Published in final edited form as:

J Med Chem. 2007 April 19; 50(8): 1810–1827. doi:10.1021/jm061278q.

Structure-Activity Relationships of 2,*N*⁶,5'-Substituted Adenosine Derivatives with Potent Activity at the A_{2B} Adenosine Receptor

Hayamitsu Adachi, Krishnan K. Palaniappan, Andrei A. Ivanov, Nathaniel Bergman, Zhan-Guo Gao, and Kenneth A. Jacobson*

Molecular Recognition Section, Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

Abstract

2, *N*⁶, and/or 5' substituted adenosine derivatives were synthesized via alkylation of 2-oxypurine nucleosides leading to 2-alkylether derivatives. 2-(3-(Indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine **17** was found to be a potent agonist of the human A_{2B}AR in both binding and cAMP assays. Simplification, altered connectivity and mimicking of the indole ring of **17** failed to maintain A_{2B}AR potency. Introduction of *N*⁶-ethyl or *N*⁶-guanidino substitution, shown to favor A_{2B}AR potency, failed to enhance potency in the 2-(3-(indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine series. Indole 5''- or 6''-halo substitution was favored at the A_{2B}AR, but a 5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamide did not further enhance potency. 2-(3''-(6''-Bromoindolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine **28** displayed an A_{2B}AR EC₅₀ value (nM) of 128, i.e. more potent than the parent **17** (299) and similar to 5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (140). **28** was a full agonist at A_{2B} and A_{2A}ARs and a low efficacy partial agonist at A₁ and A₃ARs. Thus, we have identified and optimized 2-(2-arylethyl)oxo moieties in AR agonists that enhance A_{2B}AR potency and selectivity.

Keywords

G protein-coupled receptor; nucleosides; adenylate cyclase; purines; receptor binding; indole

INTRODUCTION

There are four subtypes of adenosine receptors (ARs): A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}, and A₃.¹ Three of these subtypes already possess selective and potent agonists and antagonists.² Only the A_{2B}AR remains without a selective agonist. It should be noted, however, that highly potent and selective antagonists have been reported for this subtype.^{3–8} A_{2B}AR antagonists are directed toward clinical use for treating asthma and/or diabetes. Conversely, a selective A_{2B}AR agonist would provide a useful pharmacological probe for exploring the role of receptor activation. Activation of the A_{2B}AR is known to induce angiogenesis,⁹ reduce vascular permeabilization,¹⁰ increase production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10,¹¹ increase chloride secretion in epithelial cells,^{12–14} and increase release of inflammatory mediators from human and canine mast cells.^{15,16} A_{2B}AR agonists have been proposed for the treatment of septic shock¹⁷ and cystic fibrosis,¹⁸ and cardiac,¹⁹ pulmonary,²⁰ and kidney¹⁹ diseases associated with remodeling and hyperplasia. Thus, such an agonist may be useful in preventing restenosis. The A_{2B}AR mediates vasodilation in the corpus cavernosum of rabbit and agonists, therefore, may be useful in treating erectile dysfunction.²¹ Recently

Corresponding author: Dr. K. A. Jacobson, Chief, Molecular Recognition Section, Bldg. 8A, Rm. B1A-19, NIH, NIDDK, LBC, Bethesda, MD 20892-0810. Tel: 301-496- 9024. Fax: 301-480-8422; kajacobs@helix.nih.gov.

Yang et al., describe a proinflammatory phenotype resulting from deletion of the gene encoding the A_{2B}AR in the mouse, suggesting that activation of the A_{2B}AR can have anti-inflammatory effects.²² Activation of the A_{2B}AR also promotes postconditioning salvage of ischemic myocardium.²³

Modulation of A_{2B}AR potency has been achieved through structural changes at several sites on the adenosine molecule. R-PIA (R-*N*⁶-(phenylisopropyl)adenosine) **1** was one of the earliest potent agonists to be extensively investigated at ARs and was one of the nucleosides used initially to distinguish the low affinity A_{2B} and high affinity A_{2A}ARs.²⁴ It was found to activate the A_{2B}AR with a micromolar potency and later was noted to bind to the A₃AR with nearly the same affinity as at the A_{2A}AR.

