Published in final edited form as:

Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2012 October ; 44(10): 2025–2032. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31825d358e.

The impact of shared environmental factors on exercise behavior from age 7 to 12

Charlotte Huppertz^{1,2}, Meike Bartels^{1,2}, Catherina E.M. van Beijsterveldt¹, Dorret I. Boomsma^{1,2}, James J. Hudziak^{3,1}, and Eco J.C. de Geus^{1,2}

¹Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam, the Netherlands ²EMGO +Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands ³Department of Psychiatry, Medicine, and Pediatrics, Vermont Center for Children, Youth and Families, University of Vermont, College of Medicine, Burlington, Vermont, United States of America

Abstract

INTRODUCTION—The aim of this study was to investigate the relative influence of genetic and environmental factors on children's leisure time exercise behavior, through the classical twin design.

METHODS—Data were taken from the Netherlands Twin Register. The twins were 7 (N=3,966 subjects), 10 (N=3,562) and 12 years old (N=8,687), with longitudinal data for 27% of the sample. Parents were asked to indicate the children's regular participation in leisure time exercise activities, including frequency and duration. Resemblance between monozygotic and dizygotic twins for weekly MET hours spent on exercise activities was analyzed as a function of their genetic relatedness.

RESULTS—Average weekly MET hours increased with age for both boys [age 7: 14.0 (SD=11.8); age 10: 22.6 (SD=18.7); age 12: 28.4 (SD=24.9)] and girls [age 7: 9.7 (SD=9.5); age 10: 15.3 (SD=15.1); age 12: 19.3 (SD=19.8)]. Around 13% of boys and girls across all age groups did not participate in any regular leisure time exercise activities. Tracking of exercise behavior from age 7 to age 12 was modest ($.168 < r < .534$). For boys, genetic effects accounted for 24% (CI: 18–30%) of the variance at age 7, 66% (53–81%) at age 10 and 38% (32–46%) at age 12. For girls, this was 22% (15–30%), 16% (9–24%), and 36% (30–43%), respectively. Environmental influences shared by children from the same family explained 71%, 25% and 50% of the variance in boys (aged 7, 10, 12) and 67%, 72% and 53% in girls. The shared environment influencing exercise behavior was partially different between boys and girls.

CONCLUSION—Our results stress the important role of shared environment for exercise behavior in young children.

Keywords

Twin design; physical activity; heritability; genes; tracking; childhood

Copyright © 2012 American College of Sports Medicine

Corresponding author: Charlotte Huppertz, VU University Amsterdam, Department Biological Psychology, room 2C-33, Van der Boechorststraat 1, 1081 BT Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Phone: +31 20-598 5588 (office), Fax: +31 20-598 8832, c.huppertz@vu.nl.

The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding:

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Regular exercise behavior in leisure time is increasingly accepted to be a main contributor to children's health (22). Despite this, the proportion of children that are active enough to benefit from exercise is low, with girls consistently less active than boys (3). A better understanding of why certain children exercise and others do not is important in order to develop successful health promoting exercise interventions for children and adolescents.

Previous research provides evidence that environmental and social factors are related to being physically active (7), such as access to exercise facilities, socio-economic status, and support by family and peers (31, 39). However, even taking into account these factors, a good deal of variance remains unexplained. More recently, it has been suggested that irrespective of the surrounding environment, some people may be more predisposed towards exercising than others (13) – because individuals differ with regard to their internal “need” to be active, exercise ability and personality factors. These factors, hypothesized to be genetically influenced, may trigger either rewarding or negative physiological responses to exercise (11, 13).

Twin studies provide a unique opportunity to disentangle the environmental and genetic influences on exercise behavior. They can be used to decompose environmental factors into those that are shared by the twins (such as the family environment) and those environmental factors that are unique to each child. Several twin studies have investigated leisure time exercise behavior in adolescents (15, 34, 38) and in adults (6, 23, 33, 35). The relative contribution of genetic and environmental influences is different for males and females and it changes vastly across the life span (13). For example, van der Aa et al. (38) investigated leisure time exercise behavior in twins aged 13–18 years old. For both sexes, heritability estimates at ages 16–18 years were very high (80%). For 13–14-year-old boys, genetic factors accounted for 80% of the variance in exercise behavior. For girls, genes accounted for only 38% of the influence with the shared environment being more influential (46%). In adult twins, heritability estimates decrease from the peak value in adolescence to values between 40% and 70%. The remaining variance is due to unique environmental factors and the shared environment is no longer of importance (35).

