Published in final edited form as:

Exp Clin Psychopharmacol. 2008 August ; 16(4): 293–300. doi:10.1037/a0012871.

Effects of Nicotine and Depressive Traits on Affective Priming of Lateralized Emotional Word Identification

David G. Gilbert, Joshua M. Carlson, Hege Riise, Norka E. Rabinovich, Chihiro Sugai, and Brett Froeliger

Southern Illinois University at Carbondale

Abstract

Based on evidence suggesting that depressive traits, emotional information processing, and the effects of nicotine may be mediated by lateralized brain mechanisms, analyses assessed the influence of depressive traits and nicotine patch on emotional priming of lateralized emotional word identification in 61 habitual smokers. Consistent with hypotheses, nicotine as compared to placebo patch enhanced right visual field (RVF) emotional word identification while decreasing performance of emotional word identification in the left visual field (LVF). Nicotine also enhanced positive affect and decreased negative affect. Consistent with the Heller model of depression, scoring high in depressive traits was associated with a general decrease in LVF emotional word identification. Additionally, this general LVF deficit was especially pronounced for positive word identification in individuals scoring high in trait depression. Positive primes facilitated positive target identification in the RVF and negative primes facilitated negative target identification in the LVF. Thus, nicotine promoted a LVF word-identification deficit similar to that observed in those with depressive traits. However, nicotine also enhanced RVF processing and reduced negative affect, while it enhanced positive affect.

Keywords

depression; nicotine; emotion; cerebral asymmetry; affect; priming

While a large empirical literature indicates that emotional information is differentially processed in the right relative to the left cerebral hemisphere (LH), the exact nature of this processing is still debated, and little is known about the effects of drugs and individual differences in such processing. The two primary models of asymmetrical affect-related processing are the right hemisphere (RH) model and the valence model. The RH model claims that the RH is specialized for the processing of emotional expression, perception, and experience independent of emotional valence (positive vs. negative character). Support for the RH model comes from human lesion (Adolphs, Damasio, Tranel, & Damasio, 1996), split-brain (Benowitz et al., 1983), divided visual (Atchley, Ilardi, & Enloe, 2003; Atchley, Stringer, Mathias, Ilardi, & Minatrea, 2007), and electrocortical (Kestenbaum & Nelson, 1992) studies. In contrast, the valence model contends that the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) is involved to a greater extent in the processing of positively valenced

Address correspondences to David G. Gilbert, Department of Psychology, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois, 62901-6502. dgilbert@siu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: The following manuscript is the final accepted manuscript. It has not been subjected to the final copyediting, fact-checking, and proofreading required for formal publication. It is not the definitive, publisher-authenticated version. The American Psychological Association and its Council of Editors disclaim any responsibility or liabilities for errors or omissions of this manuscript version, any version derived from this manuscript by NIH, or other third parties. The published version is available at <http://www.apa.org/journals/pha/>

emotions and approach-related behaviors whereas the right DLPFC is more associated with negatively valenced emotions and withdrawal-related behaviors. Support for the valence model is provided by frontal lesioned patients (Gainotti, 1972), electroencephalography (EEG) (Davidson, 1992; Schaffer, Davidson, & Saron, 1983), functional neuroimaging (Dolcos, LaBar, & Cabeza, 2004; Pizzagalli, Shackman, & Davidson, 2003), and behavioral studies (Natale, Gur, & Gur, 1983; Smith & Bulman-Fleming, 2005). As reviewed below, hemispheric asymmetries have also been observed in depression-prone individuals and following administration of nicotine and other psychoactive drugs.

Substantial evidence suggests that depressive and anxious traits are correlated with reduced left ($LH < RH$) frontal EEG activation (Coan & Allen, 2004; Thibodeau, Jorgensen, & Kim, 2006) and reduced right ($LH > RH$) posterior parietal activation (Heller & Nitschke, 1997) that are associated with impairments in LVF perceptual processing (Heller, Etienne, & Miller, 1995). These similarities in individuals with depressive traits with those with anxious traits might be expected given the high comorbidity of anxiety and depression and the psychometric association of anxious and depressive traits under the general higher-order factor of neuroticism or negative affectivity (Costa & McCrae, 1992; Eysenck, 1980). Reductions in left frontal activity have been observed in both sub-clinically and clinically depressed, relative to non-depressed, individuals (Schaffer et al., 1983). Right parietotemporal deficits in depression have been reported in behavioral (Heller et al., 1995; Jaeger, Borod, & Peselow, 1987) and neurophysiological (Davidson, Chapman, Chapman, & Henriques, 1990; Kayser, Bruder, Tenke, Stewart, & Quitkin, 2000; Rabe, Debener, Brocke, & Beauducel, 2005) studies of perception. Therefore, depression is associated with both frontal affect-related and posterior perception-related asymmetries. Findings of brain asymmetries associated with state and trait anger are associated with greater left than right frontal activation (Harmon-Jones & Sigelman, 2001).

