Published in final edited form as:

Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl. 2012 December 1; 32(8): 2664–2667. doi:10.1016/j.msec.2012.07.024.

Comparison of electrical conductivities of various brain phantom gels: Developing a ‘Brain Gel Model’

Madhuvanthi A. Kandadaia^{a,*}, Jason L. Raymond^b, and George J. Shaw^{a,c}

^aDepartment of Emergency Medicine, College of Medicine, 231 Albert Sabin Way, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45267-0769

^bDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, 2901 Campus Drive, ML 0048, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0048

^cNeuroscience Graduate Program, CARE/Crawley Building Suite E-870, Cincinnati, OH 45267

Abstract

The use of conducting gels to mimic brain and other tissues is of increasing interest in the development of new medical devices. Currently, there are few such models that can be utilized at physiologic temperatures. In this work, the conductivities of agar, agarose and gelatin gels were manipulated by varying NaCl concentration from 0–1 mg/ml. The AC conductivity was measured at room and physiological temperatures (37°C) in the 100–500 Hz frequency range. Conductivity (σ) was nearly independent of frequency but increased linearly with NaCl concentration and was higher at physiological temperatures in these gels. A formula for predicting conductivity as a function of NaCl concentration was derived for each gel type. The overall goal is to develop a ‘brain gel model’, for studying low frequency electrical properties of the brain and other tissues at physiological temperatures.

Keywords

Agar; Agarose; Gelatin; Conductivity; Brain phantom

1. Introduction

Conductivity (σ) is one of the main factors affecting the choice of a surrogate material for studying the electrical properties of the brain or the effect of electric fields on the central nervous system. [1–6]

In this short communication, we report the AC conductivities of three materials: gelatin, agar and agarose. Conductivities of these gels doped with NaCl to match the average conductivity of brain tissue [7–9] are reported here for room (22°C) and physiological (37°C) temperatures, as a function of frequency in the 100–500 Hz range, and as a function of NaCl concentration. Based on the measured data, equations that can be used to estimate the conductivities of these gels at 22°C or 37°C as a function of NaCl concentration are derived. These gels possess distinct properties uniquely suitable for use as brain mimicking

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author: kandadmi@ucmail.uc.edu; Ph: 001-(513) 558-5293; Fax: 001-(513)-558-5791.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

gels, and were chosen mainly because of their moldability and the ability to manipulate their conductivity, for applications where tissue conductivity is the main factor that needs to be mimicked. A more detailed discussion of the relative merits and demerits of these gels for use as brain surrogates is presented in the discussion section, and reviewed in literature in references [10–13].

This report is intended to be a database of conductivity for these gels, contributing towards standardization of phantom preparation techniques for in vitro experiments for various biomedical applications including microwave tomography or electrical impedance tomography (EIT). For example, in the microwave frequency range, and in near-field settings, as used in microwave tomography, electromagnetic signals are extremely sensitive to the physical and dielectric properties of the medium.[14] Accurate knowledge of the conductivity of the biological medium is critical for reconstructing images and obtaining reproducible results. The conductivities of agarose and gelatin at the frequencies and temperatures reported here have not been compiled in literature previously. The equations derived for predicting agar, agarose and gelatin gel conductivities based on NaCl concentration, frequency and temperature are also not available in literature and their availability may assist in the preparation of appropriate brain phantoms that are mechanically stable at room and physiological temperature. This short communication fills a gap in the literature by reporting measured conductivity values and providing equations for predicting conductivity of agar, agarose and gelatin gels as a function of frequency and NaCl concentrations at room and physiological temperatures.

The frequency values studied here are comparable to those of high frequency electroencephalography (EEG), epilepsy research and seizure abatement research[15–18]. Additionally, this frequency range is relevant in the newly developing areas of electrochemotherapy and electrical impedance sensing (EIS) in neuronal cell cultures [18, 19]. Studies have reported human brain conductivity values of 0.1–0.45 S/m for grey matter and 0.18–0.3 S/m for white matter [7–9]. Over the 100–500 Hz range the conductivity values vary little compared to frequencies less than 100 Hz or greater than 500 Hz[8, 20]. The study of electromagnetic signals with even the simplest geometry of phantom materials is very complex.[14] The gel recipes studied here were designed to have conductivities in the same range as that of a human brain, averaged assuming a homogenous and isotropic geometry and composition of brain tissue. The data provided here is intended for use in short term experiments that require a simple in vitro model with known dielectric properties. These gel recipes can also be utilized to prepare other tissue phantoms with desired conductivities.

