Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2012 October ; 22(5): 754–761. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2012.03.013.

A unifying hypothesis on mammalian neural stem cell properties in the adult hippocampus

Michael A. Bonaguidi^{1,2,*}, Juan Song^{1,2,*}, Guo-li Ming^{1,2,3}, and Hongjun Song^{1,2,3}

¹Institute for Cell Engineering, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

²Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

³The Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

Summary

Continuously generated new neurons promote circuitry plasticity within specialized regions and contribute to specific functions of adult mammalian brain. A number of recent studies have investigated the cellular origin of adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus, yielding divergent models of neural stem cell behavior. An essential question remains whether these models are overlapping or fundamentally discrete. We review evidence that primary neural precursors in the adult hippocampus exhibit significant heterogeneity in their properties of self-renewal, multi-lineage differentiation and regulation, representing a range from unipotential committed precursors to *bona fide* self-renewing multipotent neural stem cells. We further present a testable unifying hypothesis of adult neural stem cell behavior *in vivo* to outline a common framework for future studies of molecular and cellular mechanisms regulating adult neural stem cells and how these cells may contribute to hippocampal function and repair.

Introduction

The adult mammalian brain is a plastic structure, capable of dynamic cellular and molecular remodeling in response to an individual's interactions with the outside world. One dramatic example of structural plasticity in the mature brain is the birth and addition of newborn neurons to the existing circuitry, a process named adult neurogenesis [1]. Under physiological conditions, new neurons arise from neural precursors within two specialized micro-environments, the subventricular zone along the lateral ventricle and the subgranular zone (SGZ) in the dentate gyrus, leading to modifications of the olfactory bulb and hippocampal circuitry, respectively [2]. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis draws much interest because newborn hippocampal neurons have been suggested to adapt the brain to temporal events in external space, including spatial learning and retention, pattern discrimination and clearance of memory traces [3,4]. An emerging concept is that newborn

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Correspondence should be addressed to: Hongjun Song, Ph.D., Institute for Cell Engineering, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 733 N. Broadway, BRB, 759, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA, Tel: 443-287-7499, shongju1@jhmi.edu.

*These authors contribute equally to this work.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

neurons at specific stages of maturation are preferentially recruited in the circuitry due to their high excitation/inhibition balance and enhanced synaptic plasticity [5–7]. In addition, adult hippocampal neurogenesis is involved in response to antidepressants [8], stress [9], and brain injuries and may play a role in the pathophysiology of mental disorders [2,10,11]. Basic understanding of precursor properties and their niche interactions will illuminate how neural precursors sense and respond to changes in the external environment to promote tissue homeostasis or repair.

Adult neurogenesis is thought to arise from precursors with properties of neural stem cells (NSCs) [12]. Technical advances have made it possible to dissect basic cellular processes of neural precursor behavior *in vivo* and their contribution to the adult neurogenesis process (Table 1). Several recent studies have examined properties of primary neural precursors in the adult mouse hippocampus, including *in vivo* clonal analysis of activation, self-renewal and fate choice decisions from a prospectively identified precursor [13–15]. These approaches allow investigation of intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms contributing to hippocampal neurogenesis and homeostasis. For any lineage-tracing studies, careful identification of the precursor source is critical for interpretation. For example, three recent studies performed lineage-tracing to investigate NSC properties in the adult mouse hippocampus, but arrived at different conclusions [14,16,17]. Two studies interpreted that NSCs self-renew [14,17], while a third did not observe precursor maintenance [16]. NSC potential also differs among studies, including the generation of astroglia and additional NSCs. Though conclusions drawn are seemingly contradictory, they may result in part from labeling different precursor populations using divergent approaches. In this review, we summarize *in vivo* evidence suggesting the existence of neural precursor heterogeneity in the adult rodent hippocampus. We also discuss characteristics of neural precursors as defined by their identity, potential and regulation to outline a testable unifying hypothesis of NSC behavior in the adult hippocampus.

Adult Neural Stem Cells: Identity and Potential

First established by culturing isolated cells, NSCs are defined by their potential to both self-renew and generate neurons and glia from a single cell [12,18]. Emphasis on *in vivo* NSC properties became more prevalent, because reprogramming studies have raised the question whether cultured lineage-restricted neural progenitors acquire potential not evident *in vivo* [19–21]. Unlike in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila* where certain somatic stem cells can be identified by location, *in vivo* lineage-tracing studies in mammalian systems utilize retrospective analysis, which requires knowledge of originally labeled cells to perform fate-mapping. Given the presence of multiple precursor subtypes in the adult hippocampus, a thorough understanding of the prospective identity is needed to elucidate what degree primary precursors self-renew and generate multiple progeny.

