Published in final edited form as:

J Magn Reson. 2008 January ; 190(1): 165–170. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2007.10.002.

Proton-detected Separated Local Field Spectroscopy

Chin H. Wu and Stanley J. Opella*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 9500 Gilman Drive, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0307

Abstract

PISEMO, a separated local field experiment that can be performed with either direct ^{15}N (or ^{13}C) detection or indirect ^1H detection, is demonstrated on a single crystal of a model peptide. The ^1H signals modulated by ^1H - ^{15}N heteronuclear dipole-dipole couplings are observed stroboscopically in the windows of a multiple-pulse sequence used to attenuate the ^1H - ^1H homonuclear dipole-dipole couplings. ^1H -detection yields spectra with about 2.5 times the signal to noise ratio observed with ^{15}N -detection under equivalent conditions. Resolution in both the ^{15}N chemical shift and ^1H - ^{15}N heteronuclear dipole-dipole coupling dimensions is similar to that observed with PISEMA, however, since only on-resonance pulses are utilized, the bandwidth is better.

Keywords

PISEMA; PISEMO; multiple-pulse; double-resonance; crystal

The sensitivity advantages of indirect ^1H -detection in double-resonance solid-state NMR experiments are well known (1). However, its implementation has lagged, largely because of the difficulty in devising experiments that combine continuous detection of high resolution ^1H chemical shift spectra with the high sensitivity required for studies of proteins at high fields. In a way, this reinforces the motivation for the original development of proton-enhanced nuclear induction spectroscopy (2), where the direct detection of ^1H decoupled ^{15}N (or ^{13}C) spectra obviated the difficulties of multiple-pulse line narrowing (3) required to observe high resolution ^1H chemical shift spectra. Many aspects of ^1H -detection of ^{15}N signals in solid-state NMR experiments have been discussed as part of applications of MAS solid-state NMR to polycrystalline peptides and proteins (4 – 9). An initial application of ^1H -detection to a stationary powder sample provides essential background, although an extra time domain was required in order to implement point-by-point sampling of spin-locked magnetization (10). In this Communication we demonstrate a multiple-pulse based SLF (separated local field) (11) experiment that can be performed with either direct ^{15}N -detection or indirect ^1H -detection. In spectra obtained in the same amount of time and under equivalent experimental conditions on the same sample, the ^1H -detected signals are about 2.5 times larger than the ^{15}N -detected signals with the same noise levels. We refer to this experiment as PISEMO (polarization inversion spin exchange-modulated observation). Its resolution and sensitivity are similar to those of PISEMA (polarization inversion spin

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding Author: sopella@ucsd.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

exchange at the magic angle) (12), but it has improved bandwidth, which is an important advantage for high field experiments.

SLF is among the most elegant NMR methods (11); it yields two-dimensional NMR spectra where frequency splittings that are resolved by differences in the chemical shift frequencies of ^{15}N (or ^{13}C) nuclei provide direct measurements of the heteronuclear ^1H - ^{15}N (or ^1H - ^{13}C) dipole-dipole couplings. In the initial experimental implementations of SLF spectroscopy (13, 14), the heteronuclear dipole-dipole couplings of interest evolve under conditions where the interfering homonuclear ($^1\text{H}/^1\text{H}$) dipole-dipole couplings are suppressed with multiple-pulse (3) irradiations prior to the direct detection of ^1H decoupled ^{15}N (or ^{13}C) signals. PISEMA relies on off-resonance irradiation to precisely define the “magic angle” (15) for homonuclear $^1\text{H}/^1\text{H}$ decoupling, therefore, it is inherently quite sensitive to frequency offsets among the ^1H resonances, and these are larger (in kHz) at high fields due to the greater spread of chemical shift frequencies. To address this issue, we developed SAMMY (16) and SAMPI4 (17) as magic-sandwich (18, 19) based pulse sequences for SLF spectroscopy with on-resonance irradiations that are less susceptible to offset effects. This is now an active research area, and a variety of high resolution SLF experiments have been developed by other groups (20–22) and have been reviewed (23). It is now possible to select among experiments that are optimized for single crystal, powder, liquid crystal, or aligned biopolymer samples. However, all of these experiments have in common the detection of ^1H decoupled ^{15}N (or ^{13}C) signals, which provides chemical shift frequencies in the direct dimension, as in the original double-resonance and SLF experiments.

