Published in final edited form as:

J Mol Biol. 2012 December 14; 424(5): 248–256. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2012.09.025.

Electron Microscopy and 3D Reconstruction Reveals Filamin Ig-Domain Binding to F-Actin

Worawit Suphamungmee¹, Fumihiko Nakamura², John H. Hartwig², and William Lehman¹

¹Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Boston University School of Medicine, 72 East Concord Street, Boston, MA 02118, USA

²Translational Medicine Division, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, One Blackfan Circle, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

Filamin A (FLNa) is an actin-binding protein that cross-links F-actin into networks of orthogonally branched filaments. FLNa also directs the networks to integrins while responding to mechanochemical signaling pathways. Flexible, 160 nm long FLNa molecules are tail-to-tail dimers, each subunit of which contains an N-terminal calponin-homology/actin-binding domain connected by a series of 24 immunoglobulin (Ig) repeats to a dimerization site at their C-terminal end. Whereas the contribution of the CH-homology domains to F-actin affinity is weak (apparent $K_a \sim 10^5$), the binding of the intact protein to F-actin is strong (apparent $K_a \sim 10^8$), suggesting involvement of additional parts of the molecule in this association. Indeed, previous results indicate that Ig-repeats along FLNa contribute significantly to the strength of the actin filament interaction. In the current study, we used electron microscopy and three-dimensional reconstruction to elucidate the structural basis of the Ig-repeat–F-actin binding. We find that FLNa density is clearly delineated in reconstructions of F-actin complexed with either a 4 Ig-repeat segment of FLNa containing Ig-repeat 10 or with IgFLNa10 alone. The mass attributable to IgFLNa10 lies peripherally along the actin-helix over the N-terminus of actin subdomain 1. The interaction appears to be specific, since no other fragment of the FLNa molecule or individual Ig-repeats examined, besides ones with CH-domains, decorated F-actin filaments or were detected in reconstructions. We conclude that the combined interactions of CH-domains and the IgFLNa10 repeat provide the binding strength of the whole FLNa molecule and propose a model for the association of IgFLNa10 on actin filaments.

Keywords

actin; filamin; cytoskeleton; electron microscopy; 3D reconstruction

The cortical cytoskeleton regions of virtually all eukaryotic cells contain highly dynamic networks of actin filaments. In order to control cell shape and motility, the networks model and remodel, while responding to intracellular and/or extracellular chemical and mechanical signals.^{1–3} Typically signaling pathways lead to the binding or dissociation of members of a

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Dr. William Lehman, Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Boston University School of Medicine, 72 East Concord Street, Boston, MA 02118 USA, Tel. 617-638-4397, Fax. 617-638-4273, wlehman@bu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

group of well over 100 distinct actin-binding proteins, which in turn specify the restructuring of the actin cytoskeleton.⁴ Some actin-binding proteins nucleate, sever or depolymerize filaments, thus regulating F-actin growth or disassembly. Others cross-link F-actin into tight bundles or looser networks, thus transforming cell superstructure and plasticity.^{1–4} Bivalent filamin A (FLNa), the first non-muscle actin-binding protein to be identified⁵ and the subject of our investigation, cross-links neighboring F-actin in cells into arrays of orthogonally oriented filaments⁶, while at the same time presenting surface domains for protein partner interactions that are primed to transform the resulting filament network further.^{7–11}

The C-terminal ends of elongated FLNa subunits self-associate to form a flexible V-shaped homodimer. The tips of the resulting structure, at the N-termini of the dimerized chains, contain paired CH-domains (a.k.a. actin-binding domains or ABD), which are conserved modules found in many actin-binding proteins.¹² They contribute, at least partially, to the actin-binding function of FLNa, and their presence at the two ends of the dimer can account for FLNa - F-actin cross-linking.^{8,10,13}

