Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2012 August 17; 14(16): 4094–4097. doi:10.1021/ol301739f.

Pd-Catalyzed C-H Fluorination with Nucleophilic Fluoride

Kate B. McMurtrey, Joy M. Racowski, and Melanie S. Sanford*

University of Michigan, Department of Chemistry, 930 North University Avenue, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1055 (USA)

Abstract

The palladium-catalyzed C–H fluorination of 8-methylquinoline derivatives with nucleophilic fluoride is reported. This transformation involves the use of AgF as the fluoride source in combination with a hypervalent iodine oxidant. Both the scope and mechanism of the reaction are discussed.

The substitution of hydrogen with fluorine can have a dramatic impact on the lipophilicity, metabolism, and overall biological activity of organic molecules.¹ As a result, carbon–fluorine bonds feature prominently in pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, and PET imaging reagents. However, despite the prevalence and great utility of this functional group, synthetic methods for forming C–F bonds under mild conditions remain limited.² Transition metal-catalyzed C–F coupling reactions are particularly rare and constitute powerful synthetic tools to complement more conventional methods. In particular, efficient catalytic fluorination via either cross-coupling^{3,4,5,6} or C–H functionalization^{7,8} can facilitate the late stage introduction of fluorine into biologically active molecules. This is of great value for both SAR studies and for radiolabelling applications (since $t_{1/2}$ for ¹⁸F is approximately 110 min).²

We and others have previously reported the Pd-catalyzed conversion of C–H bonds to C–F bonds using electrophilic fluorinating reagents (abbreviated F⁺ reagents or Oxidant–F throughout this manuscript).^{7,8} These reactions are believed to proceed via a catalytic cycle such as that shown in Scheme 1, where C–F bond-forming reductive elimination from Pd^{IV}(R)(F) (**A**)^{9,10} serves as a key step. Thus the role of the F⁺ reagent is two-fold: (1) it oxidizes the Pd^{II} to Pd^{IV} (step *ii*), and (2) it serves as the source of fluorine that ends up in the final product (step *iii*).

While this first-generation approach to C–H fluorination was successful, these reactions suffer from the distinct disadvantage that they require electrophilic fluorinating reagents. Even though a number of F⁺ sources are commercially available, they are often much more expensive than fluoride reagents. For example, the Sigma-Aldrich prices for *N*-fluoropyridinium salts (NFPs) and Selectfluor® (both F⁺ reagents) are several orders of magnitude more expensive than CsF and KF (Figure 1). In addition, commonly used F⁺

*mssanfor@umich.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and spectroscopic and analytical data for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

reagents such as NFPs and Selectfluor® generate large quantities of organic waste and exhibit modest tolerance to nucleophilic functional groups. Finally, electrophilic fluorinating reagents are much less desirable than fluoride for PET imaging applications, because $^{18}\text{F}^-$ has significantly higher specific activity than $^{18}\text{F}^+$ precursors.²

We hypothesized that Pd-catalyzed C–H fluorination with nucleophilic fluoride could be accomplished by separating the two roles played by the F^+ reagent in these transformations.¹¹ As shown in Scheme 2, an external oxidant could be used to convert Pd^{II} to Pd^{IV} (step *ii*) while a fluoride source could provide a ligand to Pd^{IV} that would ultimately participate in C–F bond-forming reductive elimination (step *iii*).

A recent report on Pd-catalyzed alkene aminofluorination provided preliminary support for the viability of this approach. As shown in eq 1, Liu and co-workers demonstrated this transformation using $\text{PhI}(\text{OPiv})_2$ as an oxidant in conjunction with AgF as a nucleophilic fluoride source.¹² We describe herein the use of a similar strategy to achieve the Pd-catalyzed ligand-directed C–H fluorination of 8-methylquinoline derivatives using the combination of hypervalent iodine reagents and fluoride salts.

(1)

Our initial studies focused on the C–H fluorination of 8-methylquinoline, since this is an excellent substrate for Pd-catalyzed fluorination with F^+ reagents.⁷ The use of 10 mol % of $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ along with $\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$ and AgF in MeCN at 25 °C for 24 h provided a modest yield of the desired fluorinated product **1a** (14%), along with the corresponding C–H oxygenation product **2a** (17%) and recovered starting material (61%).^{13,14} Increasing the temperature to 60 °C resulted in nearly complete conversion of 8-methylquinoline; however, the yield of the desired fluorinated product remained low (14%) (Table 1, entry 1). Changing the oxidant from $\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$ to $\text{PhI}(\text{OPiv})_2$ and the solvent from MeCN to CH_2Cl_2 resulted in quantitative conversion of 8-methylquinoline and provided 58% yield of **1a** (entry 3).