There are no published twin studies that have specifically investigated the heritability of leisure time exercise behavior in children. The aim of this study is to bridge this gap by examining the relative influence of genetic and environmental factors on this behavior in children that are aged 7, 10, and 12 years. Similar to previous studies (e.g., 34, 38), we have deliberately chosen to focus on the narrow but well-defined trait of leisure time exercise behavior and not on general physical activity. Survey research can be reliably used to query participation in regular exercise activities but has more difficulty in detecting overall energy expenditure which should be measured preferentially with objective methods like accelerometry. Shared family environment is expected to be a strong contributor to exercise behavior in children as children are likely to be dependent on their parents when it comes to exercise activities (e.g. need to get rides to and from facilities). Based on the adolescent findings, we also expect significant genetic contribution to exercise behavior in this period, particularly in boys.

Methods

Participants

Data were available for young twins registered with the Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) which was established by the Department of Biological Psychology at the VU University Amsterdam in 1987 (9). Young twins are registered by their parents shortly after birth. Mothers and fathers are then invited to complete surveys about their children's health,

lifestyle and behavior when the children are approximately 0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 12 years old (4). The children live in all regions of The Netherlands (9). Until today, parents of more than 32.000 twin pairs have taken part in research projects.

For the present study, data of 209 children with diseases or disabilities that may prevent them from being physically active were excluded. In addition, data from 11 twin pairs were excluded due to missing zygosity information. This resulted in the following samples: age 7 (N=3,966 children; mean=7.45 years; SD=0.32; 49.6% males), age 10 (3,562; 10.12; 0.33; 49.0%) and age 12 (8,687; 12.29; 0.40; 48.8%). The children's parents were classified as lower educated (19.1%), average educated (44.8%) or higher educated (33.2%, 2.9% missing) and the large majority was born in the Netherlands (95%), with no differences across zygosity groups. Of the monozygotic (MZ) twins, 92.5% were conceived naturally, 2.4% after hormone treatment and 1.7% with in vitro fertilization (3.4% missing). For the dizygotic (DZ) twins, this was 62%, 11.9%, and 20.2%, respectively (5.9% missing). The children's body mass index (BMI) was comparable across zygosity groups: For male MZ twins, it was 15.3 (SD= 1.7) for age 7, 16.4 (2.2) for age 10, and 17.5 (2.4) for age 12. For female MZ twins, this was 15.3 (1.9), 16.5 (2.2), and 17.6 (2.7), respectively. For male DZ twins, BMI was 15.4 (1.7), 16.4 (2.1), 17.6 (2.6) and for female DZ twins 15.5 (1.9), 16.5 (2.3), 17.8 (2.7). Finally, male dizygotic twins of opposite-sex pairs had a BMI of 15.3 (1.6), 16.3 (2.0), and 17.4 (2.4). For the girls this was 15.4 (1.9), 16.6 (2.4), and 17.7 (2.5). For only a modest part (26.8%) of the children there were data at more than one age because the detailed survey items on exercise behavior were introduced to the parental surveys in 2004/2005. Therefore, some of the children were already too old to be rated based on these items in the first or second wave of parental data collection, whereas others had not received a second or third survey at all at the time of data analysis.

Zygosity was determined by blood group or DNA typing for 11.8% of the same-sex twin pairs. For the remaining same-sex twin pairs, zygosity was based on survey items on physical similarities and confusion by family members and strangers. This has been shown to result in accurate determination for 93% of same-sex twin pairs (30). All subjects consent to be approached for survey research at enrollment in the Netherlands Twin Registry. The data collection protocol was approved by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the VU University Medical Centre. Table 1 summarized the number of twin pairs by sex and zygosity.

Measures

We provided parents with a list of the 17 most common exercise activities in the Netherlands (athletics, badminton, ballet/dance, basketball, fitness training, gymnastics, handball, jogging/running, hockey, netball, horseback riding, (ice-)skating, tennis, martial arts, soccer, swimming, volleyball), plus the option to add up to two additional unlisted activities. We then asked them to indicate for each activity a) whether or not the child participated in the activity and if so b) since how many years, c) for how many months a year d) how many times a week and e) how many minutes each time. If the 'unlisted activity' option was used, we excluded activities that barely increase energy expenditure such as playing chess. Activities related to transportation (walking, biking) or compulsory exercise in physical education classes were also not included as they are often not self-initiated or voluntary.

Each activity was recoded into a metabolic equivalent score (MET) based on Ridley et al. (29)'s Compendium of energy expenditures for youth. A MET is defined as "the ratio of work metabolic rate to a standard resting metabolic rate of 1.0 (4.184 kJ)*kg⁻¹*h⁻¹" (1, p. 498). This standard resting metabolic rate equals quiet sitting. By multiplying the MET score, the frequency, and the duration of each exercise activity and then summing all

activities that the children undertook, weekly MET hours spent on exercise activities were calculated for each individual. We did not apply a minimum weekly frequency or duration, but we included only those activities in which the children participated at least 3 months a year, representing *regular* leisure time exercise behavior. Also, activities had to have been initiated at least 6 months ago. A total of 3.8% of the reported activities were dropped based on these inclusion criteria. Importantly, the majority of these activities were holiday specific (i.e. skiing during winter holidays, swimming during summer holidays, sailing camps, etc.).