For several decades NECA (5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine) **2** was considered to be the most potent known agonist at the A_{2B}AR, with an EC₅₀ of 140 nM.^{25–27} A survey of structurally diverse adenosine derivatives as agonists of the human A_{2B}AR failed to identify a lead that surpassed the potency of **2**.²⁸ Cristalli and coworkers have explored the SAR (structure-activity relationship) of 2-substituted 5'-uronamide adenosine derivatives such as (*S*)-PHP-NECA **4** (EC₅₀ = 220 nM) as potent but relatively nonselective agonists of the A_{2B}AR.^{29–31}

Recent reports provided new insights into the SAR of agonists of the A_{2B}AR. A three-fold enhancement in potency at the A_{2B}AR was achieved by combining **2** with the *N*⁶-guanidino modification in **3**.³² This structural change produced a three-fold gain in potency at the A₃AR, a 300-fold loss of potency at the A_{2A}AR, and no change at the A₁AR. Also, while 2-ether derivatives of adenosine were characterized as potent A_{2A}AR agonists, specific 2-(2-arylethoxy) ethers were also noted to be particularly potent at the A_{2B}AR.²⁶ In the present study we characterized the SAR of potent A_{2B}AR agonists based on compound **17**. In particular, 5'' or 6''-functionalization on the indole moiety of **17** led to the achievement of new AR agonists with enhanced potency at the A_{2B}AR reduced potency at other AR subtypes. Moreover, we have used molecular modeling of the human A_{2B}AR³¹ to propose a mode of docking of the potent 2-substituted derivatives.

RESULTS

Chemical Synthesis

The structures of the target adenosine 2-ether derivatives **5** – **40** appear in Chart 1 and Table 1. Compounds **5** – **7** and **9** – **16** were studied previously at the human A_{2B}AR.²⁶ Routes to the synthetic intermediates for the 2-ether component are shown in Schemes 1 and 2. The novel 2-alkoxyadenosines **8** and **17** – **36**, *N*⁶-guanidino-2-(3-indolyl)ethoxyadenosine derivatives **37** and **38**, *N*⁶-ethyl-2-(3-indolyl)ethoxyadenosine **39** and 5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamido-2-(3-indolyl)ethoxyadenosines **40** were prepared via alkylation of 2-oxypurine nucleosides using arylalkyl/alkyl iodides as shown in Schemes 3 – 5.

The various arylalkyl iodides used in this study, when not commercially available, were synthesized by the routes shown in Schemes 1 and 2. Tryptophol (3-(2-hydroxyethyl)indole) **41**, 5-methoxytryptophol **42** and 5-hydroxy-tryptophol **43** were converted to the corresponding tosylates **44** – **46**, respectively, followed by iodination with NaI to give the iodides **47** – **49**. Indole-3-acetic acid analogues **50** – **52** having a functional group at the 2 and/or 5 position were transformed to the corresponding esters, which were reduced with lithium aluminum hydride to give the alcohols **53** – **55**. Tosylation of the alcohols **53** – **55** followed by iodination with sodium iodide gave the corresponding iodides **59** – **61**. Furthermore, other 5 or 6-substituted tryptophols **67** – **70** were prepared by refluxing the corresponding phenylhydrazine hydrochloride salts **63** – **66** and 2-ethoxytetrahydrofuran **62**

to effect a Fischer indole ring cyclization.³⁴ Compounds **67** – **70** were converted to the corresponding iodides **74** – **77**, respectively, by using the conventional method mentioned above. Compounds **78** and **79** were transformed to the ethyl glyoxylate derivatives **80** and **81**, which were reduced with lithium aluminum hydride to give the corresponding tryptophol derivatives **82** and **83**, respectively. Compounds **82** and **83** were converted to the corresponding iodides **85** and **86** by using conventional methods.

A tosylated 2-tryptophol **88** was prepared by the palladium-mediated heteroannulation of 2-iodoaniline with 3-butyne-1-ol (Scheme 2). The amino group of 2-iodoaniline **87** was activated by the strong electron-withdrawing sulfonyl group, and the indole cyclization occurred in one pot to give the tosylated 2-tryptophol **88**³⁵. Compound **88** was converted to the corresponding iodide **89** with iodine, triphenylphosphine, and imidazole.

Reduction of benzoimidazol-1-yl-acetic acid **90** and benzotriazol-1-yl-acetic acid **91** with lithium aluminum hydride followed by iodination gave the corresponding iodides **94** and **95**, respectively.

3-Pyrrolylacetic acid methyl ester **97** was synthesized from pyrrole by the known method³⁶ involving Friedel-Crafts acylation followed by a Willgerodt-Kindler reaction. Reduction of **97** with lithium aluminum hydride produced the alcohol **98**, which was converted to the corresponding iodide **99** by using iodine, triphenylphosphine, and imidazole.