The major side product in this transformation derives from C–H oxygenation of the 8-methylquinoline (**2a**).¹⁵ While products **1a** and **2a** are easily separable by column chromatography on silica gel, it would clearly be desirable to obtain higher yields of **1a** in these transformations. We initially hypothesized that the electronic/steric properties of R in the hypervalent iodine reagent could be tuned to enhance the yield of **1a**. However, examination of 19 different R substituents revealed that $\text{PhI}(\text{OPiv})_2$ provides the best results (see Table S4 for complete details). Significant additional experimentation led to the discovery that the yield of **1a** could be enhanced by modification of the reaction conditions. Specifically, pre-stirring the $\text{PhI}(\text{OPiv})_2$ and AgF in CH_2Cl_2 for 1 h at 60 °C before adding the Pd catalyst, substrate, and MgSO_4 resulted in a modest boost in the yield of **1a** as well as an improved ratio of **1a/2a** (entry 4). Importantly, all of these reactions were set up on the bench-top using commercially available reagents without any drying or pre-purification. This is relatively rare for a nucleophilic fluorination reaction.²

The optimal conditions in Table 1 require 24 h to achieve maximum yield. Such long reaction times are undesirable for PET imaging applications because the half-life of ^{18}F is

short (~110 min). Thus, we also examined a variety of approaches to accelerate this transformation. Gratifyingly, when the temperature was increased to 80 °C, 40% yield of product **1a** could be obtained after just 15 min under the PhI(OPiv)₂/AgF conditions.

It would also be attractive to replace AgF with an alternative fluoride source. This would be particularly useful in the context of PET imaging applications, where the most readily available ¹⁸F⁻ starting materials are CsF, RbF, NBu₄F, and KF-Kryptofix.¹⁶ However, as shown in Table 1, entries 5-8, the substitution of AgF with CsF, RbF, NBu₄F, or KF under our optimized reaction conditions led to <1% yield of the fluorinated product. These results suggest that the presence of Ag(I) is important for the success of the desired fluorination reaction. As such, we examined the use of an exogenous Ag(I) salt in conjunction with CsF.¹⁷ Gratifyingly, the Pd-catalyzed C–H fluorination proceeded to afford **1a** in 45% yield under these conditions (eq. 2).

(2)

The scope Pd-catalyzed nucleophilic C–H fluorination was evaluated with a variety of 8-methylquinoline derivatives. As shown in Table 2, diverse substituents at positions X and Y were tolerated. Most notably, a variety of halogens were compatible with the reaction conditions (entries 5-8), providing sites for further elaboration of the products. In general, the best results were obtained when X and Y were electron-withdrawing groups (entries 2-8). Significantly lower yield of the fluorinated product was observed when X = phenyl or methyl (entry 9, 10); furthermore, the substrate with X = methoxy afforded <1% of **1h**. Notably, in all cases, the C–H oxygenation product (analogue of **2a**) was the major side product.

We hypothesize that high valent Pd-alkyl fluorides of general structure **B** are key intermediates in this process. There are at least two possible pathways for accessing such species under the current reaction conditions. A first would involve the oxidation of cyclometalated Pd^{II} complex **A** by PhI(OPiv)₂ followed by ligand substitution of pivalate for fluoride at the resultant Pd^{IV} intermediate (Scheme 3, *pathway 1*). A second pathway would proceed via initial substitution of pivalate for fluoride at the iodine(III) center followed by oxidation of **A** with PhIF₂ (Scheme 3, *pathway 2*). Notably, a recent report by DiMagno demonstrated that the treatment of PhI(OAc)₂ with rigorously dry TBAF affords PhIF₂ in high yield.¹⁸ This precedent suggests the potential plausibility of pathway 2.