Statistical analyses

For age 7, 10, and 12, 55.1%, 53.4%, and 40.7% of the surveys were filled out by both parents, respectively, and 1.7%, 2.5%, and 1.4% were filled out by the fathers only. For the remaining surveys, only the mothers reported on the child. As the correlations between fathers' and mothers' ratings were – for both children – high at all ages with a median correlation of .820 (range .779 – .833), averaged weekly MET hours were used when both parents had reported on the same child. If either the frequency or the duration of an activity were not indicated, they were replaced with a median of the age group within the respective exercise activity. In total, 1.54% of the missing data on either frequency or duration was replaced with a median. Missingness in MZ versus DZ twin pairs was very similar (1.6% versus 1.3%). Different wording of the items within a part of the sample at age 12 (times a month instead of times a week) led to a slight difference in means ('batch effect') which was corrected before the analyses. We verified that this correction affected only the means but not the twin correlations.

The correlations between monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twins were estimated separately for each sex to evaluate the relative influence of genetic and environmental factors on exercise behavior. MZ twins originate from the same fertilized egg and therefore share (nearly) 100% of their genetic material. DZ twins only share, on average, 50% of their segregating genes – the same amount as non-twin siblings do. The shared environment includes all factors that the two children of a twin pair share such as the family environment, the neighborhood and recreational environment and possibly the school and common friends. The shared environment is therefore by definition the same for both MZ and DZ twins (100% resemblance). Based on the differing genetic relatedness of MZ and DZ twins, it is possible to estimate the relative influence of genes, shared environment, and the environment that is unique to an individual on an outcome variable (26). The last component includes variance due to measurement error. If the MZ correlation is larger than the DZ correlation (and thus MZ twins resemble each other more than DZ twins), this implies genetic influences. If the DZ correlation is larger than half the MZ correlation, influence of shared environment is likely to be significant as well.

Twin correlations also allow a rough understanding of quantitative and qualitative sex differences for a trait. Quantitative sex differences are present when the relative contribution of genes, shared environment and non-shared environment differs for boys and girls. Qualitative differences are likely when the DZ opposite-sex (DOS) correlation cannot be predicted based on the DZ male-male (DZM) and female-female (DZF) correlations. For instance, if the DOS-correlation is lower than the DZM-correlation and the DZF-correlation, there is a weaker relationship between two children of a different sex than two children of the same sex suggesting that different genetic or shared environmental factors operate in boys and girls (18).

Twin correlations were estimated with the software package openMx (8) for each sex by zygosity group (i.e., MZM, DZM, MZF, DZF, DOS). A model that estimated all parameters freely (saturated model) was fitted to the data. It was tested whether constraining the means

and variances to be equal across 1) MZ and DZ twins, 2) MZ, DZ, and DOS twins and 3) across sex led to a significant deterioration of the model fit.

To gain further insight into the genetic architecture of exercise behavior, a univariate genetic model was then fitted to the data for each age group. Individual differences in exercise behavior were expected to be due to differences in additive genetic effects (A), common environmental effects (C) shared by twins from the same family and non-shared environmental effects (E). These latent factors are expected to correlate differently for MZ and DZ twins. As MZ twins share approximately 100% of their genes, the genetic correlation (r_g) between twin 1 and twin 2 was fixed to 1.0 for MZ pairs. For DZ twins that share, on average, 50% of their genes, this was 0.5. For both MZ and same-sex DZ twins, the shared environmental correlation (r_c) was – by definition – fixed to 1.0. In order to identify the most parsimonious and best-fitting model, various constraints were stepwise imposed on the model. The various nested models were then compared with the log-likelihood ratio test (LRT) which evaluates the difference in minus two times the log-likelihood ($-2LL$) between two models based on its χ^2 distribution and using the difference in degrees of freedom (df) between those models. As long as the model fit did not significantly decrease ($p > .05$), constraints were kept to support parsimony of the model.

Results

Table 2 depicts the average weekly MET hours spent on exercise activities for boys and girls, across the three age groups. Exercise behavior did increase over time in both sexes ($p < .001$), but was lower for girls across all ages ($p < .001$). Around 13% of all children did not take part in any leisure time exercise activity (Table 2). MET hours spent on PE classes and leisure time exercise activities were only weakly correlated across all ages ($r < .140$, data not shown). PE was therefore not deemed a confounder and not further included in the analyses. Tracking of exercise behavior from age 7 to age 12 was modest with estimates ranging from .168 to .534 (Table 3). The means of MZ, DZ and DOS twins were equal within each age group and the MZ and DZ variances were equal within ages 7 and 10 ($p < .01$). Sex differences were found across all ages.

Table 1 presents the twin correlations (95% CI) of each sex by zygosity group for MET hours spent on exercise activities, based on the most parsimonious model. The MZ twin correlations were always higher than the DZ twin correlations, suggesting genetic influence. As the DZ twin correlations were also larger than half the MZ twin correlations across all ages, the shared environment was likely to play a role in children's' exercise behavior. Finally, the DOS-correlations tended to be lower than the DZ correlations which implied qualitative sex differences.

