Published in final edited form as:

Int J STD AIDS. 2012 July ; 23(7): 481–484. doi:10.1258/ijsa.2009.009428.

Social Support Mediates the Relationship between HIV Stigma and Depression/Quality of Life among People Living with HIV in Beijing, China

D. Rao¹, W.-T. Chen², C.R. Pearson³, J.M. Simoni⁴, K. Fredriksen-Goldsen³, K. Nelson⁴, H. Zhao⁶, and F. Zhang⁵

¹ Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

² Family and Child Nursing, School of Nursing, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

³ Indigenous Wellness Research Institute, School of Social Work, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

⁴ Department of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

⁵ Division of Treatment and Care, National Center for AIDS/STD Control and Prevention, China

⁶ Ditan Hospital, Beijing, China

SUMMARY

Stigma toward people living with HIV is pervasive in China and related to poor service utilization, psychosocial distress, and diminished quality of life. In an effort to identify mechanisms to reduce HIV stigma and its negative consequences, we examined whether social support mediates the relation between enacted stigma and both depressive symptoms and quality of life among 120 HIV outpatients in Beijing, China. Generally, perceived social support was associated with less stigma, less depressive symptomatology, and better quality of life. Using multivariable regression models, we found that social support was a full mediator of the impact of stigma on both depressive symptomatology and quality of life. The findings suggest social support may be an important target of interventions to reduce the impact of stigma on poor psychosocial health outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Although the prevalence of HIV among people aged 15 to 49 years in China is still relatively low (0.1%) compared to in the U.S. (0.6%),¹ HIV stigma is already deeply entrenched within Chinese communities.² In China, areas with high rates of intravenous drug use or commercial blood donation have contributed to the characterization of HIV as a disease of the ‘immoral’ and the poor.³ As a result, people living with HIV (PLWH) in China often experience a loss of ‘face’, which can lead to diminished value in society and ability to negotiate social networks.⁴

Internalized stigma, defined as negative attitudes held by individuals about their own condition, has been associated with poor health outcomes.⁵ Once a person with HIV internalizes stigmatizing public attitudes, s/he may begin to feel depressed, symptoms of which are frequently reported by PLWH in China.⁶ In addition, HIV stigma has strong negative associations with quality of life, comprising a person’s physical, functional,

emotional, and social functionality.⁷ Furthermore, stigma has been associated with poor medication adherence, contributing to poor morbidity and increased mortality for PLWH.⁸

Given the far-reaching impact of HIV stigma, we set out to examine factors with the potential to lessen negative consequences of stigma. Our previous research connected social support with decreased depressive symptomatology among PLWH in New York.⁹ In China, high levels of support have been associated with better quality of life.¹⁰ These findings led us to hypothesize that social support might be an effective mechanism to reduce depression and improve quality of life. Thus, we examined whether social support mediated the relationship between stigma and depressive symptoms/overall quality of life among PLWH in China.

METHODS

Procedures

This present study was part of a larger project examining a 3-session nurse-delivered HIV medication adherence intervention in China.¹¹ A report on the effectiveness of this intervention are presented elsewhere.¹² In the present report, we focused on baseline data obtained. Participants were PLWH who received care at Ditan Hospital, a facility specializing in infectious diseases in Beijing, China. Data were collected between December 2006 and April 2008. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards from our institutions and the China Centers for Disease Control.

Eligible participants were HIV-positive Mandarin-speaking adults (at least 18 years of age). Participants who were cognitively impaired or actively psychotic were excluded. Participants were recruited from the AIDS clinic or from a hospital-based HIV/AIDS support group. Interviews were conducted after written informed consent was obtained, and participants were paid the equivalent of US\$15.

Measures

Socio-demographic variables—We assessed participants' age, gender, marital/partner status, highest grade of school completed, income, and employment status.

Stigma—Stigma was assessed using a modified version of the HIV Stigma Scale.¹³ In order to reduce patient burden, participants completed an abbreviated version of the original scale. Five items from the personalized stigma subscale were examined, with higher values indicating greater stigma. We conducted an exploratory principal components analyses for the 5 items, resulting in a one-factor structure that explained 61.4% of the variance with all factor loadings greater than 0.71. Internal consistency reliability was 0.84.

Depressive symptoms—The Centers for Epidemiological Studies Depression scale (CES-D) is a screening measure used to examine the prevalence of psychological distress in community samples.¹⁴ We used the previously validated, shortened Chinese version of the CES-D.^{15, 16} Total scores ranged from 0 to 30, with scores of 10 or above defining possible clinical depression.¹⁷ Cronbach's alpha for the 10-item scale was 0.77.

Quality of Life—The Medical Outcomes Study - HIV health survey¹⁸ consists of 35 questions, and has been used to measure overall quality of life of persons with HIV across the globe.^{19–21} To score the MOS-HIV, the raw item scores of each subscale are summed and transformed into a 0–100 scale. Higher scores indicated better health.