Radial Glia-like Precursors

In the prevalent model of adult hippocampal neurogenesis, quiescent radial glia-like cells (RGL, or Type-1 cells) generate proliferative precursors known as intermediate progenitors (IPCs, or Type-2 cells), which give rise to neuroblasts (Type 3 cells) and then immature neurons (Figure 1a). RGLs express nestin, GFAP and Sox2, and possess a defining radial branch extending through the granule cell layer. Evidence supporting RGLs as NSCs comes from anti-mitotic treatment recovery [22], genetic ablation [23], and transgenic fate-mapping [17,24,25]. *In vivo* clonal analysis further revealed that a single RGL undergoes several rounds of self-renewal and differentiation to produce both neurons and astrocytes over a long duration, demonstrating characteristic stem cell properties by individual RGLs [14]. Alternative RGL properties have also been postulated (Figure 1b). *Encinas et al.* proposed that RGLs do not possess long-term maintenance; instead, RGLs repeatedly enter

cell cycle once activated and generate only neurons before terminally differentiating into astrocytes [16]. While this model is seemingly at odds with conclusions drawn by *Bonaguidi et al.* [14], interpretations may differ in part due to the selection of different RGL subpopulations. Using the same *Nestin-CreER-Z/EG* mouse line for fate-mapping [26], *Encinas et al.* targeted proliferative RGLs with BrdU and performed population analysis, whereas *Bonaguidi et al.* labeled predominantly quiescent RGLs with a low dose of tamoxifen and performed clonal analysis. Therefore, technical differences may account for preferential labeling of divergent RGLs. The same may be true for another recent study by *Dranovsky et al.* concluding that RGLs expand, instead of decline, over time using a different *Nestin-CreER* mouse line for population analysis [17]. Notably, clonal analysis of quiescent RGLs also revealed substantial NSC heterogeneity, including unipotent clones and clones depleted of RGLs [14]. These findings demonstrate that RGL subpopulations with apparently similar morphological and molecular identity exhibit varying levels of self-renewal and differentiation capacity and likely represent a range from unipotential committed precursors to *bona fide* self-renewing multipotent NSCs. Whether heterogeneity reflects differences in intrinsic properties or extrinsic regulation remains a fundamental question in stem cell biology. Future studies are needed to address mechanisms underlying RGL heterogeneity and potential hierarchy.

Nonradial Neural Precursors

Nonradial precursors generate new neurons in the adult SGZ and have also been proposed to act as primary precursors (Figure 1b) [13]. Nonradial precursors lack any radial process and some contain parallel extensions to the dentate granule cell layer. These precursors express Sox2, but not GFAP, and are labeled in *Hes5:gfp* reporter mice [27]. They are more mitotic than RGLs, but most are not always in cell cycle [13,27]. The identity of nonradial precursors is not clearly delineated from early IPCs (Type-2a cells), which share similar morphological and molecular characteristics (Figure 1a) [27–29]. The potential of nonradial precursors and their lineage relationship to other precursors also remain unclear. *In vivo* clonal analysis has demonstrated that RGLs can give rise to nonradial Sox2⁺ cells (Figure 2) [14]. Retrovirus-mediated lineage-tracing of individual Sox2⁺ cells in the adult SGZ showed that most labeled clones exhibited limited self-renewal and unipotent differentiation, while no clones displayed both self-renewal and multipotentiality [13]. Interestingly, one among 300 examined clones contained a neuron and an astrocyte and another contained an RGL and a neuron, suggesting that nonradial precursors may act as NSCs and can generate RGLs (Figures 1 and 2). These events occurred at extremely low frequency and whether RGLs were initially labeled with retrovirus was not reported [13]. On the other hand, retroviruses preferentially target mitotic cells and label one of the two progeny [30], raising the possibility that the originating NSC was not labeled. Therefore, the nonradial precursor identity, potential and lineage relationship with other NSCs remain elusive and require future studies using alternative single-cell fate-mapping approaches.

Other Neural Precursors

There are additional proliferating cell populations in the adult hippocampus, which may act as NSCs under certain conditions. IPCs are the most proliferative cell type in the adult dentate gyrus and locate exclusively to the SGZ region (Figure 1a). These cells possess small tangential processes, express Tbr2 and preferentially incorporate BrdU [31]. Derived from both radial and nonradial precursors (Figure 2) [14,16,27,28], these cells are considered secondary transient amplifying precursors because they soon express DCX and Prox1, markers of committed immature neurons (Figure 1a) [31], and the population is quickly replenished following anti-mitotic treatments [22]. IPCs re-enter cell cycle on average 2.5 rounds [16]. It remains unclear whether they can also produce astroglia or maintain as precursors over a long duration.

