Published in final edited form as:

J Mol Biol. 2012 October 12; 423(1): 17–33. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2012.06.038.

Insights into the regulatory landscape of the lysine riboswitch

Andrew D. Garst, Ely B. Porter, and Robert T. Batey[†]

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado at Boulder, UCB 596, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0596

Abstract

A prevalent means of regulating gene expression in bacteria is by riboswitches found within mRNA leader sequences. Like protein repressors these RNA elements must bind an effector molecule with high specificity against a background of other cellular metabolites of similar chemical structure to elicit the appropriate regulatory response. Current crystal structures of the lysine riboswitch do not provide a complete understanding of selectivity as recognition is substantially mediated through main chain atoms of the amino acid. Using a directed set of lysine analogs and other amino acids, the relative contributions of the polar functional groups to binding affinity and the regulatory response have been determined. Our results reveal that the lysine riboswitch has >1,000-fold specificity for lysine over other amino acids. To achieve this specificity, the aptamer is highly sensitive to the precise placement of the ϵ -amino group and relatively tolerant of alterations to the main chain functional groups. At low NTP concentrations, we observe good agreement between the half-maximal regulatory activity (T_{50}) and the affinity of the receptor for lysine (K_D) as well many of its analogs. However, above 400 μ M [NTP] the concentration of lysine required to elicit transcription termination rises, moving into the riboswitch into a kinetic control regime. These data demonstrate that under physiologically relevant conditions riboswitches can integrate both effector and NTP concentrations to generate a regulatory response appropriate for global metabolic state of the cell.

Keywords

riboswitch; transcriptional regulation; RNA structure; X-ray crystallography; kinetics

Introduction

Non-protein coding RNA regions in mRNAs play a number of critical roles in determining its expression fate. In bacteria one of the most pervasive types of mRNA regulatory elements discovered thus far are riboswitches, sequences found in the 5' leader that control gene expression in response to direct binding of a small molecule^{1,2}. These effectors include a diverse array of molecules such as amino acids^{1,2}, purine nucleobases^{3–5}, aminosugars⁶,

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

[†]Corresponding Author Tel: (303)735-2159, Fax: (303)492-5894, robert.batey@colorado.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Accession Numbers

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under the accession numbers 4ERJ (6ACA bound) and 4ERL (KG bound).

Supplementary Information

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at (web address here).

and a variety of enzymatic cofactors and secondary messenger molecules⁷⁻⁹. Most riboswitches contain two modular domains that perform the functions of ligand binding (the aptamer domain) and regulation (the expression platform). Communication between these domains is mediated by a ligand-dependent partitioning of a small sequence to either the aptamer domain or expression platform. This partitioning determines the secondary structure adopted by the expression platform that dictates the regulatory outcome (Fig. 1a).

Considerable effort has been invested in deciphering the structural features of riboswitch aptamer domains that enable the mRNA to bind the effector with both high affinity and specificity to achieve precise genetic control against a background of highly similar chemicals in the cell. For example, purine riboswitches distinguish between guanine and adenine with >20,000 fold specificity based on the identity of a single pyrimidine that base pairs with the purine ligand^{4,5}. Likewise, the various classes of *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM) binding riboswitches discriminate SAM from *S*-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH), a toxic byproduct of SAM methylation reactions, through electrostatic interactions with the sulfonium ion of SAM¹⁰⁻¹³. Displacement of critical carbonyls away from the sulfonium ion leads to a dramatic loss in the ability of the SAM-I riboswitch to discriminate between the two compounds¹⁰. Specificity can also be mediated in part by associated solvent and ions tightly coordinated with the ligand-RNA interaction, as observed in the FMN, thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP), and lysine riboswitches¹⁴⁻¹⁷. Due to the fact that many riboswitches control the production or uptake of metabolites critical for normal growth and reproduction these RNAs are considered to be potentially important therapeutic targets for disrupting microbial metabolism¹⁸. Knowledge of the mechanisms by which effector specificity is achieved by riboswitches informs our understanding on patterns of gene regulation in bacteria and is essential for guiding rational design of novel compounds to target these RNAs as potential antimicrobials.

An additional constraint encountered by many riboswitches is that they must function within a short timeframe. This limitation arises in transcription attenuation where a regulatory decision must be made when the RNA polymerase reaches the intrinsic transcriptional terminator¹⁹. Thus, the rates of ligand association and dissociation can significantly influence the concentration of effector required to elicit a regulatory response. This kinetic constraint is thought to account for the observation that many riboswitches require ligand concentrations much greater than the equilibrium binding constant (K_D) to effectively regulate transcription, a phenomenon termed “kinetic control”²⁰. This is in contrast with “thermodynamic control” in which the concentration of the effector to half saturate the aptamer is equal to the concentration of effector required to elicit a half-maximal regulatory response (the T_{50}). For example, a detailed analysis of the flavin mononucleotide (FMN) riboswitch demonstrated that the T_{50} for this RNA is ~10–100 fold in excess of the K_D ²¹, which was attributed to the slow binding kinetics of FMN. Similar observations have been made for the guanine²², lysine²³⁻²⁵, SAM-I²⁶, cyclic-diGMP²⁷ and tetrahydrofolate (THF)²⁸ riboswitches, suggesting that kinetic control may be a general feature of all riboswitches that act through transcriptional attenuation.

While it is tempting to make this generalization, many factors influence the rate of transcription and thus the regulatory timeframe. For example, intrinsic pause sites²⁹, transcription factors such as NusA and NusG^{30,31} and NTP concentrations have all been demonstrated to influence pausing and transcriptional attenuation^{21,32}. The degree to which these factors can exert their regulatory influence however remains poorly understood. For example the intracellular concentration of NTPs varies by factor of 2- to 5-fold during different bacterial growth phases³³, playing a central role in regulating the transcription of pyrimidine biosynthetic genes³⁴, ribosomal RNA³⁵, and a variety of other processes^{34,36,37}.

Most studies of transcriptional regulation by riboswitches however have focused on limited conditions thereby ignoring a potentially important aspects of the regulatory landscape.

To explore the relationship between equilibrium affinity (K_D), binding kinetics (k_{on} and k_{off}) and regulatory activity (T_{50}), we have examined the mechanistic details of the *Bacillus subtilis* *lysC* lysine-responsive riboswitch. The aptamer of this riboswitch folds into a complex structure involving the packing of multiple helices together that organize a binding pocket for lysine within a five-way junction (Fig. 1b, c)^{17,38}. To better define how this RNA achieves lysine specificity against a background of abundant amino acids that bear similar main chain functionality we have measured the K_D and binding kinetics for lysine and a number of related analogs. These data provide a benchmark for comparative analysis of the binding affinity and kinetics of the aptamer to transcriptional regulation, allowing us to examine the relationships between these parameters. Previous studies of the *B. subtilis* *lysC* riboswitch suggest that the T_{50} for lysine is ~1000 fold greater than K_D ^{23,24,39}. However, we demonstrate that lysine promotes efficient transcriptional termination with a T_{50} equivalent to the K_D under low NTP concentrations—the hallmark of thermodynamic control. A number of lysine analogs also regulate transcriptional termination at concentrations near K_D , consistent with a simplified kinetic model of transcriptional regulation based on the observed binding rate constants. However, at >400 μ M NTP concentrations, transcriptional termination requires ~5-fold higher concentrations of lysine. This suggests that the regulatory efficiency of this RNA could be tuned by the metabolic state of the cell, such as under nutrient limiting conditions. Under high [NTP], the riboswitch also exhibits a greater degree of selectivity for lysine over non-cognate ligands with the exception of two of the main chain derivatives whose rapid binding kinetics appear to render them insensitive to transcription speeds.

Results

Characterization and validation of a 2-aminopurine labeled receptor

To establish the apparent equilibrium dissociation constant ($K_{D,app}$) for each of the compounds used in this study we site-specifically labeled a two-piece aptamer derived from the *B. subtilis* *lysC* riboswitch. A fluorescent 2-aminopurine (2AP) nucleotide was incorporated at a non-conserved position in the five-way junction (G193, Fig. 2a). Chemical foot printing studies have demonstrated that this position undergoes a significant conformational change upon lysine binding^{25,38} and is solvent exposed in the crystal structure^{17,38}. These data imply that this nucleotide transitions from as tacked conformation with other nucleotides in the unbound aptamer to a solvent exposed conformation upon lysine binding. This is further supported by a previous study from the Blouin *et.al.* that also used a 2AP reporter at this position to monitor the effects of Mg^{2+} on global folding of the RNA²³. As expected, a strong increase in fluorescence was observed upon the addition of lysine consistent with the labeled position becoming flipped out into solution upon binding(Fig. 2b). Fitting the data to a simple two-state binding model yielded a $K_{D,app}$ for lysine of $53 \pm 6 \mu$ M at 37 °C (Table 1).