Figure 1 compares the timing diagrams for three SLF pulse sequences and the corresponding two-dimensional spectra obtained under identical experimental conditions. The sample is a single crystal of ^{13}Ca , ^{15}N labeled N-acetyl-leucine; since there are four uniquely aligned molecules in each unit cell, the spectra have four resonances for each labeled ^{15}N amide site. Because this sample has directly bonded ^{13}C and ^{15}N nuclei, ^{13}C irradiation is applied in the appropriate intervals to yield fully decoupled spectra; this is not needed for experiments performed on samples where only the nitrogen sites are isotopically labeled. Complete two-dimensional spectra are shown in the figures to illustrate the experimental results from application of the pulse sequences. However, the unique spectroscopic information is contained in half of each spectrum, which is symmetric about the zero frequency in the heteronuclear dipole-dipole coupling dimension.

One-dimensional slices through the ^{15}N chemical shift and ^1H - ^{15}N heteronuclear dipole-dipole coupling frequency dimensions for all four resonances are shown in Figure 2. All of the frequency axes are adjusted for the scaling factors of the pulse sequences so that the frequencies and linewidths can be directly compared. The order of the individual slices in each panel in Figure 2 corresponds to the order of resonances with decreasing ^1H - ^{15}N heteronuclear dipole-dipole coupling frequencies in Figure 1B, D, F. Panels A, B, and C in Figure 2 are ^{15}N chemical shift slices and Panels D, E, and F are heteronuclear dipole-dipole coupling slices taken from the same data sets that are presented as two-dimensional contour plots in Figure 1.

For comparison, the ^{15}N -detected PISEMA pulse sequence (Figure 1A), which yields spectra with the narrowest linewidths in the heteronuclear dipolar coupling frequency dimension, was used to obtain the experimental spectrum shown in Figure 1B. Only three out of the four expected resonances are present in this two-dimensional contour plot because of the broader linewidth and correspondingly reduced intensity of one of the resonances (bottom slices in Figure 2A and D). This is a typical issue with PISEMA spectra because the strong offset dependence of the Lee-Goldburg irradiation used to suppress the homonuclear $^1\text{H}/^1\text{H}$ dipole-dipole couplings during the heteronuclear spin-exchange interval

makes it impossible to have optimal performance for all of the sites when the ^1H resonances are spread over a broad range of chemical shift frequencies.

The pulse sequence for ^{15}N -detected PISEMO (Figure 1C) differs from that for PISEMA (Figure 1A) in that it uses semi-windowless WaHuHa instead of continuous flip-flop Lee-Goldburg off-resonance irradiation (24) to effect $^1\text{H}/^{15}\text{N}$ homonuclear decoupling during heteronuclear ^1H - ^{15}N spin-exchange.

The four pulses labeled P1, P2, P3, and P4 constitute a semi-windowless WaHuHa (3) cycle. The phase P1 is the same as that of the preceding spin-lock irradiation, and the phase of P5 differs by 180° . The phases applied during the even numbered t_1 intervals are the inverse of the phases in the odd numbered t_1 intervals. The durations of the pulses correspond to a nominal nutation angle of 116° (25). In practice, the phases of P₁, P₂, P₃, P₄, P₅, P₆ are Y, X, -X, -Y, -Y, Y, respectively, for the odd numbered t_1 cycles; and -Y, -X, X, Y, Y, -Y, respectively, for the even numbered t_1 cycles. To suppress the effects of probe ringing in ^{15}N -detected PISEMO, the phase of the first ^1H 90° pulse is alternated between X and -X, and the corresponding receiver phase is alternated between X and -X. In the ^1H detected version, the phase of the first ^1H 90° pulse is kept constant while the phase of P0 is alternated between X and -X, and the alternation of the phase of P_S between X and Y is used to achieve quadrature detection.

