Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2012 March 27; 51(12): 2619–2629. doi:10.1021/bi3001402.

Solution structure of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* NmtR in the apo-state: Insights into Ni(II)-mediated allostery

Chul Won Lee^{§,Δ}, Dhruva K. Chakravorty[°], Feng-Ming James Chang[§], Hermes Reyes-Caballero[§], Yuzhen Ye[¶], Kenneth M. Merz Jr.[°], and David P. Giedroc^{§,*}

[§]Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7102

^ΔDepartment of Chemistry, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Korea

[°]Department of Chemistry and the Quantum Theory Project, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-8435

[¶]Program in Bioinformatics, School of Informatics and Computing, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47408

Abstract

Mycobacterium tuberculosis is an obligate human respiratory pathogen that encodes approximately ten arsenic repressor (ArsR) family regulatory proteins that allow the organism to respond to a wide range of changes in its immediate microenvironment. How individual ArsR repressors have evolved to respond to selective stimuli is of intrinsic interest. The Ni(II)/Co(II)-specific repressor NmtR and related actinomycete nickel sensors harbor a conserved N-terminal α NH₂-Gly2-His3-Gly4 sequence. Here, we present the solution structure of homodimeric apo-NmtR and show that the core of the molecule adopts a typical winged-helix ArsR repressor (α 1- α 2- α 3- α R- β 1- β 2- α 5) “open conformation” that is similar to the related zinc sensor *Staphylococcus aureus* CzcA, but harboring long, flexible N-terminal (residues 2-16) and C-terminal (residues 109-120) extensions. Ni(II) binding to the regulatory sites induces strong paramagnetic broadening of the α 5 helical region and the extreme N-terminal tail to residue 10. Ratiometric pulse chase amidination mass spectrometry reveals that the rate of amidination of the Gly2 α -amino group is strongly attenuated in the Ni(II) complex relative to the apo-state and non-cognate Zn(II) complex. Ni(II) binding also induces dynamic disorder in the μ s-ms timescale of key DNA interacting regions that likely contributes to the negative regulation of DNA binding by Ni(II). Molecular dynamics simulations and quantum chemical calculations reveal that NmtR readily accommodates a distal Ni(II) hexacoordination model involving the α -amine and His3 of the N-terminal region and α 5 residues Asp91', His93', His104 and His107, which collectively define a new metal sensing site configuration in ArsR family regulators.

INTRODUCTION

Transition metal ions including zinc, copper, nickel, cobalt, iron, and manganese are essential for a diversity of biological processes, the essentiality of which derives from their catalytic roles as cofactors in oxidation-reduction, electron transfer and hydrolytic enzymes,

Corresponding Author: Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 212 S. Hawthorne Drive, Bloomington, IN 47405-7102; giedroc@indiana.edu; Tel: 812-856-3178; Fax: 812-856-5710. *Address correspondence to: David P. Giedroc, Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, 212 S. Hawthorne Drive, Bloomington, IN 47405-7102; giedroc@indiana.edu; Tel: 812-856-3178; Fax: 812-856-5710..

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Supplementary Figures S1-S6 are provided. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

to structural roles in biomolecules.¹⁻³ All metal ions are toxic in excess however and as a result, cells encode machinery to precisely control the intracellular total and bioavailable concentrations of cytoplasmic metal ions. All cells including pathogenic organisms have evolved the capacity to quickly respond to chronic and acute changes of microenvironment in which the availability of both biologically essential metal ions and heavy metal pollutants varies.⁴⁻⁶ The central players in this process are the metalloregulatory proteins that regulate the expression of genes encoding metal transporters and trafficking proteins, which collectively manage metal homeostasis and resistance.^{6,7}

Mycobacterium tuberculosis (*Mtb*) is the causative pathogen of human tuberculosis. *Mtb* encodes a remarkable diversity of known and putative metal ion transporters, including multiple putative P-type ATPases, ABC transporters, and cation diffusion facilitator (CDF) transporters.⁸ A large panel of metalloregulatory proteins in *Mtb* regulate transcription of those transporters. For example, *M. tuberculosis* CsoR represses transcription of the *cso* (Cu-sensitive operon) which encodes CsoR itself, a Cu(I)-effluxing P-type ATPase CtpV and a gene of unknown function.⁹ *Mtb* also encodes approximately ten arsenic repressor (ArsR) family proteins that regulate the expression of genes encoding for proteins responsible for metal ion detoxification, sequestration and efflux, and perhaps other processes.¹⁰⁻¹³

Several members of the ArsR family have been extensively studied.^{5,12} Among them, *Staphylococcus aureus* Zn(II) sensor CzrA is the most well characterized family repressor with regard to its structural, mechanistic and thermodynamic understanding of allosteric negative regulation of DNA operator binding.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ *S. aureus* CzrA represses the transcription of the cation diffusion facilitator (CDF) CzrB in the absence of metal stress.^{19,20} The quaternary structure of CzrA adopts a typical winged-helix homodimeric fold^{21,22} denoted an “ $\alpha 5$ ” ArsR family sensor.²³ CzrA binds Zn(II) with negative homotropic cooperativity^{15,17} at two regulatory tetrahedral sites positioned across the pair of C-terminal $\alpha 5$ helices. A previous site-specific mutagenesis study of CzrA revealed that two metal ligands were necessary to maintain the native coordination geometry and Zn(II)-mediated regulation, while two other ligands were required only for maintaining high metal binding affinity with no or small effects on regulation, in what termed a “division of labor” organization.¹⁵ Taken together, it has been suggested that the metal coordination geometry is a fundamental determinant of metal selectivity for metalloregulators.^{7,14,24} The recent NMR structure of CzrA in the DNA-bound state suggested that metal binding drives a “closed-to-open” conformational change to reduce the affinity of DNA binding, and induced long-range disorder in the allosteric metal sites.^{16,18}

There are two Ni(II) specific ArsR repressors encoded by *Mtb*.^{11,25} These are NmtR and KmtR, which repress the transcription of *ctpJ* and *cdf*, encoding a P-type ATPase metal transporter and a putative cation diffusion facilitator (CDF) transporter, respectively, at low Ni(II) concentrations. At high Ni(II) concentrations, NmtR and KmtR bind Ni(II) and dissociate from their DNA operators, allowing transcription of *ctpJ* and *cdf*, to export Ni(II) and Co(II), respectively, from the cytoplasm.^{8,11} A previous *in vivo* study proposed that NmtR binds Ni(II) in an octahedral coordination geometry with four metal ligands in the $\alpha 5$ helix, D91, H93, H104, and H107, and two C-terminal residues H109 and H116.²⁵ We recently proposed an alternative Ni(II) coordination model involving the N-terminal Gly2-His3-Gly4 motif in NmtR (in place of H109 and H116) that specifically drives Ni(II)-binding and allosteric negative regulation of DNA binding on the basis of *in vitro* metal binding and DNA binding assays of a collection of conservative glutamine substitution mutants.²⁶

Here we present the solution NMR structure of homodimeric NmtR in apo-state. The overall structure reveals a typical core winged-helix fold of ArsR family, but with distinct long flexible N- and C-terminal tails. The binding of paramagnetic Ni(II) induces strong line broadening of the regulatory sites composed of $\alpha 5$ helices as well as the N-terminal tail to residue 10. In contrast, residues in the C-terminal tail beyond 110 (residues 111-120) are unaffected by Ni(II) binding; as such, these findings rule out Ni(II) coordination by His116. Ratiometric pulse chase amidination mass spectrometry²⁷ reveals that the α -amino group of Gly2 is strongly protected from amidination in the Ni(II) bound form, with the non-cognate, tetrahedral Zn(II) complex¹⁴ providing little significant protection relative to the apo-state. These and previous findings taken collectively are consistent with a regulatory octahedral Ni(II) complex formed by the α -amine and His3 from the N-terminus and $\alpha 5$ residues Asp91', His93', His104 and His107. This chelate structure is supported by a range of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations¹⁸ of the non-cognate Zn(II) and cognate Ni(II) complexes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Protein Purification