The affinity of lysine for the 2AP reporter is significantly weaker than previous reports^{17,25,39}. However, folding and ligand binding by the lysine aptamer have been shown to exhibit a strong Mg^{2+} dependence^{17,23,40}, suggesting that our choice of experimental conditions could be responsible for this discrepancy. To differentiate between this and the possibility that our two-piece construct design perturbs ligand binding we measured the affinity of lysine for the wild type *B. subtilis* *lysC* aptamer domain (nucleotides 32–199, Fig. S1) under the same experimental conditions using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). A $K_{D,app}$ of $18 \pm 2.2 \mu$ M was measured for lysine at 37 °C (Fig. 2c), within ~3-fold of the 2AP reporter. The measured $\Delta H^\circ = -21 \pm 1.6 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ and $-T\Delta S^\circ = 15 \pm 2.0 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{mol}^{-1}$ are

comparable to values obtained of other riboswitch aptamer-ligand interactions^{4,10,41} and agree well with previous ITC data of the lysine riboswitch derived from *Clostridium acetobutylicum*⁴¹, reflecting a highly enthalpically-driven binding event.

As second test of the validity of the 2AP reporter the effect of potassium on lysine binding was measured. This ion mediates recognition of the α -carboxy group of lysine (Fig. 1c), and was shown to enhance lysine binding affinity in previous biochemical studies¹⁷. Substitution of potassium with sodium in our buffer conditions results in a ~10-fold decrease in affinity (Fig. 2b) confirming the importance of this monovalent cation in mediating a high affinity interaction. Interestingly, comparison of absolute fluorescence between the two monovalent cations suggests that K^+ selectively stabilizes the flipped out conformation of the 2AP reporter as evidenced by the increased change in fluorescence at saturating lysine, while having no apparent effect on the unliganded state. This interpretation is consistent with primer extension assays that demonstrated that potassium has no effect on reverse transcriptase pausing in the absence of lysine¹⁷, and suggests that this ion is recruited specifically to the RNA-ligand complex as opposed to stabilizing preformed structures in the unliganded aptamer.

Molecular determinants of specificity

In their cellular context, riboswitches must contend with a complex chemical environment that challenges their ability to coordinate gene expression in response to a specific effector. Recent studies have provided evidence that some riboswitch aptamers can bind alternative cellular ligands. For example, binding different hexose sugars has been demonstrated to inhibit glucose-6-phosphate dependent cleavage by the *glmS* riboswitch *in vitro* and *in vivo*⁴² thereby enabling the riboswitch to integrate multiple cellular cues into a single regulatory output. While selectivity of the lysine riboswitch appears to be determined by an electrostatic interaction with the ϵ -amino group of lysine (Fig. 1c), the α -amine and carboxylate groups provide a significant recognition surface that might support binding of alternative amino acids. Docking of the other standard amino acids into the binding pocket, which is assumed to be rigid, suggests that the side chain of many of these molecules could also potentially form favorable interactions with the RNA (Fig. S2a).

To determine whether the lysine aptamer can productively bind other amino acids we tested a subset of the proteogenic amino acids that have high intracellular concentrations⁴³ and are complementary to the RNA binding site (Fig. S2a). Most of these amino acids, including alanine, asparagine, threonine and methionine, showed no fluorescence change in the 2AP labeled aptamer (Fig. S2b). Only serine displayed some binding, albeit with extremely low affinity (>20 mM), well above its intracellular concentration (~70 μ M⁴³). This is consistent with the observation that non-standard amino acids such as ornithine or norleucine that displace or remove the ϵ -amine are also unable to bind this RNA²⁵. Thus, the backbone atoms, while certainly contributing to binding affinity of lysine, are insufficient on their own. Furthermore these data argue that positioning of the amino group adjacent to the G110 phosphate and O4' ribosyl oxygen (Fig. 1c) is the primary basis for discrimination against the abundant amino acids present in the cell.

To specifically address the thermodynamic contributions of each polar functional group we next measured the binding affinity of a set of compounds that systematically test key features of the binding interface (Fig. 3, Table 1). From previous crystallographic studies there are two sets of interactions between the ϵ -amino group and the RNA hypothesized to be important: an electrostatic interaction between the amine and the non-bridging phosphate oxygen of G110 and a water-mediated interaction between the amine and the 2'-OH of G143. Since the 2'-OH of G143 also interacts with the α -amino group of lysine, the hydroxyl group acts a hydrogen bond donor to this water (W1, Fig. 1c). The importance of

the water was assessed using *N*-methyllysine (NML), which maintains the position and charge of the side chain amine, but is expected to displace W1 in order to accommodate the additional methyl group within the binding site. The ~34-fold decrease in affinity of NML relative to lysine verifies that this water accounts for a significant energetic contact with the side chain.

To further address the contribution of the side chain to binding energetics, we tested a set of compounds that perturb the presence and localization of the positive charge without displacing the amine (Fig. 3): *N*- ϵ -formyllysine (FL), *N*- ϵ -acetyllysine (ACL) and *N*-iminoethyl-lysine (IEL). FL and ACL ablate the positive charge on the nitrogen as well as adding steric bulk to the ϵ -amino group. Structures of homoarginine and IEL bound to this RNA¹⁷ suggest that the additional functionality of FL and ACL would displace a water molecule (W1) with a carbonyl oxygen that could act as a hydrogen bond acceptor from the 2'-OH group of G143 (Fig. 1c). Surprisingly, despite lacking a formal charge on the side chain, these compounds exhibit only a 4.5-fold loss in affinity relative to NML. This clearly shows that the positive charge of the amino group is not essential for productive binding, particularly if extended hydrogen bonding contacts are maintained. Most of the energetic penalty associated with these compounds can presumably be accounted for by their additional steric bulk, as evidenced by *N*- ϵ -iminoethyllysine (IEL) which can localize positive charge to the ϵ -amino group but binds only ~2-fold better than NML and ~10-fold worse than lysine. Together, these data argue that both positive charge and water-mediated interactions cooperate to provide optimal ligand recognition. We also found that the addition of very large functional groups to the ϵ -amino group of lysine, such as those found in biocytin and γ -glutamate- ϵ -N-lysine are not tolerated (Fig. 3), indicating that the binding pocket cannot rearrange to accommodate bulkier modifications to the side chain.

In contrast to the side chain, the main chain functional groups of lysine appear to play a lesser role in establishing a high affinity interaction with the lysine riboswitch aptamer domain. For example, compared with a >5 kcal/mol penalty associated with deletion of the ϵ -amine, deletion of the α -amine (6ACA, Fig. 3) carries an energetic penalty of only 2.5 kcal/mol corresponding to loss of hydrogen bonding with the N3 and 2'-OH groups of G143 (Fig. 1c). Furthermore the α -carboxyl group appears to be particularly tolerant to modification as exemplified by the small energetic penalties (1.5 kcal/mol) associated with the alteration of this functional group. For example, neutralization of the carboxylate with the analog lysinamide (LysN) causes no loss in binding affinity, despite the likelihood that it displaces the K⁺ ion adjacent to G40 (Fig. 1c). Likewise, a methylester (MEL) at this position decreases binding affinity by only ~3-fold. The addition of ethyl (EEL) or glycyl (KG) moieties of increased steric bulk further diminish affinity, but not nearly to the same extent as modifications to the amino groups. Beyond a single glycine (KG dipeptide), the RNA cannot accommodate larger peptides (KG₂ – KG₄), again suggesting that the flexibility of this binding site is limited.

Rigidity of the lysine binding pocket

To determine the nature of the global and local structural rearrangements of the RNA induced by the various ligands tested above we employed a chemical probing technique known as selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension or "SHAPE"⁴⁴. This method generally assesses local flexibility of the backbone with single nucleotide resolution based on reactivity of ribose 2'-hydroxyl groups with *N*-methylisatoic anhydride (NMIA)⁴⁵. Reactivity of NMIA is determined by the conformation of the ribose sugar bearing the 2'-hydroxyl group with the C2-endo configuration being the reactive state and has been demonstrated to strongly correlate with the NMR order parameter⁴⁶. Nucleotides in the five-way junction exhibit high reactivity towards NMIA in the absence of ligand indicating that this region of the RNA is conformationally flexible (Fig. 4). Addition of saturating ligand

concentrations (1 mM lysine or 10 mM of lysine analogs) demonstrates a similar set of chemical reactivity protections for each of the junction segments in complex with the various analogs (Fig. 4). The reactivity pattern for the majority of nucleotides is unperturbed by ligand binding (Fig. S3), consistent with the RNA adopting a preformed tertiary architecture as observed by small angle x-ray scattering^{38,41}. These data strongly argue that all of the ligands that productively bind the RNA induce the same structural rearrangements of the binding pocket.

To further investigate how main chain derivatives are accommodated within the binding site, we determined the crystal structure of the 6ACA and KG compounds in complex with the *T. maritima* aptamer using X-ray crystallography. With the exception of G193, a nucleotide that is flipped out towards solution and phylogenetically variable, the sequence of the ligand-binding core of the *T. maritima* and *B. subtilis* lysine aptamers are identical (Fig. S4). Thus, interpretation of structures of the *T. maritima* aptamer bound to various ligands is highly likely to be valid for the *B. subtilis* riboswitch. These crystals diffracted to ~3.0 Å resolution and models were generated by standard molecular placement methods using the free state lysine riboswitch structure in which solvent molecules and nucleotides around the binding pocket were removed (PDB ID: 3D0X) (crystallographic and model statistics are detailed in Supplementary Table 1). Maximum likelihood superimposition of the refined models (Fig. 5) with the original lysine bound RNA using THESEUS⁴⁷ revealed the RNA adopts an identical fold when bound to these analogs as inferred from the chemical probing analysis (Fig. 4). The average displacement of all of the atoms within the binding pocket was less than the coordinate error of the structure.

