Published in final edited form as:

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2012 September 28; 426(3): 363–368. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.08.092.

An Adaptive Src-PDGFRα-Raf Axis in Rhabdomyosarcoma

Jinu Abraham^{1,*}, Ying Xuan Chua², Jason M. Glover³, Jeffrey W. Tyner⁴, Marc M. Loriaux⁴, Aoife Kilcoyne^{2,5}, Francis J. Giles⁵, Laura D. Nelson², Jennifer S. Carew⁵, Yongjian Ouyang⁶, Joel E. Michalek⁶, Ranadip Pal⁷, Brian J. Druker⁴, Brian P. Rubin⁸, and Charles Keller^{1,*}

¹Pediatric Cancer Biology Program, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239 USA

²Greehey Children's Cancer Research Institute, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX 78229 USA

³Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239 USA

⁴Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239 USA

⁵Department of Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX 78229 USA

⁶Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX 78229 USA

⁷Departments of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX USA

⁸Department of Anatomic Pathology, Cleveland Clinic, Taussig Cancer Center and the Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland, OH 44195 USA

Abstract

Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma (aRMS) is a very aggressive sarcoma of children and young adults. Our previous studies have shown that small molecule inhibition of *Pdgfra* is initially very effective in an aRMS mouse model. However, slowly evolving, acquired resistance to a narrow-spectrum kinase inhibitor (imatinib) was common. We identified Src family kinases (SFKs) to be potentiators of *Pdgfra* in murine aRMS primary cell cultures from mouse tumors with evolved resistance *in vivo* in comparison to untreated cultures. Treating the resistant primary cell cultures with a combination of *Pdgfra* and Src inhibitors had a strong additive effect on cell viability. In *Pdgfra* knockout tumors, however, the Src inhibitor had no effect on tumor cell viability. Sorafenib, whose targets include not only PDGFRα but also the Src downstream target Raf, was effective at inhibiting mouse and human tumor cell growth and halted progression of mouse aRMS tumors *in vivo*. These results suggest that an adaptive Src-*Pdgfra*-Raf-Mapk axis is relevant to PDGFRα inhibition in rhabdomyosarcoma.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding authors: 3181 S.W. Sam Jackson Park Road, MC-L321, Portland, OR 97239, USA. Tel 503.494.1210, Fax 503.418.5044, keller@ohsu.edu and abraham@ohsu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Keywords

Imatinib; Sorafenib; receptor tyrosine kinase; *Pdgfra*

Introduction

Rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) is the most common pediatric soft tissue sarcoma in children[1]. This sarcoma of myogenic differentiation has two major subtypes, alveolar and embryonal. Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma (aRMS) is frequently metastatic and even with the advent of intensified therapy, no significant improvement in outcome has occurred in nearly four decades[2,3]. In the majority of aRMS the chromosomal translocation t(2;13)(q35;q14) results in *PAX3:FOXO1A* fusion gene[4]. This chimeric PAX3:FOXO1A transcription factor has been shown to cause inappropriate activation of target genes including *Pdgfra*[5]. For this reason, molecularly-targeted therapies targeting *Pdgfra* are of great interest[5]. The conditional mouse model of aRMS expressing Pax3:FoxO1A and having homozygous deleted *p53* is a useful tool our group has used for preclinical interrogation of PDGFRA as a molecular target[6].

Imatinib is a prototypic small molecule inhibitor of PDGFRA and PDGFRB[7]. Despite the tremendous success of this tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy for CML and GIST, subsets of patients become resistant to imatinib[8,9]. Our previous studies firmly established PDGFRA as a prevalent target in aRMS, and to be functionally important by RNA interference *in vitro* and PDGFRA-specific antibodies *in vivo*[5]. Furthermore, we showed that imatinib causes tumor regression or halts the progression of tumors in our mouse model of aRMS through the inhibition of *Pdgfra* activity; however, nearly one-third of the mice slowly evolved resistance to imatinib therapy[5]. In the current study, we have investigated adaptive signaling mechanisms associated with narrow spectrum PDGFRA inhibition in aRMS and explored the use of broader spectrum kinase inhibitors to overcome the molecular re-wiring and tumor progression encountered with imatinib in.