Each N-terminal CH-domain/ABD is separated from the C-terminal dimerization site by a ~80 nm long set of 24 Ig-segments arranged in tandem. Hinge domains separating Ig-repeats 15 and 16 as well as repeats 23 and 24 provide molecular flexibility. Pairing between repeats 16–17, 18–19, and 20–21 generate a globular C-terminal domain that can be distended by mechanical forces.^{14,15} Binding studies show that FLNa CH-domain constructs, like other members of the “spectrin ABD superfamily”, interact with F-actin with very low affinity ($K_d \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$ M); however, the intact full-length FLNa dimer binds actin filaments with considerably higher avidity ($K_d \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$ M).^{8,10} Hence, other regions of the molecule, presumably the FLNa Ig-repeats, are likely to contribute to the binding strength of intact FLNa for F-actin.^{8,10} In fact, Ig-repeats in muscle proteins such as paladin^{16,17}, kettin^{18,19}, myosin-binding protein C^{20–22}, and titin²³ all have been reported to interact with F-actin.

In our previous work, a library of FLNa fragments was generated and used to characterize filamin-actin binding by F-actin co-sedimentation.⁸ This work showed that constructs including both FLNa Ig-repeats 9–15 and the FLNa CH-domains displayed F-actin binding comparable to that of the full length protein, suggesting a role for Ig-repeat - actin interaction.⁸ However, the studies did not categorize the binding-site on FLNa further or locate the Ig-binding target on actin. In order to define filamin-actin association more completely, we have now examined potential binding interactions of Ig-domains structurally by electron microscopy and 3D reconstruction. Here F-actin filaments mixed either with multidomain FLNa fragments or with single Ig-repeats were studied. 3DEM of complexes containing Ig-repeat 10 (a mutational hot spot)²⁴ showed distinct densities attributable to the Ig-domain which is bound over the N-terminus of actin sub-domain 1. FLNa constructs, besides those containing IgFLNa10 or CH-domains, did not show obvious signs of F-actin labeling. The general significance of our findings is discussed.

Electron microscopy of F-actin – IgFLNa complexes

Long FLNa fragments containing 6 to 8 Ig-repeats, particularly those with N-terminal CH-domains, bundle F-actin and were not suitable for further analysis. Four relatively short FLNa constructs, which represent most of the molecule (*viz.* FLNa segments: IgFLNa8-11, IgFLNa12-15, IgFLNa16-23, and ABD-FLNaIg1-4), were chosen for study since they do not bundle F-actin. In addition, individually expressed Ig-repeats 9, 10 and 17 were examined (IgFLNa9, IgFLNa10, IgFLNa17 (nomenclature from ref. 8)). All constructs were mixed with 1 μ M F-actin in 2–5:1 molar excess (molar ratio to actin subunits) to promote effective binding without causing significant protein background interference in electron

microscope images. Electron micrographs of negatively stained samples confirmed that none of these constructs cross-link F-actin, and thus the filaments observed were well separated from each other as required for subsequent analysis. Direct inspection of the micrographs suggested an increased filament diameter brought about by the presence of, for example, fragments IgFLNa8-11, ABD-FLNaIg1-4, and IgFLNa10 (Fig. 1). However, no discrete structures derived from FLNa were obvious in any of the micrographs; hence, 3D image alignment and reconstruction was required to characterize potential binding interactions in the filaments.