The structures of the main chain derivatives reveal that these compounds orient themselves within the rigid pocket to maximize hydrogen bonding interactions with the RNA. In the KG-RNA complex, the glycine residue of the dipeptide is clearly identifiable in simulated annealing omit maps (Fig. S5), extending an additional 3.6 Å away from the central cavity of the RNA. The terminal carboxyl group of the dipeptide appears to form a hydrogen bond with the 2'-hydroxyl group of G143 at the base of the P4 helix (Fig. 5a). Interestingly the interaction replaces an ordered solvent molecule that coordinates to the K⁺ ion when lysine is bound, indicating that water mediated contacts may be important in multiple facets of the binding interface. In the 6ACA bound structure, the simulated annealing omit maps reveal two distinct peaks of density in the binding pocket (Fig. S5). One peak can be clearly distinguished as the 6ACA compound while the second peak was modeled as water (Fig. 5b, Fig. S5). The carboxyl group of 6ACA is positioned adjacent to a well-ordered solvent molecule and within hydrogen bonding distance to the sugar edge of G143 at the base of P4 thereby replacing interactions lost by removal of the α-amino group of lysine. The overall similarity of the junction bound to these analogs suggests that this element is relatively rigid and requires that lysine analogs adapt to the binding site by either formation of new hydrogen bonding interactions or recruiting ordered solvent to mediate ligand-RNA contacts. This is consistent with previous results from Serganov *et.al.* in which the various side chain analogs stabilized an identical RNA conformation¹⁷.

Binding kinetics suggest a rapid approach to equilibrium

The activity of riboswitches that regulate transcription have been shown to be influenced by the kinetic properties of ligand binding such that the concentration of effector required to elicit the half-maximal regulatory response (T_{50}) can be significantly higher than the concentration needed to half-saturate the aptamer domain (K_D)⁴⁸. To define the relationship between binding and activity of the *B. subtilis* *lysC* riboswitch the kinetic parameters of lysine binding were measured using stopped flow experiments that monitor changes in fluorescence of the 2AP labeled lysine aptamer as a function of time. Lysine association and dissociation was measured under identical conditions as K_D measurements (50 mM Tris-

HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA at 37 °C) using pseudo-first order conditions in which the ligand is in excess over the labeled RNA⁴⁹. The observed rate of association (k_{obs}) was measured under a variety of lysine concentrations and the data fit to a linear equation to obtain estimates of $k_{\text{on}} = 4600 \pm 200 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_{\text{off}} = 0.2 \pm 0.06 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for this RNA (Fig. 6a). Direct measurement of k_{off} using a rapid dilution approach in which the preformed complex is diluted with an equal volume of buffer yields a comparable value $0.4 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6b).

Kinetic measurements for a representative set of lysine analogs reveal that most modifications to the ligand slow the association kinetics (k_{on}) by at least an order of magnitude (Table 2). The most significant drop in the association rate is observed with ACL that abrogates the electrostatic interaction between the side chain and RNA. Conversely, LysN exhibits a ~40-fold increase in the association rate over lysine. One explanation for this observed trend is that it correlates to the net charge of the ligand, with each increase in the net charge by +1 resulting in substantial increase in k_{on} . Direct dissociation rate (k_{off}) measurements were also made for each compound; these values are more consistent with that of lysine, except for LysN which dissociates ~200-fold more rapidly than lysine. Importantly the K_D calculated using the observed k_{on} and k_{off} values is within ~1–2 fold of that obtained from equilibrium studies (Table 2). Slightly greater deviation was observed for the ACL and EEL compounds perhaps reflecting a more complex binding mechanism not readily apparent from the current analysis.

Assuming a simple two state model for the aptamer binding reaction, the observed binding rate constants (k_{obs}) can be directly related to an expected T_{50} response by the relationship:

$$T_{50-\text{calc}} = \frac{[RL]}{[R]_T} (\Delta t_{\text{RNAP}}) = \left(\frac{[L]^n}{[L]^n + K_D} \right) (1 - e^{k_{\text{obs}} + \Delta t_{\text{RNAP}}}) \quad (1)$$

where $([RL]/[R]_T)(\Delta t_{\text{RNAP}})$ is the fractional saturation of the aptamer at time Δt_{RNAP} and $[L]$ is the ligand concentration⁵⁰. Δt_{RNAP} is defined as the time required for transcription to proceed from the 3' end of the aptamer domain (the earliest possible time at which binding can occur, or $t = 0$) to the uridine-rich tract of the rho-independent terminator stem at the 3' boundary of the expression platform. The 12–14 nucleotide foot print of the transcription elongation complex (TEC)⁵¹ suggests that the aptamer becomes binding competent after the first 212 nucleotides of transcription (Fig. S1). This provides an additional ~60 nucleotides of transcript before the TEC reaches the downstream termination site. Using physiologically realistic rates of 10–100 nt/s^{52,53} for bacterial RNA polymerase we can therefore model theoretical T_{50} values based on this sequence length and the kinetics of ligand binding as shown previously⁵⁰, where each individual curve represents a specific rate of transcription (Fig. 6c). The ratio of the calculated T_{50} and the measured equilibrium dissociation constant for ligand binding to the aptamer ($T_{50,\text{calc}}/K_{D,\text{obs}}$) plotted against the rate of transcription (or alternatively, Δt_{RNAP}) describes the conditions under which the riboswitch is kinetically versus thermodynamically controlled, where the latter is defined as $T_{50,\text{calc}}/K_{D,\text{obs}} \cong 1$ (Fig. 6d).

This analysis predicts that the *B. subtilis* *lysC* lysine riboswitch is under thermodynamic control when Δt_{RNAP} is 3–5 seconds, corresponding to transcription speeds of ~12–20 nt/s. This rate of transcription is in the range of that of *E. coli* RNA polymerase in the presence of the NusA, a highly abundant cellular transcription factor that slows the translocation step of elongation^{54,55}. On the other hand, if we assume maximal transcription rates of ~100 nt/s, these calculations predict that the T_{50} will increase by a factor of ~5 fold (from ~50 μM to ~260 μM). Extension of this analysis to the lysine analogs reveals that despite large differences in k_{on} , the relatively fast dissociation kinetics of these compounds still allow a

rapid approach to equilibrium relative to standard rates of transcription (Table 2). Most of the analogs also would be sensitive to Δt_{RNAP} similar to lysine, although LysN is predicted to equilibrate rapidly enough to regulate at similar concentrations regardless of transcription speed.

Analysis of transcriptional regulation and the effects of transcription speed

To test the predictions made by the above kinetic model, a single turnover *in vitro* transcription assay was used to directly measure the amounts of read through and terminated transcript as a function of ligand. Transcriptions were performed with *E. coli* RNA polymerase at 50 μM NTP concentrations using a DNA template containing the genomic *B. subtilis* *lysC* leader sequence fused to a T7A1 promoter as described previously^{56,57} (Fig. 7a). While the *E. coli* polymerase has been demonstrated to exhibit more pronounced pausing behavior than the *B. subtilis* RNA polymerase^{36,58}, previous studies of riboswitch regulation suggest that the observed T_{50} is not significantly perturbed by the choice of enzyme^{21,36,39,59}.

Notably, protocols outlined for these *in vitro* transcription assays typically exclude potassium from the transcription buffer and use high concentrations of Mg^{2+} (20 mM)^{56,57}. The lysine riboswitch is highly sensitive to both the identity and concentration of monovalent and divalent cations¹⁷, which significantly influence the affinity of the *lysC* aptamer for lysine. To account for this we have used buffer conditions that closely approximate those of the kinetic and thermodynamic binding studies (70 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl, 10 mM KCl, 5 mM Mg^{2+}) with the exception of lower NaCl concentrations (20 mM versus 100 mM) that has no influence the observed binding affinity for lysine (data not shown).

Transcription assays performed at low NTP concentrations (50 μM) revealed an apparent T_{50} of $61 \pm 9 \mu\text{M}$ for lysine, approximately equal to the $K_{D,\text{app}}$ ($53 \pm 5 \mu\text{M}$) when the quantified data is fit to a two-state transition model (Fig. 7a and 7b, Table 3). This observation is consistent with kinetic simulations above that predict the riboswitch to be under thermodynamic control under slow transcription speeds. These data also argue that previous reports indicating a 1000-fold difference between the K_D ($\sim 1 \mu\text{M}$) and T_{50} ($\sim 1 \text{ mM}$)^{23,25,39} can be largely attributed to the differences in the experimental conditions used for binding and transcription studies rather than to binding kinetics. The close agreement between the $K_{D,\text{app}}$ and $T_{50,\text{app}}$ also suggests that folding of the aptamer into a binding competent state occurs on a timescale that does not significantly influence the binding reaction. In support of this hypothesis, polymer models of RNA folding would predict a folding time constant of 0.175 seconds based on the 170 nucleotide chain length of the lysine aptamer domain⁶⁰.