The homonuclear decoupling pulse sequence used for ^1H detection must fulfill two basic criteria. First, it must have at least one detection window without RF irradiation, which rules out FSLG (24), BLEW12 (26), and other windowless sequences, such as those used in PISEMA (12) and HIMSELF (22) experiments. It is possible to introduce very short detection windows in PISEMA sequences (23), however, in our experience line broadening and loss of sensitivity occur even with the shortest windows (3 μsec) that allow sampling on our instruments. Second, the ^1H magnetization must be in the transverse plane during the period of the window. The ^1H magnetization is along the Z axis during the windows of SAMMY (16) or SAMPI4 (17), which would otherwise be suitable for this purpose. WaHuHa is effective in this role, since the ^1H magnetization is in the transverse plane during the window used to detect the signals as they evolve under the influence of the heteronuclear dipole-dipole coupling. The timing diagrams for the ^1H -detected (Figure 1E) and ^{15}N -detected (Figure 1C) versions of PISEMO differ only in the placement of the ^{15}N chemical shift evolution period (t_1 in Figure 1E vs. t_2 in Figure 1C). Heteronuclear spin-exchange occurs during the t_2 period of ^1H -detected PISEMO; the homonuclear decoupling required for high resolution is effected by a multiple-pulse cycle that incorporates windows without irradiation between two of the four pulses. One of the windows is used for data acquisition. The ^1H signals are sampled stroboscopically once per cycle to monitor the evolution of the exchange of magnetization between ^{15}N and ^1H . The experimental spectra obtained with ^1H -detected PISEMO and ^{15}N -detected PISEMO are essentially identical, except that the ^1H -detected version yields signals whose individual signal to noise ratios are between 2.2 and 2.7 fold higher in spectra obtained in the same amount of time. This is shown in the comparison of the one-dimensional slices in Figure 2.

The principal reason for performing ^1H -detected experiments is to gain sensitivity. Following Hong and Yamaguchi (10), we use equation (1) to predict the signal-to-noise ratio improvement of ^1H -detection compared to ^{15}N -detection in solid-state NMR experiments.

$$\zeta = u (f/2.828) (\gamma_{\text{H}}/\gamma_{\text{N}})^{3/2} (W_{\text{N}}/W_{\text{H}})^{1/2} (Q_{\text{H}}/Q_{\text{N}})^{1/2} (\eta_{\text{H}}/\eta_{\text{N}}) (SW_{\text{H}}/FW_{\text{H}})^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

ζ is the signal enhancement factor; f is the CP transfer efficiency; γ and W are the gyromagnetic ratios and the line widths in Hz, respectively, for the indicated nuclei (H and N); Q and η are the probe quality factor and the sample filling factor, respectively; SW_H is the 1H spectral width and FW_H is the filter bandwidth. The factor u accounts for ^{15}N -detected PISEMO starting with full ^{15}N magnetization and the 1H -detected version starting with no 1H magnetization. This factor has the effect of reducing the signal enhancement by 0.5. The factors $(\gamma_H/\gamma_N)^{3/2}$, $(W_X/W_H)^{1/2}$ and f are 31.6, 1, and 1 respectively since the linewidths in the 1H - ^{15}N dipole-dipole coupling frequency dimension are about 150 Hz compared to the 3 ppm linewidths observed in the ^{15}N chemical shift frequency dimension; cross-polarization transfer is part of the detection procedure and does not affect the relative sensitivity.

The factor $(SW_H/FW_H)^{1/2}$ is determined, in practice, by the recovery time of the probe following a pulse, and how many data points can be sampled during the duration of the window. The bandwidth of the filter can be adjusted experimentally for a particular probe and window length, or during post processing with data sampled as fast as possible inside the available window after discarding distorted data points at the start and end of the period. The experimental spectra in Figures 1 and 2 resulted from sampling for 2.8 μs out of the total cycle time of 34.8 μs , and the factor $(SW_H/FW_H)^{1/2}$ is calculated as $(2.8 / 34.8)^{1/2} = 0.28$.