NG2 oligodendrocyte precursors constitute the major proliferative population in the adult dentate non-neurogenic areas and are also found in the SGZ [16]. NG2 cells possess long wispy processes, express the characteristic proteoglycan NG2 as well as PDGF, Sox10 and Olig2 [32]. So far, NG2 cells and oligodendrocytes have not been observed arising from RGLs in the adult SGZ (Figure 2) [14], suggesting that they may represent a discrete precursor population with different embryonic origins. Despite substantial debate over NG2 cell potential [33,34], the emerging consensus is that they additionally produce astroglia during development, but are restricted to oligodendrocyte generation in the adult nervous system (Figure 2) [32]. Whether NG2 cells exhibit expanded potential under injury or other conditions remains an interesting question.

Astroglia are a potential third and understudied precursor population. In the adult SGZ, these cells exhibit horizontal or bushy morphology and express GFAP, S100 β and Aldh1l1 [35]. Under basal conditions, astroglia are largely not considered as a neuronal precursor cell type because they lack nestin expression and are incredibly quiescent [14,16,36]. However, rare astroglia can be labeled with cell cycle markers and share many immunohistological similarities with RGLs including the neuronal determinate Mash1 [35,37]. Interestingly, new astroglia are generated from RGLs in the adult SGZ before they migrate to the hilus or molecular layer [14]. Whether these astroglia can act as transient precursors prior to leaving the neurogenic niche or even remain as progenitors for an extended period remains to be determined (Figure 2). It will be also interesting to examine whether hippocampal astroglia exhibit greater potential under pathological conditions.

Adult Neural Stem Cells: Regulation at Multiple Decision Points

The adult neurogenesis process is remarkably responsive to external alteration under physiological and pathological conditions [2]. The cellular targets of environmental effects are becoming increasingly known and most commonly influence later stages of neurogenesis [2]. An emerging concept is that early precursors exhibit differential responsiveness to specific external stimuli [27]. Primary precursors and their progeny reside in a niche surrounded by neuronal circuitry with glia and endothelial cells [2]. Signaling from the niche is proposed to control many aspects of stem cell behavior, including their mitotic state, cell fate specification and precursor maintenance. Therefore, understanding how various niche components, signaling pathways and environmental stimuli differentially regulate NSC behavior will reveal how NSCs contribute to the homeostasis and repair. Recently, it has become clear that NSCs face multiple decision points during initial stages of adult neurogenesis (Figure 2).

Activation of Quiescent Adult Neural Precursors

RGLs and nonradial cells exist primarily in a quiescent state in the adult hippocampus, but can shuttle between quiescent and activated states, or exist as a relatively stable mitotic population [14,16,27]. It remains unclear what mechanisms distinguish between return to quiescence and retention in mitosis, which may reflect a heterogeneous precursor property. Rather, mechanisms regulating the activation of quiescent precursors are comparatively well described due to their ease of analysis using cell cycle indicators in combination with cell type-specific markers [2]. A common theme amongst the known mechanisms is the active suppression of proliferation. For example, conditional disruption of BMP, Notch/RBP-J or REST signaling in RGLs results in rapid activation of NSCs accompanied by a transient increase in IPC numbers and production of new neurons in the adult hippocampus [38–40]. Therefore, the function of quiescence may serve as a general protective mechanism that counteracts stem cell exhaustion under basal conditions to retain the capacity to be mobilized in meeting local physiological and pathological tissue demands over the life-time [41]. Intriguingly, environmental alterations have been recently identified to differentially

promote RGL and nonradial precursor proliferation. For example, RGLs, but not nonradial cells, are activated in response to exercise, whereas both cell populations proliferate upon seizure-inducing stimuli [27]. It will be interesting to investigate how niche cells relay various environmental cues and molecular signaling mechanisms that regulate precursor exit from and return to quiescence.

Fate Specification of Adult Neural Precursors

New neurons, astroglia and oligodendrocytes are generated in the adult hippocampal region. Under physiological conditions single RGLs have been shown to generate additional RGLs, nonradial cells, neuron-generating IPCs and astroglia, but not oligodendrocytes (Figure 2), indicating that cell fate specification occurs within RGLs [14]. However, mechanisms regulating NSC fate specification *in vivo* are not well understood, likely reflecting the choice of technical approaches (Table 1). BrdU and retrovirus lineage-tracing preferentially label proliferative IPCs, which may be limited in their fate potential, thus under represent gliogenesis levels in the SGZ [14,36]. Additionally, transgenic approaches at the population level, which do label RGLs, routinely assess cell fate choice by analyzing the number of primary precursors and final amount or ratio of neurons and astrocytes [17]. This input/output relationship approach is complicated by the pleotropic nature of many molecules to regulate various stages of neurogenesis, including the proliferation and death of intermediate cell types [2]. While tedious, single-cell fate mapping can potentially resolve *in vivo* NSC decisions from additional downstream effects. For instance, *PTEN* deletion within individual RGLs promotes symmetric RGL divisions at the expense of both neuronal and astroglial cell fate choices [14]. However, the decrease in IPC generation from RGLs is negated by an increase in neuroblast survival, which increases the final production of newborn neurons.