Materials and Methods

Western blotting

Immunoblotting was performed as described previously[10]. A summary and primary antibodies used is given in the supplementary materials section.

Primary tumor cell cultures

Murine primary cell cultures were generated from fresh tumors as described previously[10].

Cell viability assays

Cell viability assays were performed as described previously[10]. A summary of the reagents (drugs and siRNAs) used is given in the supplementary materials section.

Small Molecule Inhibitor Panel

Two naïve (untreated) and two imatinib-resistant murine aRMS primary cell cultures from mice treated with 50 mg/kg/day imatinib were plated in 96-well plates at a seeding density of 4000 cells/well over graded concentrations of 66 small-molecule kinase inhibitors. A detailed summary of the drug screen is given in the supplementary materials section.

***In vivo* studies**

The mouse model for aRMS has been previously described[5,6]. Tumor-bearing mice were treated with sorafenib at the dose of 30 mg/kg/day by intraperitoneal injection for 14 days. Tumor dimensions were measured with digital calipers and volume was calculated by the formula $\Pi/6 \times \text{length} \times \text{width} \times \text{height}$. All the experiments were conducted in accordance with the institution-approved IACUC protocols. Conditional *Pdgfra* knockout mice[11] were bred to the established genetically-engineered mouse model of aRMS[5,6] to generate mice whose tumors were genetically ablated for *Pdgfra*.

Results

Narrow-spectrum PDGFRA inhibitor resistance is cell intrinsic

To investigate whether resistance to narrow-spectrum PDGFRA inhibitors is cell-autonomous, we treated both naïve (untreated) and mouse aRMS primary cell cultures from tumors slowly evolving imatinib resistance with varying concentrations of imatinib. Results of a 72 hr cell viability assay showed the imatinib IC₅₀ to be higher for primary cultures established from imatinib-resistant tumors (19 μM) than for the naïve primary cultures established from tumor bearing mice that had received no treatment (10 μM). Representative cell cultures are shown in Fig. S1A. Although imatinib effectively abrogated activation of *Pdgfra* in naïve (untreated) cells, imatinib did not alter activation (phosphorylation) of *Pdgfra* in resistant aRMS cultures (Fig. 1A).

Src is highly activated in resistant tumors

To functionally identify pathways associated with narrow-spectrum PDGFRA resistance, we screened a panel of 66 small molecule kinase inhibitors with imatinib-naïve and imatinib-resistant primary cell cultures. We identified Src family kinase (SFK) inhibitors (Table 1) as having increased activity towards the imatinib-resistant primary cultures compared to the naïve primary cultures (Fig. 1B, C). Overexpression of activated (phosphorylated) Src was also observed in imatinib-resistant primary cell cultures at baseline or with treatment by up to 10 μM imatinib (Fig. 1A). On the other hand, untreated aRMS cell cultures show no activation of Src (phospho-Src) in the presence of imatinib (Fig. 1A). These results suggested that a Src-*Pdgfra* signaling axis is active in the imatinib-resistant aRMS tumors in mice and that this axis might contribute resistance to narrow-spectrum PDGFRA inhibitors.

PDGFRA and Src inhibitors have an additive effect in murine alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma cells

To investigate the functional significance of Src activation in imatinib-resistant aRMS tumors we performed a cell viability assay for imatinib with or without the Src inhibitor, PP2. Results showed that the combination of imatinib and PP2 had an additive effect on cell viability compared to treatment with imatinib or PP2 alone (Fig. 2A). These functional data further raised the possibility that resistance to narrow-spectrum PDGFRA inhibitors in aRMS may be mediated by Src or SFKs.