2. Materials and Methods

Research grade granulated agar was obtained from Fisher Scientific, (CAS 9002-18-0, Pittsburg, Pennsylvania, USA). Pure powdered agarose was obtained from Acros Organics, (CAS 9012-36-6, Thermo Fisher Scientific, New Jersey, USA). Saline solutions were prepared by adding NaCl (99.8% purity Sigma St. Louis, Missouri, USA), to de-ionized (DI), ultrafiltered water at 85–90°C with a conductivity of 5.62 micro S/m, to reach concentrations of 0 to 1 mg/ml. Gel powder was then added to achieve the desired gel concentration (1.2% by weight). The solution was stirred using a magnetic stir bar and poured into 50 ml polypropylene corning tubes with a diameter of 2.1 cm, and allowed to cool at room temperature. They were then placed in a water bath at ~39 °C for a few hours to achieve uniform temperature throughout the gel.

Food grade gelatin powder (Kroger, Cincinnati, Ohio) was procured. The preparation of gelatin samples was as described above, however, the solutions were prepared at lower

temperatures of 60–65°C and were left overnight in the refrigerator to set (0.6% by weight). At room temperature and higher, the gel did not possess sufficient mechanical strength for use as a stand-alone brain phantom. Thus the conductivity values reported here for gelatin are a few degrees lower (~20°C) than temperatures at which measurements were made for agar and agarose gels (22–23°C).

The gel samples were removed from the tubes, cut to a length of 7 cm and immediately placed between the electrodes in the conductivity measurement set-up (Figure 1-A). All the gels were 2.1 cm in diameter. The temperature was measured at the center of the cylinder using a thermocouple (HH5560R, Omega Engineering Inc., Stamford, Connecticut, USA).

A 10V AC voltage was applied across the gel at a frequency of 100–500 Hz using a waveform function generator (Agilent 33250A, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) connected in series with a digital multimeter (HP-3478A, Agilent-HP, Santa Clara, CA, USA) which was used to measure the AC current and AC voltage across the gel. AC measurements (as opposed to DC) were used, to avoid effects of polarization across the electrodes. The circuit was calibrated with multiple known resistances.

Conductivity was calculated from the resistance using equation (1) as follows:

$$\sigma = 1/\rho = 1/(RA/l) \quad (1)$$

where, σ is the electrical conductivity in Siemens/m, ρ is the electrical resistivity (Ohms-m), R is the electrical resistance (Ohms), A is the cross sectional area of the gel sample in m^2 and l is the length of gel sample in meters.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1-B shows the effect of varying NaCl concentration on the conductivities of the gels at room temperature (22 ± 1 °C). The primary contributor to conductivity in solutions is the ionic mobility of added salts through the conduction medium[21]. As a result, σ increases with increasing NaCl concentrations. Gelatin showed the highest conductivity of all gels.

Conductivity also increased with temperature, as expected, due to increased mobility of charge carriers. Figure 1-C shows the conductivities of agar and agarose gels at 37°C.

Figure 2 shows conductivity as a function of frequency for gels with an NaCl concentration of 0.75 mg/ml. Other concentrations (not shown here) also show the same trend. In this range there was negligible dependence of conductivity on frequency, as also seen in other work [8, 20].

A previous study on the effect of NaCl doping on agar gels by Bennett et al. [22] reported the following frequency-independent relationship between conductivity and NaCl concentration c in units of grams of NaCl/mL solution volume at room temperature:

$$\sigma \text{ (S/m)} = 215 * c + 0.0529 \quad (2)$$

Our experiments also show a linear increase in conductivity with NaCl concentration. Linear regression analysis was done to obtain a frequency-independent regression line for estimation of conductivity of gels. The equation for agar gels at room temperature is shown below:

$$\sigma \text{ (S/m)} = (172 \pm 4) * c + (0.0512 \pm 0.001) \quad (3)$$

Figure 3 shows linear regression on agar gel data from this study in comparison with Reference [22]. At 0 mg/ml NaCl, the conductivities nearly overlap. The discrepancy in the slope, however, may be due to the higher concentration of agar gel used here (~1.5%) [22]. A higher concentration may result in a different structural arrangement of the polymers in the gel, leading to differences in ion mobilities and hence, conductivities.

Fits to Equation 2 were done for all of the gel data. The results are summarized in Table 1. These parameters can be used to select the phantom recipe based on desired conductivities.