Genetic model fitting results are presented in Table 4. The shared environmental correlations between DOS twins (r_{cdos}) were freely estimated in model 1. In model 2, r_{cdos} was fixed to 1.0 which resulted in significant deterioration of model fit for age 7 and 12, but not for age 10. Subsequently, it was tested whether constraining the parameter estimates a , c and e to be equal for boys and girls (model 3), constraining the genetic parameters to zero (boys: model 4a; girls: model 4b) or constraining the environmental parameters to zero (boys: model 5a; girls: model 5b) led to significant deterioration of the model fit. For ages 7 and 12, model 1 appeared to be most parsimonious. For age 10, this was model 2, although the fit of model 1 was also acceptable.

Table 5 represents the proportions of variance explained by additive genetic (A), shared environmental (C) and unique environmental factors (E) of the most parsimonious and best-fitting models for the three age groups (95% confidence intervals added in parentheses). To

increase comparability over age both model 1 and model 2 (best fitting model) are presented for age 10. Except for boys aged 10 years old, shared environmental factors consistently explained the largest part of the variance in exercise behavior, followed by additive genetic factors.

Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to investigate the relative influence of genetic and environmental factors on children's participation in leisure time exercise activities. Average weekly MET hours spent on exercise activities in young Dutch twins doubled from age 7 to 12 but this was mainly due to those who were already active increasing their MET hours further. 13% of boys and girls of all ages were inactive in that they did not participate in any regular leisure time exercise activities. In accordance with previous findings, boys were more active than girls (e.g., 3). For boys, additive genetic effects accounted for 23.7%, 65.7% and 38.3% of the variance in exercise behavior at ages 7, 10 and 12. For girls, this was 22.1%, 16.3% and 36.1%. Within all three age groups, shared environmental factors explained the largest part of the variance (70.5%, 24.6%, 50.1% for boys and 67.3%, 72.3%, 53.4% for girls). The correlation between shared environmental factors influencing exercise behavior in boys and girls (r_{cdos}) was less than unity, suggesting that boys and girls in the same family do not receive the same level of familial support.

The important role of shared environmental factors for children's regular exercise behavior is consistent with results of smaller-sized twin studies that focused on total physical activity rather than leisure time exercise activities (19, 20, 27). Fisher et al. (19) measured time spent in moderate and vigorous physical activity (MVPA) by accelerometry in a sample of 234 9–12 year old twins. Shared environmental factors accounted for 61% of the variance, with the remaining 39% being explained by unique environmental effects. No genetic influence was found. Franks et al. (20) measured physical activity energy expenditure in 200 4–10 year old twins using respiratory gas exchange and doubly labeled water with very similar results (shared environment: 69%, unique environment: 31%). Plomin and Foch (27) investigated one-week pedometer counts in a sample of 174 7.6-year old twins ($SD = 1.6$ years). Again, the shared environment was by far the most important contributor to physical activity (MZ correlation: .99, DZ correlation: .94).

As previously outlined, the shared environment is made up of all environmental factors that twins share. Thus, the strong shared environmental impact in the present study may be explained by factors such as the neighborhood and recreational environment, school and common friends. These factors may all be related to (accessibility of) exercise opportunities. However, as parents often act as gatekeepers to children's leisure time activities (5, 21), parenting behavior may be one of the more prominent shared environmental influences on children's exercise behavior. Their support of their children's exercise behavior depends on their attitudes regarding these activities (2, 37) which may vary across families. In a recent review, Beets et al. (5) identified four categories of parental influence on their children's physical activity. Parents may or may not provide tangible support by organizing transportation to exercise location and pay for sport clubs and equipment (*instrumental support*) and by being physically present during their children's exercise activities or even coach/participate themselves (*conditional support*). They may also provide intangible support to increase children's self-efficacy and attitudes towards physical activity by encouragement and praise (*motivational support*) or by providing advice, suggestions and information about (the benefits of) being active (*informational support*). This theory predicts that parental influence on their children's exercise activities should wane when the children get older and become less dependent on others for transportation, and less willing to imitate their parents' behavior or adopt their attitudes (25, 32). The decrease in common

environmental influences from age 7 to age 12 is entirely compatible with this prediction and continues during adolescence as has been shown by Van der Aa et al. (38). The important role of tangible support is further supported by the finding that around two thirds of the twin pairs had at least one type of exercise activity in common (age7: 69.5%, age10: 65.9%, age12: 61.5%), which is much higher than could be expected based on the frequency of each of the types of exercise activities (approximately 20%). It is likely more convenient for parents to organize transportation and cheer their children at a single exercise location as opposed to handling two locations.