Treatment with PP2 inhibits the Raf-Mapk signaling pathway

Previous reports have shown that SFKs can phosphorylate PDGFRA[12] and, conversely, evidence suggests SFK substrates may be the targets of PDGF-induced activation[13]. To investigate whether a Src/*Pdgfra*/Raf/Mapk signaling axis exists in aRMS, we treated the imatinib-resistant primary cell cultures with PP2 at 5 μM or 10 μM for 30 minutes. Western blot analysis of the lysates showed that PP2 treatment caused a reduction in the levels of phospho-Pdgfr, phospho-BRaf as well as phospho-Mapk (Fig. 2B). These results inferred that SFKs can activate *Pdgfra* thereby activating the Raf-Mapk signaling axis in imatinib-

resistant aRMS cells. To investigate the functional significance of Raf activation, we treated the imatinib-resistant primary cells with the dual PDGFR-Raf inhibitor, sorafenib (Fig. 2C). After treating the cells with sorafenib for 72 hours, we performed a cell viability assay and found that sorafenib was more effective at inhibiting cell growth than imatinib (IC₅₀ 4 μ M vs. 19 μ M). However, as expected, the combination of sorafenib and PP2 had no additive effect on cell viability (Fig. 2C). These results are consistent with Raf being downstream of Src in aRMS.

Pdgfra is important in Src-Raf-Mapk mediated cell growth

To validate the importance of persistent Pdgfra expression and activation in imatinib-resistant tumors, we performed a cell viability assay of imatinib, sorafenib and/or PP2 with primary cell cultures from a mouse model with both copies of *Pdgfra* genetically ablated by Cre-LoxP recombination. Remarkably, PP2 showed no effect on cell viability over 72 hours, implicating Pdgfra as an essential factor in Src-Pdgfra-Raf-Mapk mediated cell viability or growth. Treatment with PP2 had no effect whatsoever on tumor cell growth, confirming the functional importance of Pdgfra to mediate the effects of Src (Fig. 2D). The combination of imatinib and PP2 had no additive effect on cell viability in the *Pdgfra* knockout cells (Fig. 2D), which was strikingly different from aRMS cells which had intact alleles of *Pdgfra* (Fig. 2A). Nevertheless, while *Pdgfra* null tumor cells lacked Pdgfra protein, these cells had persistent BRAf activation (Fig. 2E). Furthermore, neither PP2 nor PP2 combined with imatinib had any additive effects with sorafenib on cell viability (Fig. 2D). To interrogate signaling, *Pdgfra* knockout cells were treated with 0, 5 or 10 μ M PP2 for 30 minutes. Interestingly, a paradoxical increase in BRAf, CRAf and Mapk phosphorylation was observed (Fig. 2E) (see Supplemental Discussion). Notably, however, *Pdgfra* null cells were equally as sensitive to sorafenib as imatinib-resistant cells (IC₅₀ = 4–5 μ M for both), indicating that BRAf may be an important common downstream mediator of mitogenesis whether Pdgfra is present or not.

The dual PDGFRA-Raf inhibitor sorafenib is effective *in vitro* and *in vivo*

To investigate whether cross-resistance to sorafenib would occur in imatinib-resistant cell lines, we performed a cell viability assay using sorafenib in both naïve (untreated) and imatinib-resistant primary cultures. Results showed that sorafenib was equally effective on both naïve and the imatinib-resistant cells with no difference in the IC₅₀ values (Fig. 3A). In addition, sorafenib had comparable activity *in vitro* for mouse and human RMS (embryonal and alveolar) (Fig. S1B). Furthermore, we affirmed that sorafenib conclusively inhibits Pdgfra phosphorylation without altering the total protein levels in a mouse aRMS primary cell culture (Fig. S1C and S1D). We then tested the efficacy of sorafenib for naïve (non-pretreated) tumors in our genetically-engineered mouse model of aRMS. In untreated mice, tumors grew 2–8 fold larger than their original size; however, when treated with sorafenib tumor growth *in vivo* was halted or slowed in nearly all mice (Fig. S2A and S2B). Sorafenib treatment resulted in a trend towards increased event-free survival (EFS) in mice bearing very small tumors (p=0.08; Fig. 3B; some mice were sacrificed early secondary to overgrooming and bleeding of limb tumors) and a statistically significant increase in EFS was observed for mice bearing large tumors (p=0.032; Fig. 3C).

Discussion

Rhabdomyosarcoma is a clinically challenging musculoskeletal system cancer of children and young adults[14]. Despite significant improvements in multimodality treatment, the survival rate for children with metastatic aRMS has been less than 20% for more than three decades[2,3]. Our previous studies have shown that the narrow spectrum PDGFRA inhibitor, imatinib, can effectively inhibit tumor progression in a mouse model of aRMS[5].