Fate specification of NSCs may also be subject to dynamic regulation under diverse physiological, environmental or pathological conditions to reflect brain adaptation over time. For example, social isolation stress promotes RGL expansion, which may prepare the brain for increased neurogenic potential when more favorable conditions return [17]. The signals and molecular mechanisms dictating fates of the NSC lineage remain to be determined. As several molecular mechanisms have been described to affect NSC fate specification *in vitro*, they may serve as appealing candidates for investigation *in vivo* [12,18]. Of particular interest is to address how niche components couple neuronal circuitry activity to direct regulation of NSCs fate decisions under both normal physiological and pathological conditions.

Maintenance of Adult Neural Precursors

The balance of NSC maintenance and neurogenesis is essential to ensure continuous generation of new hippocampal neurons throughout life without depleting the NSC pool. For example, the long-term consequence of excessive activation is subsequent depletion of NSC compartment and impaired maintenance of NSCs, which ultimately leads to the loss of regenerative capacity of the NSC population and subsequent neuronal production in the adult hippocampus [38–40]. Similarly in the neurogenic subventricular zone, loss of *FoxOs* or *Dlk1* leads to initial increase of NSC activation during early postnatal stages, followed by NSC depletion and defects in adult neurogenesis [42,43]. Direct evidence is still lacking with regard to whether failure of NSC maintenance is due to increased astrocytic differentiation of radial NSCs, lack of activation of quiescent NSCs, or cell death of their downstream neuronal progeny. Although the source of most niche signals remains to be fully characterized, it becomes increasingly clear that these signals play an important role in fine-tuning the number of quiescent NSCs and the amount of neurogenesis in the adult brain possibly through adaptive and feedback mechanisms. Ultimately, the total NSC pool reflects

a summation of NSC decisions over time: maintenance through quiescence or asymmetric self-renewal, reduction through terminal differentiation, and expansion through symmetric self-renewal (Figure 2). Future studies are needed to identify intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms underlying each decisions.

Adult Neural Stem Cells: Heterogeneity as a Unifying Principle

Stem cell heterogeneity is an emerging principle in many adult somatic tissues, which can display differences among stem cell identity, potential and regulation [44,45]. First, stem cells with apparently similar identity can display different potential and regulation. For example, long-term hematopoietic stem cells (LT-HSC) with similar molecular profiles exist as either relatively mitotic or extremely quiescent subpopulations and differentially contribute to tissue maintenance and injury responses, respectively [46]. Meanwhile, LT-HSC can possess fate bias, preferentially generating the myeloid or lymphoid lineages despite undergoing extensive self-renewal [47]. Second, stem cells with different identities may contribute to separate functions within the same tissue. In the intestine, $Lgr5^+$ highly mitotic intestine stem cells (ISC) constantly remodel the host tissue [48], while quiescent Bmi^+Lgr5^- ISCs act as a reserve pool that regenerate lost or damaged $Lgr5^+$ ISCs [49]. In the adult olfactory neuroepithelium, globose basal cells and horizontal basal cell serve as house-keeping and reserved NSCs, respectively [50]. These findings from different somatic systems suggest that multiple stem cells co-exist within a tissue to satisfy particular local demands.

The dentate gyrus in the adult brain also contains multiple precursor populations of various identities, including RGLs, nonradial precursors, IPCs, NG2 cells and astroglia. While still unproven, these multiple cell types may represent the co-existence of multiple NSC populations in the adult brain and serve different tissue demands [27] (Figure 2). In addition, subpopulations within each cell type may possess a range of potential and undergo differential regulation as evident using single-cell lineage studies within the RGL pool [14]. RGL subtypes may vary from unipotential “disposable” stem cells [16] to self-renewing and multi-lineage-generating NSCs [17,25]. In many somatic tissues, the most quiescent precursor acts as a stem cell reservoir, responds to injury and can replace other stem cell subtypes. In the adult SGZ, approximately 10% of RGL clones remain as single cells after one year of tracing [14]. Could these cells be long-term injury-responsive NSCs? Are there other precursor populations containing NSC properties? Are NSCs intrinsically diverse, or are they equipotent but respond selectively to different environmental cues? Ultimately, the concept of precursor heterogeneity within a given region may be a unifying hypothesis on adult NSC properties in the mammalian brain. This principle provides a platform for determining NSC capacity for brain alteration, exploring underlying mechanisms, and understanding how they may act as a compensatory mechanism to promote tissue homeostasis or repair.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We apologize for not being able to cite many primary literatures because space limits. We thank K. Christian, G. Sun and R. Stadel for comments. The research in Drs. Ming and Song laboratories was supported by NIH (NS048271 and HD069184), MSCRF, March of Dimes to G.L.M., by NIH (NS047344 and MH087874), IMHRO, SAFRI to H.S. J.S. was partially supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from MSCRF.