Three-dimensional reconstructions of F-actin – IgFLNa complexes

Reconstructions generated from FLNa labeled F-actin reveal well-demarcated helically arranged actin-subunits and clearly defined actin subdomain structure (Fig. 2). Additional mass is seen on the surface of F-actin in reconstructions of mixtures containing IgFLNa10 and IgFLNa8-11 (Fig. 2b, g, arrows). These extra densities are present on the “top” of subdomain 1 of each actin subunit. The IgFLNa10 label forms a fairly compact density that caps subdomain 1, which partially obscures subdomain 2 (Fig. 2b, j, 4a). The mass formed by IgFLNa8-11, the 4 repeat fragment containing IgFLNa10, displays broader extra density on the upper aspect of actin subdomain 1 that extends across subdomain 1 in the direction of subdomain 3 (Fig. 2g, arrows). In contrast, F-actin decorated with constructs representing Ig-repeats 12–15 (Fig. 2h) or 16–23 (not shown) do not show signs of extra density on actin subunits, nor do single Ig-repeats 9 or 17 show structural evidence of binding (Fig. 2e, f). As expected, the mixed domain construct consisting of the FLNa CH-domain ABD and, in the case tested, the first four FLNa Ig-repeats (ABD-IgFLNa1-4) does indicate F-actin-labeling, evidently derived from the CH-domain interactions on F-actin (Fig. 2i, 2k), corroborating previous reports on the structural binding of FLNa CH-domain to actin.²⁵ None of the constructs tested changed the average twist values of the actin filament (noted in Fig. 2), which is consistent with previous results indicating that phalloidin does not interfere with filamin-binding to F-actin²⁶.

Statistical analysis of reconstructions of the F-actin – IgFLNa10 complex

The densities contributing to the F-actin – IgFLNa10 reconstructions, including those derived from IgFLNa10, are statistically significant at 95 to 99 percent confidence levels. Moreover, IgFLNa10 contribution can also be identified as discrete “difference densities” when maps of control pure F-actin are subtracted from those of F-actin – IgFLNa10 filaments (Fig. 3a, b). These difference densities fall within outlines of the F-actin – IgFLNa10 reconstruction and are themselves statistically significant at greater than 99% confidence levels. In contrast, the IgFLNa10 difference densities fall outside the boundaries of F-actin control reconstructions (Fig. 3c, d).

Despite the relatively low number of filaments in half-data sets of F-actin – IgFLNa10, IgFLNa10 still is readily detected on actin subdomain 1 in reconstructions generated from such divided data (Fig. 2c, d, arrows). In fact, the IgFLNa10 density is a consistent feature of reconstructions of individual filaments of the data set. In addition, a small extra density derived from IgFLNa10 occasionally is detected on subdomains 3 and 4 on the outer border of actin (Fig. 2b, d, open arrows).

Molecular docking of IgFLNa10

Docking atomic models of F-actin²⁷ into the reconstructions of IgFLNa10 decorated filaments leaves considerable density over the N-terminus of F-actin unoccupied (Fig. 4). The remaining volume can be largely accommodated by the crystal structure of IgFLNa10²⁸ which fits snugly into the remaining space (Fig. 4). However, the polarity and sidedness of

the Ig-structure in the reconstruction is indeterminate, since neither the atomic model of IgFLNa10 nor the EM maps provide sufficient landmarks or asymmetries that can be used to match respective geometrical features with precision. In fact, the extra volume attributable to IgFLNa10 binding is about 30% broader than the dimensions of the crystal structure, suggesting azimuthal variance in the localization of the Ig-repeat on the surface of actin (Fig. 4c).

The N-terminus of actin contains a string of negatively charged amino acids. If electrostatic interactions with the actin N-terminus are a driver of IgFLNa10-actin binding, then only one interface of the IgFLNa10 structure contains a suitable binding surface of complementary basic residues, and includes Lys1162, Lys1164, Arg1172, Lys1234, Lys1246 (*cf.* pdb ID# 3rgh).²⁸ Interestingly, these residues outline a groove on IgFLNa10 that may be the target for the actin's acidic residues (Fig. 4). Indeed, the N-terminal residues on actin represent one of the least conserved regions of this otherwise stringently conserved molecule²⁹, suggesting that actin isoform and Ig-repeat specificity may account for filamin sorting to one or another cell cytoskeletal domain. However, many of these basic amino acids are themselves conserved in the other Ig repeats including IgFLNa9, 17, 16-23, which do not interact with F-actin, suggesting that neighboring residues, in addition to these basic amino acids, play a role in mediating this interaction or in producing a preference for solvent. Higher resolution structures are necessary to resolve this interface in greater detail. Further studies are also necessary to determine how the disease-causing mutations affect the structure of IgFLNa10 and whether they alter its F-actin interaction.