However, one-third of the mice progressed on therapy. This phenomenon is not clinically uncommon as imatinib resistance has been observed in other types of cancer such as chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) and gastrointestinal stromal (GIST) tumors[9,15]. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms of resistance to imatinib, as a prototypic narrow-spectrum receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor, is critical for developing better therapies for aRMS and potentially other solid tumors.

In our present study investigating the mechanisms underlying narrow-spectrum PDGFRA inhibitor resistance in rhabdomyosarcoma, a chemical screen identified SFK inhibitors as having higher potency for inhibiting growth of imatinib-resistant cells compared to the naïve tumor cells. However, treating the imatinib-resistant cell cultures with the highly specific Src inhibitor PP2 caused a significant decrease in phospho-Raf and phospho-Mapk levels suggesting that Src phosphorylates Pdgfra, resulting in the activation of a Raf-Mapk signaling axis. Src inhibition had no effect on a *Pdgfra* knockout aRMS primary cell culture confirming that Pdgfra is upstream of the Raf-Mapk signaling axis and that the action of Src is through an interaction with Pdgfra. When tested *in vivo*, the dual PDGFRA-Raf inhibitor sorafenib was effective at slowing or stopping tumor progression and extending survival.

A remarkable result of these studies was that aRMS tumors would form in the genetically-engineered mouse model when *Pdgfra* was conditionally deleted. In our prior studies, Pdgfra was validated as a target not only by pharmacological approach but also using RNA interference *in vitro* and monospecific PDGFRA blocking antibody *in vivo* - affirming importance of PDGFRA as a target in aRMS[5]. However, Pdgfra knockout tumors often had an early onset and faster progression than aRMS tumors with intact PDGFRA (unpublished observation) suggesting that Pdgfra loss moves aRMS tumor cells to a “second state” which is more aggressive than the first. Nevertheless, nearly all human tumors express PDGFRA[5], and our results suggest that when PDGFRA can be expressed, tumors try to maintain its expression.

The occurrence of imatinib resistance is not uncommon to human cancers, including those driven by PDGFRA. Even for the treatment of patients with advanced GIST, imatinib has been found to be an effective therapy[16,17]. However, resistance to imatinib has been a significant clinical problem. The most common mechanism for imatinib resistance in GIST is the presence of secondary mutations in the kinase domains of KIT or PDGFRA[18,19,20]. We found no such *Pdgfra* mutations in our murine aRMS (data not shown). Similarly for CML, resistance to imatinib leading to disease progression is a major concern in a subset of patients. The BCR-ABL dependent mechanism of imatinib resistance is mediated either by *BCR-ABL* gene amplification or most frequently by mutations in the kinase domain[15]. Studies have shown that overexpression and/or activation of SFKs is one of the BCR-ABL independent mechanisms that contribute to imatinib resistance in CML[21,22,23]. A related mechanism appears to be at work in aRMS.

Is imatinib resistance in aRMS mediated by the same mechanism as in GIST or CML? A similar mechanism has recently been shown for GIST wherein *KRAS* or *BRAF* activating mutations accompany or substitute for activating mutations in *KIT* or *PDGFRA*[24]. Our results for aRMS show a unique specific mechanism involving a Src/Pdgfra interaction with downstream mitogenesis mediated by BRaf (and perhaps CRaf) under conditions where Pdgfra expression is maintained by necessity in certain rhabdomyosarcoma tumors. However, BRaf activation continues to be functionally important in a “second state” for other RMS tumors when Pdgfra expression is absent (Fig. 4). The use of a dual PDGFRA-Raf inhibitor to treat RMS appears to be a good approach by proof-of-principle, particularly because preclinical tests using our genetically-engineered mouse model of aRMS have shown sorafenib to be very effective at inhibiting progression. When tested by the NCI