In divided visual field studies (Atchley et al., 2003; 2007), relative to non-depressed individuals, current and previously depressed individuals have increased accuracy for RH negative (compared to positive) words and non-depressed individuals have increased accuracy for RH positive words (compared to negative words). However, it is unclear whether the effects of depressive traits on hemispherically biased emotional information are moderated by nicotine, a drug with putative antidepressant and negative affect-reducing effects (Kalman, Morrisette, & George, 2005; Lerman et al., 1998; McClernon, Hiott, Westman, Rose, & Levin, 2006; Salin-Pascual, Rosas, Jimenez-Genchi, Rivera-Meza, & Delgado-Parra, 1996). As noted below, limited evidence and theory suggest that nicotine may alter asymmetries in emotional information processing.

The lateralized neural network (LNN) hypothesis of the Situation $\times$ Trait Adaptive Response (STAR) model of nicotine's effects on emotional information processing (Gilbert & Welsch, 1989) is based on many of the conceptualizations, findings, and proposals indicated by Tucker and Williamson (1984). The LNN model proposes that nicotine enhances left frontal-dominant positive affect-related and verbal information processing and reduces right frontal-dominant negative affect-related information processing, especially in individuals prone to negative affect (Gilbert, 1995). While there are only a few explicit tests of the LNN, convergent support for this hypothesis comes from several sources (reviewed by Gilbert et al., 2005) including: 1) affect-related EEG asymmetries (reviewed above), 2) greater LH densities of cholinergic and dopaminergic receptors, that are directly modulated by nicotine (Glick, Ross, & Hough, 1982; Tucker & Williamson, 1984), 3) lateralized nicotinic and dopaminergic drug effects on response time and accuracy to stimuli presented to the LVF versus RVF (Gilbert et al., 2005; Hartley, Ireland, Arnold, & Spencer, 1991; McClernon, Gilbert, & Radtke, 2003), and 4) asymmetric emotion-related nicotinic neuromodulation (Gilbert, Robinson, Chamberlin, & Spielberger, 1989; Gilbert et al., 2004;

2007; Rose et al., 2003). Given that smokers nearly universally report that one of their primary motivations for tobacco smoking is to reduce negative affect (Gilbert, Sharpe, Ramanaiah, Detwiler, & Anderson, 2000; Spielberger, 1986) and the lack of understanding when and how nicotine modulates affect (Kalman, 2002), it is important to gain further understanding of the basic mechanisms by which nicotine and nicotine withdrawal modulate affective information processing.

The most general assumption of the present study was that prime and target valences differentially influence emotional word identification in the LVF and RVF. Based on the above-reviewed evidence, it was assumed that emotionally positive words would be better recognized in the RVF and emotionally negative words would be better recognized in the LVF, especially when primed with emotionally positive and negative words, respectively. Our primary hypotheses were that depressive traits and nicotine would moderate hemispheric asymmetries in the processing of emotional words. Based on the LNN model, it was hypothesized that nicotine would enhance LH-dominant positive affect-related information processing and thereby reciprocally inhibit RH-dominant negative affect-related information processing. Specifically, nicotine was expected to enhance the identification of words presented in the RVF, decrease the identification of words presented to the LVF, increase positive affect, and decrease negative affect. Additionally, depressive trait scores were expected to predict in a linear manner the effects of nicotine on LH enhancement of positive words and RH attenuation of negative words. More specifically, it was predicted that level of trait depression should be negatively correlated with LVF accuracy and positively correlated with RVF accuracy while on nicotine, but not placebo. Finally, nicotine was expected to enhance the effects of positive word primes and to decrease the effects of emotionally negative word primes. Gender was also included in analyses because some studies have found gender differences in response to nicotine (reviewed by Perkins, Donny, & Caggiula, 1999).

Methods

Participants

Participants included in this report were 28 female (13 on oral contraceptives) and 33 male smokers with a mean age of 25.8 years (8.6 SD) who smoked an average of 17.1 (5.9 SD) cigarettes per day. Five were African American, three multi-racial, and the remaining were Caucasian. Education level was as follows: a) 2 some high school, b) 13 high school, c) 33 some college, 8 2-year college degree, 1 4-year college degree, and 4 graduate degree completed. Slightly over half (33) were full-time students, three were part-time students, and 22 were not students. The average participants had a moderate score ($M = 4.05$, 2.0 SD) on the Fagerström Test of Nicotine Dependence (Heatherton, Kozlowski, Frecker, & Fagerström, 1991). Mean MMPI depression scale score was 18.76 (SD = 4.22) for men and 21.32 (SD = 6.10) for women, that correspond to T scores of 51 and 54, respectively using gender-based norms (Hathaway & McKinley, 1983). Participants earned monetary compensation for completion of the study.