Conclusions

The studies reported here directly reveal an Ig-domain – F-actin interaction structurally for the first time. Despite relatively low sequence similarity, members of the Ig-domain superfamily are structurally related by a common “Ig-fold” consisting of two sheets of anti-parallel β -strands that are sandwiched together.¹⁶ Given that Ig-domains have varied amino acid sequences, it is not surprising that only certain FLNa Ig-modules, in the case of our studies, Ig10FLNa, bind to F-actin with demonstrable affinity. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that macromolecular crowding in a cellular environment may increase the effective concentration of other FLNa Ig-modules sufficiently to favor actin interactions that went undetected under our conditions *in vitro*.

Based on the current and previous work, we propose a model of FLNa cytoskeletal interaction in which neighboring but weakly interacting CH- and Ig-domains cooperatively promote FLNa binding to F-actin. This process then repeats itself as FLNa populates F-actin and cross-links adjoining filaments to form a mechanically cohesive network. However, because local FLNa-actin interactions are weak, chemical or mechanical signaling can easily perturb these networks during cytoskeletal remodeling. The general binding strategy discussed here, in which an elongated molecule utilizes multiple well-separated but weakly interacting domains to bind to F-actin, is not limited just to filamin-binding function but may also operate in myosin-binding protein C – thin filament association, where multiple Ig-repeats and the M-motif appear to reinforce each other during thin filament binding^{20,21}. Similarly, in the case of elongated dystrophin and utrophin, spectrin-repeats distal to CH-domains may enhance actin-binding.^{30–33} In fact, the well-established formula for tropomyosin-binding to thin filaments involves multiple weakly interacting pseudo-repeats linked to successive actin subunits along filaments.^{34,35} Thus actin network patterning based on tandem weakly interacting protein domains may be a commonly used strategy to style the fabric of the actin cytoskeleton.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Program Project Grant P01 HL86655 to Kathleen G. Morgan (W.L., principal investigator), R01 HL036153 to W.L., R01 HL089224 to J.H.H., and the Harvard University Science and Engineering Committee Seed Fund for Interdisciplinary Science to F.N.

Abbreviations

ABD	actin-binding domain
CH	calponin-homology
EM	electron microscopy
FLNa	Filamin A
Ig	Immunoglobulin
IgFLNa	Immunoglobulin-like filamin A repeat
3DEM	three dimensional reconstruction of EM images