One issue with the above experiment is that the concentration of NTPs used (50 μM) is much less than that found within rapidly growing *E. coli*⁴³. Instead, this condition corresponds to the lower limit of the NTP concentrations found in stationary phase cells^{23,33,61,62}. To further define the relationship between binding kinetics and regulatory response we measured the T_{50} for lysine over a range of NTP concentrations, which increases the rate of nucleotide incorporation and thereby decreases Δt_{RNAP} (Fig. 7c). Between 50–400 μM NTPs we observed no significant change in the T_{50} , however a relatively sharp increase is observed at concentrations between 400 and 800 μM , reaching a maximum of $\sim 290 \mu\text{M}$ for lysine at high NTP concentrations (Table 3). This ~ 5 fold change in the regulatory response agrees extremely well with our kinetic data at the expected extremes for transcription speed (Fig. 6c and 6d). We also observed that the dynamic range of the regulatory response decreases slightly as a function of transcription speed (Fig. 7d), suggesting that at rapid transcription speeds the terminator element has insufficient time to

form before the RNAP escapes the uridine-rich tract. Similar loss of regulatory efficiency has been observed for transcriptional regulation of the *trp* operon by the RNA binding TRAP protein⁶³.

To assess the effects of altered ligand contacts on the specificity of the regulatory response we tested various lysine analogs for their ability to regulate transcription using NTP concentrations of 50 μ M and 1 mM respectively (Fig. 8). Under slow transcription conditions the regulatory response closely approximates the K_{D-obs} for most analogs ($T_{50-50 \mu M}$, orange bars), again consistent with kinetic predictions. In contrast, the regulatory behaviors many of the analogs deviate from kinetic expectations at high NTP concentrations ($T_{50-1 mM}$, red bars). For example, the carboxyl-ester analogs MEL and EEL are required at significantly higher concentrations than can be accounted for by their binding kinetics (Table 2). Likewise perturbation of the side chain contacts has significant influence on the regulatory response of the RNA at high NTP concentrations. While the regulatory behavior of NML is consistent with the kinetic predictions, side chain analogs that delocalize or neutralize this charge are unable to regulate at any of the concentrations tested (up to 50 mM) (Fig. 8, Table 3). Surprisingly, loss of the main chain amine had the most pronounced effect on regulation, as 6ACA exhibited no apparent activity at any of the transcription conditions tested. Collectively these data imply that proper placement of the positively charged amines provides added selectivity for lysine during rapid transcription, while the carboxylate group helps to anchor the ligand to the P1 element to elicit a regulatory response. These data further indicate that the slower association rates observed for many noncognate ligands may hinder their regulatory activities, while increased binding rates impart an efficient regulatory response regardless of transcription speed.

Discussion

Lysine biosynthesis is an important aspect of normal cellular metabolism beyond its requirement as a component of proteins. Key intermediates of lysine biosynthesis such as *meso*-diaminopimalate and dihydropicolinate are essential for cell wall viability and sporulation in bacteria, and thus numerous mechanisms have evolved for controlling this important metabolic pathway^{24,64}. In this study, we have further explored how lysine riboswitch achieves selectivity using a series of chemical analogs that present specific challenges to recognition of polar functional groups of lysine. Previous studies of this riboswitch have hypothesized that its specificity for L-lysine over related cellular metabolites is primarily mediated by appropriate placement of the charged ϵ -amine^{25,39}. Our data demonstrate that while placement of the ϵ -amine is required to productively interact with the RNA, a formal charge is not essential. This reflects the highly favorable hydrogen bonding network formed with the ϵ -amine both by solvent mediated contacts and by direct interactions with the backbone of the RNA.

Additional binding selectivity for lysine is gained by forming relatively weak interactions with main chain atoms thereby prohibiting more promiscuous amino acid binding, certainly a reflection of this selective pressure on the aptamer domain in its biological context. Previous studies focused primarily upon the methylene groups of the side chain and showed that the aptamer can accommodate even relatively bulky groups such as a sulfonyl group at the C4 position³⁹. Combined with our data on the tolerance of the RNA to bulky modifications of the carboxylate group, it is clear that this RNA can bind a variety of non-natural lysine analogs with relatively high affinity, perhaps reflecting the excess volume of the binding cavity (188 $\text{\AA}^3$) compared with that of lysine (116 $\text{\AA}^3$). In contrast, other riboswitch classes such as the purine riboswitch form tightly enclosed binding sites that preclude the presence of additional ligand functionality, resulting in high affinity interactions only with analogs that have near ideal shape complementarity^{3,65-67}. The ability

of the lysine riboswitch to productively bind the variety of compounds thus far observed is perhaps most surprising in light of the structural data presented here that argue for nonplasticity of the RNA surrounding the binding site that enforces a strict hydrogen bond donor/acceptor constellation.

While many studies have examined the thermodynamics and kinetics of ligand binding to riboswitch aptamers this study endeavored to correlate these data with an *in vitro* transcription assay capable of quantifying the regulatory response. To do this, we adopted a kinetic model developed by Wickiser *et al.*^{50,68} (eqn. 1) that relates the regulatory activity (T_{50}) to kinetic binding parameters (k_{on} and k_{off}) and a time allowed for equilibration as determined by the rate of transcription (Δt_{RNAP}). Surprisingly, from this analysis, we observe that at low NTP concentrations lysine dependent regulation is essentially under thermodynamic control in contrast to previous observations^{23,39}. However, this is consistent with expectations from calculation of the T_{50} using experimentally observed binding kinetics and a moderate rate of transcription, suggesting that under cellular conditions that slow the rate of transcription many riboswitches maybe under thermodynamic control. A recent study of the *lysC* riboswitch that utilized the *B. subtilis* polymerase observed moderately lower T_{50} values⁶⁹ with respect to our measurements (53 μ M for *Eco*RNAP versus 15 μ M for *Bsu*RNAP). These experiments were performed under comparably low NTP concentration (10 μ M versus 50 μ M in this work), but at significantly higher magnesium concentrations that increase the affinity of the aptamer for lysine (our unpublished data). Nonetheless, comparison of our data with that collected with *Bsu*RNAP indicates that the two enzymes are regulated by the *lysC* leader similarly and are under thermodynamic control at low NTP conditions.

At higher NTP concentrations that reflect that found in rapidly dividing cells, regulation dramatically shifts from thermodynamic to kinetic control (Fig. 7b). While these experiments were performed with the *E. coli* RNAP transcribing a *B. subtilis* leader sequence, we expect this observation to be consistent between the two enzymes. *B. subtilis* RNAP is known to be less prone to intrinsic pausing than the *E. coli* enzyme³⁶, resulting in faster overall transcription through the leader and thus a shorter Δt_{RNAP} . Since pausing becomes less efficient at higher NTP concentrations, the T_{50} for *Bsu*RNAP could be more easily pushed into a kinetic control regime. However, it is important to note that in all of these studies employ minimal transcriptional systems devoid of transcription factors such as NusA and NusG that influence pausing and attenuation^{32,36}. Thus, these *in vitro* systems likely fail to capture the true complexity of the factors that influence *in vivo* performance. Nonetheless, the minimal transcription system using *E. coli* RNAP provides new insights regarding the regulatory landscape of riboswitches that requires further investigation in the context of the cell.

It is important to note that our kinetic model does not account for some salient details of the transcriptional response. For example, we observe that 30–40% of the transcripts are terminated in the absence of ligand, and a maximal termination efficiency of ~80% under saturating ligand concentrations. This observation is typical of the regulatory response of many riboswitches, and reflects aspects of the co transcriptional folding process that are not ligand dependent, and therefore excluded from our binding model. A second assumption that we make is a direct relationship between the sequence length and Δt_{RNAP} , which implies that transcription proceeds at a constant rate. This assumption is likely violated as the RNA polymerase elongation complex is prone to transcriptional pausing under many circumstances^{70,71}. Transcription pausing not only has the potential to prolong the Δt_{RNAP} for transcription of the expression platform, as demonstrated for the *B. subtilis* FMN riboswitch²¹, but can also play an important role in guiding more efficient folding of large RNAs^{72,73} as recently implicated for the B_{12} riboswitch⁷⁴. Differences in the pausing

behavior of the RNA polymerase, along with the slow association rates of non-cognate ligands (Table 2) may therefore account for the variable efficacy of lysine analogs to regulate transcription at high NTP concentrations (Fig. 8). The difficulty of identifying pause sites from the primary sequence alone however motivates further empirical examination of pausing in the context of this and other riboswitch families.