Recombinant NmtR was expressed and purified as described previously.²⁶ For the NMR experiments, ¹⁵N-labeled or ¹³C- and ¹⁵N-labeled NmtR were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells in M9 minimal medium and purified essentially as described previously.^{16,26} MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry of purified apo-NmtR used in this work reveals 12,699.7 D (12,704.3 D expected for residues 2-120, with the N-terminal Met processed).²⁶

NMR Spectroscopy

For NMR experiments, NmtR was prepared in 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl in as 10% ²H₂O/90% H₂O mixture or 100% ²H₂O at protomer protein concentrations ranging from 0.35-0.50 mM. All NMR experiments were performed on a Varian DDR 600 or 800 MHz spectrometer fitted with cryogenic probe systems at 310K in the METACyt Biomolecular NMR Laboratory. NMR spectra were referenced to external DSS. NMR data processing and analysis were performed using NMRPipe²⁸ and NMRView.²⁹ Backbone resonance assignments were obtained using standard triple-resonance NMR data set as described previously.²⁶ Assignments of side chain resonances were made using three-dimensional (3D) HCCH-COSY³⁰, H(CCO)NH-TOCSY^{31,32} and C(CO)NH-TOCSY³² experiments. Distance restraints were derived from 3D ¹⁵N-edited NOESY-HSQC (τ_m = 100 ms) and ¹³C-edited NOESY-HSQC (τ_m = 80 ms) spectra. Backbone amide residual dipolar couplings, ¹D_{NH}, were obtained from the difference in the scalar coupling (¹J) measured in stretched polyacrylamide gels (4%) using 2D IPAP-HSQC spectroscopy.³³

Structure Calculations

NOE crosspeak assignments and initial NOE constraints were obtained with CYANA 2.1³⁴ and CANDID.³⁵ All NOE constraints were manually confirmed during CYANA calculations. Hydrogen bonds for the helical regions of the NmtR were added to facilitate the automated assignment of additional NOE restraints by CANDID. Chemical shift-based restraints from TALOS+³⁶ were included only for secondary structural regions.

The initial 100 symmetric homodimer structures were calculated by a simulated annealing protocol with Xplor-NIH³⁷ and were further refined with the addition of non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS) and RDC restraints. A total of 54 intersubunit NOE restraints were cross-validated during the structure calculation procedure by randomly removing one-third of these constraints and recalculating the global precision of the structures.¹⁶ Iterative refinement and editing of the distance restraints based on the NOESY

spectra to remove incorrect and ambiguous assignments reduced the number of restraints. The final 19 structures with the lowest energy were chosen for analysis and were deposited in the PDB (accession code 2LKP).

Simulations of NmtRs

Molecular dynamics simulations of the apo-, Zn(II)-bound and Ni(II)-bound allosteric forms of NmtR were performed using the AMBER 11 suite of programs^{38,39} utilizing the ff99SBildn⁴⁰ force-field. The protonation states for charged amino acids not involved in metal binding were obtained from the H++ protonation state server.⁴¹ The metal binding residues in the $\alpha 5$ helix (Asp91, His93, His104' and His107') were represented in their metal binding protonation states adopted from CzrA in simulations of Zn•NmtR and Ni•NmtR.²² A protonation state model similar to that employed in our previous simulations of apo-CzrA featuring a positively charged His104 and a negatively charged Asp91 was found to be the most likely candidate for apo-NmtR.¹⁸

A common solvation and equilibration scheme was implemented to prepare the allosteric forms of NmtR for production MD simulations. The protein was immersed in a periodically replicated truncated octahedral box containing explicit SPC/E water molecules^{42,43} that provided an 8 Å water shell around it. In these simulations, a range of 11578 to 26933 water molecules was used to solvate the protein. The solvated protein was next net-neutralized using explicit Na⁺ or Cl⁻ counter-ions.⁴⁴ A single Cl⁻ ion was required to neutralize the apo allosteric form of the protein, while two additional Cl⁻ ions were required to neutralize the metal-ions bound forms of the protein. The systems were prepared for production simulations using a well defined equilibration protocol. First, a series of restrained-minimizations was performed in which constituent parts of the system were gradually relaxed over multiple stages to avoid artifacts and steric clashes. In the first phase of minimization, the water molecules and counter-ions were minimized while the protein was kept restrained. Employing a multi-stage approach, increasingly larger parts of the protein were gradually relaxed. In the first stages of minimization, protein hydrogen atom positions were optimized followed by a minimization including side-chain groups. In the next stage of energy minimization only the backbone C α atoms were kept restrained, and in the final step the entire protein structure was minimized along with the solvent molecules and counter-ions. The phases of minimizations were performed for 10000, 10000, 20000, 40000 and 40000 steps respectively, unless convergence was achieved earlier. The minimized system was then slowly heated to 300K for a canonical ensemble (NVT) over 200 ps, while maintaining weak restraints on all protein heavy atoms. Finally, all restraints were removed and the system was further equilibrated for 1 ns at 300 K for an isobaric and isothermal ensemble (NPT). MD trajectories were propagated from this well-equilibrated structure at 300K for an NPT ensemble. The temperature of the simulated system was maintained using Langevin Dynamics with a collision frequency of 1 ps⁻¹, and the SHAKE algorithm was employed to constrain heavy atom bonds to hydrogen atoms.^{42,45,46} Long-range electrostatics were calculated using the particle mesh Ewald method.⁴⁷ A time-step of 2 fs was employed and snapshots from the trajectories were collected for analysis after every 1000 steps.

Modeling the Zn(II) Binding Site of NmtR

Starting from a solvated and well equilibrated structure of apo-NmtR, constrained MD and energy-minimization methods were used to bring the possible metal binding residues to within a reasonable distance for Zn(II) binding. A Zn(II) ion was placed at each metal binding site using the Maestro GUI.⁴⁸ Both metal binding regions [D91, H93, H104, H107 and Zn(II)] were treated using DFT based QM/MM methods⁴⁹ (M06-L/LACVP* for QM

and OPLS-2005 force field for MM residues)^{50-52 53} as implemented in the Qsite QM/MM program.⁵⁰

To further validate the calculated binding site of Zn(II)-bound NmtR and to capture the effect of Zn(II) binding on the entire protein structure, 1 ns of QM/MM MD simulations were performed on well solvated and equilibrated Zn•NmtR and Zn₂•NmtR structures at the SCC-DFTB level of theory^{54,55} using the AMBER suite of programs. A detailed description of these QM/MM and QM/MM MD calculations and their successful implementation have been provided elsewhere.^{18,56,57} The bond distances and relative orientations of coordinating residues in this α5 site of NmtR is similar to that observed in CzrA.¹⁸