As the environmental correlation between DOS twin pairs was not unity for ages 7 and 12, (some of) the shared environmental influences must be qualitatively different for boys and girls. Given the parents' influential role, a look at their differential treatment of sons and daughters with regard to exercise activities is warranted. Although the findings are not unanimous, boys tend to receive more parental support than girls (5, 21). In addition, mother-daughter and father-son correlations for physical activity are generally higher than opposite-sex correlations (21) indicating a sex-specific influence of parents on their children. Accordingly, Edwardson and Gorely (16) found a positive association between fathers' explicit modeling and their son's moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, but no association for girls. Anderson et al. (2) reported that parents deemed boys' participation in team sports to be more important than girls' participation – for higher educated parents, this bias was also apparent for individual activities – and that boys are more similar to their parents with regard to the value placed on being active (“parent-child attitude congruence”). Parents may not only provide more support to their sons – they may also differ in the initial choice of which type of activity their sons and daughters should participate in. This may be a main reason why girls in our study engaged predominantly in individual exercise activities, whereas boys participated in all kinds of activities, including the more vigorous team sports (see Table 2 and 25). Accordingly, the percentage of opposite-sex siblings that share at least one activity dropped to 46.5% which is clearly lower than that for same-sex siblings (75.0%).

The relative contribution of genetic factors was much larger in 10 year old boys compared to 7 and 12 year olds. This pattern was not seen in girls. As we used identical parental surveys, the difference cannot be attributed to a change in study methods. Also there are no major changes in the educational system at this age (high school starts at least 2 years later). One possible explanation is that most clubs, whether in team sports (e.g. soccer) or individual sports (e.g. tennis), increasingly start selecting for ability around this age. The amount of training is usually larger in the ‘first teams’ compared to the lower ranked teams. As exercise abilities are strongly heritable (10), this may have boosted heritability of participation in these types of activities in boys, who may be more sensitive to their relative ranking among peers than girls. However, it is unclear why this effect has dissipated at age 12. Replication in larger samples is needed before drawing definitive conclusions.

After numerous studies using adolescent and adult twin data (e.g., 35, 38) this study is the first to investigate the relative contribution of genes and the environment on exercise behavior in children younger than 12 years old. Our findings fit the existing literature rather well as shown in figure 1 which summarizes the results of all existing twin studies on leisure time exercise behavior. The figure includes the twin studies that were listed by Stubbe and De Geus (36), extended with additional studies (12, 15, 17, 24, 33, 38) and the present one.

From childhood onward, the heritability of exercise behavior increases to a peak during late adolescence and then decreases again to reach stable proportions in adulthood. The substantial impact of shared environmental influences is only found in children. Our group (13) has hypothesized that the heritability of leisure time exercise behavior reflects three

major sources: individual differences in a homeostatic need for activity, exercise ability, and acute psychological effects of exercise (also see 11). Personality, itself a heritable trait, may be a fourth important determinant of stable individual differences in exercise participation (14, 28).

A limitation of the present study is the reliance on parental ratings of leisure time exercise behavior. Subjective ratings by the parents may tend to overestimate the actual exercise behavior of the children. However, the correlations between mothers' and fathers' ratings were high and the results were remarkably comparable to similar studies that used objective measurements of general physical activity, to which leisure time exercise activities make an important contribution (19, 20, 27). Our use of a fixed list of the most common exercise activities performed by Dutch children probably helped to increase the reliability of parental reporting. It should be noted, however, that by focusing on these structured and well-defined exercise activities, we have ignored an important other contribution to children's leisure-time physical activity, namely active play. Active play probably contributes to overall leisure time physical activity in different proportions across different age groups, with less opportunity for play in the 12-year olds once they enter high school. How this affects the heritability/environmentability of participation in regular structured exercise activities remains uncharted. A specific limitation of using twins, although in general the best design to estimate heritability, is that the findings may not generalize to families with siblings of different ages or a single child. As twins have the same age, it could be argued that the role of tangible support (a shared environmental factor) is greater, as it is more convenient for the parents to handle the twins as a pair, than would be for siblings with larger age differences. To balance these limitations this study had a very large sample size, estimated heritability in groups with a confined age range, and deliberately focused on participation in well-defined leisure time exercise activities, which are easier to assess in a standardized way than overall physical activity.

Our analyses confirmed the important role of shared environmental factors for children's exercise behavior that gradually give way to genetic influences when they reach early adolescence. The shared family environment is likely to be an important target for the development of successful interventions on childhood exercise behavior, but family-based strategies may become less useful in adolescence.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the twin families registered with the Netherlands Twin Registry for their continued support of scientific research. This study was supported by award number RO1DK092127 from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the twin-family database for behavior genetics and genomics studies (NWO 480-04-004), the Spinozapremie (NWO SPI-56-464), the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH, RO1, MH58799-03), and the European Research Council Genetics of Mental Illness (ERC-230374). Bartels is financially supported by a senior fellowship of the EMGO+ Institute for Health and Care Research.