To gain preliminary insights into the mechanism, we monitored the reaction by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using iodotoluene dipivalate [*p*-MeC₆H₄I(OPiv)₂] as the oxidant.¹⁹ Analysis of the crude reaction mixture after pre-stirring 1 equiv of *p*-MeC₆H₄I(OPiv)₂ with 2.5 equiv of AgF for 30 min at 60 °C in CD₂Cl₂ showed a new resonance at –176 ppm.²⁰ This signal corresponds to the literature value¹⁸ as well as to that obtained for an authentic sample of *p*-MeC₆H₄IF₂, providing strong evidence that this iodine(III) reagent is formed under the reaction conditions.

We next independently synthesized a pure sample of *p*-MeC₆H₄IF₂²¹ to establish whether it is effective at promoting C–H fluorination in the absence of *p*-MeC₆H₄I(OPiv)₂/AgF. Intriguingly, the use of 2 equiv of *p*-MeC₆H₄IF₂ in place of *p*-MeC₆H₄I(OPiv)₂/AgF under otherwise analogous conditions afforded only 7% yield of **1a** along with 42% recovered starting material.²² Finally, the combination of 2 equiv of *p*-MeC₆H₄IF₂ and 3 equiv of AgF did not enhance the yield, instead providing 6% of **1a** and 27% starting material. *Collectively, these results suggest that, while ArIF₂ is present under these reaction conditions, it is not the primary active fluorinating reagent in this transformation.* More detailed investigations will be required to establish a comprehensive mechanistic understanding of this transformation.²³

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a transformation that is, to our knowledge, the first example of palladium-catalyzed C–H fluorination using nucleophilic fluoride. The fluoride source can be either AgF or CsF (the latter in combination with AgOTf). The reactions typically proceed in modest to good yield over 24 h, and the use of a higher reaction temperature leads to the formation of significant quantities of fluorinated product in just 15 min. Ongoing work in our laboratory will probe the mechanism and expand the scope of this transformation to diverse C–H substrates.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH NIGMS (GM073836). We thank Dr. Nicholas Ball (recent PhD recipient from MSS group) for the synthesis of 7-bromo-8-methylquinoline.

References

1. For recent reviews, see: Jeschke P. Pest Manag. Sci. 2010; 66:10. [PubMed: 19701961] Purser S, Moore PR, Swallow S, Gouverneur V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008; 37:320. [PubMed: 18197348]
2. For recent reviews, see: Hollingworth C, Gouverneur V. Chem. Commun. 2012; 48:2929. Furuya T, Kamlet AS, Ritter T. Nature. 2011; 473:7348. Furuya T, Kuttruff CA, Ritter T. Curr. Opin. Drug Disc. Devel. 2008; 11:803.
3. (a) Maimone TJ, Milner PJ, Kinzel T, Zhang Y, Takase MK, Buchwald SL. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:18106. [PubMed: 21999801] (b) Noel T, Maimone TJ, Buchwald SL. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:8900. (c) Watson DA, Su M, Teverovskiy G, Zhang Y, Garcia-Fortanet J, Kinzel T, Buchwald SL. Science. 2009; 321:1661. [PubMed: 19679769]
4. (a) Topczewski JJ, Tewson TJ, Nguyen HM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:19318. [PubMed: 22059470] (b) Katcher MH, Sha A, Doyle AG. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:15902. [PubMed: 21894884] (c) Hollingworth C, Hazari A, Hopkinson MN, Tredwell M, Benedetto E, Huiban M, Gee AD, Brown JM, Gouverneur V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:2613. (d) Katcher MH, Doyle AG. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:17402.
5. Casitas A, Canta M, Sola M, Costas M, Ribas X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:19386. [PubMed: 22026511]
6. Tang P, Furuya T, Ritter T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:12150. [PubMed: 20695434]
7. Hull KL, Anani WQ, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:7134. [PubMed: 16734446]
8. (a) Chan CSL, Wasa M, Wang X, Yu JQ. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:9081. (b) Wang X, Mei TS, Yu JQ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:7520. [PubMed: 19435367]
9. For examples of C–F bond-formation from Pd^{IV}(R)(F) species, see: Racowski JM, Kampf JW, Sanford MS. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012; 51:3414. Ball ND, Kampf JW, Sanford MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:2878. [PubMed: 20155920] Furuya T, Benitez D, Tkatchouk E, Strom AE, Tang P, Goddard WA, Ritter T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:3793. [PubMed: 20196595]