Modeling of the Ni(II) binding site

We performed model system calculations at the DFT level of theory to validate the proposed Ni(II) coordination complex in NmtR. These calculations included the Ni(II) ion coordinated to Gly2, His3 and a reduced representation of Asp91, His93, His104 and His107 residues. We used the Gaussian09 electronic structure program⁵⁸ to perform these calculations with the M06-L functional⁵¹ paired with the valence double zeta polarized 6-31G* basis set for C and H atoms, the 6-31+G* basis set for O and N atoms, and the LANL2TZ effective core potentials for Ni(II).⁵⁹⁻⁶² The calculated Ni(II) bond lengths from these calculations were similar to those obtained from XAS experiments.¹⁴ We then performed steered-MD (SMD) calculations in an effort to determine whether the N-terminal amino acids (Gly2 and His3) could access the distal and proximal binding models of Ni(II) coordination (Fig. S2). In these calculations, Gly2 and His3 were “reeled in” from distances of tens of angstroms into the proximal and distal Ni(II) binding sites over hundreds of picoseconds. The tetra-coordinated metal ion was represented using our previously developed “bonded model” parameters for a DHHH binding motif using the MTK++ program.^{63,64} Preliminary simulations performed using a generalized Born implicit solvent model^{65,66} indicated that introducing Gly2 and His3 at the distal Ni(II) coordination sites caused large changes in the structure of the protein. Analogous simulations in which Gly2 and His3 were introduced into the proximal Ni(II) coordination sphere failed to elicit a similar conformational change suggesting that the distal binding mode was the correct representation. To create a model construct for Ni(II)-bound NmtR and validate the distal binding mode, multiple SMD simulations were performed in which Gly2 and His3 were introduced into the distal metal binding site in explicit solvent. These simulations were initiated from explicitly solvated and well-equilibrated structures of tetra-coordinated Ni₂•NmtR, and were performed over tens of nanoseconds.

Ratiometric Pulse-Chase Amidation Mass spectrometry (rPAm-MS)

These mass-coded experiments were carried out essentially as described previously²⁷ in which a pulse of *S*-methyl thioacetimidate (SMTA) was added for time, *t*, followed by chase with and excess of *S*-methyl thiopropionimidate (SMTP) ($\Delta=14$ amu), quenched and digested with trypsin and the N-terminal heptapeptide (²GHGVEGR⁸) quantified by high resolution matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry. The expected molecular weights of the acetamidinated peptide 2-8 is 752.4 D while that of the propionamidinated peptide 2-8 is 766.4 D. 20 μM NmtR monomer (containing 20 μM total amine) was reacted in either the apo-, Zn(II)-bound (2.5 monomer mol•equiv Zn) or Ni(II)-bound (1.5 monomer mol•equiv Ni) states and mixed with 100-fold (apo-NmtR, Zn-NmtR) or 500-fold (Ni-NmtR) monomer molar excess of SMTA, followed by 2000-fold (for apo, Zn) or 10,000-fold (Ni) monomer molar excess of SMTP. Quantitation of the intensities (*I*) of the acetimidated (A) and propionimidated (P) peptides as a function of time *t* as carried out as previously described²⁷ with mol fraction propionimidated (Θ^P) calculated from $\Theta^P = I_P / (I_A + I_P)$. Each data set was fitted to a single

exponential decay, $\Theta^P = A_0 e^{-kt}$ to obtain k and divided by [SMTA] in the pulse to obtain the second-order rate constant ($M_{\text{SMTA}}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Solution Structure of Apo-NmtR

Uniformly ^{15}N , ^{13}C -labeled apo-NmtR that conforms to the sequence in Fig. S1 (residues 2-120, with the N-terminal Met processed)²⁶ was subjected to detailed multidimensional NMR analysis using standard methods to obtain nearly complete backbone ($\text{C}\alpha$, $\text{H}\alpha$, C' , N , H^{N}) and $\approx 90\%$ of the side chain assignable protons assigned. The structure was calculated on the basis of 509 intraprotomer and 54 interprotomer ^1H - ^1H NOE restraints and 49 $^1D_{\text{NH}}$ RDCs per protomer (Table 1). The final ensemble of nineteen structures shows excellent statistics and structural convergence with a final pairwise heavy atom rmsd of $1.47 \pm 0.42 \text{ \AA}$ (Table 1).

A ribbon representation of a global superposition of the 19 models is shown in Figure 1, compared to the average structure, from the DNA binding interface (Fig. 1A) and the allosteric sites (Fig. 1B). The core of apo-NmtR adopts the typical ArsR repressor ($\alpha 1$ - $\alpha 2$ - $\alpha 3$ - $\alpha 4$ - $\beta 1$ - $\beta 2$ - $\alpha 5$) fold encompassing residues 17-108 as previously observed in *Mtb* CmtR,⁶⁷ *S. aureus* CadC,⁶⁸ *Xylella fastidiosa* BigR¹³ and in the zinc sensors *S. aureus* CzcA^{16,22} and *Synechococcus* SmtB,^{22,69} but in this case is flanked by long unstructured, highly mobile N-terminal (residues 2-16) and C-terminal (residues 109-120) “tails”.²⁶ These tails emerge on opposite sides of the molecule with the N-terminal tail of one protomer closest to the C-terminal tail of the other protomer (Fig. 1). Helix $\alpha 4$ is the DNA-recognition helix, also denoted αR . The β -wing tip is structurally less well-defined relative to the core of the molecule, as was previously found in solution for Zn(II)-bound CzcA.¹⁶ There is no evidence from these data that NmtR harbors an additional N-terminal α helix like that present in the $\alpha 3\text{N}$ Cd/Pb sensor CadC.^{23,68}

S. aureus CzcA is strongly related to *M. tuberculosis* NmtR ($\approx 30\%$ identity; $\approx 60\%$ similarity) and detailed comparative studies of this pair of sensors has long served as a model system with which to investigate the evolution of metal selectivity in ArsR family repressors.^{5,6,14,70} In fact, CzcA can be considered NmtR without the “tails” and indeed both sensors harbor a standard “ $\alpha 5$ -type” metal binding $\text{Asp}^1\text{-x-His}^2\text{-x}_{10}\text{-His}^3\text{-x}_2\text{-His/Glu}^4$ motif (where x is any amino acid) (Fig. S1).^{12,71} In CzcA, this sequence is found in the $\alpha 5$ helix, and the two symmetry-related, interprotomer zinc sensing sites are defined by tetrahedral coordination to $\text{Asp}^1\text{-His}^2\text{-His}^{3'}\text{-His}^{4'}$ and $\text{Asp}^{1'}\text{-His}^{2'}\text{-His}^3\text{-His}^4$ sites (Fig. S2). CzcA is selective for Zn(II) and shows no response to Ni(II); in contrast, NmtR is selective for Ni(II)/Co(II) and shows an attenuated (*in vitro*) or no response (*in vivo*) to Zn(II).^{6,14,25} Although our structure establishes that the core folds of NmtR and CzcA are similar, a global superposition of the core apo-NmtR structure bundle with the average structure (Fig. 2A) reveals detectable differences to that of the bundle superimposed on the crystallographic structure of apo-CzcA (Fig. 2B). These differences extend beyond the uncertainty of the coordinates of the structure bundle, and derive at least partly from distinct protomer packing ($\approx 1.6 \text{ \AA}$ $\text{C}\alpha$ rmsd) (Fig. 2B). In any case, apo-NmtR, like apo-CzcA, clearly adopts an “open” dimer conformation, in which the interprotomer Ser61 $\text{C}\alpha$ -Ser61' $\text{C}\alpha$ distance is quite large, $\approx 48 \text{ \AA}$, a distance comparable to the largest analogous (Ser54-Ser54' $\text{C}\alpha$) distance observed in molecular dynamics simulations of allosterically inhibited Zn_2 CzcA.¹⁸ This form of apo-NmtR is clearly not pre-organized to bind DNA without a significant change to a more “closed” conformation, perhaps like previously observed for apo-CzcA.¹⁶

Paramagnetic Broadening Induced by Ni(II) Directly implicates the N-terminal Tail and the C-terminal $\alpha 5$ helix as Providing Ligand Donor Atoms to the Ni(II)

Addition of Ni(II) to apo-NmtR induces significant changes in the ^{15}N -TROSY spectrum, including a number of shifts superimposed on a significant reduction in the number of crosspeaks (Fig. S3). Ni(II) is expected to broaden resonances within $\approx 10 \text{ \AA}$ of the paramagnetic, high-spin Ni(II)^{72,73} consistent with a six-coordinate, distorted octahedral geometry determined previously.¹⁴ These spectral perturbations are shown in a bar chart (Fig. 3A) and schematically on a ribbon representation of the solution structural model from three different views (Fig. 4). What is clearly seen is that Ni(II) binding extensively broadens resonances at the extreme N-terminus (from residues 4-10; residues 2 and 3 are unassigned in the apo-state²⁶) as well as residues at both the N-terminal and C-terminal regions of the $\alpha 5$ helix (residues 91-93 are exchange broadened in apo-NmtR²⁶), and extending to only L110, after which point there is little broadening observable.