The influence of NTP concentration on transcription attenuation has been studied for a number of systems including protein dependent regulation of the *trp* operon^{37,37,63}, tRNA dependent regulation of the *glyQS* gene³⁶, and on the intrinsic efficiency of a variety of rho-independent terminators⁷⁵. As was observed for the *LysC* riboswitch (Fig. 7b), regulation by the cognate effector in these systems is markedly influenced at similar NTP concentrations (400–500 μ M) *in vitro*. As transcription attenuation represents a broadly used regulatory mechanism for biosynthetic operons in bacteria⁷⁶ we hypothesize that a collective increase in the sensitivity of attenuation mechanisms provides a global regulatory strategy for optimizing biosynthetic needs under resource limited conditions. Such a strategy would presumably complement other NTP sensing mechanisms such as those that control pyrimidine biosynthesis³⁴ and ribosome biosynthesis³⁵, the latter being a key determinant of the overall growth rate of bacteria. Further analysis of riboswitch mediated transcription attenuation will be therefore required to decipher the degree to which different riboswitches couple regulation to global aspects of metabolism, and should yield additional insights into how microorganisms tailor their metabolism to function robustly in diverse environmental conditions.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of RNA

A 2-aminopurine (2AP) modified oligonucleotide with the sequence GGAGUCUUUCUUGGAG-2AP-GCUAUCUCUCC was chemically synthesized by Dharmacon Inc. All other RNAs in this study were synthesized using T7 RNA polymerase and purified according to previously established protocols^{23,25,39,77}. Purified RNAs were stored in 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA buffer and stored at –20 °C prior to use.

To assure proper annealing of the two piece RNA construct the sequence derived from the *B. subtilis* *lysC* aptamer was engineered using the following 5' and 3' primers to PCR amplify from a cloned plasmid containing the entire promoter and 5' leader segments of this gene (5'-

TAATACGACTACTATAGGCGTGAGCAGACTCTTTTTTGGAGAGATAGAGGTGCG AAC and 3'-

TAAACGACCGGCACGTAACCTATTACATTCCGACAGTTCTTTCTGAGG) to produce an unlabeled RNA with the sequence:

GGAGAGAUAGAGGUGCGAACUUAAGAGUAUGCCUUUGGAGAAAGAUGGAU UCUGUGAAAAAGGCUGAAAGGGGAGCGUCGCCGAAGCAAUAAAACCCCAUCG GUAUUAAUUGCUGGCCGUGCAUUGAAUAAAUGUAAGGCUGUCAAGAAAGACU CC.

The two-piece RNA used in the titration experiments was constructed by annealing in a 50 mM Na-HEPES, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl buffer. The unlabeled RNA was added to a final concentration of 3 μ M to a 1 μ M stock of 2AP labeled RNA and heated in a PCR block to 85 °C for 2 minutes, and cooling at a rate of 0.1 °C/sec with a 2 minute hold at 10° intervals down to 4 °C. Annealed products were analyzed by native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis to ensure the quality of the annealing reaction was optimal. Under these conditions the labeled oligonucleotide was verified to incorporate with high efficiency into a single species corresponding to the appropriate size product.

Fluorescence Spectroscopy

All ligand titrations were carried out in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl and 5 mM MgCl₂ and 10 mM KCl with a 100 nM RNA unless indicated otherwise. Changes in the fluorescence of the 2AP reporter as a function of ligand concentration were measured using Tecan96 well plate reader for all room temperature experiments and in a Chirascan fluorescence spectrometer for 37 °C experiments. Data were fit to the equation

$$\Delta F = F_{min} + (F_{max} - F_{min}) \times \left(\frac{[L]^n}{[L]^n + K_d} \right) \quad (2)$$

where ΔF is the measured change in fluorescence [L] is the ligand concentration, and F_{min} is the initial fluorescence and $F_{max} - F_{min}$ represents the total magnitude of the change in measured fluorescence change for the titration.

Isothermal Titration Calorimetry

The wild type *lysC* riboswitch aptamer from *B. subtilis* (RFAM accession ABQL01000005.1/1891692-1891513) was dialyzed overnight at 4 °C in 50 mM Na-HEPES pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 10 mM KCl. The RNA was diluted to a final concentration of 60 μM and titrated with lysine that had been dissolved directly in the dialysis buffer at concentrations 10-fold in excess of the RNA. Titrations were all performed at 20° C and 37 °C using the VP-ITC micro calorimeter (Microcal, Inc.). Data analysis and fitting was performed with the Origin 5.0 software suite (Origin Laboratories) as previously described⁷⁸.

Chemical probing

Chemical probing was performed essentially as described elsewhere^{79,80}. RNA was diluted to 100 nM in 10 μL of a buffer of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂ and 0.1 mM EDTA. The RNA was incubated with either 1 mM lysine or 10 mM of a lysine analog to ensure binding was at or near saturation. RNA was probed at 37 °C for 45 minutes with 65 mM NMIA. Reverse transcription was performed by adding 3 μL of ³²P labeled DNA primer (~10 nM final) and heating the solution to 95 °C for 5 minutes then cooling to 37 °C for 5 min on a PCR block. The solution was then brought to 54 °C before adding 3 μL of a SuperScriptIII (Life Technologies) reverse transcriptase mix and allowing 10 minutes for primer extension. The RNA in the reaction was degraded by adding 1 μL of 4 M NaOH and incubating at 95 °C for 5 minutes before quenching with a 1:1 Tris (unbuffered):formamide solution. PAGE analysis was performed using 12% polyacrylamide gels.

In vitro transcription studies

In vitro transcription assays were performed using the wild type *lysC* gene from *B. subtilis* containing the T7A1 phage promoter fused at the genomic +1 transcription start site. Template DNA (10 nM) was equilibrated at 37 °C in the presence of 0.5 units of *E. coli* RNA polymerase holoenzyme with saturating α-subunit (Epicentre) in 1X TB1 (70 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM KCl, 17 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 35 μg/mL BSA,) for 10 minutes prior to the addition of NTP substrate to 50 μM in a final volume of 20 μL. For reactions performed at different NTP concentrations we adjusted the concentration of Mg²⁺ added to the reaction based on calculations performed with Chelator⁸¹, as implemented by MaxChelator⁸² to maintain a constant concentration of free Mg²⁺.

Following addition of NTPs the reactions were incubated for 15 min at 37 °C and quenched with an equal volume of 85% formamide. Products were separated by 6% denaturing gel electrophoresis, and counts obtained by standard phosphor imaging. Total counts for full length and terminated were background corrected and normalized to the total number of A's in each product. Percent termination was plotted and fit to the equation

$$T_{50}=T_{min}+(T_{max}-F_{min})\times\left(\frac{[L]^n}{[L]^n+K_D}\right) \quad (3)$$

where T_{min} is the observed termination in the absence of ligand and T_{max} is the maximal termination achieved at high ligand concentrations.

Crystallographic data collection and processing

Crystallization of the 6ACA and KG ligands was performed with a variant of the *Thermatogamaritima* lysine riboswitch that was previously described (PDB ID: 3D0U)³⁸. The RNA was transcribed, purified and refolded using previously described protocols⁷⁷ and concentrated to 400 μ M in 10 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.0, 5 mM MgCl₂. RNA and ligand were then mixed at a 10:1 ratio to give a final ligand concentration of 5 mM and allowed to incubate for 30 minutes prior to setting up crystallization drops. Mother liquor (10 mM Na-HEPES pH 7.0, 2 M Li₂SO₄, and 5 mM MgCl₂) was mixed with the RNA-ligand solution in a 1:1 volumetric ratio and crystallization performed by hanging drop vapor diffusion. Diffraction data were collected on a copper rotating anode source (Rigaku RU200 and Rigaku RU2HR) with a Rigaku MSC IV++ area detector at 100 K. Data were scaled and averaged using the D*TREK⁸³ as part of the Crystal Clear software package (Rigaku MSC). Structures of the 6ACA and KG bound complexes were solved by molecular replacement with the unliganded lysine aptamer (PDB ID: 3D0X) using the PHENIX software suite⁸⁴. Model building was performed with Coot⁸⁵ and additional refinement was performed with the routines implemented in PHENIX. All figures of structural models were prepared using PyMOL⁸⁶.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Benedict Ifedi for his assistance in the initial development of the two-piece lysine reporter RNA as part of the Summer Multicultural Access to Research Training (SMART) program at the University of Colorado at Boulder. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (R01 GM073850 and 1S10 RR026516) to R.T.B. and an NIH/CU Molecular Biophysics Training Program (T32 GM065103) to A.D.G.

