657 670 671 686 2F5 binding site gp41 MPER 4E10 binding site</p> <p>The MPER is a site on HIV-1 Env gp41 near the viral membrane at which a number of neutralizing antibodies bind. Isolated natural antibodies that bind this region are polyreactive; the tip of their HCDR3 region associates with the viral lipid membrane while awaiting exposure of the gp41 intermediate-neutralizing determinant. (Image adapted from refs. 117 and 118 with permission.)</p>
V1/V2-directed gp120 conformational (quaternary)	PG9, PG16, CH01-CH04	<p>gp120 V2 Glycans (white carbons) gp120 V1 PG9 binding site (glycans and amino acid epitope in yellow)</p> <p>PG9, PG16 and clonal lineage CH01-CH04 bind to a peptide-glycan epitope in the HIV-1 Env V1/V2 loop region that is dependent on glycans at Asn156 and Asn160. These antibodies are heavily somatically mutated and require long HCDR3 regions for interactions with their peptide-glycan epitope. (Image adapted from ref. 114 with permission.)</p>

Target	Examples of BnAbs		Description
HIV-1 Env gp120 glycans	PGT121, PGT128, 2G12	<p>PGT128 light chain</p> <p>PGT128 heavy chain</p> <p>V3</p> <p>Glycans</p> <p>gp120 outer domain</p>	The HIV-1 Env is densely covered by glycans that resemble the glycans on human proteins. When antibodies directly bind Env glycans, they frequently broadly neutralize. Some antibodies bind only glycans (for example, 2G12 and PGT128), whereas others are sensitive to specific N-glycan mutations, for example, a mutation at Asn332 (PGT121). (Image adapted from ref. 119 with permission.)
HIV-1 gp120 CD4-binding site	VRC01–VRC03 clonal lineage, PG04, the CH30–CH34 clonal lineage	<p>gp120 bridging sheet</p> <p>CD4 binding site SerGlyGlyAsp</p> <p>VRC01–03 binding site</p> <p>gp120 inner domain</p> <p>gp120 outer domain</p>	Although many antibodies recognize the region on the surface of gp120 that includes the CD4 binding site (the receptor for HIV), their footprint also covers adjacent parts of the surface, where mutation can lead to escape from neutralization by those antibodies. VRC01–VRC03, PG04 and CH30–CH34 bind gp120 in a way that closely resembles the contact made by CD4; the heavy-chain V _H region of these antibodies (nearly all are V _H 1–2) mimics the N-terminal, immunoglobulin-like domain of CD4, with relatively few interactions outside the conserved, CD4-binding pocket. This contact is with the V _H -domain CDR2, which corresponds to the gp120-binding surface of CD4. (Image adapted from ref. 23 with permission.)