These spectral features are consistent with the conclusions reached earlier on the basis of thermodynamic measurements of the K_{Ni} and allosteric coupling free energy, $\Delta G_{\text{c}}^{\text{Ni}}$ and suggest that the Ni(II) binds to four residues analogous to the tetrahedral zinc complex of CzrA (D91, H93, H104 and H107) and the two residues derived from the extreme N-terminus, the α -amino group and the side chain of His3.²⁶ Although these studies do not distinguish between proximal (**P**) and distal (**D**) Ni(II) binding site models (see Fig. 4B; Fig. S2), it is interesting to note that there is complete broadening of residues 4-10 and L15 just N-terminal to the $\alpha 1$ helix is strongly shifted on Ni(II) binding. Given the relatively short-range ($\approx 10 \text{ \AA}$) paramagnetic sphere of influence of the bound Ni(II), only in the distal binding mode would a substantial region of the N-terminal tail pass close enough to one or the other Ni(II) ion to result in paramagnetic broadening (see Fig. 4B). Thus, these data favor a model in which the Ni(II) forms an octahedral complex via coordination by G2, H3, D91', H93', H104 and H107 and its symmetry-related pair (Fig. S2 of the Supporting Information) (see below).

Due to the unfavorable spectral characteristics of the Ni(II)-complex, we were unable to obtain a structural model of the Ni(II)-complex. Inspection of the $^1\text{H}\{-^{15}\text{N}\}$ heteronuclear NOE reveals that Ni(II) binding stabilizes both the N-terminal (to residue 13) and the C-terminal (to residue 115) tail regions compared to apo-NmtR, such that these regions now tumble on the ps-ns timescale with the rest of the protein (Fig. 3B). The structural origin of this conformational ordering is unknown but for the vast majority of residues, Ni(II)-induced conformational changes are quaternary structural in nature, given the high degree of correspondence of $^{13}\text{C}\alpha$ chemical shifts (where they are observable) in the apo- and Ni(II)-bound states (Fig. S4).

We also acquired NMR data for the non-cognate Zn(II)-bound NmtR and Fig. S3 shows an overlay of ^{15}N TROSY spectra the apo-, Ni(II)- and Zn(II)-bound complexes. Inspection of these spectra suggest that the conformational change induced by Zn(II) is distinct from that of Ni(II), but we could do little else with the Zn(II)-NmtR sample given the poor solubility of this metallated derivative. X-ray absorption spectroscopy reveals a tetrahedral Zn(II) complex and QM/MM calculations¹⁸ reveal that a first coordination complex formed by the $\alpha 5$ residues only (Asp91, His93, His104', His107') can be modeled that is fully consistent with the data (Fig. 5A). Thus, these data and previous studies²⁶ argue that Zn(II) is a weaker allosteric regulator than Ni(II) because it does not recruit the extreme N-terminus into the first metal coordination shell and thus is incapable of fully switching NmtR to an allosterically inhibited conformation.⁷⁰

In striking contrast, an identical series of calculations reveal that it is indeed possible to recruit two ligands from the N-terminus to create an pseudooctahedral complex with good

stereochemistry around the Ni(II) ion (Fig. 5B, see also Fig. S5). In this complex, the Nδ1 atoms of His3 and His104 are the axial ligands to the octahedrally coordinated Ni(II) ion, with the α-NH₂, Asp91', His93' (Nδ1) and His107 (Nε2) lying roughly in a plane. Multiple SMD calculations suggest that in this coordination model, the N-terminus reaches over the “top” of the α5 helices to coordinate to the distal α5 metal site (Fig. 6). In models derived from these calculations, the Nε2 face of His104 points towards the backbone carbonyl groups of Leu73' and Gly74', and in some instances specifically forms a hydrogen bond with the backbone carbonyl group of Gly74. Such a hydrogen bonding interaction could explain the perturbations in the ¹⁵N-¹H HSQC spectra observed at Gly74 in response to Ni(II) binding (Fig. 3A) and suggests that a CzrA-like hydrogen-bonding pathway¹⁸ may play a role in the mechanism of allosteric regulation. The structure shown (Fig. 6) is in excellent agreement with the paramagnetic broadening observed in the NMR spectra of Ni(II) NmtR in detail (Fig. 3A), except for residues 6-10 in the N-terminal region. Although these resonances are experimentally broadened beyond detection (Fig. 3A), in this model these residues are just outside of the zone of paramagnetic influence of the Ni(II) ions (13 Å) (Fig. 6). It is important to recognize that the precise path that each N-terminal tail takes is not well-defined by these simulations. However, these SMD models suggest that a number of favorable electrostatic interactions between charged residues in the N-terminal region and α5 helices of NmtR may stabilize the distal binding model. On the other hand, if these residues do indeed lie outside of the Ni(II) broadening zone, then conformational exchange might be contributing to dynamic disorder in this region. Additional studies are required to distinguish between these two possibilities.

Ratiometric Pulsed Amidination Mass Spectrometry

To obtain additional evidence of the participation of the N-terminal α-amino group in Ni(II) coordination, we took advantage of the fact that NmtR contains no lysine residues, with the only primary amine the N-terminal α-NH₂ group (see Fig. S1). We reasoned that the reactivity of the α-amine to an amine-modifying reagent would be greatly attenuated in Ni(II)-bound state vs. the apo-state or the Zn(II)-bound state, which adopts a tetrahedral coordination geometry (Fig. 5A).^{14,26,70} A ratiometric pulsed amidination mass spectrometry method was used to obtain second order rate constants for amidination of the α-amino group in these allosteric states.²⁷ Here, NmtR is reacted with a pulse of *S*-methyl thioacetimidate (SMTA) for time, *t*, followed by chase with an excess of *S*-methyl thiopropionimidate (SMTP) (Δ=14 amu), with the reaction quenched and protein subsequently digested with trypsin. Tryptic peptides are then subjected to high resolution MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry and peak areas of the amidinated (SMTA) and propionimidated (SMTP) N-terminal heptapeptide (²GHGVEGR⁸) quantified by integration. The raw mass spectrometry of this region of the mass spectrum is shown in Fig. 7, with the data fit to a single exponential to extract the pseudo-first order rate constant for amidination (*k*) (Fig. 8) and second order rate constants compiled in Table 2. These data reveal that the α-amino group is highly reactive in the apo-state (≈94 M⁻¹ min⁻¹) and is at most 2-fold protected when bound to non-cognate metal ion Zn(II) (≈50 M⁻¹ min⁻¹). In strong contrast, Ni(II) affords 100-fold protection relative to apo-NmtR (≈1 M⁻¹ min⁻¹). These data taken collectively strongly support Ni(II) coordination model shown (Fig. 5B) in which the α-amino group of Gly2 forms a direct coordination bond to the Ni(II) ion via a multidentate interaction with other donor atoms in the N-terminal region, *e.g.* His3,²⁶ as found in other proteins involved in Ni(II) sensing and trafficking.⁷⁴⁻⁷⁷