The synthesis of the 5'-CH₂OH analogues (Scheme 3) started from 9-(β-D-ribofuranosyl)-2-amino-6-chloropurine **100**, which was converted to 9-(2,3,5-tri-*O*-acetyl-β-D-ribofuranosyl)-6-chloro-2-hydroxypurine **101** as reported.³³ Reaction of the hydroxyl group at the 2 position of **101** with various iodides **47** – **49**, **59** – **61**, **74** – **77**, **85**, **86**, 1-iodo-3-phenylpropane, **89**, **94** and **95**, respectively, was carried out in the presence of cesium carbonate to give compounds **102** – **118**. Simultaneous removal of the acetyl group and amination at the 6 position of **102** – **118** by using saturated ammonia in ethanol solution afforded compounds **18**, **119** – **121**, **26**, **32**, **125** – **126**, **30**, **8**, **21**, **35**, **36**, **127**, respectively. Deprotection of the *N*-tosyl group of the indole or pyrrole ring of **18**, **119**–**121**, **26**, **32**, **122** – **126**, **21** and **127** was conducted using potassium hydroxide in methanol to give compounds **17**, **33**, **34**, **22**, **24**, **31**, **23**, **25**, **27** – **29**, **20** and **19**, respectively.

Synthesis of *N*⁶-guanidino derivatives **37** and **38** began with compound **102** (Scheme 4). The guanidinolysis of **102** in the presence of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) afforded **37** and the tosylate **38**. Treatment of **102** with ethyl amine and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine in DMF at 140 °C followed by removal of the tosyl group with potassium hydroxide gave the *N*⁶-ethyl derivative **39**.

Synthesis of the 5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamido derivative **40** began with **100** (Scheme 5). Protection of the 1,2-diol of **100** afforded the acetonide **128**. Oxidation of **128** with potassium permanganate gave the corresponding carboxylic acid derivative **129**, which was converted to the 5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamide derivative **130** using benzotriazol-1-yl-oxytripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP). Diazotization of **130** with *t*-butyl nitrite followed by hydrolysis gave the 2-hydroxy derivative **131** in 47% yield. Coupling of **131** with the iodide **47** in the presence of cesium carbonate in DMF gave the 2-*O*-alkylated derivative **132**, which was followed by displacement of the 6-chloro moiety with ammonia to give **133**. Removal of the 2',3'-*O*-isopropylidene group of **133** with 80% acetic acid aqueous solution afforded compound **134**. Treatment of **134** with potassium hydroxide in methanol resulted in the desired 5'-*N*-ethyluronamide derivative **40**.

Biological Evaluation

The AR binding affinities of novel compounds **8** and **17** – **40** were investigated in comparison to known (**1** – **4**, **5** – **7**, and **9** – **16**) nucleosides (Table 1). The SAR proceeded from known agonists with high potency at the A_{2B}AR, i.e. **1** – **4**. A previous difficulty has been targeting the A_{2B}AR potency distinctly from the usually more potent activity at the A_{2A}AR. Compound **4** was reported as a potent A_{2B}AR agonist; nevertheless, it remained two orders of magnitude selective for the A_{2A}AR in comparison to the A_{2B}AR.³¹ Among 2 substituted derivatives, 2-ethers were more potent than the corresponding amines or thioethers.²⁶ For example, a 2-phenylethyl ether **7** was only two-fold less potent than R-PIA **1** at the A_{2B}AR. Nevertheless, the affinity in binding to the A_{2A}AR was nearly 400-fold greater than the A_{2B}AR functional potency. Therefore, great improvement was necessary in order to approach A_{2B}AR-selectivity.

Elongation of the spacer alkyl chain beyond ethyl weakened the affinity against all ARs as shown in **7** – **9**. Compound **10** is a variation of **8** in which a methyl group is branched in the alkyl chain, and its affinity was reduced in comparison to **8**.

The effect of fluoro substitution of the phenyl ring was also probed. The 2-F **11**, 4-F **12**, and 3-F **13** analogues were invariant in affinity at A₁, A_{2A}, and A₃ ARs, with K_i values at these subtypes ranging from 60 to 500 nM. These three analogues were also nearly inactive at the A_{2B}AR.

Three other aromatic moieties in 2-(2-arylethyloxy) ethers **14** – **16** were reported in a previous study.²⁶ 2-Naphthyl and 2-thienyl moieties were tolerated at the A_{2B}AR, while a 3-thienyl group resulted in inactivity at that subtype. Those modifications produced relatively minor changes at A₁, A_{2A}, and A₃ARs in comparison to the phenyl analogue **7**.