Participants were recruited by ads throughout a Midwestern university community by local newspaper and university newspaper ads and by university and community wide postings. Exclusion criteria included smoking fewer than 10 cigarettes/day for the past year, smoking cigarettes with nicotine deliveries < 0.6 mg/cigarette, reported use of psychoactive drugs (illicit or legal) or medications other than caffeine, marijuana, and alcohol, excessive alcohol use (30+ drinks/day), marijuana use more often than twice per week, ages less than 18 or more than 50, non-English speaking, atypical sleep cycles, and serious medical, hearing, and visual problems. Participants were instructed not to smoke tobacco or drink alcohol for the 12 hours preceding each of the experimental sessions and not to smoke marijuana for at least

72 hours prior to the sessions. Only those who reported adhering to these requirements and had breath CO concentrations of less than 10 ppm were included in data analyses

Equipment and Materials

The experiment programmed in SuperLab™ 2.0 software (Cedrus®, San Pedro, CA) was presented via a Pentium III PC with an LCD monitor. A Cedrus® RB-530 response pad was used to record subject responses.

Questionnaires

Fagerström Test of Nicotine Dependence (Heatherton et al., 1991)—The FTND is designed to assess nicotine dependence and is moderately predictive of severity of withdrawal distress and relapse to smoking (Piasecki et al., 2000).

Minnesota Multiple Personality Inventory-2 (Hathaway & McKinley, 1983)—The MMPI-2 is an empirically derived set of questionnaires designed to differentiate clinical from non-clinical disorders. In the present case, the MMPI2 depression scale was used as a measure of trait disposition toward depression and depressive affect. The MMPI-2 depression scale has been found to differentiate individuals with major depressive disorder from controls with good sensitivity and specificity (Bence, Sabourin, Luty, & Thackrey, 2006; Wetzler; Kahn, Strauman, & Dubro, 1989) and to predict increases in depressive symptoms (Gilbert et al., 1998; Gilbert et al., 2002) and hemispheric EEG asymmetries in response to smoking abstinence (Gilbert et al. 2004).

Positive and Negative Affectivity Schedule (PANAS; Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988)—The PANAS is a well-validated and widely used measure that consists of two subscales of ten items each, one measuring positive and the other negative affect.

Procedure

Divided Visual Field Semantic Priming (DVFSP) Task—The DVFSP task was similar to that used by Atchley, Ilardi, and Enloe (2003) to characterize hemispheric processing advantages for positively and negatively valent words across visual field in depression. Each participant attended two experimental sessions (one nicotine and placebo), each with 2 experimental blocks of 144 prime-target trials, displayed on a computer screen at a distance of 150 cm (maintained by chinrest). All prime and target words were person-descriptive adjectives of positive valence (e.g., SMART, HAPPY, BRAVE) or negative valence (e.g., DIRTY, CRUEL, LAZY), adjectives and nouns were selected from sources of compiled valance norms from both clinical and nonclinical populations (Gotlib, McLachlan, & Katz, 1988; Siegle, 1995), and balanced for word length and production frequency (Kucera & Francis, 1967), as well as arousal intensity. Lateralized target words were offset by pseudo-words in the opposite visual field, which consisted of the same number of letters as the target words, but were not meaningful English words. Each trial consisted of a fixation cross, presented for 500 ms, followed by the centrally presented prime word displayed for 300 ms (followed by a 20 ms mask). A left/right lateralized target word (with a corresponding foveal eccentricity of 2.5 degrees from fixation to the inside letter of the word) and emotionally neutral pseudo-word (in the opposite visual field with the same degree of eccentricity) were presented for 185 ms, then masked for 20 ms. Participants were allotted a maximum of 2000 ms to indicate the target valence with a response pad (Fig. 1). At the end of each block participants completed the PANAS.

The DVFSP task examines the effects of lateralized affective processing as well as the effects of centrally presented affective stimuli priming stimuli on lateralized affective

processing. In contrast, dot probe tests (e.g., MacLeod & Mathews, 1988) do not always assess attentional bias as a function of visual field, though they could easily be used to assess the effects of nicotine and other drugs on attentional bias to one visual field (and presumably hemisphere) relative to the other. Dot probe tasks typically are used to assess the extent to which peripheral emotional stimuli automatically facilitate the processing of neutral targets at congruent relative to incongruent spatial locations.

Orientation and Experimental Sessions—During an orientation session participants provided breath samples to verify habitual smoking (mean carbon monoxide concentration = 21.26, $SD = 8.52$) and completed a battery of questionnaires including the MMPI depression scale the FTND, measures of smoking history, life stress, and personality that are being used across a series of studies designed to assess relationships between these measures. Participants were verified for abstinence at the start of each session prior to receiving nicotine patch on one day and placebo patch on the other. The experimental sessions were separated by a minimum of 48 hours and a maximum (with a few exceptions) by two weeks. Compliance with instructions for overnight smoking abstinence and smoking status were monitored using self-report and expired breath CO concentrations assessed with a MiniCO7 meter (Catalyst Research Corporation, Owings Mills, MD). CO concentration had to be less than 10 ppm at the time of patch placement and to be less than or equal to that when returning to the lab 4 hours later to begin the experimental session.