Abbreviations

2AP	2-aminopurine
6ACA	6-aminocaproic acid
ACL	<i>N</i> - ϵ -acetyllysine
AEC	aminoethylcysteine
EEL	L-lysine ethyl ester
FL	<i>N</i> - ϵ -formyllysine

FMN	flavin mononucleotide
IEL	<i>N</i> -ε-iminoethyllysine
KG	lysylglycine
LysN	lysineamide
NMIA	<i>N</i> -methylisatoic anhydride
NML	<i>N</i> -methyllysine
NTP	nucleotide triphosphate
RNAP	RNA polymerase
SAM	S-adenosylmethionine

References

1. Breaker RR. Riboswitches and the RNA world. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2012; 4
2. Montange RK, Batey RT. Riboswitches: emerging themes in RNA structure and function. Annual review of biophysics. 2008; 37:117–133.
3. Mandal M, Boese B, Barrick JE, Winkler WC, Breaker RR. Riboswitches control fundamental biochemical pathways in *Bacillus subtilis* and other bacteria. Cell. 2003; 113:577–586. [PubMed: 12787499]
4. Batey RT, Gilbert SD, Montange RK. Structure of a natural guanine-responsive riboswitch complexed with the metabolite hypoxanthine. Nature. 2004; 432:411–415. [PubMed: 15549109]
5. Serganov A, Yuan Y-R, Pikovskaya O, Polonskaia A, Malinina L, Phan AT, Höbartner C, Micura R, Breaker RR, Patel DJ. Structural basis for discriminative regulation of gene expression by adenine- and guanine-sensing mRNAs. Chem Biol. 2004; 11:1729–1741. [PubMed: 15610857]
6. Winkler WC, Nahvi A, Roth A, Collins JA, Breaker RR. Control of gene expression by a natural metabolite-responsive ribozyme. Nature. 2004; 428:281–286. [PubMed: 15029187]
7. Ames TD, Rodionov DA, Weinberg Z, Breaker RR. A eubacterial riboswitch class that senses the coenzyme tetrahydrofolate. Chem Biol. 2010; 17:681–685. [PubMed: 20659680]
8. Nahvi A, Sudarsan N, Ebert MS, Zou X, Brown KL, Breaker RR. Genetic control by a metabolite binding mRNA. Chem Biol. 2002; 9:1043. [PubMed: 12323379]
9. Sudarsan N, Lee ER, Weinberg Z, Moy RH, Kim JN, Link KH, Breaker RR. Riboswitches in eubacteria sense the second messenger cyclic di-GMP. Science. 2008; 321:411–413. [PubMed: 18635805]
10. Montange RK, Mondragón E, Van Tyne D, Garst AD, Ceres P, Batey RT. Discrimination between closely related cellular metabolites by the SAM-I riboswitch. J Mol Biol. 2010; 396:761–772. [PubMed: 20006621]
11. Gilbert SD, Rambo RP, Van Tyne D, Batey RT. Structure of the SAM-II riboswitch bound to S-adenosylmethionine. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2008; 15:177–182. [PubMed: 18204466]
12. Batey RT. Recognition of S-adenosylmethionine by riboswitches. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. 2011; 2:299–311. [PubMed: 21957011]
13. Lu C, Smith AM, Fuchs RT, Ding F, Rajashankar K, Henkin TM, Ke A. Crystal structures of the SAM-III/S(MK) riboswitch reveal the SAM-dependent translation inhibition mechanism. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2008; 15:1076–1083. [PubMed: 18806797]
14. Thore S, Leibundgut M, Ban N. Structure of the eukaryotic thiamine pyrophosphate riboswitch with its regulatory ligand. Science. 2006; 312:1208–1211. [PubMed: 16675665]
15. Serganov A, Polonskaia A, Phan AT, Breaker RR, Patel DJ. Structural basis for gene regulation by a thiamine pyrophosphate-sensing riboswitch. Nature. 2006; 441:1167–1171. [PubMed: 16728979]
16. Serganov A, Huang L, Patel DJ. Coenzyme recognition and gene regulation by a flavin mononucleotide riboswitch. Nature. 2009; 458:233–237. [PubMed: 19169240]

17. Serganov A, Huang L, Patel DJ. Structural insights into amino acid binding and gene control by a lysine riboswitch. *Nature*. 2008; 455:1263–1267. [PubMed: 18784651]
18. Blount KF, Breaker RR. Riboswitches as antibacterial drug targets. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2006; 24:1558–1564. [PubMed: 17160062]
19. Barrick JE, Breaker RR. The distributions, mechanisms, and structures of metabolite-binding riboswitches. *Genome Biol*. 2007; 8:R239. [PubMed: 17997835]
20. Link KH, Breaker RR. Engineering ligand-responsive gene-control elements: lessons learned from natural riboswitches. *Gene Ther*. 2009; 16:1189–1201. [PubMed: 19587710]
21. Wickiser JK, Winkler WC, Breaker RR, Crothers DM. The speed of RNA transcription and metabolite binding kinetics operate an FMN riboswitch. *Mol Cell*. 2005; 18:49–60. [PubMed: 15808508]
22. Lemay J-F, Desnoyers G, Blouin S, Heppell B, Bastet L, St-Pierre P, Massé E, Lafontaine DA. Comparative study between transcriptionally- and translationally-acting adenine riboswitches reveals key differences in riboswitch regulatory mechanisms. *PLoS Genet*. 2011; 7:e1001278. [PubMed: 21283784]
23. Blouin S, Lafontaine DA. A loop loop interaction and a K-turn motif located in the lysine aptamer domain are important for the riboswitch gene regulation control. *RNA*. 2007; 13:1256–1267. [PubMed: 17585050]
24. Grundy FJ, Lehman SC, Henkin TM. The L box regulon: lysine sensing by leader RNAs of bacterial lysine biosynthesis genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003; 100:12057–12062. [PubMed: 14523230]
25. Sudarsan N, Wickiser JK, Nakamura S, Ebert MS, Breaker RR. An mRNA structure in bacteria that controls gene expression by binding lysine. *Genes Dev*. 2003; 17:2688–2697. [PubMed: 14597663]
26. Tomsic J, McDaniel BA, Grundy FJ, Henkin TM. Natural variability in S-adenosylmethionine (SAM)-dependent riboswitches: S-box elements in *Bacillus subtilis* exhibit differential sensitivity to SAM *In vivo* and *in vitro*. *J Bacteriol*. 2008; 190:823–833. [PubMed: 18039762]
27. Smith KD, Lipchock SV, Livingston AL, Shanahan CA, Strobel SA. Structural and biochemical determinants of ligand binding by the c-di-GMP riboswitch. *Biochemistry*. 2010; 49:7351–7359. [PubMed: 20690679]
28. Trausch JJ, Ceres P, Reyes FE, Batey RT. The structure of a tetrahydrofolate-sensing riboswitch reveals two ligand binding sites in a single aptamer. *Structure*. 2011; 19:1413–1423. [PubMed: 21906956]
29. Artsimovitch I, Landick RC. Pausing by bacterial RNA polymerase is mediated by mechanistically distinct classes of signals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2000; 97:7090–7095. [PubMed: 10860976]
30. Ha KS, Touloukhonov I, Vassilyev DG, Landick RC. The NusA N-terminal domain is necessary and sufficient for enhancement of transcriptional pausing via interaction with the RNA exit channel of RNA polymerase. *J Mol Biol*. 2010; 401:708–725. [PubMed: 20600118]
31. Yakhnin AV, Yakhnin H, Babitzke P. Function of the *Bacillus subtilis* transcription elongation factor NusG in hairpin-dependent RNA polymerase pausing in the *trp* leader. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008; 105:16131–16136.
32. Yakhnin AV, Babitzke P. NusA-stimulated RNA polymerase pausing and termination participates in the *Bacillus subtilis* *trp* operon attenuation mechanism *in vitro*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2002; 99:11067–11072. [PubMed: 12161562]
33. Buckstein MH, He J, Rubin H. Characterization of nucleotide pools as a function of physiological state in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol*. 2008; 190:718–726. [PubMed: 17965154]
34. Turnbough CL, Switzer RL. Regulation of Pyrimidine Biosynthetic Gene Expression in Bacteria: Repression without Repressors. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*. 2008; 72:266–300. [PubMed: 18535147]
35. Gaal T, Bartlett MS, Ross W, Turnbough CL, Gourse RL. Transcription regulation by initiating NTP concentration: rRNA synthesis in bacteria. *Science*. 1997; 278:2092–2097. [PubMed: 9405339]
36. Grundy FJ, Henkin TM. Kinetic analysis of tRNA-directed transcription antitermination of the *Bacillus subtilis* *glyQS* gene *in vitro*. *J Bacteriol*. 2004; 186:5392–5399. [PubMed: 15292140]