References

1. Kempermann G, Gage FH. New nerve cells for the adult brain. *Sci Am.* 1999; 280:48–53. [PubMed: 10231988]
2. Ming GL, Song H. Adult neurogenesis in the mammalian brain: significant answers and significant questions. *Neuron.* 2011; 70:687–702. [PubMed: 21609825]
3. Aimone JB, Deng W, Gage FH. Resolving new memories: a critical look at the dentate gyrus, adult neurogenesis, and pattern separation. *Neuron.* 2011; 70:589–596. [PubMed: 21609818]
4. Sahay A, Wilson DA, Hen R. Pattern separation: a common function for new neurons in hippocampus and olfactory bulb. *Neuron.* 2011; 70:582–588. [PubMed: 21609817]
5. Schmidt-Hieber C, Jonas P, Bischofberger J. Enhanced synaptic plasticity in newly generated granule cells of the adult hippocampus. *Nature.* 2004; 429:184–187. [PubMed: 15107864]
6. Ge S, Yang CH, Hsu KS, Ming GL, Song H. A critical period for enhanced synaptic plasticity in newly generated neurons of the adult brain. *Neuron.* 2007; 54:559–566. [PubMed: 17521569]
7. Marin-Burgin A, Mongiat LA, Pardi MB, Schinder AF. Unique processing during a period of high excitation/inhibition balance in adult-born neurons. *Science.* 2012
8. Santarelli L, Saxe M, Gross C, Surget A, Battaglia F, Dulawa S, Weisstaub N, Lee J, Duman R, Arancio O, et al. Requirement of hippocampal neurogenesis for the behavioral effects of antidepressants. *Science.* 2003; 301:805–809. [PubMed: 12907793]
9. Snyder JS, Soumier A, Brewer M, Pickel J, Cameron HA. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis buffers stress responses and depressive behaviour. *Nature.* 2011; 476:458–461. [PubMed: 21814201]
10. Christian K, Song H, Ming GL. Adult neurogenesis as a cellular model to study schizophrenia. *Cell Cycle.* 2010; 9:636–637. [PubMed: 20107312]
11. Guo W, Allan AM, Zong R, Zhang L, Johnson EB, Schaller EG, Murthy AC, Goggin SL, Eisch AJ, Oostra BA, et al. Ablation of Fmrp in adult neural stem cells disrupts hippocampus-dependent learning. *Nat Med.* 2011; 17:559–565. [PubMed: 21516088]
12. Gage FH. Mammalian neural stem cells. *Science.* 2000; 287:1433–1438. [PubMed: 10688783]
- 13*. Suh H, Consiglio A, Ray J, Sawai T, D'Amour KA, Gage FH. In vivo fate analysis reveals the multipotent and self-renewal capacities of Sox2+ neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2007; 1:515–528. This study demonstrated that Sox2+ cells function as NSC in vitro and identified nonradial cells as self-renewing neurogenic precursors in vivo. The authors propose that nonradial cells act as a stem cell population in the hippocampus, capable of neurons, astroglia and RGL generation. [PubMed: 18371391]
- 14**. Bonaguidi MA, Wheeler MA, Shapiro JS, Stadel RP, Sun GJ, Ming GL, Song H. In vivo clonal analysis reveals self-renewing and multipotent adult neural stem cell characteristics. *Cell.* 2011; 145:1142–1155. This study developed a new method to investigate proliferation, fate specification and maintenance decisions from a single RGL precursor within the adult mouse hippocampus. The authors found stem cell properties within individual RGLs and substantial heterogeneity and amenability among RGL stem cell behaviors. [PubMed: 21664664]
15. Lugert S, Vogt M, Tchorz JS, Muller M, Giachino C, Taylor V. Homeostatic neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus does not involve amplification of Ascl1(high) intermediate progenitors. *Nat Commun.* 2012; 3:670. [PubMed: 22334073]
- 16**. Encinas JM, Michurina TV, Peunova N, Park JH, Tordo J, Peterson DA, Fishell G, Koulakov A, Enikolopov G. Division-coupled astrocytic differentiation and age-related depletion of neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2011; 8:566–579. The authors identified a decline in the RGL pool due to aging. Mechanistically, they attribute this to the failure of RGL maintenance and propose a “disposable stem cell” model in which RGLs undergo multiple rounds of proliferation before differentiating into astrocytes. [PubMed: 21549330]
- 17*. Dranovsky A, Picchini AM, Moadel T, Sisti AC, Yamada A, Kimura S, Leonardo ED, Hen R. Experience dictates stem cell fate in the adult hippocampus. *Neuron.* 2011; 70:908–923. This study revealed an increase in RGLs over time that is accelerated by social isolation and recovers after irradiation. The authors propose NSC fate choices act as a cellular adaptive mechanism to environmental alterations. [PubMed: 21658584]