Interestingly, the 3-indolyl analogue **17** (a tryptophol ether) was 12-fold more potent than **7** at the A_{2B}AR and 4 – 5 fold less potent than **7** in binding to the A_{2A} and A₃ARs. Also, **17** was only 2-fold less potent than **2** at the A_{2B}AR. This considerable enhancement was exploited in subsequent SAR exploration. The corresponding *N*-tosyl derivative **18**, as for similar *N*-tosyl derivatives, was considerably less potent at all ARs.

The 3-pyrrolyl derivative **19** was the most simple analogue in this study, whose pyrrole ring moiety is a critical component of an indole ring. Compound **19** was tolerated at the A_{2B}AR, with a potency close to that of the 2-thienyl derivative **15**, leading to 1.4-fold and 8-fold decreased potency at the A₁ and A_{2A}ARs, respectively, and 2-fold similar potency at A₃AR.

On the other hand the corresponding 2-indolyl derivative **20** decreased markedly A_{2B}AR potency compared to **17**. Thus, the 3 position was clearly the favored connection point and was utilized in subsequent synthesis. Its *N*-tosyl derivative **21** was less potent at all ARs, similar to results with **18**.

It is known from SAR studies of A_{2B} agonists that substitution of the 4'-hydroxymethyl group of an adenosine analogue with a 5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamido group often yields compounds endowed with higher affinity than the parent compound.^{31,37} Based on these findings we have prepared the 5'-*N*-ethyluronamido analogue **40** of **17**. Unexpectedly, **40** was 3-fold less potent than the parent 5'-CH₂OH compound **17** at the A_{2B}AR and showed a 2-fold increased potency at only the A₃AR.

Cristalli and colleagues have established that the *N*⁶-ethyl analogue of (S)-PHP-adenosine was more potent than the *N*⁶-methyl and *N*⁶-isopropyl derivatives at the A_{2B} subtype.³¹ These findings were applied to 3-indolyl analogue **17**, leading to the *N*⁶-ethyl analogue **39**.

Compound **39** was somewhat tolerated at A_{2B}AR and 7-fold more potent than the parent compound **17** at the A₃AR.

Another N⁶-functionalization for **17** was also investigated at ARs. Recently the N⁶-guanidino derivative **3** was reported to display a 3-fold potency enhancement over the parent 5'-N-ethyluronamide **2** at the A_{2B}AR.³² However, introduction of a N⁶-guanidino group to **17** led to derivative **37** and its tosyl derivative **38** with decreased A_{2B} potency compared to the parent compounds. Compound **37** showed a 2-fold increased potency at A₁ and A₃ ARs. Thus, the effect of N⁶-guanidinylation to enhance A_{2B}AR selectivity is not always compatible with other beneficial structures.

Benzoimidazole and benzotriazole analogues **35** and **36** are simple congeners of **17**, in which indole ring was substituted with an imidazole or triazole ring, respectively. Compounds **35** and **36** showed disappointingly low potency at all AR subtypes.

The effect of substitution of the indole ring moiety was tested. A 2''-methyl-5''-methoxy indolyl derivative **31** showed reduced potency compare to **17**, especially at the A_{2B}AR. Its N-tosyl derivative **32** lost potency at all ARs. A 5''-methoxy derivative **33** was tolerated at A_{2B}AR, but the affinities against A₁, A_{2A}, and A₃ARs were significantly reduced. 5''-Hydroxy analogue **34** was more potent than the 5''-methoxy analogue **33** at all ARs. Bulkiness of the substituent at the 5'' position might be related to the reduced affinity.

The effect on the 5''-halo-substitution of the indole moiety was also investigated. The corresponding 5''-halo analogues **22** – **25** were well tolerated by the A_{2B}AR. Of these analogues, the 5''-bromo analogue **24** was equipotent to **17** at the A_{2B}AR, but was 11-fold less potent than **17** in binding to the A_{2A}AR. Compound **24** was roughly equipotent at all four ARs, however its selectivity was improved compared to the parent compound **17**. This series of 5''-halo derivatives showed a tendency toward increased K_i values at A₁ and A_{2A}ARs depending on the bulkiness of halogen atom.

The importance of bromo-substitution of **26** for A_{2B}AR potency prompted us to design the positional isomers of bromo-substituted indole, leading to the 4'', 6'', or 7''-bromo derivatives **28** – **30**. Of these bromo analogues, surprisingly, compound **28** surpassed the A_{2B}AR potency of the 5''-bromo analogue **24**, the parent compound **17**, and even **2**. Also, **28** displayed improved selectivity compared to **17** and **2**. On the other hand the 5''-chloro analogue **27** showed a decreased potency at A_{2B}AR compared to **28**.