Dynamical changes in NmtR on Ni(II) binding and implications for DNA operator binding

Although the paramagnetic zone of influence broadens beyond detection those residues within ≈10 Å of the bound Ni(II) ions,⁷³ there are other changes in the ¹⁵N-¹H HSQC spectrum of the Ni(II) bound NmtR that are likely reporting on changes in conformational

dynamics that are important for Ni(II)-mediated negative regulation of DNA binding (Fig. 3A). In particular, inspection of the DNA binding interfacial region reveals several ^{15}N - ^1H correlations that are significantly exchange broadened beyond detection upon Ni(II) binding, including those corresponding to S34 near the N-terminus of $\alpha 2$, Q60-S61 at the N-terminus of the $\alpha 4$ recognition helix, and G82-R83 at the tip of the β -wing (Fig. 4; Fig. S6). These residues are conserved in at least 8/9 of the candidate NmtRs (see Fig. S1) and correspond to N27, Q53-S54 and G75-Q76 in the related zinc sensor *S. aureus* CzcA.¹⁴ Q53E and S54A substitutions in CzcA show 4800- and 10⁵-fold reductions in DNA binding affinity¹⁶ and inspection of the molecular dynamics-based model of the apo-CzcA-DNA operator complex¹⁸ reveals that all five residues define key contact regions across the entire protein-DNA interface (Fig. S7). Although the structure of the apo-NmtR-DNA complex is not yet available, these residues likely define the interfacial region of the NmtR-DNA complex as well, given the very high conservation of the residues in the DNA recognition $\alpha 4$ helices and the near identity of the DNA operators to which CzcA and NmtR bind (NmtO: 5'-**TGAACATATGATCA**; CzcO: 5'-**TGAACAAATATTCA**).¹⁶ If so, these data suggest that Ni(II)-induced dynamic disorder in the μs -ms timescale of key DNA interacting regions contributes to the negative regulation of DNA binding.

The amide group crosspeak corresponding to G74 in the turn between the $\alpha 4$ and $\beta 1$ strands is also significantly broadened and shifted on Ni(II) binding (Fig. 4C). G74 corresponds to His67 in CzcA, the backbone carbonyl group of which accepts an intersubunit hydrogen bond from the nonliganding side chain of H97' (H104') to stabilize the allosterically inhibited low DNA binding affinity conformation.^{18,22} This suggests the possibility that this key interprotomer H104'-G74 linkage plays an important role in allosteric coupling by Ni(II) in NmtR as well, which explains in part why Zn(II) drives some negative regulation of DNA binding in NmtR even without coordination to the extreme N-terminus.²⁶

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we present the solution structure of the Ni/Co-specific ArsR-family regulator NmtR from *M. tuberculosis* and propose a Ni(II) coordination model that is consistent with previous thermodynamic experiments,²⁶ x-ray absorption spectroscopy,¹⁴ chemical modification and Ni(II)-induced paramagnetic broadening measurements. Molecular dynamics simulations and quantum chemical calculations reveal such a coordination model can be readily accommodated by the structure of NmtR. It is unknown as yet precisely how distal Ni(II) site coordination by the extreme N-terminus drives a structural change in the dimer that mediates allosteric negative regulation of *nmt* DNA operator-promoter binding, except to say that loss of N-terminal coordination changes the coordination number and the rank order of allosteric effectors from Ni(II)>Zn(II) to Zn(II)>Ni(II).²⁶ Efforts are underway to obtain a high resolution structural model of the Ni(II)-NmtR complex.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Dejian Ma, Indiana University, for his assistance in acquisition of the NMR data and Alfredo Guerra, Indiana University, for assistance with figures. We gratefully acknowledge the University of Florida High-Performance Computing Center for their support.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the NIH to D. P. G. (GM042569) and K.M.M. (GM044974 and GM066859).

REFERENCES

- (1). Berg JM, Godwin HA. Lessons from zinc-binding peptides. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct.* 1997; 26:357–371. [PubMed: 9241423]
- (2). Seneque O, Bonnet E, Joumas FL, Latour JM. Cooperative metal binding and helical folding in model peptides of treble-clef zinc fingers. *Chemistry.* 2009; 15:4798–4810. [PubMed: 19388025]
- (3). Auld DS. Zinc coordination sphere in biochemical zinc sites. *Biometals.* 2001; 14:271–313. [PubMed: 11831461]
- (4). Giedroc DP, Arunkumar AI. Metal sensor proteins: Nature's metalloregulated allosteric switches. *Dalton Trans.* 2007; 29:3107–3120. [PubMed: 17637984]
- (5). Ma Z, Jacobsen FE, Giedroc DP. Coordination chemistry of bacterial metal transport and sensing. *Chem Rev.* 2009; 109:4644–4681. [PubMed: 19788177]
- (6). Reyes-Caballero H, Campanello GC, Giedroc DP. Metalloregulatory proteins: metal selectivity and allosteric switching. *Biophys Chem.* 2011; 156:103–114. [PubMed: 21511390]
- (7). Chen PR, He C. Selective recognition of metal ions by metalloregulatory proteins. *Curr Opin Chem Biol.* 2008; 12:214–221. [PubMed: 18258210]
- (8). Agranoff D, Krishna S. Metal ion transport and regulation in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Front Biosci.* 2004; 9:2996–3006. [PubMed: 15353332]
- (9). Liu T, Ramesh A, Ma Z, Ward SK, Zhang L, George GN, Talaat AM, Sacchettini JC, Giedroc DP. CsoR is a novel *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* copper-sensing transcriptional regulator. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2007; 3:60–68. [PubMed: 17143269]
- (10). Busenlehner LS, Pennella MA, Giedroc DP. The SmtB/ArsR family of metalloregulatory transcriptional repressors: Structural insights into prokaryotic metal resistance. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2003; 27:131–143. [PubMed: 12829264]
- (11). Campbell DR, Chapman KE, Waldron KJ, Tottey S, Kendall S, Cavallaro G, Andreini C, Hinds J, Stoker NG, Robinson NJ, Cavet JS. *Mycobacterium* cells have dual nickel-cobalt sensors: sequence relationships and metal sites of metal-responsive repressors are not congruent. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282:32298–32310. [PubMed: 17726022]
- (12). Osman D, Cavet JS. Bacterial metal-sensing proteins exemplified by ArsR-SmtB family repressors. *Nat Prod Rep.* 2010; 27:668–680. [PubMed: 20442958]
- (13). Guimaraes BG, Barbosa RL, Soprano AS, Campos BM, de Souza TA, Tonoli CC, Leme AF, Murakami MT, Benedetti CE. Plant pathogenic bacteria utilize biofilm growth-associated repressor (BigR), a novel winged-helix redox switch, to control hydrogen sulfide detoxification under hypoxia. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:26148–26157. [PubMed: 21632538]
- (14). Pennella MA, Shokes JE, Cosper NJ, Scott RA, Giedroc DP. Structural elements of metal selectivity in metal sensor proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2003; 100:3713–3718. [PubMed: 12651949]
- (15). Pennella MA, Arunkumar AI, Giedroc DP. Individual metal ligands play distinct functional roles in the zinc sensor *Staphylococcus aureus* CzcA. *J Mol Biol.* 2006; 356:1124–1136. [PubMed: 16406068]
- (16). Arunkumar AI, Campanello GC, Giedroc DP. Solution structure of a paradigm ArsR family zinc sensor in the DNA-bound state. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:18177–18182. [PubMed: 19822742]
- (17). Grosseohme NE, Giedroc DP. Energetics of allosteric negative coupling in the zinc sensor *S. aureus* CzcA. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:17860–17870. [PubMed: 19995076]
- (18). Chakravorty DK, Wang B, Lee CW, Giedroc DP, Merz KM. Simulations of allosteric motions in the zinc sensor CzcA. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:3367–3376. [PubMed: 22007899]
- (19). Kuroda M, Hayashi H, Ohta T. Chromosome-determined zinc-responsive operon *czc* in *Staphylococcus aureus* strain 912. *Microbiol Immunol.* 1999; 43:115–125. [PubMed: 10229265]
- (20). Singh VK, Xiong A, Usgaard TR, Chakrabarti S, Deora R, Misra TK, Jayaswal RK. ZntR is an autoregulatory protein and negatively regulates the chromosomal zinc resistance operon *znt* of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Mol Microbiol.* 1999; 33:200–207. [PubMed: 10411736]
- (21). Gajiwala KS, Burley SK. Winged helix proteins. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2000; 10:110–116. [PubMed: 10679470]