18. Ma DK, Bonaguidi MA, Ming GL, Song H. Adult neural stem cells in the mammalian central nervous system. *Cell Res.* 2009; 19:672–682. [PubMed: 19436263]
19. Gabay L, Lowell S, Rubin LL, Anderson DJ. Deregulation of dorsoventral patterning by FGF confers trilineage differentiation capacity on CNS stem cells in vitro. *Neuron.* 2003; 40 :485–499. [PubMed: 14642274]
20. Palmer TD, Markakis EA, Willhoite AR, Safar F, Gage FH. Fibroblast growth factor-2 activates a latent neurogenic program in neural stem cells from diverse regions of the adult CNS. *J Neurosci.* 1999; 19:8487–8497. [PubMed: 10493749]
21. Kondo T, Raff M. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells reprogrammed to become multipotential CNS stem cells. *Science.* 2000; 289:1754–1757. [PubMed: 10976069]
22. Seri B, Garcia-Verdugo JM, McEwen BS, Alvarez-Buylla A. Astrocytes give rise to new neurons in the adult mammalian hippocampus. *J Neurosci.* 2001; 21:7153–7160. [PubMed: 11549726]
23. Garcia AD, Doan NB, Imura T, Bush TG, Sofroniew MV. GFAP-expressing progenitors are the principal source of constitutive neurogenesis in adult mouse forebrain. *Nat Neurosci.* 2004; 7:1233–1241. [PubMed: 15494728]
24. Lagace DC, Whitman MC, Noonan MA, Ables JL, DeCarolis NA, Arguello AA, Donovan MH, Fischer SJ, Farnbauch LA, Beech RD, et al. Dynamic contribution of nestin-expressing stem cells to adult neurogenesis. *J Neurosci.* 2007; 27:12623–12629. [PubMed: 18003841]
25. Ahn S, Joyner AL. In vivo analysis of quiescent adult neural stem cells responding to Sonic hedgehog. *Nature.* 2005; 437:894–897. [PubMed: 16208373]
26. Balordi F, Fishell G. Mosaic removal of hedgehog signaling in the adult SVZ reveals that the residual wild-type stem cells have a limited capacity for self-renewal. *J Neurosci.* 2007; 27:14248–14259. [PubMed: 18160632]
- 27**. Lugert S, Basak O, Knuckles P, Haussler U, Fabel K, Gotz M, Haas CA, Kempermann G, Taylor V, Giachino C. Quiescent and active hippocampal neural stem cells with distinct morphologies respond selectively to physiological and pathological stimuli and aging. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2010; 6:445–456. The authors first identified selective hippocampus NSC responses to environmental alterations. Exercise promotes RGL, but not nonradial cell proliferation; whereas kainic acid-induces seizures induces proliferation of both precursors, but only increases the size of the nonradial pool. [PubMed: 20452319]
28. Kronenberg G, Reuter K, Steiner B, Brandt MD, Jessberger S, Yamaguchi M, Kempermann G. Subpopulations of proliferating cells of the adult hippocampus respond differently to physiologic neurogenic stimuli. *J Comp Neurol.* 2003; 467:455–463. [PubMed: 14624480]
29. Steiner B, Klempin F, Wang L, Kott M, Kettenmann H, Kempermann G. Type-2 cells as link between glial and neuronal lineage in adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *Glia.* 2006; 54 :805–814. [PubMed: 16958090]
30. Walsh C, Cepko CL. Widespread dispersion of neuronal clones across functional regions of the cerebral cortex. *Science.* 1992; 255:434–440. [PubMed: 1734520]
31. Hodge RD, Kowalczyk TD, Wolf SA, Encinas JM, Rippey C, Enikolopov G, Kempermann G, Hevner RF. Intermediate progenitors in adult hippocampal neurogenesis: Tbr2 expression and coordinate regulation of neuronal output. *J Neurosci.* 2008; 28:3707–3717. [PubMed: 18385329]
32. Zhu X, Hill RA, Dietrich D, Komitova M, Suzuki R, Nishiyama A. Age-dependent fate and lineage restriction of single NG2 cells. *Development.* 2011; 138:745–753. [PubMed: 21266410]
33. Belachew S, Chittajallu R, Aguirre AA, Yuan X, Kirby M, Anderson S, Gallo V. Postnatal NG2 proteoglycan-expressing progenitor cells are intrinsically multipotent and generate functional neurons. *J Cell Biol.* 2003; 161:169–186. [PubMed: 12682089]
34. Kang SH, Fukaya M, Yang JK, Rothstein JD, Bergles DE. NG2+ CNS glial progenitors remain committed to the oligodendrocyte lineage in postnatal life and following neurodegeneration. *Neuron.* 2010; 68:668–681. [PubMed: 21092857]
35. Seri B, Garcia-Verdugo JM, Collado-Morente L, McEwen BS, Alvarez-Buylla A. Cell types, lineage, and architecture of the germinal zone in the adult dentate gyrus. *J Comp Neurol.* 2004; 478:359–378. [PubMed: 15384070]