The factors containing Q and η reflect the performance of the two channels of a triple-resonance probe, and can be evaluated using the principle of reciprocity. The 90° pulse length is a direct measure of the signal-to-noise ratio obtainable from a single coil that is used for both transmission and receiving. The magnitude of the B1 field is described by equation (2) (27):

$$B1 \text{ (in Hz)} \sim \nu * H1 \text{ (in gauss)} \sim (PQ\nu/V)^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

The power required to achieve the Hartmann-Hahn match condition can be readily measured. In our homebuilt probe, which utilizes a single solenoid coil triple-tuned for 1H at 500 MHz, and ^{13}C and ^{15}N at their corresponding resonance frequencies; 17 Watts in the 1H channel and 511 Watts in the ^{15}N channel provides B1 fields of 55.6 kHz. The calculated probe performance difference is $(Q_H V_N / Q_N V_H)^{1/2} = (P_N \nu_N / P_H \nu_H)^{1/2} = 1.8$. This is essentially the same as that based on the Q_H of 220 and Q_N of 70 measured with a network analyzer. For other types of probes, such as those utilizing cross-coils, measuring the Q alone will not be sufficient to determine the probe-related factors affecting sensitivity (28). In our experience, measuring the B1 field is a simple and reliable approach to characterizing the probe.

Combining all the factors that affect the signal-to-noise ratio, we predict an enhancement factor $\zeta = 2.8 = 0.5 * 0.35 * 31.6 * 1.0 * 1.8 * 0.28$, which is in remarkably good agreement with the experimentally observed enhancement factors for the four individual resonances between 2.2 and 2.7.

The 1H -detected PISEMO spectrum of a single crystal in Figure 1E provides a significant improvement in sensitivity compared to the equivalent ^{15}N -detected experiment. The signal to noise ratio can be further improved through the use of a preamplifier and radiofrequency switching system that is optimized for observing the 1H frequencies; additional improvements may come from an increase of the number of data points acquired in the windows used for stroboscopic detection and optimization of both the analog and digital filters in the spectrometer. Further improvements in the sensitivity of solid-state NMR

experiments performed on samples of proteins and other biopolymers in lossy aqueous solutions can result from the increased efficiency and homogeneity of the ^1H channel and reduced sample heating that result from the use of “low-E” coils (29–32). Because these probes often sacrifice efficiency on the low frequency channels, their optimal implementation may be for ^1H -detected experiments at high fields.

Not only does ^1H -detected PISEMO yield SLF spectra with improved sensitivity compared to the equivalent ^{15}N -detected experiment, but also it provides a building block for more sophisticated pulse sequences (33) because of its favorable bandwidth for heteronuclear spin-exchange and the added flexibility that results from the ability to detect free induction decays that reflect the evolution of dipole-dipole coupling rather than chemical shift frequencies.

Acknowledgments

We thank A.A. Nevzorov and C.V. Grant for helpful discussions, and N. Sinha for providing the sample. This research was supported by grants RO1EB001966 and RO1GM075877 from the National Institute of Health, and utilized the Resource of NMR Molecular Imaging of Proteins, which is supported by grant P41EB002031.