- (22). Eicken C, Pennella MA, Chen X, Koshlap KM, VanZile ML, Sacchettini JC, Giedroc DP. A metal-ligand-mediated intersubunit allosteric switch in related SmtB/ArsR zinc sensor proteins. *J Mol Biol.* 2003; 333:683–695. [PubMed: 14568530]
- (23). Busenlehner LS, Weng TC, Penner-Hahn JE, Giedroc DP. Elucidation of primary (α 3N) and vestigial (α 5) heavy metal-binding sites in *Staphylococcus aureus* pI258 CadC: Evolutionary implications for metal ion selectivity of ArsR/SmtB metal sensor proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2002; 319:685–701. [PubMed: 12054863]
- (24). Waldron KJ, Rutherford JC, Ford D, Robinson NJ. Metalloproteins and metal sensing. *Nature.* 2009; 460:823–830. [PubMed: 19675642]
- (25). Cavet JS, Meng W, Pennella MA, Appelhoff RJ, Giedroc DP, Robinson NJ. A nickel-cobalt-sensing ArsR-SmtB family repressor. Contributions of cytosol and effector binding sites to metal selectivity. *J Biol Chem.* 2002; 277:38441–38448. [PubMed: 12163508]
- (26). Reyes-Caballero H, Lee CW, Giedroc DP. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* NmtR harbors a nickel sensing site with parallels to *Escherichia coli* RcnR. *Biochemistry.* 2011; 50:7941–7952. [PubMed: 21819125]
- (27). Chang FM, Lauber MA, Running WE, Reilly JP, Giedroc DP. Ratiometric pulse-chase amidation mass spectrometry as a probe of biomolecular complex formation. *Anal Chem.* 2011; 83:9092–9099. [PubMed: 22007758]
- (28). Delaglio F, Grzesiek S, Vuister GW, Zhu G, Pfeifer J, Bax A. NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. *J Biomol NMR.* 1995; 6:277–293. [PubMed: 8520220]
- (29). Johnson BA, Blevins RA. NMR View: A computer program for the visualization and analysis of NMR data. *J Biomol NMR.* 1994; 5:603–614. [PubMed: 22911360]
- (30). Bax A, Clore GM, Gronenborn AM. 1H-1H correlation via isotropic mixing of 13C magnetization, a new three-dimensional approach for assigning 1H and 13C spectra of 13C-enriched proteins. *J. Magn. Reson.* 1990; 88:425–431.
- (31). Montelione GT, Lyons BA, Emerson SD, Tashiro M. An efficient triple resonance experiment using carbon-13 isotropic mixing for determining sequence-specific resonance assignments of isotopically-enriched proteins. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1992; 114:10974–10975.
- (32). Grzesiek S, Anglister J, Bax A. Correlation of backbone amide and aliphatic side-chain resonances in 13C/15N-enriched proteins by isotropic mixing of 13C magnetization. *J. Magn. Reson.* 1993; 101:114–119.
- (33). Ding K, Gronenborn AM. Sensitivity-enhanced 2D IPAP, TROSY-anti-TROSY, and E.COSY experiments: Alternatives for measuring dipolar 15N-1HN couplings. *J Magn Reson.* 2003; 163:208–214. [PubMed: 12914836]
- (34). Guntert P. Automated NMR structure calculation with CYANA. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2004; 278:353–378. [PubMed: 15318003]
- (35). Herrmann T, Guntert P, Wuthrich K. Protein NMR structure determination with automated NOE assignment using the new software CANDID and the torsion angle dynamics algorithm DYANA. *J Mol Biol.* 2002; 319:209–227. [PubMed: 12051947]
- (36). Shen Y, Delaglio F, Cornilescu G, Bax A. TALOS+: a hybrid method for predicting protein backbone torsion angles from NMR chemical shifts. *J Biomol NMR.* 2009; 44:213–223. [PubMed: 19548092]
- (37). Schwieters CD, Kuszewski JJ, Tjandra N, Clore GM. The Xplor-NIH NMR molecular structure determination package. *J Mag Reson.* 2003; 160:65–73.
- (38). Case, DA.; Darden, TA.; T, E.; Cheatham, I.; Simmerling, CL.; Wang, J.; Duke, RE.; Luo, R.; Walker, RC.; Zhang, W.; Merz, KM.; Roberts, B.; Wang, B.; Hayik, S.; Roitberg, A.; Seabra, G.; Kolossvai, I.; Wong, KF.; Paesani, F.; Vanicek, J.; Liu, J.; Wu, X.; Brozell, SR.; Steinbrecher, T.; Gohlke, H.; Cai, Q.; Ye, X.; Wang, J.; Hsieh, M-J.; Cui, G.; Roe, DR.; Mathews, DH.; Seetin, MG.; Sagui, C.; Babin, V.; Luchko, T.; Gusarov, S.; Kovalenko, A.; Kollman, PA. AMBER 11. University of California; San Francisco: 2010.
- (39). Case DA, Cheatham TE 3rd, Darden T, Gohlke H, Luo R, Merz KM Jr. Onufriev A, Simmerling C, Wang B, Woods RJ. The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. *J Comput Chem.* 2005; 26:1668–1688. [PubMed: 16200636]