Pediatric Preclinical Testing Program, sorafenib failed to achieve an objective response in multiple xenograft models of solid tumors except tumors with high expression of VEGFA for which greater growth inhibition was observed[25]. These results might have suggested that VEGFA (and PDGFRA) expression is required for sorafenib to be effective. A caveat, however, is that many human rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines lack PDGFRA expression despite strong PDGFRA expression being typical of the overwhelming majority of clinical RMS cases[5]. For this reason, our genetically-engineered mouse models may be more representative. Yet is sorafenib a drug to be taken to the clinic? Sorafenib was an effective tool compound for our preclinical studies, and the 10 μ M IC₅₀ for rhabdomyosarcoma mouse and human cell lines are within the clinically-achievable maximum serum concentration (10.9 μ M) reported in adult Phase I studies[26]. However, the RMS IC₅₀s here are well above the cell-free K_d values of sorafenib for BRAF (22 nM) or PDGFRA (62 nM). Because prior reports using RNA interference and blocking antibodies solidly implicate Pdgfra as a critical factor in tumor growth and progression[5], the high IC₅₀s for sorafenib may imply that intracellular accumulation is inhibited by drug efflux. Sorafenib is a substrate for both P-gp (*ABCB1*) and BCRP (*ABCG2*)[27], which are known to be overexpressed in RMS[28]. Future studies will explore whether co-administration of P-gp and BCRP inhibitors such as cyclosporine-A or mebendazole improve intracellular accumulation of sorafenib and its biological potency *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Novartis and ARIAD for compounds as well as David Rodriguez, Philippe Soriano and Hanna Abboud for reagents and support. Funding sources were NIH 1R01CA133229-04, -05 and -06, NSF CCF953336, the Scott Carter Foundation, the Joanna McAfee Childhood Cancer Foundation, an anonymous donor and the Hyundai Motor America Corporation Hope on Wheels Program.

References

1. Cen L, Arnoczky KJ, Hsieh FC, Lin HJ, Qualman SJ, Yu S, Xiang H, Lin J. Phosphorylation profiles of protein kinases in alveolar and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Mod Pathol*. 2007; 20:936–946. [PubMed: 17585318]
2. Breneman JC, Lyden E, Pappo AS, Link MP, Anderson JR, Parham DM, Qualman SJ, Wharam MD, Donaldson SS, Maurer HM, Meyer WH, Baker KS, Paidas CN, Crist WM. Prognostic factors and clinical outcomes in children and adolescents with metastatic rhabdomyosarcoma--a report from the Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study IV. *J Clin Oncol*. 2003; 21:78–84. [PubMed: 12506174]
3. Williams BA, Williams KM, Doyle J, Stephens D, Greenberg M, Malkin D, Pappo AS. Metastatic rhabdomyosarcoma: a retrospective review of patients treated at the hospital for sick children between 1989 and 1999. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2004; 26:243–247. [PubMed: 15087952]
4. Sorensen PH, Lynch JC, Qualman SJ, Tirabosco R, Lim JF, Maurer HM, Bridge JA, Crist WM, Triche TJ, Barr FG. PAX3-FKHR and PAX7-FKHR gene fusions are prognostic indicators in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma: a report from the children's oncology group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002; 20:2672–2679. [PubMed: 12039929]
5. Taniguchi E, Nishijo K, McCleish AT, Michalek JE, Grayson MH, Infante AJ, Abboud HE, Legallo RD, Qualman SJ, Rubin BP, Keller C. PDGFR-A is a therapeutic target in alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Oncogene*. 2008
6. Nishijo K, Chen QR, Zhang L, McCleish AT, Rodriguez A, Cho MJ, Prajapati SI, Gelfond JA, Chisholm GB, Michalek JE, Aronow BJ, Barr FG, Randall RL, Ladanyi M, Qualman SJ, Rubin BP, LeGallo RD, Wang C, Khan J, Keller C. Credentialing a preclinical mouse model of alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Res*. 2009; 69:2902–2911. [PubMed: 19339268]