36. Steiner B, Kronenberg G, Jessberger S, Brandt MD, Reuter K, Kempermann G. Differential regulation of gliogenesis in the context of adult hippocampal neurogenesis in mice. *Glia*. 2004; 46:41–52. [PubMed: 14999812]
37. Kim EJ, Ables JL, Dickel LK, Eisch AJ, Johnson JE. Ascl1 (Mash1) defines cells with long-term neurogenic potential in subgranular and subventricular zones in adult mouse brain. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e18472. [PubMed: 21483754]
38. Ehm O, Goritz C, Covic M, Schaffner I, Schwarz TJ, Karaca E, Kempkes B, Kremmer E, Pfrieger FW, Espinosa L, et al. RBPJkappa-dependent signaling is essential for long-term maintenance of neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30 :13794–13807. [PubMed: 20943920]
39. Mira H, Andreu Z, Suh H, Lie DC, Jessberger S, Consiglio A, San Emeterio J, Hortiguera R, Marques-Torres MA, Nakashima K, et al. Signaling through BMPR-IA regulates quiescence and long-term activity of neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2010; 7:78–89. [PubMed: 20621052]
40. Gao Z, Ure K, Ding P, Nashaat M, Yuan L, Ma J, Hammer RE, Hsieh J. The master negative regulator REST/NRSF controls adult neurogenesis by restraining the neurogenic program in quiescent stem cells. *J Neurosci*. 2011; 31:9772–9786. [PubMed: 21715642]
41. Walter J, Keiner S, Witte OW, Redecker C. Differential stroke-induced proliferative response of distinct precursor cell subpopulations in the young and aged dentate gyrus. *Neuroscience*. 2010; 169:1279–1286. [PubMed: 20570606]
42. Chen E, Finkel T. Preview. The Tortoise, the hare, and the FoxO. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2009; 5 :451–452. [PubMed: 19896431]
43. Ferron SR, Charalambous M, Radford E, McEwen K, Wildner H, Hind E, Morante-Redolat JM, Laborda J, Guillemot F, Bauer SR, et al. Postnatal loss of Dlk1 imprinting in stem cells and niche astrocytes regulates neurogenesis. *Nature*. 2011; 475:381–385. [PubMed: 21776083]
44. Li L, Clevers H. Coexistence of quiescent and active adult stem cells in mammals. *Science*. 2010; 327:542–545. [PubMed: 20110496]
45. Alvarez-Buylla A, Kohwi M, Nguyen TM, Merkle FT. The heterogeneity of adult neural stem cells and the emerging complexity of their niche. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol*. 2008; 73:357–365. [PubMed: 19022766]
46. Wilson A, Laurenti E, Oser G, van der Wath RC, Blanco-Bose W, Jaworski M, Offner S, Dunant CF, Eshkind L, Bockamp E, et al. Hematopoietic stem cells reversibly switch from dormancy to self-renewal during homeostasis and repair. *Cell*. 2008; 135:1118–1129. [PubMed: 19062086]
47. Dykstra B, Kent D, Bowie M, McCaffrey L, Hamilton M, Lyons K, Lee SJ, Brinkman R, Eaves C. Long-term propagation of distinct hematopoietic differentiation programs in vivo. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2007; 1:218–229. [PubMed: 18371352]
48. Barker N, van Es JH, Kuipers J, Kujala P, van den Born M, Cozijnsen M, Haegebarth A, Korving J, Begthel H, Peters PJ, et al. Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene Lgr5. *Nature*. 2007; 449:1003–1007. [PubMed: 17934449]
49. Tian H, Biehs B, Warming S, Leong KG, Rangell L, Klein OD, de Sauvage FJ. A reserve stem cell population in small intestine renders Lgr5-positive cells dispensable. *Nature*. 2011; 478:255–259. [PubMed: 21927002]
50. Leung CT, Coulombe PA, Reed RR. Contribution of olfactory neural stem cells to tissue maintenance and regeneration. *Nat Neurosci*. 2007; 10:720–726. [PubMed: 17468753]

Highlights

- Radial glia-like precursors are self-renewing multipotent neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus.
- Adult neural precursors exhibit significant heterogeneity in their behaviors.
- Adult neural stem cells are regulated at multiple decision points.