37. McGraw AP, Bevilacqua PC, Babitzke P. TRAP-5' stem loop interaction increases the efficiency of transcription termination in the *Bacillus subtilis* trpEDCFBA operon leader region. *RNA*. 2007; 13:2020–2033. [PubMed: 17881743]
38. Garst AD, Heroux A, Rambo RP, Batey RT. Crystal structure of the lysine riboswitch regulatory mRNA element. *J Biol Chem*. 2008; 283:22347–22351. [PubMed: 18593706]
39. Blount KF, Wang JX, Lim J, Sudarsan N, Breaker RR. Antibacterial lysine analogs that target lysine riboswitches. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2007; 3:44–49. [PubMed: 17143270]
40. Baird NJ, Gong H, Zaheer SS, Freed KF, Pan T, Sosnick TR. Extended structures in RNA folding intermediates are due to nonnative interactions rather than electrostatic repulsion. *J Mol Biol*. 2010; 397:1298–1306. [PubMed: 20188108]
41. Baird NJ, Ferré-D'Amaré AR. Idiosyncratically tuned switching behavior of riboswitch aptamer domains revealed by comparative small-angle X-ray scattering analysis. *RNA*. 2010; 16:598–609. [PubMed: 20106958]
42. Watson PY, Fedor MJ. The glmS riboswitch integrates signals from activating and inhibitory metabolites in vivo. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2011; 18:359–363. [PubMed: 21317896]
43. Bennett BD, Kimball EH, Gao M, Osterhout R, Van Dien SJ, Rabinowitz JD. Absolute metabolite concentrations and implied enzyme active site occupancy in *Escherichia coli*. *Nat Methods*. 2009; 5:593–599.
44. Merino EJ, Wilkinson KA, Coughlan JL, Weeks KM. RNA structure analysis at single nucleotide resolution by selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation and primer extension (SHAPE). *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005; 127:4223–4231. [PubMed: 15783204]
45. McGinnis JL, Dunkle JA, Cate JHD, Weeks KM. The mechanisms of RNA SHAPE chemistry. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:6617–6624. [PubMed: 22475022]
46. Gherghe CM, Shajani Z, Wilkinson KA, Varani G, Weeks KM. Strong correlation between SHAPE chemistry and the generalized NMR order parameter (S_2) in RNA. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:12244–12245. [PubMed: 18710236]
47. Theobald DL, Wuttke DS. THESEUS: maximum likelihood superpositioning and analysis of macromolecular structures. *Bioinformatics*. 2006; 22:2171–2172. [PubMed: 16777907]
48. Breaker RR. Prospects for riboswitch discovery and analysis. *Mol Cell*. 2011; 43:867–879. [PubMed: 21925376]
49. Goodrich, JA.; Kugel, JF. *Binding and Kinetics for Molecular Biologists*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2007. p. 182
50. Wickiser JK, Cheah MT, Breaker RR, Crothers DM. The kinetics of ligand binding by an adenine-sensing riboswitch. *Biochemistry*. 2005; 44:13404–13414. [PubMed: 16201765]
51. Korzheva N, Mustaev A, Kozlov M, Malhotra A, Nikiforov V, Goldfarb A, Darst SA. A structural model of transcription elongation. *Science*. 2000; 289:619–625. [PubMed: 10915625]
52. Proshkin S, Rahmouni AR, Mironov A, Nudler E. Cooperation between translating ribosomes and RNA polymerase in transcription elongation. *Science*. 2010; 328:504–508. [PubMed: 20413502]
53. Vogel U, Jensen KF. The RNA chain elongation rate in *Escherichia coli* depends on the growth rate. *J Bacteriol*. 1994; 176:2807–2813. [PubMed: 7514589]
54. Zhou J, Ha KS, La Porta A, Landick RC, Block SM. Applied force provides insight into transcriptional pausing and its modulation by transcription factor NusA. *Mol Cell*. 2011; 44:635–646. [PubMed: 22099310]
55. Taniguchi Y, Choi PJ, Li G-W, Chen H, Babu M, Hearn J, Emili A, Xie XS. Quantifying *E. coli* proteome and transcriptome with single-molecule sensitivity in single cells. *Science*. 2010; 329:533–538. [PubMed: 20671182]
56. Artsimovitch I, Henkin TM. In vitro approaches to analysis of transcription termination. *Methods*. 2009; 47:37–43. [PubMed: 18948199]
57. Landick RC, Wang D, Chan CL. Quantitative analysis of transcriptional pausing by *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase: his leader pause site as paradigm. *Meth Enzymol*. 1996; 274:334–353. [PubMed: 8902817]
58. Artsimovitch I, Svetlov V, Anthony L, Burgess RR, Landick RC. RNA polymerases from *Bacillus subtilis* and *Escherichia coli* differ in recognition of regulatory signals in vitro. *J Bacteriol*. 2000; 182:6027–6035. [PubMed: 11029421]

59. Ray PS, Jia J, Yao P, Majumder M, Hatzoglou M, Fox PL. A stress-responsive RNA switch regulates VEGFA expression. *Nature*. 2009; 457:915–919. [PubMed: 19098893]
60. Hyeon C, Thirumalai D. Chain Length Determines the Folding Rates of RNA. 2012
61. Ryals J, Little R, Bremer H. Temperature dependence of RNA synthesis parameters in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol*. 1982; 151:879–887. [PubMed: 6178724]
62. Poulsen P, Jensen KF. Effect of UTP and GTP pools on attenuation at the *pyrE* gene of *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Gen Genet*. 1987; 208:152–158.
63. Barbolina MV, Kristoforov R, Manfredo A, Chen Y, Gollnick P. The Rate of TRAP Binding to RNA Is Crucial for Transcription Attenuation Control of the *B. subtilis* *trp* Operon. *J Mol Biol*. 2007; 370:925–938. [PubMed: 17555767]
64. Rodionov DA, Vitreschak AG, Mironov AA, Gelfand MS. Regulation of lysine biosynthesis and transport genes in bacteria: yet another RNA riboswitch? *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2003; 31:6748–6757. [PubMed: 14627808]
65. Gilbert SD, Mediatore SJ, Batey RT. Modified pyrimidines specifically bind the purine riboswitch. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:14214–14215. [PubMed: 17076468]
66. Gilbert SD, Reyes FE, Edwards AL, Batey RT. Adaptive ligand binding by the purine riboswitch in the recognition of guanine and adenine analogs. *Structure*. 2009; 17:857–868. [PubMed: 19523903]
67. Daldrop P, Reyes FE, Robinson DA, Hammond CM, Lilley DM, Batey RT, Brenk R. Novel ligands for a purine riboswitch discovered by RNA-ligand docking. *Chem Biol*. 2011; 18:324–335. [PubMed: 21439477]
68. Wickiser JK. Kinetics of riboswitch regulation studied by in vitro transcription. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2009; 540:53–63. [PubMed: 19381552]
69. Wilson-Mitchell SN, Grundy FJ, Henkin TM. Analysis of lysine recognition and specificity of the *Bacillus subtilis* L box riboswitch. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012
70. Uptain SM, Kane CM, Chamberlin MJ. Basic mechanisms of transcript elongation and its regulation. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 1997; 66:117–172. [PubMed: 9242904]
71. Herbert KM, La Porta A, Wong BJ, Mooney RA, Neuman KC, Landick RC, Block SM. Sequence-Resolved Detection of Pausing by Single RNA Polymerase Molecules. *Cell*. 2006; 125:1083–1094. [PubMed: 16777599]
72. Pan T, Artsimovitch I, Fang XW, Landick RC, Sosnick TR. Folding of a large ribozyme during transcription and the effect of the elongation factor NusA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1999; 96:9545–9550. [PubMed: 10449729]
73. Wong TN, Sosnick TR, Pan T. Folding of noncoding RNAs during transcription facilitated by pausing-induced nonnative structures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2007; 104:17995–18000. [PubMed: 17986617]
74. Perdrizet GA, Artsimovitch I, Furman R, Sosnick TR, Pan T. Transcriptional pausing coordinates folding of the aptamer domain and the expression platform of a riboswitch. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2012; 109:3323–3328.
75. McDowell JC, Roberts JW, Jin DJ, Gross CA. Determination of intrinsic transcription termination efficiency by RNA polymerase elongation rate. *Science*. 1994; 266:822–825. [PubMed: 7526463]
76. Merino E, Yanofsky C. Transcription attenuation: a highly conserved regulatory strategy used by bacteria. *Trends Genet*. 2005; 21:260–264. [PubMed: 15851059]
77. Edwards AL, Garst AD, Batey RT. Determining structures of RNA aptamers and riboswitches by X-ray crystallography. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2009; 535:135–163. [PubMed: 19377976]
78. Gilbert SD, Stoddard CD, Wise SJ, Batey RT. Thermodynamic and kinetic characterization of ligand binding to the purine riboswitch aptamer domain. *J Mol Biol*. 2006; 359:754–768. [PubMed: 16650860]
79. Steen K-A, Siegfried NA, Weeks KM. Selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by protection from exoribonuclease (RNase-detected SHAPE) for direct analysis of covalent adducts and of nucleotide flexibility in RNA. *Nat Protoc*. 2011; 6:1683–1694. [PubMed: 21979276]
80. Stoddard CD, Gilbert SD, Batey RT. Ligand-dependent folding of the three-way junction in the purine riboswitch. *RNA*. 2008; 14:675–684. [PubMed: 18268025]

81. Schoenmakers TJ, Visser GJ, Flik G, Theuvsen AP. CHELATOR: an improved method for computing metal ion concentrations in physiological solutions. *BioTechniques*. 1992; 12:870–4–876–9. [PubMed: 1642895]
82. Bers DM, Patton CW, Nuccitelli R. A practical guide to the preparation of Ca²⁺ buffers. *Methods Cell Biol*. 1994; 40:3–29. [PubMed: 8201981]
83. Pflugrath JW. The finer things in X-ray diffraction data collection. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 1999; 55:1718–1725. [PubMed: 10531521]
84. Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkóczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 2010; 66:213–221. [PubMed: 20124702]
85. Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 2004; 60:2126–2132. [PubMed: 15572765]
86. DeLano, W. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System. San Carlos, CA: DeLano Scientific; 2002.

Highlights

- ← The lysine riboswitch requires the ϵ -amino group to achieve specificity.
- ← The lysine binding pocket is structurally rigid.
- ← Kinetics of binding reveals the aptamer rapidly equilibrates during transcription.
- ← Concentration of lysine necessary to terminate transcription is [NTP]-dependent.

Fig. 1.