References

1. Hartman SR, Hahn EL. Nuclear Double Resonance in the Rotating Frame. *Phys Rev.* 1962; 128:2042–2053.
2. Pines A, Gibby MG, Waugh JS. Proton-enhanced nuclear induction spectroscopy. A method for high resolution NMR of dilute spins in solids. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1972; 56:1776–1777.
3. Waugh JS, Huber LM, Haeberlen U. Approach to High-Resolution NMR in Solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1968; 20:180–182.
4. Ishii Y, Tycko R. Sensitivity Enhancement in Solid State ^{15}N NMR by Indirect Detection with High-Speed Magic Angle Spinning. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2000; 142:199–204. [PubMed: 10617453]
5. Reif B, Griffin RG. ^1H detected ^1H , ^{15}N correlation spectroscopy in rotating solids. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2003; 160:78–83. [PubMed: 12565053]
6. Morcombe CR, Paulson EK, Gaponenko V, Byrd RA, Zilm KW. ^1H - ^{15}N correlation spectroscopy of nanocrystalline proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR.* 2005; 31:217–230. [PubMed: 15803395]
7. Chevelkov V, Rehbein K, Diehl A, Reif B. Ultrahigh resolution in proton solid-state NMR spectroscopy at high levels of deuteration. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:3878–3881.
8. Zhou DH, Graesser DT, Franks WT, Rienstra CM. Sensitivity and resolution in proton solid-state NMR at intermediate deuteration levels: Quantitative linewidth characterization and applications to correlation spectroscopy. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2006; 178:297–307. [PubMed: 16289756]
9. Zhou DH, Shah G, Cormos M, Mullen C, Sandoz D, Rienstra CM. Proton-detected solid-state NMR spectroscopy of fully protonated proteins at 40 kHz magic-angle spinning. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:11791–11801. [PubMed: 17725352]
10. Hong M, Yamaguchi S. Sensitivity-Enhanced Static ^{15}N NMR of Solids by ^1H Indirect Detection. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2001; 150:43–48. [PubMed: 11330982]
11. Waugh JS. Uncoupling of local field spectra in nuclear magnetic resonance: Determination of atomic positions in solids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1976; 73:1394–1397. [PubMed: 1064013]
12. Wu CH, Ramamoorthy A, Opella SJ. High-resolution heteronuclear dipolar solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *J. Magn. Reson.* 1994; A109:270–272.
13. Hester RK, Ackerman JL, Neff BL, Waugh JS. Separated local field spectra in NMR: Determination of structure of solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1976; 36:1081–1083.
14. Opella SJ, Waugh JS. Two-dimensional ^{13}C NMR of highly oriented polyethylene. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1977; 66:4919–4924.
15. Goldburg WI, Lee M. Nuclear magnetic resonance line narrowing by a rotating rf field. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1963; 11:255–258.

16. Nevzorov AA, Opella SJ. A “Magic Sandwich” pulse sequence with reduced offset dependence for high-resolution separated local field spectroscopy. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2003; 164:182–186. [PubMed: 12932472]
17. Nevzorov AA, Opella SJ. Selective averaging for high-resolution solid-state NMR spectroscopy of aligned samples. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2007; 185:59–70. [PubMed: 17074522]
18. Rhim WK, Pines A, Waugh JS. Time-reversal experiments in dipolar-coupled spin systems. *Phys. Rev.* 1971; B3:684–696.
19. Takegoshi K, McDowell CA. A “Magic Echo” pulse sequence for the high-resolution NMR spectra of abundant spins in solids. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1985; 116:100–104.
20. Schmidt-Rohr K, Nanz D, Emsley L, Pines A. NMR measurement of resolved heteronuclear dipole couplings in liquid crystals and lipids. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1994; 98:6668–6670.
21. Dvinskikh SV, Zimmermann H, Maliniak A, Sandstrom D. Separated local field spectroscopy of columnar and nematic liquid crystals. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2003; 163:46–55. [PubMed: 12852906]
22. Dvinskikh SV, Yamamoto K, Ramamoorthy A. Heteronuclear isotropic mixing separated local field NMR spectroscopy. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2006; 125:034507.
23. Ramamoorthy A, Wei Y, Lee DK. PISEMA solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Ann Reports on NMR Spectroscopy.* 2004; 52:1–52.
24. Bielecki A, Kolbert AC, DeGroot HJM, Griffin RG, Levitt MH. Frequency-switched Lee-Goldburg sequences in solids. *Adv. Magn. Reson.* 1990; 14:111–124.
25. Haeberlen U. High Resolution NMR in Solids: Selective Averaging. *Adv. Magn. Reson.* 1976:114.
26. Burum DP, Linder M, Ernst RR. Low-power multipulse line narrowing in solid-state NMR. *J. Magn. Reson.* 1981; 44:173–188.
27. Fukushima E, Roeder SBW. Experimental Pulse NMR. A Nuts and Bolts Approach. 1981:378.
28. Hoult DI, Richards RE. The Signal-to-Noise Ratio of the Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Experiment. *J. Magn. Reson.* 1976; 24:71–85.
29. Stringer JA, Bronniman CE, Mullena CG, Zhou DH, Stellfox SA, Lib Y, Williams EH, Rienstra CM. Reduction of RF-induced sample heating with a scroll coil resonator structure for solid-state NMR probes. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2005; 173:40–48. [PubMed: 15705511]
30. Doty FD, Kulkarni J, Turner C, Entzminger G, Bielecki A. Using a cross-coil to reduce RF heating by an order of magnitude in triple-resonance multinuclear MAS at high fields. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2006; 182:239–253. [PubMed: 16860580]
31. Gor'kov P, Chekmenev EY, Li C, Cotten M, Buffy JJ, Traaseth NJ, Veglia G, Brey WW. Using low-E resonators to reduce RF heating in biological samples for static solid-state NMR up to 900 MHz. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2007; 185:77–93. [PubMed: 17174130]
32. Grant CV, Sit SL, DeAngelis AA, Khuong KS, Wu CH, Plesniak LA, Opella SJ. An efficient $^1\text{H}/^31\text{P}$ double-resonance solid-state NMR probe that utilizes a scroll coil. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2007 in press.
33. Wu CH, Opella SJ. Shiftless NMR spectroscopy. 2007 submitted for publication (undergoing minor revisions).
34. Fung BM, Khitrin AK, Ermolaev K. An improved broadband decoupling sequence for liquid crystals and solids. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2000; 142:97–101. [PubMed: 10617439]
35. Sinha N, Grant CV, Wu CH, DeAngelis AA, Howell SC, Opella SJ. SPINAL modulated decoupling in high field double- and triple-resonance solid-state NMR experiments on stationary samples. *J. Magn. Reson.* 2005; 177:197–202. [PubMed: 16137902]