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Figure 1. Models for neural stem cell (NSC) behavior in the adult hippocampus

(a) Neural lineages according to the radial glia-like cell (RGL) model. RGLs, or Type 1 cells, are quiescent precursors that generate new neurons and astrocytes through intermediate progenitor cell (IPC) and astroglia progenitor phases, respectively. IPCs proliferate while progressively differentiating through multiple stages into neurons, while astroglia progenitors possess comparatively small proliferative capacity. Stage-specific markers are shown for each cell type. Short-term fate-mapping from various cells of origin can be achieved using fluorescent reporter mice under the regulatory control of stage-specific promoters. Long-term indelible lineage-tracing can be performed from various precursors using inducible Cre-LoxP technology in combination with “floxed-on” reporters. The exact cell type to be labeled is controlled by the combination of the CreER driver line, specific reporter line and dose of tamoxifen (Nestin::CreER#: induction with a low dose of tamoxifen). References for each reporter and lineage-tracing mouse line are listed in the Supplementary Information.

(b) Three proposed NSC models. In the “Repeated RGL self-renewal” model, RGLs can cycle between quiescent and mitotic states. Once activated, RGLs can divide symmetrically to generate additional RGLs, or asymmetrically to produce neuronal and astroglial lineages. In the “Disposable RGL” model, once activated, RGLs repeatedly divide to generate only the neuronal lineage without returning to quiescence and then terminally differentiate into astrocytes. In the “Nonradial precursor” model, proliferative cells lacking a radial process generate neurons, astrocytes, and even RGLs. Arrows indicate direct cell generation. Dotted arrows represent unknown choices. Double arrows represent multistep cell generation.

Figure 2. A unified NSC model in the adult hippocampus under basal conditions

Multiple precursor subtypes co-exist in the adult dentate gyrus to coordinate tissue homeostasis under basal conditions. Quiescent RGLs undergo three known decisions: (1) Activation; (2) Cell fate choice; and (3) Maintenance/self-renewal. Once exited from quiescence, RGLs can generate new RGLs, nonradial precursors, IPCs and astroglia, but not the oligodendrocyte lineage (2). Afterwards, RGLs can remain in a proliferative state, return to quiescence, or differentiate into an astrocyte (3). While not yet directly observed, a RGL may differentiate into an astrocyte without proliferation (4). Yet to be demonstrated directly, nonradial precursors may generate RGLs and astroglia in addition to the known neuronal generation, while maintaining the precursor state (5). Likewise, NG2 progenitors may choose among proliferation, oligodendrocyte generation and precursor maintenance (6). Importantly, heterogeneity of decisions exists within a single precursor type and ranges from unipotent non-self-renewing progenitors to multipotent self-renewing stem cells. Arrows indicate direct cell generation. Dotted arrows represent potential choices that need further experimental evidence. Double arrows represent multistep cell generation. Arrows with an 'X' represent choices not experimentally observed.

Table 1
Fate-mapping approaches for studying adult hippocampal neural stem cells

Five different approaches to perform fate-mapping of neural precursors are illustrated. In cell culture, isolated precursors are grown *in vitro* as a monolayer or non-adherent “neurospheres”. For slice culture, the hippocampus is sectioned to partially maintain local tissue architecture *ex vivo*. For *in vivo* studies, analysis can be based upon the incorporation of nucleotide analogs during DNA replication in the S-phase of cell cycle, the expression of transgenes from retroviruses requiring integration of the retroviral genome during the M phase, or transgenic mice expressing reporters under specific promoters. Some advantages and disadvantages of these five approaches are listed. Additionally, next-generation tools are either under development or recently become available.

	Cell Culture		Slice culture		Thymidine analogs		Retrovirus		Transgenic animals	
Species applicability	Broad		Broad		Broad, limited in human		Broad, limited in human		Mice	
Preparation	<i>In vitro</i>		<i>Ex vivo</i>		• In vivo		• In vivo		• In vivo	
					• Ex vivo		• Ex vivo		• Ex vivo	
					• In vitro		• In vitro		• In vitro	
Cell Population	Good		Good		Limited		Limited		Good	
Single cell analysis	Good		Good – video microscopy		Limited		Limited		Good	
Cell targeting	Quiescent / Mitotic		Quiescent / Mitotic		Mitotic		Mitotic		Quiescent / Mitotic	
Visualization	Direct / processing		Direct / processing		Processing		Direct		Direct / processing	
Morphology	Whole cell		Whole cell		Nuclear		Whole cell		Whole cell	
Birth dating	Good		Good		Good		Good		Poor	
Temporal resolution	High		High		Moderate		Moderate		Low	
Injury	High		Moderate-high		No		Moderate		No	
Concerns / Limitations	• Niche removal		• Tissue damage		• Rarely targets quiescent stem cells		• Rarely targets quiescent stem cells		• Specificity	
	• Reprogramming		• Tissue survival		• DNA repair		• Invasive		• Labor intensive	
	• Cell of origin?									
Next-gen Approaches	• No growth factors		<i>In vivo</i> video microscopy		Dual/triple labeling		Split-Cre specific lentivirus		• Multicolor reporters	
	• Video analysis								• Birth-dating	