- (40). Lindorff-Larsen K, Piana S, Palmo K, Maragakis P, Klepeis JL, Dror RO, Shaw DE. Improved side-chain torsion potentials for the Amber ff99SB protein force field. *Proteins-Struct Funct Bioinform.* 2010; 78:1950–1958.
- (41). Gordon JC, Myers JB, Folta T, Shoja V, Heath LS, Onufriev A. H++: a server for estimating pK(a)s and adding missing hydrogens to macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005; 33:W368–W371. [PubMed: 15980491]
- (42). Allen, MP.; Tildesley, DJ. *Computer Simulations of Liquids.* Clarendon Press; Oxford: 1987.
- (43). van der Spoel D, van Maaren PJ, Berendsen HJC. A systematic study of water models for molecular simulation: Derivation of water models optimized for use with a reaction field. *J Chem Phys.* 1998; 108:10220–10230.
- (44). Joung IS, Cheatham TE 3rd. Determination of alkali and halide monovalent ion parameters for use in explicitly solvated biomolecular simulations. *J Phys Chem B.* 2008; 112:9020–9041. [PubMed: 18593145]
- (45). Adelman SA, Doll JD. Generalized Langevin equation approach for atom-solid-surface scattering - General formulation for classical scattering off harmonic solids. *J Chem Phys.* 1976; 64:2375–2388.
- (46). Doll JD, Dion DR. Generalized Langevin equation approach for atom-solid-surface scattering - Numerical techniques for Gaussian generalized Langevin dynamics. *J Chem Phys.* 1976; 65:3762–3766.
- (47). York DM, Darden TA, Pedersen LG. The effect of long-range electrostatic interactions in simulations of macromolecular crystals - a Comparison of the Ewald and truncated list methods. *J Chem Phys.* 1993; 99:8345–8348.
- (48). Tools, M-DI. *Maestro-Desmond Interoperability Tools.* Version 3.0 ed. Schrödinger; New York, NY: 2011.
- (49). Hartsough DS, Merz KM. Dynamic force field models: Molecular dynamics simulations of human carbonic anhydrase II using a quantum mechanical/molecular mechanical coupled potential. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1995; 99:11266–11275.
- (50). Qsite. 5.7 ed. Schrodinger, I. N. Y.; NY: 2011.
- (51). Zhao Y, Truhlar DG. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. *Theor Chem Acc.* 2008; 120:215–241.
- (52). Cramer CJ, Truhlar DG. Density functional theory for transition metals and transition metal chemistry. *Phys Chem Chem Phys.* 2009; 11:10757–10816. [PubMed: 19924312]
- (53). Jorgensen WLT-R,J. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2005; 102:6665–6670. [PubMed: 15870211]
- (54). Seabra GD, Walker RC, Elstner M, Case DA, Roitberg AE. Implementation of the SCC-DFTB method for hybrid QM/MM simulations within the amber molecular dynamics package. *J Phys Chem A.* 2007; 111:5655–5664. [PubMed: 17521173]
- (55). Gaus M, Cui QA, Elstner M. DFTB3: Extension of the self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding method (SCC-DFTB). *J Chem Theory Computation.* 2011; 7:931–948.
- (56). Chakravorty DK, Wang B, Ucisik MN, Merz KM. Insight into the cation- π interaction at the metal binding site of the copper metallochaperone CusF. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:19330–19333. [PubMed: 22029374]
- (57). Yang Y, Wang B, Ucisik MN, Cui G, Fierke CA, Merz KM. Insights into the mechanistic dichotomy of the protein farnesyltransferase peptide substrates CVIM and CVLS. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:820–823. [PubMed: 22206225]
- (58). Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Montgomery, JAJ.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, KN.; Burant, JC.; Millam, JM.; Iyengar, SS.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, GA.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, JE.; Hratchian, HP.; Cross, JB.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, RE.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, AJ.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, JW.; Ayala, PY.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, GA.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, JJ.; Zakrzewski, VG.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, AD.; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Malick, DK.; Rabuck,

AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Ortiz, JV.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, AG.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. Gaussian 09. A.01 ed. Gaussian, Inc.; Wallingford, CT: 2009.

- (59). Petersson GA, Bennett A, Tensfeldt TG, Allaham MA, Shirley WA, Mantzaris J. A Complete Basis Set Model Chemistry .1. The Total Energies of Closed-Shell Atoms and Hydrides of the 1st-Row Elements. *J Chem Phys.* 1988; 89:2193–2218.
- (60). Petersson GA, Allaham MA. A Complete Basis Set Model Chemistry .2. Open-Shell Systems and the Total Energies of the 1st-Row Atoms. *J Chem Phys.* 1991; 94:6081–6090.
- (61). Wadt WR, Hay PJ. Abinitio effective core potentials for molecular calculations - potentials for main group elements Na to Bi. *J Chem Phys.* 1985; 82:284–298.
- (62). Roy LE, Hay PJ, Martin RL. Revised basis sets for the LANL effective core potentials. *J. Chem Theory Computation.* 2008; 4:1029–1031.
- (63). Peters MB, Yang Y, Wang B, Fusti-Molnar L, Weaver MN, Merz KM. Structural survey of zinc-containing proteins and development of the zinc AMBER force field (ZAFF). *J Chem Theory Computation.* 2010; 6:2935–2947.
- (64). Yang Y, Chakravorty DK, Merz KM Jr. Finding a needle in the haystack: computational modeling of Mg^{2+} binding in the active site of protein farnesyltransferase. *Biochemistry.* 2010; 49:9658–9666. [PubMed: 20923173]
- (65). Bashford D, Case DA. Generalized born models of macromolecular solvation effects. *Annu Rev Phys Chem.* 2000; 51:129–152. [PubMed: 11031278]
- (66). Sitkoff D, Sharp KA, Honig B. Accurate calculation of hydration free-energies using macroscopic solvent models. *J Phys Chem.* 1994; 98:1978–1988.
- (67). Banci L, Bertini I, Cantini F, Ciofi-Baffoni S, Cavet JS, Dennison C, Graham AI, Harvie DR, Robinson NJ. NMR structural analysis of cadmium sensing by winged helix repressor CmtR. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282:30181–30188. [PubMed: 17599915]
- (68). Ye J, Kandegedara A, Martin P, Rosen BP. Crystal structure of the *Staphylococcus aureus* pI258 CadC Cd(II)/Pb(II)/Zn(II)-responsive repressor. *J Bacteriol.* 2005; 187:4214–4221. [PubMed: 15937183]
- (69). Cook WJ, Kar SR, Taylor KB, Hall LM. Crystal structure of the cyanobacterial metallothionein repressor SmtB: a model for metalloregulatory proteins. *J Mol Biol.* 1998; 275:337–346. [PubMed: 9466913]
- (70). Guerra AJ, Giedroc DP. Metal site occupancy and allosteric switching in bacterial metal sensor proteins. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2011; 519:210–222.
- (71). VanZile ML, Chen X, Giedroc DP. Structural characterization of distinct alpha3N and alpha5 metal sites in the cyanobacterial zinc sensor SmtB. *Biochemistry.* 2002; 41:9765–9775. [PubMed: 12146942]
- (72). Pochapsky TC, Pochapsky SS, Ju T, Hoefler C, Liang J. A refined model for the structure of acireductone dioxygenase from *Klebsiella* ATCC 8724 incorporating residual dipolar couplings. *J Biomol NMR.* 2006; 34:117–127. [PubMed: 16518698]
- (73). Pochapsky SS, Sunshine JC, Pochapsky TC. Completing the circuit: direct-observe $^{13}C, ^{15}N$ double-quantum spectroscopy permits sequential resonance assignments near a paramagnetic center in acireductone dioxygenase. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:2156–2157. [PubMed: 18229927]
- (74). Iwig JS, Leitch S, Herbst RW, Maroney MJ, Chivers PT. Ni(II) and Co(II) sensing by *Escherichia coli* RcnR. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:7592–7606. [PubMed: 18505253]
- (75). Barondeau DP, Kassmann CJ, Bruns CK, Tainer JA, Getzoff ED. Nickel superoxide dismutase structure and mechanism. *Biochemistry.* 2004; 43:8038–8047. [PubMed: 15209499]
- (76). Sankaramakrishnan R, Verma S, Kumar S. ATCUN-like metal-binding motifs in proteins: identification and characterization by crystal structure and sequence analysis. *Proteins.* 2005; 58:211–221. [PubMed: 15508143]

- (77). Chung KC, Cao L, Dias AV, Pickering IJ, George GN, Zamble DB. A high-affinity metal-binding peptide from *Escherichia coli* HypB. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:14056–14057. [PubMed: 18834129]

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Figure 1. Ribbon representation of the solution structure of apo-NmtR. 19 lowest energy structures are shown (2LKP) in *yellow* (residues 2-16), *indigo* (residues 17-107) and *grey* (residues 108-120) superimposed on the average structure (residues 16-107) shaded *magenta*. (A) View from the $\alpha 1/\alpha R$ DNA binding interface; (B) View from the allosteric $\alpha 5$ helices.