Activation curves were determined for **28** in comparison to **2** at the A₁, A_{2A}, A_{2B}ARs and A₃AR (Figure 1). Compound **28** was a partial agonist at A₁ and A₃ARs and a full agonist at A_{2A} and A_{2B}ARs.

Molecular Modeling

A recently published rhodopsin-based molecular model of the human A_{2B}AR³⁸ was adapted to study the binding mode of compound **28** after docking and energy optimization using Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum (MCMM) calculations.³⁹ The position of the adenosine moiety of **28** in the A_{2B}AR obtained after MCMM calculations was found to be similar to its initial positions. Furthermore, it was observed that the 2-(6-bromoindol-3-yl)-ethyloxy substituent fits the binding site well (Figure 2). In the resulting model, the oxygen atom of this moiety was found in proximity to the side chain amino group of Asn254 (6.55) and seemed to be involved in H-bonding with this residue. The indole ring occupied a pocket formed by several residues located in TM3 and EL2. In particular, the NH-group of the indole ring was found near the OH-group of Ser165 (EL2). Although, a H-bond between the

NH-group of the indole ring and Ser165 was not observed in the model, a formation of this bond seems to be possible due to the rotation of the side chain of Ser165.

DISCUSSION

The goal was to prepare novel A_{2B}AR agonists having high potency and selectivity. Although the most potent agonist **28** is not truly selective for the A_{2B}AR, it is effectively a mixed A_{2A}AR/A_{2B}AR agonist with minimal ability to activate A₁ and A₃ARs.

Initially we found a novel lead compound **17**, in which adenosine is substituted with a 3-indolyloxy functional group at the 2 position as an A_{2B}AR agonist having favorable pharmacological properties. The A_{2B}AR potency (299 nM) of compound **17** was similar to **2** (140 nM). These promising findings encouraged us to optimize the A_{2B}AR activity and selectivity of **17** by derivatization at the indole 2 position and by modification at the ribose 5' position or the purine 6 position. Generally, substitution of the 2 position of adenosine is not well tolerated by the A_{2B}AR, however, (S)-PHP-Ado **13** and (S)-PHP-NECA **4** were known to show higher A_{2B}AR potencies compared to **2**.³¹ Distinct from the 2-ethynyl substituent of **4**, exploration of the SAR of a 2-(3-indolyloxy) substituent could provide novel insights to molecular recognition at the A_{2B}AR. We can speculate that the lack of an additive effect on A_{2B} potency of combining the 3-(indolyl)ethoxy- and 5'-*N*-ethyluronamido fragments (i.e., **40** in comparison to **2** and **17**) may be due to an unfavorable change in the conformation or position of the ribose ring inside the ligand binding site.

First, we focused on the simplification, altered connectivity and mimicking of the indole ring of **17** as shown in the case of compounds **19**, **8**, **20**, **35** and **36**. Unfortunately, these approaches failed to maintain the A_{2B}AR potency. Next, we tried to transform the 4'-hydroxymethyl moiety to an ethylcarboxamide, which was expected to favorably increase A_{2B}AR potency. However, the 5'-*N*-ethyluronamide analogue **40** showed only a 2-fold increased potency at the A₃AR compared to **17**. In this respect **40** has quite different pharmacological characteristics from (S)-PHPNECA **4**. Also, the 6' modification of **17**, as shown in the case of compound **37** and **38**, did not improve potency at the A_{2B}AR. Finally, we focused on functionalization of the indole moiety. Even minor modifications were examined because of the lack of a prior pharmacological precedent for the indole ring moiety at this position.

Eventually, through probing a relatively restrictive SAR, we achieved the new A_{2B} agonist **28** that gained an advantage over the parent compounds **17** and **2** for the A_{2B}AR in both potency and selectivity. In addition, compound **28** produced quite a different selectivity from (S)-PHPNECA **4** and 6-guanidino-NECA **3**. Compound **4** showed a high potency/affinity at each AR (Table 1).³¹ Compound **3** displayed a 14 selectivity at A₁ and A₃ARs (A₁: 7.0 nM, A_{2A}: 628 nM, A_{2B}: 54.5 nM, A₃: 5.1 nM).³² Compound **28** showed the improved selectivity compared to compounds **2** – **4**, providing a novel type of potent A_{2B}AR agonist. Molecular modeling results with **28** docked in the human A_{2B}AR demonstrated that in addition to all interactions proposed for adenosine, the 2-(6-bromoindol-3-yl)-ethoxy fragment can provide additional favorable interactions of the ligand with a distal region of the putative agonist binding site of the receptor.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Chemical Synthesis

Materials and instrumentation—2-Amino-6-chloropurine-9-riboside, tryptophol, 1-iodo-3-phenylpropane, 5-bromoindole-3-acetic acid, 5-methoxyindole-3-acetic acid and other reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), and 5-

fluoroindole-3-acetic acid was purchased from Wako Chemicals USA, Inc. (Richmond, VA). Compound **69** was prepared as reported.³⁴

¹H NMR spectra were obtained with a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer using CDCl₃, CD₃OD as solvents. Chemical shifts are expressed in δ values (ppm) with tetramethylsilane (60.00) for CDCl₃ and (83.30) for CD₃OD.