Tissue surrogates should ideally be inexpensive, easy to prepare, readily accessible, and stable. Agar, agarose and gelatin are conveniently prepared by dissolution at elevated temperatures in aqueous solvent doped with NaCl, followed by cooling at room temperature and/or refrigeration. This ease of preparation cannot be achieved with several synthetic polymers. For example, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), requires multiple freeze thaw cycles (approximately 12 hours per cycle) for attaining a homogenous structure for brain phantom development.[23] This is their primary disadvantage. Hence, for short term experiments, PVA gels offer no added advantage over any of the other gels studied in this work, despite their high structural rigidity, low cost and long shelf life.[24, 25] Another tissue surrogate, Polyacrylamide gels, contract when heated to 35°C and are better suited for drug delivery applications, than tissue phantom development.[26]

Conducting experiments at 37°C is important for accurately mimicking biological systems. Although gelatin is an excellent surrogate material at room temperature, it disintegrates under its own weight at physiological temperatures unless very high concentrations are used, in which case it loses its structural comparability to brain tissue. This limitation is overcome by using agar or agarose, both of which possess the ability to sustain shape at 37°C without external support. Moreover, the proton density and relaxation time of agar gels are in the range of human soft tissues. Hence, researchers studying magnetic resonance (MR) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) of brain choose to use agar as a brain phantom.[3, 27, 28] Agar gels possess superior structural rigidity compared to agarose.[29] Agar however is relatively impure and possesses multiple charges.[30] Agarose, comparatively, is electrically neutral and of high purity. The conductivity of agarose can be completely manipulated by only controlling the added NaCl content.[30] In addition to MR research, agarose is widely used as a brain mimicking gel for infusion studies because of its structural properties[31, 32] For example, porcine brain *in vivo* and agarose gel have similar pressure profiles, penetration transient and drag forces associated with the advancement of a catheter into the tissue.[31] Agarose gel also mimics the brain in its ability to create a seal against the outer wall of a delivery device inserted into it.[31] Drag forces of agarose gels are within 5–10% of living human brain tissue.[31, 33] Another important factor affecting the use of gels in brain mimicking applications where conductivity is important is their degree of homogeneity. Agar, agarose and gelatin have very small density fluctuations. Whereas, certain synthetic polymers such as Polyacrylamide gels suffer from cluster formation and resulting structural inhomogeneities, despite their excellent optical transparency and mechanical properties.[34, 35] Polyacrylamides also suffer from limited shelf life, which is a few hours when exposed to air or few weeks in an airtight container.[34, 35] Moreover, polyacrylamide gels are toxic and require special handling.[36]

One of the limitations of brain phantom gels studied here is their homogenous and isotropic nature, a deviation from the physiological human brain. The goal of this work, however, was to prepare gels that match the *average* conductivity of the human brain for *in vitro* experiments at room and physiological temperatures, assuming that the brain is a homogenous medium. The results presented here are intended to be a part of intermediate experiments building towards understanding a more complex *in vivo* system. The

biodegradability of the gels studied in this work is not a limitation for short-term experiments.

4. Conclusions

The conductivities of three brain phantom gels: Agar, agarose and gelatin with different NaCl concentrations were measured at biologically relevant temperatures and at frequencies in the 100–500Hz range.

The gel strengths were chosen so that the gels could sustain their structure at experimental temperatures without the need for a container or external support. The conductivities were found to have a direct dependence on NaCl concentration, temperature and frequency.

Gelatin was studied only at room temperature. Even at room temperature, its conductivity was comparable to agar and agarose at physiological temperatures. Conductivities increased with temperature for Agar and Agarose gels as expected. The conductivities were nearly independent of frequency in the range studied.

This work provides a method for estimation of the effect of increasing NaCl concentrations on the conductivity of gels. These results can find applications in research involving electrical properties of brain tissues using surrogate materials.