Social support—The Chinese version of the Medical Outcomes Study-Social Support Survey (MOS-SSS-C) is a psychometrically sound measure of perceived social support for people with chronic diseases.²² We used the 19-item survey in our study, and total scores on the scale were derived by summing the scores for all 19 items.

Data Analysis

We first examined bivariate relationships between variables of interest through calculation of correlation coefficients using listwise deletion of cases with missing data. For mediation analyses, our small sample size prompted us to follow Baron and Kenny's stepwise guidelines on investigating mediation through regression analysis.²³ We first tested whether social support mediated the impact of stigma on depressive symptoms, and then tested whether social support mediated between the impact of stigma on quality of life. Each analysis involved three steps.

In Step A, social support was regressed onto stigma. In Step B, depressive symptomatology was regressed onto stigma. In Step C, we tested for formal mediation by conducting multiple regression in which depressive symptomatology was regressed onto both stigma and social support. In step C, the standardized beta weight for stigma must be less than it is in the Step B, indicating that social support is explaining some of the relationship between stigma and depressive symptoms. We then repeated this process, examining quality of life scores instead of depressive symptomatology.

RESULTS

Participants

One hundred and twenty participants completed baseline assessments, with 82% male and a mean age of 36 years ($SD = 8.0$). Full socio-demographic and clinical information are presented in Table 1. Sixty percent of participants were on a combination of D4T, 3TC, and nevirapine.

Descriptive and Correlational Data

The mean stigma total score was 7.6 ($SD = 3.0$) with scores ranging from 0 to 15, and the mean quality of life score was 48.7 ($SD = 23.3$) with scores ranging from 0 to 100. The mean CES-D total score was 10.1 ($SD = 5.8$) with scores ranging from 0 to 22. Forty-nine percent of participants scored 10 or above, indicating possible clinical depression. Finally, the mean Social Support total score was 46.7 ($SD = 17.0$), and scores ranged from 13.2 to 100.0.

The main variables of stigma, quality of life, and depressive symptomatology were associated with several socio-demographic variables (see Table 2). Specifically, participants who reported experiencing more stigma were older in age ($r = 0.41$, $p < 0.01$), reported less education ($r = -0.23$, $p < 0.05$) and lower income ($r = -0.23$, $p < 0.05$). Participants reported higher mean levels of stigma and depression if they were not currently employed ($t = 3.59$, $p < 0.001$; $t = 2.51$, $p < 0.05$). Participants who reported a better quality of life had more education ($r = 0.25$, $p < 0.05$) and higher income ($r = 0.26$, $p < 0.05$), and those who had lower mean levels of quality of life were not currently employed ($t = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$). Participants who reported a higher mean level of social support had a steady partner ($t = -3.24$, $p < 0.01$). Social support was significantly associated with less stigma ($r = -0.26$, $p < 0.01$), less depressive symptomatology ($r = -0.28$, $p < 0.01$), and better quality of life ($r = 0.30$, $p < 0.01$).

Mediation analyses—Per the Baron and Kenny technique, we found that stigma was associated with both social support ($\beta = -0.26, p < 0.01$) and depressive symptoms ($\beta = 0.22, p < 0.05$). With both stigma and social support in the model with depressive symptomatology, the beta for social support remained significant ($\beta = -0.23, p < 0.05$), but stigma became non-significant ($\beta = 0.16, p = 0.09$), suggesting full mediation (Figure 1a).

Parallel analyses with quality of life indicated stigma significantly predicted social support (stats) and quality of life ($\beta = -0.22, p < 0.05$). When both social and stigma were included in the same model, social support remained a significant predictor of quality of life ($\beta = 0.25, p < 0.05$) but stigma became non-significant ($\beta = -0.15, p = 0.15$), again suggesting full mediation (Figure 1b).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we examined whether social support mediates the relationship between stigma, depressive symptoms, and quality of life among Chinese outpatients living with HIV. Consistent with previous studies, we found high levels of stigma, associated with depressive symptoms and poorer quality of life.

Surprisingly, 49% of participants met screening criteria for depression in the present study. Studies in the United States have put the prevalence of major depression among PLWH at 36%.²⁴ The high rate of depressive symptoms among Chinese PLWH may be connected with stigma, more advanced HIV infection, or Chinese people might be likely to endorse severe symptoms on the CES-D despite the measure's validation in China. Regardless of the cause, the high rate of depressive symptomatology clearly indicates the need for intervention.

Our results indicated that social support mediated the association between stigma and depressive symptoms/quality of life. Previous studies have linked social support with stigma and depression/quality of life separately in the U.S. and China,⁹ but the present study brings these three factors together to suggest that social support may be a critical factor that can mitigate these psychosocial consequences.