Purity of the nucleosides submitted for biological testing was checked using a Hewlett–Packard 1100 HPLC equipped with a Luna 5 μ RP-C18(2) analytical column (250 X 4.6 mm; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). System A: linear gradient solvent system: CH₃CN/H₂O from 20/80 to 40/60 in 20 min; the flow rate was 1 mL/min., System B: linear gradient solvent system: CH₃CN/H₂O from 20/80 to 60/40 in 20 min; the flow rate was 1 mL/min., System C: linear gradient solvent system: CH₃CN/5mM TBAP from 20/80 to 60/40 in 20 min., the flow rate was 1 mL/min., System D: linear gradient solvent system: CH₃CN/5mM TBAP from 5/95 to 80/20 in 20 min., the flow rate was 1 mL/min. Peaks were detected by UV absorption with a diode array detector. All derivatives tested for biological activity showed >98% purity in the HPLC systems.

TLC analysis was carried out on aluminum sheets precoated with silica gel F₂₅₄ (0.2 mm) from Aldrich. Low-resolution mass spectrometry was performed with a JEOL SX102 spectrometer with 6-kV Xe atoms following desorption from a glycerol matrix or on an Agilent LC/MS 1100 MSD, with a Waters (Milford, MA) Atlantis C18 column. High resolution mass spectroscopic (HRMS) measurements were performed on a proteomics optimized Q-TOF-2 (Micromass-Waters) using external calibration using polyalanine. Observed mass accuracies are those expected based on known performance of the instrument as well as trends in masses of standard compounds observed at intervals during the series of measurements. Reported masses are observed masses uncorrected for this time-dependent drift in mass accuracy.

General tosylation procedure for the synthesis of 3-iodoethylindole derivative

(44)~(46), (56)~(58), (71)~(73) and (84): To a solution of the alcohol in THF (tetrahydrofuran) was added sodium hydride (60 %, 3 eq) at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. To the suspension was added tosyl chloride (3 eq) at 0 °C, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water, dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of toluene and acetone (40:1) gave the tosylated derivative.

General iodination procedure for the synthesis of compounds 47 – 49, 59 – 61, 74 – 77,

and 85: A solution of the tosylate and sodium iodide (3.5 eq) in *N,N*-dimethylformamide was stirred overnight at 60 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water, dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate (4:1) gave the iodide.

3-(*p*-Toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indole (44): The yield was 62%: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.74 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.56 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.12 – 7.34 (7H, m), 4.24 (2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 3.01 (2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 2.38 (3H, s), 2.32 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₄H₂₃NO₅S₂Na (M+Na)⁺ 492.0915, found 492.0914.

5-Methoxy-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (45): The yield was 56 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.82 (1H, d, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 7.71 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.53 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.27 (1H, s), 7.21 (2H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.8 Hz), 7.15 (2H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.5 Hz), 6.89 (1H, dd, $J = 2.5$ and 9.1 Hz), 6.71 (1H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 4.23 (2H, t, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 3.77 (3H, s), 2.97 (2H, dt, $J = 0.8$ and 6.6 Hz), 2.39 (3H, s), 2.32 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{26}\text{NO}_6\text{S}_2$ 500.1202 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$, found 500.1207.

1-(p-Toluenesulfonyl)-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-5-(p-toluenesulfonyloxy) indole (46): The yield was 57%: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.80 (1H, d, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 7.71 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.82 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.58 (2H, d, with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.36 (1H, s), 7.31 (2H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.25 (2H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.19 (2H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 6.97 (1H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 6.84 (1H, dd, $J = 2.3$ and 8.9 Hz), 4.18 (2H, t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.90 (2H, t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.46 (3H, s), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{30}\text{NO}_8\text{S}_3$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 640.1134 found 640.1099.