References

1. Stossel TP, Fenteany G, Hartwig JH. Cell surface actin remodeling. *J Cell Sci.* 2006; 119:3261–3264. [PubMed: 16899816]
2. Pollard TD, Cooper JA. Actin, a central player in cell shape and movement. *Science.* 2009; 326:1208–1212. [PubMed: 19965462]
3. Ridley AJ. Life at the leading edge. *Cell.* 2011; 145:1012–1022. [PubMed: 21703446]
4. dos Remedios CG, Chhabra D, Kekic M, Dedova IV, Tsubakihara M, Berry DA, Nosworthy NJ. Actin binding proteins: regulation of cytoskeletal microfilaments. *Physiol Rev.* 2003; 83:433–473. [PubMed: 12663865]
5. Hartwig JH, Stossel TP. Isolation and properties of actin, myosin, and a new actin binding protein in rabbit alveolar macrophages. *J Biol Chem.* 1975; 250:5696–5705. [PubMed: 124734]
6. Hartwig JH, Stossel TP. Structure of macrophage actin-binding protein molecules in solution and interacting with actin filaments. *J Mol Biol.* 1981; 145:563–581. [PubMed: 6894945]
7. Gardel ML, Nakamura F, Hartwig JH, Crocker JC, Stossel TP, Weitz DA. Prestressed F-actin networks cross-linked by hinged filamins replicate mechanical properties of cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci, USA.* 2006; 103:1762–1767. [PubMed: 16446458]
8. Nakamura F, Osborn TM, Hartemink CA, Hartwig JH, Stossel TP. Structural basis of filamin A functions. *J Cell Biol.* 2007; 179:1011–1025. [PubMed: 18056414]
9. Gardel ML, Kasza KE, Brangwynne CP, Liu J, Weitz DA. Mechanical response of cytoskeletal networks. *Meth Cell Biol.* 2008; 89:487–519.
10. Nakamura F, Stossel TP, Hartwig JH. The filamins: organizers of cell structure and function. *Cell Adhesion Migration.* 2011; 5:160–169. [PubMed: 21169733]
11. Ehrlicher AJ, Nakamura F, Hartwig JH, Weitz DA, Stossel TP. Mechanical strain in actin networks regulates FilGAP and integrin binding to filamin A. *Nature.* 2011; 478:260–263. [PubMed: 21926999]
12. Stradal T, Kranewitter W, Winder SJ, Gimona M. CH domains revisited. *FEBS Lett.* 1998; 431:134–137. [PubMed: 9708889]
13. Gorlin JB, Yamin R, Egan S, Stewart M, Stossel TP, Kwiatkowski DJ, Hartwig JH. Human endothelial actin-binding protein (ABP-280, nonmuscle filamin): a molecular leaf spring. *J Cell Biol.* 1990; 111:1089–1105. [PubMed: 2391361]
14. Ruskamo S, Gilbert R, Hofmann G, Jiang P, Campbell ID, Ylännä J, Pentikainen UM. The C-terminal rod 2 fragment of Filamin A forms a compact structure that can be extended. *Biochem J.* 2012 (in press).