References

1. Solazzo SA, Liu Z, Lobo SM, Ahmed M, Hines-Peralta AU, Lenkinski RE, Goldberg SN. Radiology. 2005; 236:495–502. [PubMed: 16040906]
2. Malmivuo, J.; Plonsey, R. Bioelectromagnetism: Principles and Applications of Bioelectric and Biomagnetic fields. Oxford University Press; New York: 1995.
3. Laubach HJ, Jakob PM, Loevblad KO, Baird AE, Bovo MP, Edelman RR, Warach S. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging. 1998; 8:1349–1354. [PubMed: 9848751]
4. Goldberg SN, Ahmed M, Gazelle GS, Kruskal JB, Huertas JC, Halpern EF, Oliver BS, Lenkinski RE. Radiology. 2001; 219:157–165. [PubMed: 11274551]
5. Gencer NG, Acar CE. Physics in Medicine and Biology. 2004; 49:701–717. [PubMed: 15070197]
6. Chou C-K, Chen G-W, Guy AW, Luk KH. Bioelectromagnetics. 1984; 5:435–441. [PubMed: 6517962]
7. Gilad O, Horesh L, Holder D. Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing. 2007; 45:621–633. [PubMed: 17597329]
8. Gabriel C, Gabriel S, Corthout E. Physics in Medicine and Biology. 1996; 41:2251. [PubMed: 8938025]
9. Linninger A, Basati S, Dawe R, Penn R. Medical Engineering & Physics. 2009; 31:838–845. [PubMed: 19419900]
10. Lazebnik M, Madsen EL, Frank GR, Hagness SC. Physics in Medicine and Biology. 2005; 50:4245–4258. [PubMed: 16148391]
11. Constantinou C. British Journal of Radiology. 1982; 55:217–224. [PubMed: 7066624]
12. Culjat MO, Goldenberg D, Tewari P, Singh RS. Ultrasound in medicine & biology. 2010; 36:861–873. [PubMed: 20510184]
13. Clark, A.; Ross-Murphy, S. Structural and mechanical properties of biopolymer gels Biopolymers. Springer; Berlin/Heidelberg: 1987. p. 57-192.
14. Bolomey, J.; Jofre, L. IEEE International Conference on Wireless Information Technology and Systems (ICWITS); 2010. p. 1-4.
15. Bragin A, Engel JJ, Wilson CL, Fried I, Mathern GW. Epilepsia. 1999; 40:127–137. [PubMed: 9952257]
16. Osorio I, Frei MG, Sunderam S, Giftakis J, Bhavaraju NC, Schaffner SF, Wilkinson SB. Annals of Neurology. 2005; 57:258–268. [PubMed: 15668970]

17. Urrestarazu E, Jirsch JD, LeVan P, Hall J. *Epilepsia*. 2006; 47:1465–1476. [PubMed: 16981862]
18. Traub RD, Whittington MA, Buhl EH, LeBeau FEN, Bibbig A, Boyd S, Cross H, Baldeweg T. *Epilepsia*. 2001; 42:153–170. [PubMed: 11240585]
19. Miklavcic D, Pucihar G, Pavlovec M, Ribaric S, Mali M, Macek-Lebar A, Petkovsek M, Nastran J, Kranjc S, Cemazar M, Sersa G. *Bioelectrochemistry*. 2005; 65:121–128. [PubMed: 15713562]
20. Zhi-zun Z, Pockett S, Brennan B, Chun-huan X, Bold G. *Journal of Chinese Clinical Medicine*. 2007; 2:231–235.
21. Osada Y, Gong J-P. *Advanced Materials*. 1998; 10
22. Bennett D. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2011; 31:494–498.
23. Mori Y, Tokura H, Yoshikawa M. *Journal of Materials Science*. 1997; 32:491–496.
24. Surry KJ, Austin HJ, Fenster A, Peters TM. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*. 2004; 49:5529–5546. [PubMed: 15724540]
25. Kharine A, Manohar S, Seeton R, Kolkman RG, Bolt RA, Steenbergen W, de Mul FF. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*. 2003; 48:357–370. [PubMed: 12608612]
26. Shibayama M, Tanaka T. *Advances in polymer science*. 1993; 109:1–62.
27. Blechinger JC, Madsen EL, Frank GR. *Medical Physics*. 1988; 15
28. Mathur-De Vre R, Grimee R, Parmentier F, Binet J. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 1985; 2:176–179. [PubMed: 3831685]
29. Ramnarine KV, Hoskins PR, Routh HF, Davidson F. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology*. 1999; 25:105–110. [PubMed: 10048807]
30. Walker P, Lerski RA, Mathur-De Vré R, BINET J, YANE F. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 1988; 6:215–222. [PubMed: 3374295]
31. Chen Z-J, Gillies GT, Broaddus WC, Prabhu SS, Fillmore H, Mitchell RM, Corwin FD, Fatouros PP. *Journal of Neurosurgery*. 2004; 101:314–322. [PubMed: 15309925]
32. Bauman MA, Gillies GT, Raghavan R, Brady ML, Pedain C. *Nanotechnology*. 2004; 15:92.
33. Howard MA III, Abkes BA, Ollendieck MC, Noh MD, Ritter C, Gillies GT. *IEEE Transactions in Biomedical Engineering*. 1999; 46:891–894.
34. Andreuccetti D, Bini MG, Ignesti A, Olmi R, Rubino N, Vanni R. *IEEE Trans Microw Theory Tech*. 1988; 35:275–277.
35. Bini MG, Ignesti A, Millanta L, Olmi R, Rubino N, Vanni R. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. 1984; 31:317–322. [PubMed: 6715003]
36. Zell K, Sperl JI, Vogel MW, Niessner R, Haisch C. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*. 2007; 52:475–484.