Patch Administration—Patch administration was double blind with placement on the upper arm of smokers about 4 hours prior to the beginning of the experimental sessions by an individual not involved in data collection. The nicotine patch was a 14 mg Nicoderm® transdermal patch; the placebo patch was identical in appearance. Immediately prior to patch each application and again approximately four hours later, just prior to the onset of the experimental tasks, symptoms of illness or nicotine overdose were assessed with 11-point scales to assess “nausea”, “sickness”, and “dizziness”. Six individuals were eliminated from study analysis because of nausea/illness scores in excess of “4”.

Analytic Procedures

A mixed effects regression analysis including within subjects factors Patch Type (nicotine vs. placebo), Prime Valence (positive vs. negative), Target Valence (positive vs. negative), Visual Field (left vs. right), Block (first vs. second) and the between subjects factor Gender (male vs. female) was run on the dependent measure of accuracy. MMPI trait depression was used in a separate mixed effects analysis to test the hypothesis that depressive traits moderate the effects of nicotine on emotional priming and lateralized target detection. Where appropriate, Pearson correlational analyses are used to better characterize interactions with trait depression. History of clinical depressive disorder was not assessed and selection was not based on a criterion or cutoff score because the goal was to assess the potential moderating effects of the continuum of depressive disposition in the normal population using the full range of scores as a predictor. A mixed effects regression analysis was used instead of an analysis of variance design because regression analysis is a more powerful and appropriate analysis. Specifically, mixed regression analysis allows the use of the full range depression scores and thereby eliminated the loss of power associated that would have occurred had depression scores been dichotomized or trichotomized, as would have been required by an ANOVA.

Results

Divided Visual Field Semantic Priming (DVFSP) Task Performance

There was a significant interaction between Patch Type and VF, $F(1, 1813) = 10.70, p = .001$. Follow-up post hoc analyses indicated that, relative to placebo, nicotine enhanced target accuracy in the RVF (nicotine $M = 71.27$, placebo $M = 68.58, p < .05$) and decreased accuracy in the LVF (nicotine $M = 55.24$, placebo $M = 57.29, p < .05$, Fig. 2). No other effects of Patch Type approached or reached significance. There were no significant interactions involving Gender with Patch Type.

There were several effects of VF and valence independent of Patch Type in the DVFSP. There was a main effect of VF, $F(1, 1813) = 356.07, p < .001$, where targets presented to the RVF ($M = 69.93\%$) had a higher percent correct than LVF targets ($M = 56.27\%$). There was an effect of Target Valence, $F(1, 1813) = 36.95, p < .001$, where positive targets ($M = 65.30\%$) were correctly identified more often than negative targets ($M = 60.89\%$). However, there was a Block by Target Valence interaction, $F(1, 1813) = 11.45, p < .001$, where positive targets (65.88%) were identified with greater accuracy than negative targets (59.03%) in block 1, but accuracies for negative targets significantly improved from block 1 to block 2 (62.76%) and did not significantly differ from positive targets (64.71%) in block 2. Prime Valence interacted with Target Valence ($F(1, 1813) = 29.37, p < .001$) and Target Valence interacted with VF, $F(1, 1813) = 120.61, p < .001$. Both of these interactions were subsumed and better explained by a three-way interaction including Prime Valence, Target Valence, and VF, $F(1, 57) = 6.44, p < .05$. The greatest accuracies were found for positive targets in the RVF preceded by positive primes ($M = 77.94\%$). On the other hand, in the LVF, negative primes followed by negative targets produced the highest percentage correct ($M = 60.86\%$, see Fig 3).

Depressive traits moderated several effects. Firstly, there was a Depression by VF interaction, $F(1, 1751) = 28.58, p < .001$, where accuracy for RVF targets was not influenced by Depression, but accuracy for LVF targets was negatively correlated with trait depression, $r = .28, p < .05$. Additionally, the predicted Patch Type by Depression by VF interaction approached significance, $F(1, 1751) = 3.40, p = .065$. Follow-up analyses of this interaction showed that nicotine, relative to placebo, decreased LVF accuracies progressively more as trait depression increased, $r = -.31, p < .05$. A Depression by Target Valence interaction approached significance, $F(1, 1751) = 3.31, p = .069$. Finally, a predicted Depression by Target Valence by VF interaction approached significance, $F(1, 1751) = 3.69, p = .055$, where accuracies for LVF positive targets decreased as trait depression increased, $r = .30, p < .05$. Depression did not influence performance in any other conditions.

Effects of Nicotine on Positive and Negative Affect

A Patch Type by Block repeated measures ANOVA on positive affect revealed a main effect of patch type, $F(1, 60) = 9.22, p = 0.004$, where nicotine ($M = 34.92$) enhanced positive affect relative to placebo ($M = 30.51$). There were no other main or interaction effects. A Patch Type by Block repeated measures ANOVA on negative affect revealed a trend, $F(1, 60) = 3.58, p = 0.06$, for nicotine to decrease negative ($M = 7.59$) affect relative to placebo ($M = 8.88$). There were no significant interactions involving Gender with Patch Type.