Figure 2.

Ribbon representation of the folded core of apo-NmtR (residues 17-107). The bundle of 19 structures (*yellow*) are globally superimposed on the average structure (*magenta*) (A) and on the crystallographic structure of *S. aureus* CzaA (shaded *marine blue*, pdb 1R1U). The subunit pairwise C α rmsd (using residues 9-101 or 9-102 in CzaA, residues 17-107 for NmtR) is 1.62 and 1.69 Å, while the dimer pairwise C α rmsd is 2.53 Å. αR , DNA recognition helix $\alpha 4$.

Figure 3.

Perturbations of ^{15}N - ^1H HSQC spectra of Ni(II) binding to apo-NmtR. (A) Chemical shift changes as a function of residue number. Resonances not observed in the “diamagnetic” spectral window in the Ni(II) complex are arbitrarily assigned a $\Delta\delta(\text{H,N})_{\text{avg}}$ value of 0.7 and those close to the Ni(II) are clustered by the *red* bracket. Perturbations for other residues for which a resonance crosspeak is observed are calculated from $\Delta\delta(\text{H,N})_{\text{avg}} = \sqrt{[(\Delta\delta_{\text{HN}})^2 + (\Delta\delta_{\text{N}}/5)^2]}$. Resonances of interest are highlighted and a secondary structure schematic is shown at the top of the figure. P, Pro residue. Residues 91-93 are conformationally exchange broadened in the apo-state²⁶ and thus no data are shown for these residues. (B) Plot of the $^1\text{H}\{-^{15}\text{N}\}$ heteronuclear NOE vs. residues number is shown for apo-NmtR²⁶ (*black*) and Ni(II)-NmtR (*red* open circles). Regions of significant difference between the two states are boxed, and proposed Ni(II) ligands are indicated by the filled *green* circles.

Figure 4.

Schematic representation of the spectral perturbations of Ni(II) binding to apo-NmtR painted on the ribbon representation of the average structure of apo-NmtR from three different views: (A) bottom, DNA binding interface; (B) “top” or allosteric site view; and (C) side view. All residues for which backbone amide correlations are paramagnetically broadened/shifted are shaded *gold*, while residues 91-93 (conformationally broadened in apo-NmtR) are highlighted in *orange*. *Green*, backbone amides conformationally broadened in Ni(II) NmtR far from the metal site (see Fig. 3A) with L15 (panel B) and G74 (panel C) highlighted due to significant perturbations in chemical shift. In panel (B), D114 (shaded *cyan*) defines the penultimate C-terminal residue that is ordered on Ni(II) binding (see also Fig. S5, Supporting Information). **D** and **P** define the proximal and distal $\alpha 5$ metal sites, respectively, relative to the N-terminus labeled N at *bottom right*. See also Fig. S2, Supporting information.

Figure 5.

Results of quantum-mechanical molecular-mechanical molecular dynamics (QM/MM MD) calculations of the non-cognate Zn(II) complex (A) and steered molecular dynamics simulations of the cognate Ni(II) complex (B) in NmtR.¹⁴ The image shown in panel (B) rotated $\approx 90^\circ$ along the vertical relative to panel (A). Metal-ligand distances varied from calculation to calculation and were found to range from 1.95 Å to 2.20 Å in our models. For the model shown, the Ni(II)-ligand distances are Gly2 α -amino N: 1.96 Å, His3 N δ 1: 2.09 Å, Asp91 O δ 1: 1.96 Å, His104 N δ 1: 2.14 Å and His107 N ϵ 2: 2.11 Å. Residue identities are indicated with prime nomenclature indicative of the opposite protomer. See Experimental Procedures for full details.

Figure 6.

Ribbon diagram of a MD-derived model of the Ni(II)-bound NmtR with each N-terminus coordinating to the distal Ni(II) site, such the N-terminal tails cross the C-terminal $\alpha 5$ helices.. Each protomer is shaded *light blue* and *salmon* and Ni(II) ions are shaded *green*. The Gly2 α -NH₂ group and His3 N δ 1 are shown coordinated to the Ni(II); other coordinating side chains (D91, H93, H104 and H107) are not shown for clarity. A zone of 10 Å around each Ni(II) ion is shaded *blue* and *red*, respectively; this is the region where one expects to see complete paramagnetic broadening on amide crosspeaks (compare to Fig. 3A). The agreement between theory and experiment is excellent, with the exception of residues 6-10 (see text for details).

Figure 7.

A series of MALDI-TOF spectra of tryptic peptide 2-8 are shown as a function of pulse amidination time (t) in apo-NmtR (A), Zn(II)-NmtR (B) and Ni(II)-NmtR (C). The isotope distribution of two different modified peptides are labeled as A (singly-acetamidinated) (752.34 D observed; 752.40 D expected) and P (singly-propionamidinated) (766.34 D observed; 766.40 D expected) with observed m/z shown.

Figure 8.

Mol fraction of propionamidated α -amino group, Θ^P , plotted as a function of pulse amidination time (t) in apo-NmtR (A), Zn(II)-NmtR (B) and Ni(II)-NmtR (C). Pseudo first order rate constants were determined by fitting the data with single exponential decay $\Theta = Ae^{-kt}$ with parameters compiled in Table 2.

Table 1
NMR Restraints and Structural Statistics for the apo-NmtR Homodimer^a

NMR restraints	
Total NOE distance restraints	563
Intrasubunit	509
Intersubunit	54
Hydrogen bonds	96
Total dihedral angle restraints	142
RDC restraints	46
Structural statistics (19 structures)	
Violations	
Number of distance restraints > 0.5 Å	0
Number of dihedral angle restraints > 5°	0
Rms deviation from experiments	
Distance (Å)	0.065 ± 0.006
Dihedral angle (°)	0.464 ± 0.013
Rms deviation from idealized geometry	
Bonds (Å)	0.004 ± 0.000
Angles (°)	0.643 ± 0.136
Impropers (°)	0.390 ± 0.020
Ramachandran analysis (%) (all residues)	
Most favored region	81.8
Additionally allowed region	13.1
Generously allowed region	4.3
Disallowed region	0.7
Average pairwise RMS deviations (Å) (residues 17-108) ^b	
Backbone heavy atoms	1.47 ± 0.42
All heavy atoms	2.17 ± 0.39

^aResonance assignments and other NMR data for apo-NmtR have been deposited in the BioMagResBank as accession number 18003, with structure bundle apo-NmtR deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 2LKP.

^bCoordinate precision was calculated by comparing individual structures in the bundle with the coordinates of the average structure. Only residues 17-108 for each subunit was used in this analysis.

Table 2Second-order Amidination Rate constants Obtained for the α -amino group of various NmtRs^a

NmtR	Second order rate constant (M _{SMTA} ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	Amplitude (A ₀)
Apo	93.5 ± 9.8	0.96 ± 0.05
Zn(II)	49.2 ± 10.1	0.76 ± 0.02
Ni(II)	0.96 ± 0.43	0.82 ± 0.02

^aDerived from the data shown in Figs. 7-8 by fitting Θ^P vs. pulse time to a single exponential function with no offset.