Figure 1.

Timing diagrams for pulse sequences (left column) and the corresponding two-dimensional SLF spectra of a single-crystal of a model peptide (right column). A. and B. ^{15}N -detected PISEMA. C. and D. ^{15}N -detected PISEMO. E. and F. ^1H -detected PISEMO. CW refers to continuous wave irradiation. SPINAL-16 refers to modulated irradiation (34, 35). The sample is a single crystal of ^{13}Ca , ^{15}N labeled N-acetyl-leucine at an arbitrary orientation. The probe is a triple-tuned ^1H , ^{13}C , ^{15}N homebuilt probe with a single 5mm ID solenoid coil. The Bruker Avance II console was interfaced with a Chemagnetics 500 MHz power amplifier and a homebuilt transmit/receive switch built with crossed diodes and a $\lambda/4$ coaxial cable for ^1H detection. For ^{15}N detection, we use the preamplifier module supplied by Bruker. In all experiments, the measured B1 was 55.6 kHz for all three channels (^1H , ^{13}C , and ^{15}N). This corresponds to a 90° pulse of 4.5 μs and 5.8 μs for 116° pulse. For the data shown in Figure 1B, the cycle time is 29.2 μs and the jump frequency is ± 39544.5 Hz. For the data shown in Figure 1D and E, the cycle time is 34.8 μs , which is 6

times the duration of the 116° pulse. The scaling factor for PISEMA is 0.82. The corresponding scaling factor for PISEMO was determined to be 0.67. For ^1H -detected PISEMO, 16 scans, 256 t1 are acquired with dwell time of $40\ \mu\text{s}$ and $34.8\ \mu\text{s}$ in t1 and t2 dimension respectively. For ^{15}N -detected PISEMA and PISEMO experiments, 32 scans, 256 t1 are acquired. The dwell times for PISEMA are $29.2\ \mu\text{s}$ and $40\ \mu\text{s}$ in t1 and t2 dimension. For PISEMO experiment, the dwell times are $34.8\ \mu\text{s}$ and $40\ \mu\text{s}$ in t1 and t2 dimension. The number of scans in ^{15}N -detected PISEMO is twice that of ^1H -detected PISEMO to account for the fact that real and imaginary part of ^{15}N shift dimension in PISEMO experiment has to be acquired separately.

Figure 2.

One-dimensional spectral slices through the resonances in the two-dimensional spectra shown in Figure 1. A. and D. ^{15}N -detected PISEMA. B and E. ^{15}N -detected PISEMO. C. and F. ^1H detected PISEMO. To allow comparison of the signal-to-noise ratio, the standard deviation is calculated from a section of two-dimensional data that contained only noise. The data were scaled by the respective standard deviation of each data set.