15. Tossavainen H, Koskela O, Jiang P, Yläne J, Campbell ID, Kilpeläinen I, Permi P. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134:6660–6672. [PubMed: 22452512]
16. Otey CA, Dixon R, Stack C, Goicoechea SM. Cytoplasmic Ig-Domain Proteins: Cytoskeletal Regulators with a Role in Human Disease. Cell Motil Cytoskel. 2009; 66:618–634.
17. Dixon RDS, Arneman DK, Rachlin AS, Sundaresan N, Costello JM, Campbell SL, Otey CA. Palladin is an actin crosslinking protein that uses immunoglobulin-like domains to bind filamentous actin. J Biol Chem. 2008; 283:6222–6231. [PubMed: 18180288]
18. Bullard B, Garcia T, Benes V, Leake MC, Linke WA, Oberhauser AF. The molecular elasticity of the insect flight muscle proteins projectin and kettin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103:4451–4456. [PubMed: 16537423]
19. Ono K, Yu R, Mohri K, Ono S. *Caenorhabditis elegans* kettin, a large immunoglobulin-like repeat protein, binds to filamentous actin and provides mechanical stability to the contractile apparatuses in body wall muscle. Mol Biol Cell. 2006; 17:2722–2734. [PubMed: 16597697]
20. Shaffer JF, Kensler RW, Harris SP. The myosin-binding protein C motif binds to F-actin in a phosphorylation-sensitive manner. J Biol Chem. 2009; 284:12318–12327. [PubMed: 19269976]
21. Mun JY, Gulick J, Robbins J, Woodhead J, Lehman W, Craig R. Electron microscopy and 3D reconstruction of F-actin decorated with cardiac myosin-binding protein C (cMyBP-C). J Mol Biol. 2011; 410:214–225. [PubMed: 21601575]
22. Oakley CE, Chamoun J, Brown LJ, Hambly BD. Myosin binding protein-C: Enigmatic regulator of cardiac contraction. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2007; 39:2161–2166. [PubMed: 17320463]
23. Tskhovrebova L, Trinick J. Properties of titin immunoglobulin and fibronectin-3 domains. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279:46351–46354. [PubMed: 15322090]
24. Robertson SP, Jenkins ZA, Morgan T, Adès L, Aftimos S, Boute O, Fiskerstrand T, Garcia-Miñaur S, Grix A, Green A, Der Kaloustian V, et al. Frontometaphyseal dysplasia: mutations in FLNA and phenotypic diversity. Am J Med Genet A. 2006; 140:1726–1736. [PubMed: 16835913]
25. Orlova AA, Galkin VE, Nakamura F, Egelman EH. Filamin CH domains bind to F-actin in an open conformation. Mol Biol Cell. 2011; 22:794a.
26. Nakamura F, Osborn E, Janmey PA, Stossel TP. Comparison of filamin A-induced cross-linking and Arp2/3 complex-mediated branching on the mechanics of actin filaments. J Biol Chem. 2002; 277:9158–9154.
27. Oda T, Iwasa M, Aihara T, Maéda Y, Narita A. The nature of the globular- to fibrous-actin transition. Nature. 2009; 457:441–445. [PubMed: 19158791]
28. Page RC, Clark JG, Misra S. Structure of filamin A immunoglobulin-like repeat 10 from Homo sapiens. Crystallogr, Sect F. 2011; 67:871–876.
29. Lehman, W.; Craig, R.; Barany, M. Actin and the structure of smooth muscle thin filaments. In: Barany, M., editor. Biochemistry of Smooth Muscle Contraction. Academic Press Inc; San Diego: 1996. p. 47-60.
30. Amann KJ, Renley BA, Ervasti JM. A cluster of basic repeats in the dystrophin rod domain binds F-actin through an electrostatic interaction. J Biol Chem. 1998; 273:28419–28423. [PubMed: 9774469]
31. Sutherland-Smith AJ, Moores CA, Norwood FL, Hatch V, Craig R, Kendrick-Jones J, Lehman W. An atomic model for actin binding by the CH domains and spectrin-repeat modules of utrophin and dystrophin. J Mol Biol. 2003; 329:15–33. [PubMed: 12742015]
32. Rybakova IN, Humston JL, Sonnemann KJ, Ervasti JM. Dystrophin and utrophin bind actin through distinct modes of contact. J Biol Chem. 2006; 281:996–10001.
33. Henderson DM, Lin AY, Thomas DD, Ervasti JM. The carboxy-terminal third of dystrophin enhances actin binding activity. J Mol Biol. 2012; 416:414–424. [PubMed: 22226838]
34. Holmes KC, Lehman W. Gestalt-binding of tropomyosin to actin filaments. J Muscle Res Cell Motility. 2008; 29:213–219.
35. Li XE, Tobacman LS, Mun JY, Craig R, Fischer S, Lehman W. Tropomyosin position on F-actin revealed by EM reconstruction and computational chemistry. Biophys J. 2011; 100:1005–1013. [PubMed: 21320445]

36. Spudich JA, Watt S. The regulation of rabbit skeletal muscle contraction. I Biochemical studies of the interaction of the tropomyosin-troponin complex with actin and the proteolytic fragments of myosin. *J Biol Chem.* 1971; 246:4866–4871. [PubMed: 4254541]
37. Moody C, Lehman W, Craig R. Caldesmon and the structure of smooth muscle thin filaments: electron microscopy of isolated thin filaments. *J Mus Res Cell Motil.* 1990; 11:176–185.
38. Owen C, Morgan DG, DeRosier DJ. Image analysis of helical objects: The Brandeis helical package. *J Struct Biol.* 1996; 116:167–175. [PubMed: 8742740]
39. Milligan RA, Flicker PF. Structural relationships of actin, myosin, and tropomyosin revealed by cryo-electron microscopy. *J Cell Biol.* 1987; 105:29–39. [PubMed: 3611188]
40. Trachtenberg S, DeRosier DJ. Three-dimensional structure of frozen hydrated flagellar filament of *Salmonella typhimurium*. *J Mol Biol.* 1987; 195:581–601. [PubMed: 3309339]
41. Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE. UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem.* 2004; 25:1605–1612. [PubMed: 15264254]