7. Karaman MW, Herrgard S, Treiber DK, Gallant P, Atteridge CE, Campbell BT, Chan KW, Ciceri P, Davis MI, Edeen PT, Faraoni R, Floyd M, Hunt JP, Lockhart DJ, Milanov ZV, Morrison MJ, Pallares G, Patel HK, Pritchard S, Wodicka LM, Zarrinkar PP. A quantitative analysis of kinase inhibitor selectivity. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008; 26:127–132. [PubMed: 18183025]
8. Santos FP, Ravandi F. Advances in treatment of chronic myelogenous leukemia--new treatment options with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2009; 50(Suppl 2):16–26. [PubMed: 20017607]
9. Debiec-Rychter M, Cools J, Dumez H, Sciot R, Stul M, Mentens N, Vranckx H, Wasag B, Prenen H, Roesel J, Hagemeijer A, Van Oosterom A, Marynen P. Mechanisms of resistance to imatinib mesylate in gastrointestinal stromal tumors and activity of the PKC412 inhibitor against imatinib-resistant mutants. *Gastroenterology.* 2005; 128:270–279. [PubMed: 15685537]
10. Abraham J, Prajapati SI, Nishijo K, Schaffer BS, Taniguchi E, Kilcoyne A, McCleish AT, Nelon LD, Giles FG, Efstratiadis A, LeGallo RD, Nowak BM, Rubin BP, Malempati S, Keller C. Evasion mechanisms to Igf1r inhibition in rhabdomyosarcoma. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2011; 10:697–707. [PubMed: 21447712]
11. Tallquist MD, Soriano P. Cell autonomous requirement for PDGFRalpha in populations of cranial and cardiac neural crest cells. *Development.* 2003; 130:507–518. [PubMed: 12490557]
12. Lei H, Kazlauskas A. Growth factors outside of the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) family employ reactive oxygen species/Src family kinases to activate PDGF receptor alpha and thereby promote proliferation and survival of cells. *J Biol Chem.* 2009; 284:6329–6336. [PubMed: 19126548]
13. Amanchy R, Zhong J, Hong R, Kim JH, Gucek M, Cole RN, Molina H, Pandey A. Identification of c-Src tyrosine kinase substrates in platelet-derived growth factor receptor signaling. *Mol Oncol.* 2009; 3:439–450. [PubMed: 19632164]
14. Arndt CA, Crist WM. Common musculoskeletal tumors of childhood and adolescence. *N Engl J Med.* 1999; 341:342–352. [PubMed: 10423470]
15. Gorre ME, Mohammed M, Ellwood K, Hsu N, Paquette R, Rao PN, Sawyers CL. Clinical Resistance to STI-571 Cancer Therapy Caused by BCR-ABL Gene Mutation or Amplification. *Science.* 2001; 21:21.
16. Demetri GD, von Mehren M, Blanke CD, Van den Abbeele AD, Eisenberg B, Roberts PJ, Heinrich MC, Tuveson DA, Singer S, Janicek M, Fletcher JA, Silverman SG, Silberman SL, Capdeville R, Kiese B, Peng B, Dimitrijevic S, Druker BJ, Corless C, Fletcher CD, Joensuu H. Efficacy and safety of imatinib mesylate in advanced gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *N Engl J Med.* 2002; 347:472–480. [PubMed: 12181401]
17. van Oosterom AT, Judson I, Verweij J, Stroobants S, Donato di Paola E, Dimitrijevic S, Martens M, Webb A, Sciot R, Van Glabbeke M, Silberman S, Nielsen OS. Safety and efficacy of imatinib (STI571) in metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumours: a phase I study. *Lancet.* 2001; 358:1421–1423. [PubMed: 11705489]
18. Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Blanke CD, Demetri GD, Joensuu H, Roberts PJ, Eisenberg BL, von Mehren M, Fletcher CD, Sandau K, McDougall K, Ou WB, Chen CJ, Fletcher JA. Molecular correlates of imatinib resistance in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24:4764–4774. [PubMed: 16954519]
19. Liegl B, Kepten I, Le C, Zhu M, Demetri GD, Heinrich MC, Fletcher CD, Corless CL, Fletcher JA. Heterogeneity of kinase inhibitor resistance mechanisms in GIST. *J Pathol.* 2008; 216:64–74. [PubMed: 18623623]
20. Antonescu CR, Besmer P, Guo T, Arkun K, Hom G, Koryotowski B, Leversha MA, Jeffrey PD, Desantis D, Singer S, Brennan MF, Maki RG, DeMatteo RP. Acquired resistance to imatinib in gastrointestinal stromal tumor occurs through secondary gene mutation. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005; 11:4182–4190. [PubMed: 15930355]
21. Donato NJ, Wu JY, Stapley J, Gallick G, Lin H, Arlinghaus R, Talpaz M. BCR-ABL independence and LYN kinase overexpression in chronic myelogenous leukemia cells selected for resistance to STI571. *Blood.* 2003; 101:690–698. [PubMed: 12509383]
22. Donato NJ, Wu JY, Stapley J, Lin H, Arlinghaus R, Aggarwal BB, Shishodia S, Albitar M, Hayes K, Kantarjian H, Talpaz M. Imatinib mesylate resistance through BCR-ABL independence in chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 64:672–677. [PubMed: 14744784]