References

1. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, et al. Compendium of physical activities: An update of activity codes and MET intensities. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000; 32(Suppl 9):S498–504. [PubMed: 10993420]
2. Anderson CB, Hughes SO, Fuemmeler BF. Parent-child attitude congruence on type and intensity of physical activity: Testing multiple mediators of sedentary behavior in older children. *Health Psychol.* 2009; 28(4):428–38. [PubMed: 19594267]
3. Armstrong, N.; van Mechelen, W. Are young people fit and active?. In: Biddle, SJH.; Sallis, JF.; Cavill, N., editors. *Young and active? Young people and health-enhancing physical activity: Evidence and implications.* London: Health Education Authority; 1998. p. 69-97.

4. Bartels M, van Beijsterveld CEM, Derks EM, et al. Young Netherlands Twin Register (Y-NTR): A longitudinal multiple informant study of problem behavior. *Twin Res Hum Genet.* 2007; 10(1):3–11. [PubMed: 17539360]
5. Beets MW, Cardinal BJ, Alderman BL. Parental social support and the physical activity-related behaviors of youth: A review. *Health Educ Behav.* 2010; 37(5):621–44. [PubMed: 20729347]
6. Beunen G, Thomis M. Genetic determinants of sports participation and daily physical activity. *Int J Obesity.* 1999; 23 (Suppl 3):S55–63.
7. Biddle, SJH.; Mutrie, N. *Psychology of physical activity: Determinants, well-being and interventions.* 2. Abingdon, UK: Routledge; 2008. p. 33-160.
8. Boker S, Neale M, Maes H, et al. OpenMx: An open source extended structural equation modeling framework. *Psychometrika.* 2011; 76(2):306–17.
9. Boomsma DI, de Geus EJC, Vink JM, et al. Netherlands Twin Register: From twins to twin families. *Twin Res Hum Genet.* 2006; 9(6):849–57. [PubMed: 17254420]
10. Bouchard, C.; Hoffman, EP. *Genetic and molecular aspects of sports performance.* Chichester, UK: Blackwell Publishing; 2011. p. 79-349.
11. Bryan A, Hutchison KE, Seals DR, Allen DL. A transdisciplinary model integrating genetic, physiological, and psychological correlates of voluntary exercise. *Health Psychol.* 2007; 26(1):30–9. [PubMed: 17209695]
12. Carlsson S, Andersson T, Lichtenstein P, Michaëlsson K. Genetic effects on physical activity: Results from the Swedish Twin Registry. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2006; 38(8):1396–401. [PubMed: 16888451]
13. De Geus, EJC.; de Moor, MHM. Genes, exercise, and psychological factors. In: Bouchard, C.; Hoffman, EP., editors. *Genetic and molecular aspects of sport performance.* Chichester, UK: Blackwell Publishing; 2011. p. 294-305.
14. De Moor MHM, Beem AL, Stubbe JH, Boomsma DI, de Geus EJC. Regular exercise, anxiety, depression and personality: A population-based study. *Prev Med.* 2006; 42(4):273–9. [PubMed: 16439008]
15. De Moor MHM, Willemsen G, Rebollo-Mesa I, Stubbe JH, de Geus EJC, Boomsma DI. Exercise participation in adolescents and their parents: Evidence for genetic and generation specific environmental effects. *Behav Genet.* 2011; 41(2):211–22. [PubMed: 21086156]
16. Edwardson CL, Gorely T. Activity-related parenting practices and children's objectively measured physical activity. *Pediatr Exerc Sci.* 2010; 22(1):105–13. [PubMed: 20332544]
17. Eriksson M, Rasmussen F, Tynelius P. Genetic factors in physical activity and the equal environment assumption – the Swedish Young Male Twins Study. *Behav Genet.* 2006; 36(2):238–47. [PubMed: 16502139]
18. Falconer, DS.; Mackay, TFC. *Introduction to quantitative genetics.* 4. Essex, UK: Pearson Education; 1996. p. 464
19. Fisher A, van Jaarsveld CHM, Llewellyn CH, Wardle J. Environmental influences on children's physical activity: Quantitative estimates using a twin design. *PLoS One.* 2010; 5(4):e10110. [PubMed: 20422046]
20. Franks PW, Ravussin E, Hanson RL, et al. Habitual physical activity in children: The role of genes and the environment. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2005; 82(4):901–8. [PubMed: 16210723]
21. Gustafson SL, Rhodes RE. Parental correlates of physical activity in children and early adolescents. *Sports Med.* 2006; 36(1):79–97. [PubMed: 16445312]
22. Janssen I, LeBlanc AG. Systematic review of the health benefits of physical activity and fitness in school-aged children and youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phy.* 2010; 7:40.
23. Lauderdale DS, Fabsitz R, Meyer JM, Sholinsky P, Ramakrishnan V, Goldberg J. Familial determinants of moderate and intense physical activity: A twin study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1997; 29(8):1062–8. [PubMed: 9268964]
24. McCaffery JM, Papandonatos GD, Bond DS, Lyons MJ, Wing RR. Gene x environment interaction of vigorous exercise and body mass index among male Vietnam-era twins. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2009; 89(4):1011–8. [PubMed: 19225119]
25. Mulvihill, C.; Rivers, K.; Aggleton, P. *Physical activity 'at our time': Qualitative research among young people aged 5 to 15 years and parents.* London: Health Education Authority; 2000. p. 90