Given the collectivistic nature of Chinese society, social support plays a central role, forming the structure for social support and help to occur.²⁵ Therefore, stigmas can be particularly problematic within Chinese culture that is oriented toward collectivism, where individuals subordinate their own interests to that of others, whose evaluations are of utmost importance.⁴ As such, the availability of social support must be considered in HIV intervention development.²⁶

There are some limitations to note in this study. First, the relatively small sample size limited our ability to use more powerful statistical techniques, such as structural equation modeling. Second, the survey relied on interviews for data collection, increasing the likelihood for social desirability bias. Finally, causal inferences should be drawn cautiously, given the cross sectional nature of the data.

Conclusion

Consistent with an ecological framework, our results suggest that treatment programs should consider interactions between factors at the intrapersonal (e.g. depression, stigma) and interpersonal (i.e., social support) levels. Furthermore, these findings suggest that social support would be a critical component to stigma reduction interventions for PLWH. Multilevel methods that incorporate individual and societal level approaches are likely to yield more effective, longer lasting stigma reduction.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the providers and participants at Ditan hospital, and Bu Huang, Cheng-Shi Shiu, Wei Qu, and Xin Wang for their assistance with the project. This research was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (K23 MH 084551 PI: Rao, R34 MH 074364 and R34-MH074364-S1 PI: Simoni).

References

1. UNAIDS. Country Report. http://www.unaids.org/en/Regions_Countries/Countries/default.asp
2. Deng R, Li J, Sringernyuan L, Zhang K. Drug abuse, HIV/AIDS and stigmatisation in a Dai community in Yunnan, China. *Soc Sci Med*. 2007; 64(8):1560–1571. [PubMed: 17257727]
3. Zhang K, Ma S. Epidemiology of HIV in China. *BMJ*. Apr 6; 2002 324(7341):803–804. [see comment]. [PubMed: 11934762]
4. Yang L, Kleinman A. Face and the Embodiment of Stigma: Schizophrenia and AIDS in China. *Social Science and Medicine*. 2008; 67(3):398–408. [PubMed: 18420325]
5. Lee RS, Kochman A, Sikkema KJ. Internalized stigma among people living with HIV-AIDS. *AIDS and Behavior*. Dec; 2002 6(4):309–319.
6. Jin H, Hampton Atkinson J, Yu X, et al. Depression and suicidality in HIV/AIDS in China. *Journal of Affective Disorders* Aug. 2006; 94(1–3):269–275.
7. Rao D, Hahn EA, Cella D, Hernandez L. The health related quality of life outcomes of English and Spanish speaking persons living with HIV/AIDS from the continental United States and Puerto Rico. *AIDS Patient Care & STDs* May. 2007; 21(5):339–346.
8. Rao D, Kekwaletswe TC, Hosek S, Martinez J, Rodriguez F. Stigma and Social Barriers to Medication Adherence with Urban Youth Living with HIV. *AIDS Care*. 2007; 19(1):28–33. [PubMed: 17129855]
9. Simoni JM, Pantalone DW, Plummer MD, Huang B. A randomized controlled trial of a peer support intervention targeting antiretroviral medication adherence and depressive symptomatology in HIV-positive men and women. *Health Psychol*. 2007; 26(4):488–495. [PubMed: 17605569]
10. Mkangara O, Wang C, Xiang H, Xu Y, Nie S, Liu L. The univariate and bivariate impact of HIV/AIDS on the quality of life: A cross sectional study in the Hubei Province-Central China. *Journal of Huazhong University of Science and Technology-Medical Sciences*. 2009; 29(2):260–264.
11. Starks H, Simoni J, Zhao H, et al. Conceptualizing antiretroviral adherence in Beijing, China. *AIDS Care* Jul. 2008; 20(6):607–614.
12. Simoni, J.; Chen, W-T.; Huh, D., et al. An RCT of a nurse-delivered medication adherence intervention among antiretroviral therapy-naïve outpatients in Beijing, China. 2009. Unpublished Manuscript
13. Berger BE, Ferrans CE, Lashley FR. Measuring Stigma in People with HIV: Psychometric Assessment of the HIV Stigma Scale. *Research in Nursing and Health*. 2001; 24:518–529. [PubMed: 11746080]
14. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. 1977; 1:385–401.
15. Dai Y, Zhang S, Yamamoto J, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy of minor depressive symptoms in elderly Chinese Americans: a pilot study. *Community Ment Health J* Dec. 1999; 35(6):537–542.
16. Zhang J, Norvilitis JM. Measuring Chinese psychological well-being with Western developed instruments. *J Pers Assess* Dec. 2002; 79(3):492–511.
17. Andresen E, Malmgren J, Carter W, Patrick D. Screening for depression in well older adults: evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale). *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 1994; 10:77–84. [PubMed: 8037935]
18. Wachtel T, Piette J, Mor V, Stein M, Fleishman J, Carpenter C. Quality of life in persons with human immunodeficiency virus infection: measurement by the Medical Outcomes Study instrument. *Ann Intern Med*. Jan 15; 1992 116(2):129–137. [PubMed: 1727616]
19. Wu AW, Hays RD, Kelly S, Malitz F, Bozzette SA. Applications of the Medical Outcomes Study health-related quality of life measures in HIV/AIDS. *Qual Life Res* Aug. 1997; 6(6):531–554.