3-Iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (47): The yield was 70%: ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.98 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.76 (2H, dt, $J = 1.9$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.45 (2H, m), 7.32 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.3$, 7.1, and 8.4 Hz), 7.23 – 7.28 (2H, m), 7.20 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 3.41 (2H, t with small coupling, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 3.24 (2H, t with small coupling, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 2.33 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{17}\text{NO}_2\text{SI}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 426.0025, found 426.0016.

3-Iodoethyl-5-methoxy-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (48): The yield was 74 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.74 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 7.73 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.40 (1H, s), 7.20 (2H, dd, $J = 0.7$ and 8.7 Hz), 6.92 (1H, dd, $J = 2.5$ and 9.2 Hz), 6.85 (1H, d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 3.82 (3H, s), 3.40 (2H, dt, $J = 0.7$ and 7.6 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 2.33 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_3\text{SI}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 456.0130, found 456.0135.

3-Iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-5-(p-toluenesulfonyloxy) indole (49): The yield was 83%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.85 (1H, d, $J = 9.1$ Hz), 7.73 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.68 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.47 (1H, s), 7.30 (2H, d, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 7.23 (2H, d, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 7.02 (1H, d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 6.91 (1H, dd, $J = 2.3$ and 8.9 Hz), 3.26 (2H, t with small coupling, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 3.12 (2H, t with small coupling, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 2.46 (3H, s), 2.36 (3H, s); APCI-MS (m/z) 596.0 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$.

General procedure for the synthesis of 3-hydroxyethylindole derivatives 53 – 55:

Esterification and reduction: To a solution of a 2- and/or 5-substituted-indole-3-acetic acid in methanol was added *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (3 eq), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C overnight. After neutralization with 1N aqueous NaOH the solvent was evaporated leaving an oily residue, which was dissolved in ethyl acetate. The solution was washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated leaving an oily residue, which was subjected to column flash chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of toluene and acetone (5:1) gave the corresponding ester.

To a solution of the ester in THF was added lithium aluminum hydride (2.8 eq) at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and at room temperature for 1 h. After addition of ethyl acetate the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated leaving an oily residue, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of toluene and acetone (3:1) gave the pure alcohol.

5-Fluoro-tryptophol (53): Compound **53** was identical to the known compound reported by Mewshaw *et al.*⁴⁰

5-Bromo-tryptophol (54): Compound **54** was identical to the commercially available compound.

3-Hydroxyethyl-5-methoxy-2-methylindole (55): The yield was 81%: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (1H, br s), 7.16 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 6.97 (1H, d, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 6.78 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.5 and 8.5 Hz), 3.78 – 3.88 (2H, m overlapped with OCH₃), 3.85 (3H, s), 2.94 (2H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 2.39 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₁₂H₁₆NO₂ 206.1181, found 206.1190.

5-Fluoro-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)indole (56): The yield was 58 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.87 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.1 and 9.1 Hz), 7.72 (2H, d with small couplings, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.55 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.36 (1H, s), 7.24 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.16 (2H, dd, *J* = 0.7 and 8.7 Hz), 7.00 (1H, dt, *J* = 2.4 and 9.0 Hz), 6.89 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.2 and 8.5 Hz), 4.22 (3H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 2.95 (3H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 2.39 (3H, s), 2.34 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₄H₂₃NO₅S₂F (M+H)⁺ 488.1002, found 488.0995.

5-Bromo-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (57): The yield was 63%: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 7.73 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.52 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.32–7.39 (3H, m), 7.25 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.13 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 4.23 (2H, t, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 2.95 (2H, dt, *J* = 0.8 and 6.5 Hz), 2.39 (3H, s), 2.34 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₄H₂₂NO₅S₂BrLi (M+Li)⁺ 554.0283, found 554.0292.

5-Methoxy-2-methyl-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (58): The yield was 30%: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.02 (1H, d, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.58 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.48 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.18 (2H, dd, *J* = 0.7 and 8.7 Hz), 7.13 (2H, dd, *J* = 0.6 and 8.5 Hz), 6.84 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 9.1 Hz), 6.64 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 4.11 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 3.79 (3H, s), 2.90 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.43 (3H, s), 2.39 (3H, s), 2.33 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₆H₂₇NO₆S₂Na (M+Na)⁺ 536.1178, found 536.1186.

3-Iodoethyl-5-fluoro-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (59): Yield 70 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (1H, ddd, *J* = 0.6, 4.3 and 9.1 Hz), 7.74 (2H, dt, *J* = 1.9 and 8.5 Hz), 7.48 (1H, s), 7.22 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.09 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.5 and 8.5 Hz), 7.04 (1H, dt, *J* = 2.5 and 9.1 Hz), 3.39 (2H, dt, *J* = 0.7 and 6.8 Hz), 3.19 (2H, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₁₇H₁₅NO₂FS 316.0808, found 316.0810.