Research Highlights

- Filamin A (FLNa) cross-links cytoskeletal actin-filaments into networks.
- CH-domain modules and Ig-repeats are thought to account for FLNa actin-binding.
- EM reconstruction reveals FLNa Ig-repeat binding to F-actin.
- The basic interface of IgFLNa10 is likely to bind to subdomain 1 of actin monomers.
- Modulation of FLNa interactions offers a sensitive way to remodel the cytoskeleton.

Fig. 1.

Electron micrographs of negatively stained filaments. (a) F-actin control, (b–h) F-actin mixed with various FLNa constructs: (b) IgFLNa10, (c) IgFLNa9, (d) IgFLNa17, (e) IgFLNa8-11, (f) IgFLNa12-15, (g) IgFLNa16-23, (h) ABD-FLNaIg1-4. The scale bar represents 50 nm. Protein preparation: F-actin was polymerized and isolated as previously.³⁶ FLNa constructs were expressed and purified as in Ref. 8. Electron microscopy: EM work was carried out on filaments prepared by adding a 5-fold molar excess of a particular FLNa construct to F-actin (20 μ M) in the case of single Ig-repeat constructs and 2-fold molar excess for multidomain constructs. Samples were mixed in 100 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM NaN₃, 0.2 mM ethylene glycol bis(β -aminoethyl ether)N,N'-tetraacetic acid, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM sodium phosphate/5 mM Pipes buffer (pH 7.0) at 25°C.³⁷ These conditions were chosen to balance favorable binding of constructs and F-actin against background interference resulting from excess unbound protein; when higher ratios of FLNa were used, background noise tended to preclude satisfactory image processing and 3DEM. The above mixtures of F-actin and FLNa constructs were diluted 20-fold, quickly applied to carbon-coated grids, and stained with 1% uranyl acetate.³⁷ EM was performed on a Philips CM120 EM at a magnification of 45,000X under low-dose conditions (~ 12 e⁻/Å²).

Fig. 2.

Surface views of thin filament 3D reconstructions. (a) Reconstruction of F-actin control filaments (subdomains noted on one actin subunit). (b–i) reconstructions of F-actin - FLNa mixtures containing (b–d) IgFLNa10, (e) IgFLNa9, (f) IgFLNa17, (g) IgFLNa8-11 (h) IgFLNa12-15, (i) ABD-FLNaIg1-4. Note extra density (red arrows) on subdomain 1 of actin subunits in reconstructions of F-actin decorated with (b) IgFLNa10, (g) IgFLNa8-11 and (i) ABD-FLNaIg1-4. Such extra density is not observed in reconstructions of F-actin (e) IgFLNa9 and (f) IgFLNa17 or (h) IgFLNa12-15 mixtures. A trace of extra density (asterisk) may be present on subdomain 1 in (h) F-actin - IgFLNa12-15. Reconstructions of F-actin - IgFLNa16-23 were poorly delineated, but extra densities were not apparent (not shown). A very small pointed density is detected on the periphery of the outer aspect of actin in IgFLNa10 decorated maps (open red arrow). Reconstructions in (c) and (d) represent maps of F-actin - IgFLNa10, each derived from half the data comprising the reconstruction shown in (b). (j, k) Here reconstructions of F-actin filaments decorated with (j) IgFLNa10 (blue) and (k) ABD-FLNaIg1-4 (magenta) here are superposed over F-actin (yellow wire-mesh). These images are enlargements of reconstructions in (b) and (i) above, rotated to display the inner domain of an actin subunit (subdomains 1 and 2 noted). FLNa association on F-actin is evident in (j) from the ridge of density on the top of subdomain 1 and in (k) from the shelf of density on subdomain 1 which extends to subdomain 2. All of the reconstructions of thin filaments obtained were aligned to each other and are shown with filament pointed-ends facing up. Helical reconstruction was performed as previously^{31,35} by using standard computational methods documented in the *Brandeis Helical Package*.³⁸ The methods yield the angular displacement between actin monomers along the F-actin genetic helix (F-actin alone, $166.4 \pm 0.25^\circ$; F-actin - IgFLNa10, $166.4 \pm 0.61^\circ$; F-actin - IgFLNa9, $166.4 \pm 0.38^\circ$; F-actin - IgFLNa17, $166.7 \pm 0.23^\circ$; F-actin - IgFLNa8-11, $166.6 \pm 0.29^\circ$; F-actin - IgFLNa12-15, $166.3 \pm 0.40^\circ$, F-actin - ABD-IgFLNa1-4, $166.5 \pm 0.18^\circ$). Hence the data