26. Neale, MC.; Cardon, LR. Methodology for genetic studies of twins and families. Dordrecht, NL: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 1992. p. 496
27. Plomin R, Foch TT. A twin study of objectively assessed personality in childhood. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1980; 39(4):680–8.
28. Rhodes RE, Smith NEI. Personality correlates of physical activity: A review and meta- analysis. *Brit J Sport Med.* 2006; 40(12):958–65.
29. Ridley K, Ainsworth BE, Olds TS. Development of a compendium of energy expenditures for youth. *Int J Behav Nutr Phy.* 2008; 5:45.
30. Rietveld MJH, van der Valk JC, Bongers IL, Stroet TM, Slagboom PE, Boomsma DI. Zygosity diagnosis in young twins by parental report. *Twin Res.* 2000; 3(3):134–41. [PubMed: 11035485]
31. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000; 32(5):963–75. [PubMed: 10795788]
32. Salmon J. Factors in youth physical activity participation: From psychological aspects to environmental correlates. *Res Sports Med.* 2010; 18(1):26–36. [PubMed: 20391244]
33. Simonen R, Levälahti E, Kaprio J, Videman T, Battié MC. Multivariate genetic analysis of lifetime exercise and environmental factors. *Epidemiology.* 2004; 36(9):1559–66.
34. Stubbe JH, Boomsma DI, de Geus EJC. Sports participation during adolescence: A shift from environmental to genetic factors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2005; 37(4):563–70. [PubMed: 15809553]
35. Stubbe JH, Boomsma DI, Vink JM, et al. Genetic influences on exercise participation in 37,051 twin pairs from seven countries. *PLoS One.* 2006; 1(1):e22. [PubMed: 17183649]
36. Stubbe, JH.; De Geus, EJC. Genetics of exercise behavior. In: Kim, YK., editor. *Handbook of behavior genetics.* New York: Springer; 2009. p. 560
37. Trost SG, Sallis JF, Pate RR, Freedson PS, Taylor WC, Dowda M. Evaluating a model of parental influence on youth physical activity. *Am J Prev Med.* 2003; 25(4):277–82. [PubMed: 14580627]
38. Van der Aa N, de Geus EJC, van Beijsterveldt CEM, Boomsma DI, Bartels M. Genetic influences on individual differences in exercise behavior during adolescence. *Int J Pediatr.* 2010 Epub. 10.1155/2010/138345
39. Van der Horst K, Paw MJ, Twisk JW, van Mechelen W. A brief review on correlates of physical activity and sedentariness in youth. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007; 39(8):1241–50. [PubMed: 17762356]

Figure 1. Summary of (previous) study results: Top panel shows the relative influence of genes (A), the shared environment (C), and the unique environment (E) -indicated as percentages - on leisure time exercise behavior across the lifespan for males, bottom panels shows A, C, and E for females.

Table 1
Number of (complete) twin pairs and twin correlations (95% confidence intervals) for exercise behavior.

	Age 7		Age 10		Age 12	
	N	r	N	r	N	r
MZM	302 (297)	.94 (.93–.95)	290 (284)	.90 (.88–.92)	732 (719)	.88 (.86–.89)
DZM	350 (345)	.83 (.80–.86)	310 (298)	.56 (.48–.63)	700 (668)	.69 (.65–.73)
MZF	355 (351)	.90 (.89–.92)	339 (336)	.86 (.83–.88)	831 (821)	.88 (.86–.89)
DZF	310 (304)	.80 (.76–.83)	290 (284)	.76 (.71–.80)	689 (670)	.74 (.70–.77)
DOS	681 (671)	.39 (.32–.45)	572 (559)	.48 (.42–.54)	1,449 (1,408)	.42 (.38–.46)

Note. Separately for each sex x zygosity x age group. MZM= monozygotic male twin pair; DZM= dizygotic male twin pair; MZF= monozygotic female twin pair; DZF= dizygotic female twin pair; DOS= dizygotic opposite-sex twin pair.

Table 2

Average weekly MET hours spent on regular leisure time exercise behavior (SD) and number (percentage) of children participating in 1) team sports only, b) individual activities only, c) both kinds of activities, and d) no exercise activities at all.