Discussion

The present findings extend support for the lateralized processing of emotionally positive versus negative information and the moderation of these effects by depressive traits and nicotine. Consistent with the LNN model of nicotine's effects on emotional information

processing (Gilbert & Welser, 1989), nicotine enhanced RVF and decreased LVF target accuracy, while increasing positive mood and decreasing negative mood. Thus, nicotine promoted a RH word-identification deficit similar to that observed in those with depressive traits. The discussion below first addresses the moderating effects of depressive traits, then the more general findings of lateralized target detection, and finally the effects of nicotine on lateralized target detection.

Effects of Depressive Traits

Consistent with a posterior RH deficit in depression (Heller & Nitschke, 1997) accuracy for LVF targets decreased as trait depression increased. Research suggests this posterior RH deficit in depression is associated with impairments in spatial processing (Rabe et al., 2005) mediated by posterior cortex. However, our results are consistent with other research suggesting a more general posterior deficit in those high in depression traits (Heller et al., 1995) that may co-occur with affect-related frontal asymmetries. That is, the general decrease in LVF performance in trait depression was coupled with a valence-specific deficit where individuals with high trait depression performed more poorly on positively valenced LVF stimuli than individuals low in trait depression. Therefore, instead of an increased sensitivity to negative stimuli there was a reduced sensitivity to positive stimuli in individuals with high levels of trait depression in the LVF/RH. Similarly, Atchley and colleagues (2007) found a LVF bias in never-depressed individuals for positive targets and a LVF bias in depressed individuals for negative targets. Thus, our finding replicate earlier findings (Atchley et al., 2003; Schaffer et al., 1983) that support the view that the RH is a substrate for depressive information processing and associated traits.

Asymmetrical Emotional Word Processing

Positive word primes facilitated RVF positive word identification, while negative word primes facilitated LVF negative word identification independent of level of trait depressive traits. This prime valence by target valence by VF interaction is consistent with other research (e.g., Natale et al., 1983) suggesting that emotional valence is differentially processed in the two hemispheres. Given that the majority of research in support of the RH model has used pictorial emotional stimuli (Adolphs et al., 1996; Benowitz et al., 1983) it may be that the RH model is appropriate for certain types of visual stimuli. However, in the case of abstract emotional words, it appears that the valence model more appropriately explains this portion of our data.

Nicotine Effects

Overall, our results support the view that, in nicotine-deprived habitual smokers, nicotine enhances RVF/LH and decreases LVF/RH emotional information processing (word identification). These findings are consistent with the LNN model (Gilbert & Welser, 1989) and the growing literature suggesting nicotine enhances RVF performance for abstract verbal and numeric visual stimuli (Gilbert et al., 2005; McClernon, et al., 2003) in nicotine-deprived smokers. Nicotine also enhanced positive affect and tended to decrease negative affect.

While it was expected that nicotine's lateralized effects would interact with prime and target valence, this was not found. The lack of valence effects could be due to the rapid display properties of the word stimuli. Previous research is consistent with the view that nicotine's effects on mood are most potent with temporally distal emotional events or stimuli (Gilbert et al., in press; and reviewed by Gilbert, 1995). While rapid emotional word processing was not modulated by nicotine, post-task mood ratings were influenced by nicotine, which may represent a delayed affective response associated with nicotine asymmetries. These observed

effects of nicotine on mood are consistent with nicotine's putative antidepressant effects (McClernon et al., 2006; Salin-Pascual et al., 1996).

The tendency of nicotine to produce a RH word-identification deficit similar to that observed in those with depressive traits is paradoxical because of nicotine's previously identified potential antidepressant effects and observed modulation of mood in the current study. However, given that 1) depression is associated with both a hyperactive right frontal and hypoactive right posterior cortex and that 2) nicotine appears to non-selectively decrease RH activity, a likely side effect of nicotine's potential antidepressant effects (which may be mediated by decreasing RH activity) would be additional decreased posterior RH processing and the associated perceptual deficits in highly depressed individuals[0]. Indeed, there was a negative correlation between trait depression and LVF accuracy while participants were on nicotine, but not placebo patch. Thus, the potential antidepressant effects of nicotine associated with enhanced positive and decreased negative mood appear to be coupled with a general RH perceptual deficit. In summary, the results provide further support for the notion that nicotine differentially affects hemispheric processing and associated behavior and affect. Specifically, nicotine appears to have an initial influence on perceptual encoding and a later influence on affective processing and mood (Gilbert, 1995).

Clinical and Theoretical Implications

There are a number of theoretical implications of the present findings that could eventually influence clinical interventions. First, the finding that nicotine enhanced processing of information presented to the LH while reducing that presented to the RH has implications for novel drug and behavioral treatments. Specifically, such treatments would be similar to nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) to the degree that they enhance LH information processing/activation and dampen relative RH information processing/activation. Evidence (reviewed by Gilbert, 1995; and by Gilbert et al., 2005) suggests that dopaminergic and cholinergic functioning may be relatively more left than right lateralized in the brain. Similarly, the tendency of nicotine in the present study to both increase LH function and to decrease RH functioning suggests that behavioral interventions should be targeted to both increase LH-dominant processing (approach behavior, positive affect, and long-term goal orientation) and to decrease RH-dominant functioning (avoidance behavior, negative affect, impulsivity, and discounting of long-term rewards).