show that the average twist of the F-actin helix is unaffected by the presence of the FLNa constructs. The number of filaments used to generate each of the reconstructions shown was: F-actin, 22; F-actin - IgFLNa10, 12; F-actin - IgFLNa9, 7; F-actin - IgFLNa17, 7; F-actin - IgFLNa8-11, 12; F-actin - IgFLNa12-15; 6, F-actin - ABD-IgFLNa1-4, 9.

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Fig. 3. Statistical significance of IgFLNa10 densities in the F-actin - IgFLNa10 reconstruction. (a) transverse (z-)section taken through F-actin - IgFLNa10 at level indicated by the arrows shown in Figure 2b. Actin subdomain locations in the z-section are marked in (a). (b) z-section in (a) superposed with difference densities representing the contribution of IgFLNa10 (cyan). Difference densities were determined by subtracting densities in the F-actin reconstruction from those in F-actin - IgFLNa10. Densities in the F-actin - IgFLNa10 reconstruction that are significant at greater than the 95% confidence level are encircled by a red tracing and include the difference densities. Large arrows indicate the IgFLNa10 density that caps actin subdomain 1 and projects over subdomain 2. Open arrows indicate small pointed density on subdomains 3 and 4 (noted in Fig. 2). Asterisk indicates difference

density likely to be due to staining differences between samples. (c) transverse section of pure F-actin and (d) F-actin superposed with the above FLNaIg10 difference densities. Those densities that are significant in the F-actin map at greater than the 95% confidence level are encircled by a red tracing. Note that the IgFLNa10 difference densities fall outside of this map. Statistical significance was computed from the variances associated with each contributing point in the reconstructions according to Milligan and Flicker³⁹ and Trachtenberg and DeRosier⁴⁰.

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Fig. 4.

Fitting the crystal structure model of IgFLNa10 into the IgFLNa10 - F-actin reconstruction. (a) F-actin - IgFLNa10 reconstruction, (b) reconstruction made translucent and fitted with the Oda/Maeda atomic model of F-actin²⁷ (in ribbon format) and then with the crystal structure of IgFLNa10 (yellow, PDB 3rgh)²⁸ into remaining volume of one actin subunit. The IgFLNa10 structure was centered over the N-terminus of F-actin (noted by red aspartate residue). (c) cross-section of the fitted reconstruction viewed from the barbed end of the filament, showing the volume occupied by actin (magenta, and olive drab) and IgFLNa10 (yellow), adjacent unoccupied volume indicated by asterisk. In (b) and (c), basic amino acids on IgFLNa10 were oriented facing toward actin. (d) an enlargement of IgFLNa10 as it was oriented in (b) highlighting the basic amino acids of IgFLNa10 (light blue). (e) 180° rotation of IgFLNa10 in (d) showing the basic amino acids en face. These residues outline a groove that might be a target for the N-terminal acidic amino acids of F-actin. The docking of atomic models into the reconstruction volume done with Chimera fitting tools.⁴¹