(a) Schematic of riboswitch mediated transcriptional regulation emphasizing the nature of the mutually exclusive secondary structural switch. (b) Global tertiary structure of the lysine riboswitch observed by X-ray crystallography (PDB ID 3D0U). The three sets of coaxially stacked helices are shown in different colors and lysine shown in the five-way junction in magenta. The switching sequence at the 3' side of P1 is highlighted in red. (c) Lysine binding site within the central junction showing an overlay of the binding pockets of two independently solved crystal structures^{17,38} (PDB 3D0U colored and PDB 3DIL gray). The ϵ -amino group of lysine forms electrostatic contacts with the backbone of G110 and an ordered solvent molecule (W1) that was observed in both of the original crystallographic studies of this RNA. The main chain atoms hydrogen bond with G143 and coordinate to a potassium ion near G40. A cavity exists beyond the carboxyl terminus that is occupied by ordered solvent when bound to lysine.

Fig. 2.

(a) Cartoon of the two-piece 2AP reporter construct used for the binding studies presented in this paper. The gray and black strands were annealed as described in methods to reconstitute a functional RNA for fluorescence based assays. The site of 2AP fluorophore substitution is at G193. Colored nucleotide positions correspond to the lysine binding pocket in Fig. 1c. (b) Fluorescence data from lysine titrations with the 2AP labeled construct in the presence (red) or absence (blue) of 10 mM KCl. Note the decrease in both the binding affinity and change in fluorescence upon omission of KCl from the buffer. (c) Lysine binding to the wild type *LysC* aptamer as measured by ITC demonstrates reasonable agreement between the K_D values for the 2AP labeled construct and the native RNA.

Fig. 3.

Structures of select compounds used in this study. The functional group changes relative to lysine are depicted for each compound and colored corresponding to the carboxylate (red), main chain amine (blue) and ε-amine (green) respectively. The abbreviation for each compound used in the text is given in brackets. The observed equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) as measured by the 2AP fluorescence assay is given for each compound.

Fig. 4. Chemical probing of the lysine riboswitch using NMIA in the presence of either 1 mM lysine or 10 mM of a subset of the analogs from this study. In the absence of ligand (-lysine lane) the high reactivity of the J2/3 and J5/1 regions indicate local flexibility of the RNA backbone. Addition of lysine or a binding competent ligand protects these regions from NMIA modification. Labels colored according to the R1, R2 and R3 scheme in Fig. 3. Nucleotides A112 and G193 are labeled for reference according to the numbering scheme in Fig. S1.

Fig. 5. Structures of (a) KG and (b) 6ACA bound to the lysine binding pocket in the *T. maritima* lysine riboswitch. The structure of the RNA-lysine complex (PDB 3DIL) is superimposed in each panel (colored gray) for reference. In each of the two structures, the RNA adopts an identical conformation on both the global and local levels, consistent with chemical probing. (a) The glycyl residue in KG displaces solvent and ion occupied positions (gray spheres) identified in the lysine bound structure but does not appear to clash significantly with the RNA. (b) Removal of the main chain amine of lysine in 6ACA results in the recruitment of a water to replace the hydrogen bonding interactions that are lost between the ligand and the N3 and 2'-OH groups of nucleotide G114. To coordinate this water the carboxylate group of 6ACA shifts over slightly. Unique H-bonding interactions for each ligand are shown by dashed gray lines labeled with distances in Å.

Fig. 6.

Analysis of lysine binding kinetics by stopped flow fluorescence spectroscopy. (a) Fit to the observed binding rate (k_{obs}) as a function of lysine concentration. The slope of the fit yields the association rate constant (k_{on}), while the y-intercept of this line yields an estimate of the k_{off} . (b) Direct measurement of k_{off} measured by rapid dilution of pre-incubated RNA ligand complexes into buffer. The data shown represents an average of five injections. (c) Calculation of the fraction of riboswitch bound by lysine at different equilibration times using the experimentally observed k_{on} and k_{off} . At a long equilibration time, corresponding to a slow rate of transcription (dark blue), the fit to the curve yields a T_{50} that is equal to K_D . As the time allowed for the aptamer to equilibrate with ligand decreases, the calculated T_{50} increases (light blue to red), indicating kinetic control. (d) Plot of the K_D/T_{50} ratio as a function of rate of transcription or equilibration time shows that the reaction becomes fully equilibrated by 10 seconds (as the ratio approaches 1), with appreciable approach to equilibration after 3–5 seconds. The K_D/T_{50} ratio is expected to vary by 4- to 5-fold over a range of physiologically relevant transcription speeds as denoted by the gray box.

Fig. 7.

Effects of transcription speed and ligand binding kinetics on transcriptional regulation.

(a) Single turnover transcription of the *B. subtilis* *lysC* riboswitch as a function of lysine concentration. The full length transcription product, or read-through (RT), and the terminated (T) products were separated by denaturing gel electrophoresis and quantified as described in the Methods and Materials to obtain measurements of the T_{50} . (b) Data fitting for lysine titrations done at 50 μM NTPs. The T_{50} under these conditions closely approximates the observed K_D , indicating that binding is approximately under thermodynamic equilibrium in these conditions. (c) Influence of increasing NTP concentrations on the T_{50} for lysine. The values on the x-axis represent the concentration of each individual NTP. The five-fold increase in the T_{50} is consistent with the modeling shown in Fig. 6c. (d) The efficiency of the ligand dependent termination response decreases with increasing NTP concentrations. Combined with the increased T_{50} effect shown in Fig. 7b, these data demonstrate that transcription speed modulates ligand dependent regulation.

Fig. 8.

Comparison of the T_{50} measurements for the various lysine analogs to their $K_{D,app}$ measurements (blue bars) as determined by fluorescence spectroscopy by the 2AP labeled construct. The observed T_{50} at “slow” (50 μM NTPs, orange bars) and “fast” (1 mM NTPs, red bars) transcription conditions reveal that most lysine analogs are sensitive to the rate of transcription. The absence of T_{50} bars for some compounds indicates that these ligands were unable to elicit regulation under the conditions indicated. The y-axis is on a log scale.

Table 1Affinity and free energy measurements of ligand binding to the *B. subtilis* *lysC* aptamer.

Ligand	K _D (μM)	K _{rel} ^a	ΔΔG°(kcal/mol)
Lysine	53 ± 6	1.0	0.0
Lys(-KCl)	480 ± 40	9.1	1.4
LysN	90 ± 30	1.7	0.3
MEL	110 ± 30	2.1	0.4
EEL	250 ± 30	4.7	1.0
KG	570 ± 70	11	1.5
6ACA	3100 ± 900	58	2.5
NML	1670 ± 300	32	2.1
IEL	1100 ± 290	21	1.9
ACL	1700 ± 100	32	2.1
FL	5600 ± 1700	106	2.9

^aK_{rel} = K_{D,analog}/K_{D,lysine}

Table 2

Kinetics measurements of ligand binding to the *B. subtilis* LysC aptamer.

Ligand	k_{off} (s^{-1})	k_{on} ($M^{-1}s^{-1}$)	K_D -calc (μM)	K_D -obs (μM)	K_D -calc/ K_D -obs	T_{50} -calc (10 nt/s)	T_{50} -calc (μM) (100 nt/s)
Lysine	0.25 ± 0.06	4600 ± 200	55	53 ± 6.0	1.0	57	260
EEL	0.31 ± 0.03	480 ± 15	650	250 ± 30	2.5	680	2800
KG	0.34 ± 0.03	480 ± 24	700	570 ± 70	1.6	920	2400
NML	1.1 ± 0.1	690 ± 10	1600	1670 ± 300	1.3	2200	2900
LysN	48 ± 10	186000 ± 39000	260	90 ± 30	3.0	260	260
ACL	0.26 ± 0.03	49 ± 5	5300	1700 ± 100	2.9	5700	26000

Table 3Comparison of K_D and regulatory efficiency at different transcription speeds.

Ligand	K_D (μ M)	$T_{50-50 \mu M}$ (μ M)	$T_{50-1 mM}$ (μ M)	$K_D/T_{50-50 \mu M}$	$K_D/T_{50-1 mM}$	ΔT_{50} (1 mM/50 μ M NTFS)
Lysine	53 $\pm$ 6	61 $\pm$ 9	290 $\pm$ 50	0.87	0.18	4.8
Lys(-KCl)	480 $\pm$ 40	525 $\pm$ 50	5500 $\pm$ 1400	0.91	0.09	10.5
LysN	90 $\pm$ 30	500 $\pm$ 200	250 $\pm$ 150	0.18	0.36	0.5
MEL	110 $\pm$ 30	670 $\pm$ 120	9600 $\pm$ 2000	0.16	0.01	14.3
EEL	250 $\pm$ 30	540 $\pm$ 100	9200 $\pm$ 1300	0.46	0.03	17.0
KG	570 $\pm$ 70	720 $\pm$ 460	920 $\pm$ 180	0.79	0.62	1.3
6ACA	3100 $\pm$ 900	n.d. ^a	n.d.	n.a. ^b	n.a.	n.a.
NML	1670 $\pm$ 300	1300 $\pm$ 1000	10000 $\pm$ 3800	1.28	0.17	7.7
IEL	1100 $\pm$ 290	840 $\pm$ 120	n.d.	1.31	n.a.	n.a.
ACL	1700 $\pm$ 100	1500 $\pm$ 200	n.d.	1.13	n.a.	n.a.
FL	5600 $\pm$ 1700	2300 $\pm$ 700	n.d.	2.43	n.a.	n.a.

^a n.d.: not detectable^b n.a.: not applicable