23. Wu J, Meng F, Kong LY, Peng Z, Ying Y, Bornmann WG, Darnay BG, Lamothe B, Sun H, Talpaz M, Donato NJ. Association between imatinib-resistant BCR-ABL mutation-negative leukemia and persistent activation of LYN kinase. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2008; 100:926–939. [PubMed: 18577747]
24. Miranda C, Nucifora M, Molinari F, Conca E, Anania MC, Bordoni A, Saletti P, Mazzucchelli L, Pilotti S, Pierotti MA, Tamborini E, Greco A, Frattini M. KRAS and BRAF mutations predict primary resistance to imatinib in gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012; 18:1769–1776. [PubMed: 22282465]
25. Keir ST, Maris JM, Lock R, Kolb EA, Gorlick R, Carol H, Morton CL, Reynolds CP, Kang MH, Watkins A, Houghton PJ, Smith MA. Initial testing (stage 1) of the multi-targeted kinase inhibitor sorafenib by the pediatric preclinical testing program. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2010; 55:1126–1133. [PubMed: 20672370]
26. Inaba H, Rubnitz JE, Coustan-Smith E, Li L, Furmanski BD, Mascara GP, Heym KM, Christensen R, Onciu M, Shurtleff SA, Pounds SB, Pui CH, Ribeiro RC, Campana D, Baker SD. Phase I pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study of the multikinase inhibitor sorafenib in combination with clofarabine and cytarabine in pediatric relapsed/refractory leukemia. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011; 29:3293–3300. [PubMed: 21768474]
27. Agarwal S, Sane R, Ohlfest JR, Elmquist WF. The role of the breast cancer resistance protein (ABCG2) in the distribution of sorafenib to the brain. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2011; 336:223–233. [PubMed: 20952483]
28. Oda Y, Kohashi K, Yamamoto H, Tamiya S, Kohno K, Kuwano M, Iwamoto Y, Tajiri T, Taguchi T, Tsuneyoshi M. Different expression profiles of Y-box-binding protein-1 and multidrug resistance-associated proteins between alveolar and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Sci.* 2008; 99:726–732. [PubMed: 18377424]
29. O'Hare T, Shakespeare WC, Zhu X, Eide CA, Rivera VM, Wang F, Adrian LT, Zhou T, Huang WS, Xu Q, Metcalf CA 3rd, Tyner JW, Loriaux MM, Corbin AS, Wardwell S, Ning Y, Keats JA, Wang Y, Sundaramoorthi R, Thomas M, Zhou D, Snodgrass J, Commodore L, Sawyer TK, Dalgarno DC, Deininger MW, Druker BJ, Clackson T. AP24534, a pan-BCR-ABL inhibitor for chronic myeloid leukemia, potently inhibits the T315I mutant and overcomes mutation-based resistance. *Cancer Cell.* 2009; 16:401–412. [PubMed: 19878872]
30. MacPartlin M, Smith AM, Druker BJ, Honigberg LA, Deininger MW. Bruton's tyrosine kinase is not essential for Bcr-Abl-mediated transformation of lymphoid or myeloid cells. *Leukemia.* 2008; 22:1354–1360. [PubMed: 18548107]
31. Tian G, Cory M, Smith AA, Knight WB. Structural determinants for potent, selective dual site inhibition of human pp60c-src by 4-anilinoquinazolines. *Biochemistry.* 2001; 40:7084–7091. [PubMed: 11401553]