Study Limitations and Future Directions

Limitations of the present investigation are important to consider. The sample size was relatively modest, was relatively young and was limited to tobacco smokers. It is not clear what the observed effects of NRT would be on younger smokers and in individuals who are not yet dependent. Similarly, it is not clear what the effects of NRT are in older smokers, who are an understudied population. Furthermore, while gender differences were not found for the effects of nicotine or other variables, our sample was of only modest size and was not adequate to characterize potential effects of menstrual cycle and oral contraceptive use. Additionally, while MMPI depression scores are elevated in those with major depressive disorder, formal psychiatric disorders were not assessed and, because of our limited sample size, the relationships of the dependent measures to anxiety or other forms of negative affect were not assessed. While it is possible to argue that the effect sizes of nicotine on mood and LH vs. RH word processing were only modest, these effects could have clinical importance, as suggested by the demonstrated efficacy of NRT in promoting smoking abstinence.

Importantly, it is not clear whether the effects of nicotine in the present study reflect absolute effects or only the alleviation of withdrawal effects in nicotine-deprived smokers. Future studies in this area would benefit from larger samples that would allow the

assessment of modulatory effects of additional individual difference variables. Finally, nicotine administration by patch has different pharmacokinetics than that of tobacco smoking. Thus, replications with acute smoking studies are needed.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (R01 DA014104 and R01 DA017837). Nicotine and placebo patches were provided by GlaxoSmithKline. The help of Jamie Huber in conducting this study is greatly appreciated.

References

- Adolphs R, Damasio H, Tranel D, Damasio AR. Cortical systems for the recognition of emotion in facial expressions. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1996; 16:7678–7687. [PubMed: 8922424]
- Atchley RA, Ilardi SS, Enloe A. Hemispheric asymmetry in the processing of emotional content in word meanings: the effect of current and past depression. *Brain & Language*. 2003; 84:105–119. [PubMed: 12537954]
- Atchley RA, Stringer R, Mathias E, Ilardi SS, Minatrea AD. The right hemisphere's contribution to emotional word processing in currently depressed, remitted depressed, and never-depressed individuals. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*. 2007; 20:145–160.
- Bence VM, Sabourin C, Luty DT, Thackrey M. Differential sensitivity of the MMPI-2 depression scales and subscales. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2006; 51:375–377. [PubMed: 7560137]
- Benowitz LI, Bear DM, Rosenthal R, Mesulam MM, Zaidel E, Sperry RW. Hemispheric specialization in nonverbal communication. *Cortex*. 1983; 19:5–11. [PubMed: 6851591]
- Coan JA, Allen JJ. Frontal EEG asymmetry as a moderator and mediator of emotion. *Biological Psychology*. 2004; 67:7–49. [PubMed: 15130524]
- Costa, PT., Jr; McCrae, RR. Revised NEO Personality Inventory and Five-Factor Inventory Professional Manual. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 1992.
- Davidson RJ. Anterior cerebral asymmetry and the nature of emotion. *Brain & Cognition*. 1992; 20:125–151. [PubMed: 1389117]
- Davidson RJ, Chapman JP, Chapman LJ, Henriques JB. Asymmetrical brain electrical activity discriminates between psychometrically-matched verbal and spatial cognitive tasks. *Psychophysiology*. 1990; 27:528–543. [PubMed: 2274616]
- Dolcos F, LaBar KS, Cabeza R. Dissociable effects of arousal and valence on prefrontal activity indexing emotional evaluation and subsequent memory: an event-related fMRI study. *Neuroimage*. 2004; 23:64–74. [PubMed: 15325353]
- Eysenck, HJ. The causes and effects of smoking. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications; 1980.
- Gainotti G. Emotional behavior and hemispheric side of the lesion. *Cortex*. 1972; 8:41–55. [PubMed: 5031258]
- Gilbert, DG. Smoking: Individual differences, psychopathology, and emotion. Washington, D.C.: Taylor & Francis; 1995.
- Gilbert DG, Izetelny A, Radtke R, Hammersley J, Rabinovich NE, Jameson TR, Huggenvik JI. Dopamine receptor (DRD2) genotype-dependent effects of nicotine on attention and distraction during rapid visual information processing. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2005; 7:361–379. [PubMed: 16085504]
- Gilbert DG, McClernon FJ, Rabinovich N, Plath LC, Jensen RA, Meliska CJ. Effects of smoking abstinence on mood and craving in men: Influences of negative-affect-related personality traits, habitual nicotine intake, and repeated measurements. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 1998; 25:399–423.
- Gilbert DG, McClernon FJ, Rabinovich NE, Plath LC, Masson CL, Anderson AE, Sly KF. Mood disturbance fails to resolve across 31 days of cigarette abstinence in women. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2002; 70:142–152. [PubMed: 11860040]
- Gilbert DG, McClernon FJ, Rabinovich NE, Sugai C, Plath LC, Asgaard G, Zuo Y, Huggenvik JI, Botros N. Effects of quitting smoking on EEG activation and attention last for more than 31 days