10. Hickman AJ, Sanford MS. *Nature*. 2012; 484:177. [PubMed: 22498623]
11. For related “umpolung” strategies to achieve fluorination with F⁻ reagents, see: Gao Z, Lim YH, Tredwell M, Li L, Verhoog S, Hopkinson M, Kaluza W, Collier TL, Passchier J, Huiban M, Gouverneur V. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:6733. Lee E, Kamlet AS, Powers DC, Neumann CN, Boursalian GB, Furuya T, Choi DC, Hooker JM, Ritter T. *Science*. 2011; 334:639. [PubMed: 22053044]
12. Wu T, Yin G, Liu G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:16354. [PubMed: 19856929]
13. Importantly, control reactions show that **1a** and **2a** do not interconvert under the reaction conditions, indicating that they are not formed from one another in this transformation (see p. S8 of the Supporting Information for details).
14. By analogy to Liu’s work, MgSO₄ was also added. The role of this additive is likely to remove water.
15. Dick AR, Hull KL, Sanford MS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 124:2300. [PubMed: 14982422]
16. Schlyer DJ. *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore*. 2004; 33:146. [PubMed: 15098627]
17. Low yields were obtained when CsF was replaced with other fluoride salts (NaF, TBAF, or KF).
18. Sun H, Wang B, DiMaggio SG. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:4413. [PubMed: 18785750]
19. Under our standard reaction conditions *p*-MeC₆H₄I(OPiv)₂ performs nearly identically to PhI(OPiv)₂, providing **1a** and **2a** in 63% and 19% yield, respectively, in the Pd-catalyzed C–H fluorination of 8-methylquinoline.
20. A second resonance at 129.6 ppm is also visible in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of the pre-stirred reaction mixture. The identity of this species has not yet been definitively established.
21. Shreeve JM, Ye C, Twamley B. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:3961. [PubMed: 16119942]
22. Batchwise addition of *p*-MeC₆H₄IF₂ (three batches over 1 h in CH₂Cl₂) afforded very similar results.
23. One possibility to be investigated is that the compound showing the ¹⁹F NMR resonance at 129.6 ppm [ref. 20] is serving as the active fluorinating reagent in this transformation.

Figure 1.

Prices for commercial fluorine sources (based on largest quantity commercially available from Sigma Aldrich in >95% purity)

Scheme 1.
Pd-Catalyzed C-H Fluorination with F⁺ Reagents

Scheme 2.
Proposed Sequence

Scheme 3.

Two possible routes to **B** from **A**, AgF and ArI(OPiv)₂

Table 1

Optimization of C–H Fluorination with $\text{PhI}(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_2/\text{MF}$

entry	solvent	R	M	conversion (%)	yield (%)	
					1a	2a
1	MeCN	Me	Ag	87	14	64
2	MeCN	^t Bu	Ag	32	8	14
3	CH ₂ Cl ₂	^t Bu	Ag	100	58	25
4 ^b	CH ₂ Cl ₂	^t Bu	Ag	100	62	18
5 ^b	CH ₂ Cl ₂	^t Bu	Cs	9	<1	6
6 ^b	CH ₂ Cl ₂	^t Bu	Rb	15	<1	14
7 ^b	CH ₂ Cl ₂	^t Bu	K	19	<1	15
8 ^b	CH ₂ Cl ₂	^t Bu	NBu ₄	25	<1	11

^aYields determined by GC based on an average of at least 2 runs.

^b_{Ag}F and $\text{PhI}(\text{OPiv})_2$ were pre-stirred at 60 °C for 1 h in CH_2Cl_2 prior to addition of the rest of the reagents.

Table 2

Substrate Scope of C–H Fluorination of 8-Methylquinoline Derivatives

entry	X	Y	product	GC yield (%)	isolated yield (%)
1	H	H	1a	67	49
2 ^a	NO ₂	H	1b	62	41
3	CN	H	1c	67	70
4 ^a	CO ₂ CH ₃	H	1d	59	59
5	F	H	1e	44	30
6	Br	H	1f	53	44
7	H	Br	1g	49	42
8 ^a	I	H	1h	67	55
9 ^{b,c}	Ph	H	1i	43	39
10 ^c	Me	H	1j	41	39
11 ^a	Meo	H	1k	<1	nd

^aThe reaction was run for 24 h.

^bThe reaction was run for 28 h.

^cPhF was used as a solvent in place of CH₂Cl₂.