20. Ichikawa M, Natpratan C. Quality of life among people living with HIV/AIDS in northern Thailand: MOS-HIV Health Survey. *Qual Life Res* Apr. 2004; 13(3):601–610.
21. Schifano P, Borgia P, Wu AW, Spadea T, Milanese G, Perucci CA. Validity and reliability of the Italian translation of the MOS-HIV health survey in persons with AIDS. *Qual Life Res* Dec. 2003; 12(8):1137–1146.
22. Yu DS, Lee DT, Woo J. Psychometric testing of the Chinese version of the medical outcomes study social support survey (MOS-SSS-C). *Res Nurs Health* Apr. 2004; 27(2):135–143.
23. Baron RM, Kenny DA. The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1986; 51(6):1173–1182. [PubMed: 3806354]
24. Bing E, Burnam M, Longshore D. Psychiatric disorders and drug use among human immunodeficiency virus-infected adults in the United States. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2001; 58:721–728. [PubMed: 11483137]
25. Chang H, Holt G. More than relationship: Chinese interaction and the principle of kuan-his. *Communication Quarterly*. 1991; 39(3):251–271.
26. Starks H, Simoni JM, Zhao H, et al. Conceptualizing antiretroviral adherence in Beijing, China. *AIDS Care* Jul. 2008; 20(6):607–614.

Figure 1.

Figure 1a. Mediation Analyses among Social Support, Stigma, and Depression

The standardized beta weights of each variable in the series of regression analyses are shown. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

Figure 1b. Mediation Analyses among Social Support, Stigma, and Health Related Quality of Life

The standardized beta weights of each variable in the series of regression analyses are shown. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

Table 1

Participants' Socio-demographic and Clinical Information.

Variable		Frequency/Mean	Percent/Standard Deviation
Gender	Men	98	82%
Age (in years)		36	8.0
Married or steady partner?	Yes	66	55%
Highest grade in school	No formal schooling	4	3%
	Some primary school	19	16%
	Middle school	23	19%
	High school	28	23%
	Vocational school	17	14%
	Some College	8	7%
	College graduation	16	13%
	Post College	5	4%
Currently working?	Yes	62	54%
Monthly Income (RMB)	0 to 999	43	36%
	1000 to 1,999	26	22%
	2,000 to 2,999	17	14%
	3,000 to 3,999	11	9%
	4,000 to 4,999	10	8%
	5,000 and above	13	11%
Most recent CD4+ count	Less than 200	68	58%
	200 to 350	34	29%
	351 to 500	6	5%
	501 to 1000	5	4%
	Greater than 1000	0	0%
	Don't know	4	3%

Table 2

Correlations between the socio-demographic, stigma, depression, and quality of life measures.

	Stigma	Depression	Social Support	Quality of Life	Age	Gender	Married/Partnered	Highest Schooling	Income	Working
Stigma	1.0									
Depression	0.22 [*]	1.0								
Social Support	-0.26 ^{**}	-0.28 ^{**}	1.0							
Quality of life	-0.22 [*]	-0.55 ^{**}	0.30 ^{**}	1.0						
Age	0.41 ^{**}	-0.03	-0.12	-0.09	1.0					
Gender	0.14	0.17	-0.09	-0.09	0.13	1.0				
Married/Partnered	0.01	-0.03	0.29 ^{**}	0.02	0.21 [*]	0.17 ⁺	1.0			
Highest Schooling	-0.23 [*]	-0.15	0.08	0.25 [*]	-0.20 [*]	-0.23 ^{**++}	-0.28 ^{**++}	1.0		
Income	-0.23 [*]	-0.17	0.16	0.26 [*]	-0.03	-0.15	-0.05	0.49 ^{**}	1.0	
Working	-0.32 ^{**}	-0.23 [*]	0.18	0.37 ^{**}	-0.31 ^{**}	-0.29 ^{**+}	-0.14 ⁺	0.40 ^{**++}	0.35 ^{**}	1.0

^{*} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed),^{**} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed),⁺ Phi coefficient (between 2 nominal variables) or⁺⁺ Kendall's tau (between ordinal and nominal variables) were calculated instead of Pearson *r*.