Highlights

- A Src-Pdgfra-Raf-Mapk axis mediates TKI resistance in rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS)
- Combined inhibition of Pdgfra & Src had additive effect on resistant cell viability
- Sorafenib halted progression of mouse alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma tumors *in vivo*

Figure 1. Cell autonomous resistance to the prototypic PDGFRA inhibitor, imatinib
(A) Western blot analysis showing complete reduction in phospho-Src (p-Src) and phospho-Pdgfr (p-Pdgfr) levels upon imatinib treatment in imatinib-naïve primary cell cultures whereas phospho-Pdgfr and phospho-Src were still present at detectable levels upon imatinib treatment in imatinib-resistant primary cell cultures. (B) Src family kinase inhibitors that were found to be effective against imatinib-resistant primary cell cultures in a kinase inhibitor screen. (C) Venn diagram of specificities for drugs in (B) implicates Src Family Kinases (SFK)

Figure 2. B-Raf is downstream of Src and Pdgfra in Pdgfra expressing rhabdomyosarcoma
 (A) Cell viability assay for an imatinib-resistant mouse aRMS primary cell culture showing the combination of imatinib and PP2 to have an additive effect compared to imatinib or PP2 alone. (B) Western blot analysis of an imatinib-resistant mouse aRMS primary culture lysates showing a decrease in the levels of phospho-Mapk (p-Mapk) and phospho-BRaf (p-BRaf) upon treatment with increasing amounts of PP2. (C) Cell viability assay for an imatinib-resistant aRMS primary cell culture revealing that the combination of sorafenib and PP2 has no additive effect on inhibiting cell growth. (D) *In vitro* cell growth assay for a *Pdgfra* knock-out mouse rhabdomyosarcoma primary cell culture showing that PP2 had no effect on cell viability. (E) Western blot analysis of a *Pdgfra* knockout mouse aRMS primary cell culture showing absence of Pdgfra but ongoing activation of BRaf.

Figure 3. Sorafenib efficacy for aRMS

(A) Cell viability assay showing sorafenib being equally effective in inhibiting the growth of naïve (not pretreated) or imatinib-resistant mouse rhabdomyosarcoma primary cell cultures. Sorafenib sensitivity was also similar for embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma (eRMS) and aRMS across species (Fig. S1B). (B-C) Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing sorafenib treatment prolonging event-free survival (EFS) in mice with tumors which measured (B) less than 0.25 cc at diagnosis and (C) larger than 0.25 cc at diagnosis. For EFS, the events were defined as tumor size growing >0.25 cc and >0.75 cc respectively. Tumor growth curves for Fig. 3B and 3C are presented as supplementary figures S2A–B.

Figure 4. Model of the Pdgfra/Src/Raf/Mapk axis in rhabdomyosarcoma

A model depicting the Pdgfra/Src/Raf/MAPK signaling axis in aRMS cells which express Pdgfra and in cells which do not express Pdgfra. We speculate that an RTK other than PDGFRA may activate Src or BRAF under conditions of evolving PDGFRA resistance. In the absence of PDGFRA, Raf signaling remains vital to tumor cell survival.

Table 1

Inhibitory activity of select drugs from a screen on Src family kinases

	Src family kinases (IC ₅₀)				
	C-Src	Lyn	Lck	Fyn	Hck
AP24534	5.4 nM	0.24 nM	na	na	na
BTk31523	na	14 nM	97 nM	na	na
BTk33918	na	2.5 nM	20.5 nM	na	na
Dasatinib	0.21 nM	0.57 nM	0.2 nM	0.79 nM	0.35 nM
SRK-1	44 nM	na	88 nM	na	na
Sunitinib	2.1 μM	0.27 μM	0.23 μM	0.52 μM	0.88 μM

AP24534 [29]

BTk31523 and BTk33918 [30]

Dasatinib [7]

SRK-1 [31]

Sunitinib [29]

na, not available