Biographies

Madhuvanthi A. Kandadai, MS, PhD, received the B. Tech degree in Chemical Engineering from Madras University, India, in 2004. She received her MS and PhD in Chemical engineering from New Mexico State University in 2004 and University of Utah in 2010, respectively. She is currently a Postdoctoral Fellow in the Department of Emergency Medicine at the University of Cincinnati. Her research interests include biomedical devices, biopolymers and materials characterization.

Jason L. Raymond, MS, received the B.S. Degree in Interdisciplinary Engineering and M.S. Degree in Mechanical Engineering from Boston University in 1999 and 2002, respectively. From 2004–2009, he worked as R&D Engineer at the National Center for Physical Acoustics (University of Mississippi). He is currently working towards the Ph.D. Degree in the Biomedical Engineering Program at the University of Cincinnati. His research interests include physical acoustics and ultrasonics as well as therapeutic applications of ultrasound.

George J. Shaw, MD, PhD received the B.A. degree in Physics in 1985 from the University of Virginia, a Ph.D. in Physics in 1990 from the University of Maryland (College Park), and his M.D. in 1997 from Georgetown University in Washington, D.C. He completed his residency training in Emergency Medicine in 2001, and received his Board Certification in Emergency Medicine in 2002. He is an Associate Professor of Emergency Medicine at the University of Cincinnati, and an Attending Physician at University Hospital in Cincinnati, Ohio. Dr. Shaw is also a member of the Neuroscience faculty. His research interests include non-invasive monitoring and testing for acute neurologic disorders, and the development of new thrombolytic medications and treatments for acute ischemic stroke.

Highlights

- Agar, Agarose and Gelatin were doped with 0–1 mg/ml of NaCl.
- Conductivities of these gels were measured at 22°C and 37°C, and in the 100–500 Hz frequency range
- Overall, σ increased with NaCl concentration and temperature, and was independent of frequency
- Frequency independent formulae for predicting the conductivity of each of the gels were generated
- Results can be used in preparation of brain phantoms.

Figure 1.

(A) Circuit diagram showing the experimental set-up for the resistance measurements. (B) Conductivities of gels with increasing NaCl concentrations at room temperature at 500 Hz. The error bars represent the standard deviation (N=3). (C) Conductivities of gels with increasing NaCl concentrations at 37°C at 500 Hz. The error bars represent the standard deviation (N=3).

Figure 2.

Conductivities of gels as a function of frequencies between 100–500 Hz at an NaCl concentration of 0.75 mg/ml at various temperatures. The error bars represent the standard deviation (N=3). (◆) Agar 37°C, (◇) Agar 22°C, (▲) Agarose 37°C, (△) Agarose 22°C, (■) Gelatin 21°C.

Figure 3.

Linear regression on agar gel conductivity data as a function of NaCl concentrations at room temperature (long-dashed line), physiological temperature (short-dashed line) and from reference [22] (dotted line) using equation (2). Note that at 0 g/ml of NaCl the conductivities from Reference [22] and this study closely overlap.

Table 1

Linear Regression data for gel conductivities in the frequency range 100–500Hz

Gel	Temperature($\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$)	Slope ^a	Intercept ^a	R ²
Agar, measured	22	172 $\pm$ 4	0.050 $\pm$ 0.001	0.98
	37	239 $\pm$ 4	0.069 $\pm$ 0.004	0.99
Agarose	22	193 $\pm$ 2	0.016 $\pm$ 0.003	0.99
	37	277 $\pm$ 1	0.018 $\pm$ 0.003	0.99
Gelatin	21	172 $\pm$ 5	0.116 $\pm$ 0.002	0.97
Agar, from Reference ^b	~22	215	0.053	0.99

^aNumbers represent mean $\pm$ standard deviation^bTaken from reference [17]