- and are more severe with stress, dependence, DRD2 A1 allele, and depressive traits. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 2004; 6:249–267. [PubMed: 15203798]
- Gilbert DG, Rabinovich NE, Malpass D, Mrnak J, Riise H, Adams L, Sugai C, DevlescHoward M. Effects of nicotine on affect are moderated by stressor proximity and frequency, positive alternatives, and smoker status. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. (in press).
- Gilbert DG, Robinson JH, Chamberlin CL, Spielberger CD. Effects of smoking/nicotine on anxiety, heart rate, and lateralization of EEG during a stressful movie. *Psychophysiology*. 1989; 26:311–320. [PubMed: 2756080]
- Gilbert DG, Sharpe JP, Ramanaiah NV, Detwiler FRJ, Anderson AE. Development of a Situation $\times$ Trait Adaptive Response (STAR) model-based smoking motivation questionnaire. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2000; 29:65–84.
- Gilbert DG, Sugai C, Zuo Y, Eau Claire N, McClernon FJ, Rabinovich NE, et al. Effects of nicotine on brain responses to emotional pictures. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2004; 6:985–996. [PubMed: 15801571]
- Gilbert DG, Sugai C, Zuo Y, Rabinovich NE, McClernon FJ, Froeliger B. Brain indices of nicotine's effects on attentional bias to smoking and emotional pictures and to task-relevant targets. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2007; 9:351–363. [PubMed: 17365767]
- Gilbert, DG.; Welser, RE. Anxiety and smoking. In: Ney, T.; Gale, A., editors. *Smoking and human behavior*. Chichester: Wiley; 1989. p. 171-196.
- Glick SD, Ross DA, Hough LB. Lateral asymmetry of neurotransmitters in human brain. *Brain Research*. 1982; 234:53–63. [PubMed: 6120746]
- Gotlib IH, McLachlan AL, Katz AN. Biases in visual attention in depressed and nondepressed individuals. *Cognition and Emotion*. 1988; 2:185–200.
- Harmon-Jones E, Sigelman J. State anger and prefrontal brain activity: Evidence that insult-related relative left-prefrontal activation is associated with experienced anger and aggression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2001; 80:797–803. [PubMed: 11374750]
- Hathaway, SR.; McKinley, JC. *Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory: Manual for administration and scoring*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press; 1983.
- Hartley LR, Ireland LK, Arnold PK, Spencer J. Chlorpromazine and the lateralisation of the perception of emotion. *Physiology and Behavior*. 1991; 50:881–885. [PubMed: 1805277]
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerström KO. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: A revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal of Addiction*. 1991; 86:1119–1127. [PubMed: 1932883]
- Heller W, Etienne MA, Miller GA. Patterns of perceptual asymmetry in depression and anxiety: implications for neuropsychological models of emotion and psychopathology. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1995; 104:327–333. [PubMed: 7790634]
- Heller, W.; Koven, NS.; Miller, GA. Regional brain activity in anxiety and depression, cognition/emotion interaction, and emotion regulation. In: Hugdahl, K.; Davidson, J., editors. *The asymmetrical brain*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2003. p. 533-564.
- Heller W, Nitschke JB. Regional brain activity in emotion: A framework for understanding cognition in depression. *Cognition and Emotion*. 1997; 11:637–661.
- Jaeger J, Borod JC, Peselow E. Depressed patients have atypical hemispace biases in the perception of emotional chimeric faces. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1987; 96:321–324. [PubMed: 3693681]
- Kalman D. The subjective effects of nicotine: methodological issues, a review of experimental studies, and recommendations for future research. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2002; 4:25–70. [PubMed: 11906682]
- Kalman D, Morrisette SB, George TP. Co-morbidity of smoking with psychiatric and substance use disorders. *American Journal of Addictions*. 2005; 14:106–123.
- Kayser J, Bruder GE, Tenke CE, Stewart JE, Quitkin FM. Event-related potentials (ERPs) to hemifield presentations of emotional stimuli: differences between depressed patients and healthy adults in P3 amplitude and asymmetry. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 2000; 36:211–236. [PubMed: 10754195]