	Age 7		Age 10		Age 12	
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
Weekly MET hours	13.99 (11.78)	9.74 (9.47)	22.57 (18.69)	15.29 (15.12)	28.39 (24.93)	19.33 (19.80)
a) Team sports only	546 (27.7%)	140 (7.0%)	652 (37.4%)	260 (14.3%)	1,569 (37.0%)	807 (18.1%)
b) Individual activities only	744 (37.8%)	1,392 (69.7%)	497 (28.5%)	1,034 (56.9%)	1,140 (26.9%)	2,346 (52.7%)
c) Both	398 (20.2%)	203 (10.2%)	390 (22.3%)	296 (16.3%)	926 (21.8%)	677 (15.2%)
d) Non-exercisers	281 (14.3%)	262 (13.1%)	206 (11.8%)	227 (12.5%)	604 (14.2%)	618 (13.9%)
Total number	1,969	1,997	1,745	1,817	4,239	4,448

Note. For boys and girls, separately for the three age groups.

Table 3

Correlations across age groups.

	Age 7 → 10	Age 10 → 12	Age 7 → 12
Boys	.31** (N= 170)	.36** (N= 1223)	.28** (N= 532)
Girls	.51** (N= 179)	.43** (N= 1243)	.28** (N= 522)

Note. Number of children in brackets.

Table 4

Univariate model fitting results, separately for the three age groups.

Model	vs	-2LL	df	χ^2	Δdf	p
Age 7						
1. ACE: sex differences, rcdos estimated	--	27861.87	3957	--	--	--
2. ACE: sex differences, rcdos fixed at 1	1	27962.18	3958	100.31	1	<.0001
3. ACE: no sex differences	1	27949.8	3960	87.93	3	<.0001
4a. CE: boys, ACE: girls	1	27955.22	3958	93.35	1	<.0001
4b. ACE: boys, CE: girls	1	27904.97	3958	43.09	1	<.0001
5a. AE: boys, ACE: girls	1	28040.9	3958	179.03	1	<.0001
5b. ACE: boys, AE: girls	1	28010.08	3958	148.2	1	<.0001
Age 10						
1. ACE: sex differences, rcdos estimated	--	28757.33	3553	--	--	--
2. ACE: sex differences, rcdos fixed at 1	1	28761	3554	3.67	1	0.0554
3. ACE: no sex differences	2	28923.21	3557	162.21	3	<.0001
4a. CE: boys, ACE: girls	2	28959.7	3555	198.7	1	<.0001
4b. ACE: boys, CE: girls	2	28778.86	3555	17.86	1	<.0001
5a. AE: boys, ACE: girls	2	28804.33	3555	43.33	1	<.0001
5b. ACE: boys, AE: girls	2	28867.67	3555	106.67	1	<.0001
Age 12						
1. ACE: sex differences, rcdos estimated	--	74950.01	8678	--	--	--
2. ACE: sex differences, rcdos fixed at 1.0	1	75066.17	8679	116.16	1	<.0001
3. ACE: no sex differences	1	75212.1	8681	262.09	3	<.0001
4a. CE: boys, ACE: girls	1	75111.73	8679	161.72	1	<.0001
4b. ACE: boys, CE: girls	1	75133.16	8679	183.15	1	<.0001
5a. AE: boys, ACE: girls	1	75078.92	8679	128.91	1	<.0001
5b. ACE: boys, AE: girls	1	75105.02	8679	155.01	1	<.0001

Note. vs = versus; -2LL = -2 log likelihood; df = degrees of freedom; χ^2 = chi-square test statistic; Δdf = degrees of freedom of χ^2 test; p = p-value; rcdos = shared environmental correlation between DOS twins. Most parsimonious models are printed in boldface type.

Relative contribution of Additive genetic, Common environmental and unique Environmental factors and the environmental correlation between DOS-pairs (standard error) of the best-fitting models to explain exercise participation in three age groups, separately for boys and girls (95% confidence intervals added in parentheses)

Table 5

		A	C	E	rdos
Age 7	Boys	0.24 (.18-.30)	0.71 (.64-.76)	0.06 (.05-.07)	0.47 (0.05)
	Girls	0.22 (.15-.30)	0.67 (.60-.74)	0.11 (.09-.12)	
Age 10, model 1	Boys	0.66 (.53-.81)	0.25 (.09-.38)	0.10 (.08-.12)	0.65 (0.10)
	Girls	0.16 (.09-.24)	0.72 (.64-.79)	0.11 (.10-.14)	
Age 10, model 2	Boys	0.80 (.74-.85)	0.10 (.06-.16)	0.10 (.08-.12)	1
	Girls	0.15 (.08-.23)	0.73 (.66-.79)	0.12 (.10-.14)	
Age 12	Boys	0.38 (.32-.46)	0.50 (.43-.57)	0.12 (.10-.13)	0.45 (0.04)
	Girls	0.36 (.30-.43)	0.53 (.47-.59)	0.11 (.10-.12)	

Note. rdos = shared environmental correlation between DOS twins.