- Kestenbaum R, Nelson CA. Neural and behavioral correlates of emotion recognition in children and adults. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*. 1992; 54:1–18. [PubMed: 1506820]
- Kucera, H.; Francis, W. Computational analysis of present-day American English. Providence, RI: Brown University Press; 1967.
- Lawrence NS, Ross TJ, Stein EA. Cognitive mechanisms of nicotine on visual attention. *Neuron*. 2002; 36:539–548. [PubMed: 12408855]
- Lerman C, Caporaso N, Main D, Audrain J, Boyd NR, Bowman ED, Shields PG. Depression and self-medication with nicotine: the modifying influence of the dopamine D4 receptor gene. *Health Psychology*. 1998; 17:56–62. [PubMed: 9459071]
- MacLeod C, Mathews A. Anxiety and the allocation of attention to threat. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*. 1988; 40(4):653–670. [PubMed: 3212208]
- McClermon FJ, Gilbert DG, Radtke R. Effects of transdermal nicotine on lateralized identification and memory interference. *Human Psychopharmacology*. 2003; 18:339–343. [PubMed: 12858319]
- McClermon FJ, Hiott FB, Westman EC, Rose JE, Levin ED. Transdermal nicotine attenuates depression symptoms in nonsmokers: a double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2006; 189:125–133. [PubMed: 16977477]
- Mogg K, Bradley BP. Orienting of attention to threatening facial expressions presented under conditions of restricted awareness. *Cognition and Emotion*. 1999; 13(6):713–740.
- Mogg K, Bradley BP. Selective orienting of attention to masked threat faces in social anxiety. *Behav Res Ther*. 2002; 40(12):1403–1414. [PubMed: 12457635]
- Natale M, Gur RE, Gur RC. Hemispheric asymmetries in processing emotional expressions. *Neuropsychologia*. 1983; 21:555–565. [PubMed: 6646407]
- Perkins KA, Donny E, Caggiula AR. Sex differences in nicotine effects and selfadministration: review of human and animal evidence. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*. 1999; 1:301–315. [PubMed: 11072427]
- Piasecki TM, Niaura R, Shadel WG, Abrams D, Goldstein M, Fiore MC, Baker TB. Smoking withdrawal dynamics in unaided quitters. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2000; 109:74–86. [PubMed: 10740938]
- Pizzagalli, D.; Shackman, AJ.; Davidson, RJ. The functional neuroimaging of human emotion: Asymmetric contributions of cortical and subcortical circuitry. In: Hugdahl, K.; Davidson, RJ., editors. *The Asymmetrical Brain*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press; 2003. p. 511–532.
- Rabe S, Debener S, Brocke B, Beauducel A. Depression and its relation to posterior cortical activity during performance of neuropsychological verbal and spatial tasks. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2005; 39:601–611.
- Rose JE, Behm FM, Westman EC, Mathew RJ, London ED, Hawk TC, et al. PET studies of the influences of nicotine on neural systems in cigarette smokers. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2003; 160:323–333. [PubMed: 12562580]
- Salin-Pascual RJ, Rosas M, Jimenez-Genchi A, Rivera-Meza BL, Delgado-Parra V. Antidepressant effect of transdermal nicotine patches in non-smoking patients with major depression. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 1996; 57:387–389. [PubMed: 9746444]
- Schaffer CE, Davidson RJ, Saron C. Frontal and parietal electroencephalogram asymmetry in depressed and nondepressed subjects. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1983; 18:753–762. [PubMed: 6615936]
- Siegle, G. Balanced Affective Word List Project [on-line]. 1995. <http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/CAL/wordlist>
- Smith SD, Bulman-Fleming MB. An examination of the right-hemisphere hypothesis of the lateralization of emotion. *Brain & Cognition*. 2005; 57:210–213. [PubMed: 15708218]
- Spielberger, CD. Psychological determinants of smoking behavior. In: Tollison, RD., editor. *Smoking and society: Toward a more balanced assessment*. Lexington, MA: Heath; 1986.
- Thibodeau R, Jorgensen RS, Kim S. Depression, anxiety, and resting frontal EEG asymmetry: a meta-analytic review. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2006; 115:715–729.
- Thiel CM, Zilles K, Fink GR. Nicotine modulates reorienting of visuospatial attention and neural activity in human parietal cortex. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2005; 30:810–820. [PubMed: 15668726]

- Tucker DM, Williamson PA. Asymmetric neural control systems in human selfregulation. *Psychological Review*. 1984; 91:185–215. [PubMed: 6152836]
- Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS Scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1988; 54:1063–1070. [PubMed: 3397865]
- Wetzler S, Kahn R, Strauman TJ, Dubro A. Diagnosis of major depression by self-report. *Journal of Personality Assessment*. 1989; 53:22–30. [PubMed: 2918454]

Figure 1.

Each trial began with a central fixation cue followed by a positively or negatively valenced word prime (immediately masked). Positive and negative target words were presented to either the left or right side of the computer screen and paired with pseudo-words on the opposite side of the display (immediately masked). Subjects responded to the valence of the target word.

Figure 2. Nicotine, relative to placebo, decreased accuracy in the left visual field, but increased accuracy in the right visual field.

Figure 3.

Positive primes followed by positive targets (PosPos) produced higher accuracies in the right visual field than all other prime and target combinations whereas negative primes followed by negative targets (NegNeg) produced higher accuracies in the left visual field than all other prime and target combinations.