5-Bromo-3-Iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (60): The yield was 65 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.74 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.57 (1H, d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 7.45 (1H, s), 7.41 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.9 and 8.8 Hz), 7.22 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 3.88 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 3.19 (2H, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₁₇H₁₅NO₂SBrI (M+H)⁺ 502.9025, found 502.9036.

3-Iodoethyl-5-methoxy-2-methyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (61): The yield was 85%: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (1H, d, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.58 (2H, dt, *J* = 1.8 and 8.5 Hz), 7.17 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 6.87 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.5 and 9.1 Hz), 6.79 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 3.84 (3H, s), 3.26 (2H, m), 3.14 (2H, m), 2.52 (3H, s), 2.33 (3H, s); HRMS (ESIMS *m/z*) calcd for C₁₉H₂₁NO₃IS (M+H)⁺ 470.0287, found 470.0294.

General synthetic procedure for 3-hydroxyethylindole derivatives (67) – (70) via

Fischer indole ring preparation: A solution of substituted phenylhydrazine hydrochloride and ethoxytetrahydrofuran (1.5 eq) in 95 % ethanol was refluxed overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude solid. The solid was dissolved in ethyl acetate, the solution was washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 , and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of toluene and acetone (2:1) gave the alcohol.

6-Chloro-tryptophol (67)⁴¹, **6-Bromo-tryptophol (68)**⁴² and **5-Chloro-tryptophol (69)**³⁴ are identical to the known compounds.

5-Iodo-tryptophol (70): The yield was 32 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.07 (1H, br s), 7.95 (1H, m), 7.45 (1H, dd, $J = 1.7$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.06 (1H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 3.89 (2H, br s), 2.98 (2H, dt, $J = 0.8$ and 6.3 Hz), 1.45 (1H, br s); APCI-MS (m/z) 288.0 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$)⁺.

6-Chloro-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (71): Yield 32%. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.94 (1H, d, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 7.74 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.51 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.29 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.25 (1H, s), 7.19 (1H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.10–7.16 (3H, m), 4.27 (2H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.97 (2H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s); APCI-MS (m/z) 504.1 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$)⁺.

6-Bromo-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (72): The yield was 30 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.10 (1H, d, $J = 1.4$ Hz), 7.74 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.51 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.23–7.30 (5H, m), 7.13 (2H, dd, $J = 1.7$ and 8.2 Hz), 4.23 (2H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.97 (2H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.35 (3H, s); APCI-MS (m/z) found 548.0 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$)⁺.

5-Chloro-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (73): The yield was 30 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.85 (1H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.8 Hz), 7.73 (2H, dt, $J = 2.1$ and 8.7 Hz), 7.53 (2H, dt, $J = 1.8$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.36 (1H, s), 7.21 – 7.26 (3H, m), 7.19 (1H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 1.9 Hz), 7.14 (2H, dd, $J = 0.5$ and 8.5 Hz), 4.23 (2H, t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.95 (2H, dt, $J = 0.8$ and 6.5 Hz), 2.39 (3H, s), 2.34 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{22}\text{NO}_5\text{NaS}_2\text{Cl}$ 526.0526, found 526.0535.

6-Chloro-3-iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (74): The yield was 67 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.00 (1H, d, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 7.76 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.43 (1H, s), 7.36 (1H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.25 ~ 7.28 (2H overlapped with CHCl_3), 7.22 (1H, dd, $J = 1.8$ and 8.4 Hz), 3.39 (2H, t with small coupling, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 3.21 (2H, t, J with small coupling, $J = 7.3$ Hz), APCI-MS (m/z) 460.0 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$)⁺.

6-Bromo-3-iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (75): The yield was 67 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.16 (1H, d, $J = 1.7$ Hz), 7.76 (2H, dd, $J = 1.9$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.42 (1H, br s), 7.36 (1H, dd, $J = 1.7$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.30 (1H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.25 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 3.38 (2H, t with small coupling, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 3.21 (2H, t with small coupling, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 2.36 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{15}\text{BrINO}_2\text{S}$ (M)⁺ 502.9052, found 502.9066.

5-Chloro-3-iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (76): The yield was 60 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (1H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.8 Hz), 7.74 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.47 (1H, s), 7.41 (1H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 1.9 Hz), 7.24–7.29 (1H overlapped with CHCl_3), 7.22 (2H, d, $J = 8.3$ Hz), 3.39 (2H, dt, $J = 0.8$ and 7.3 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 2.35 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}_2\text{IClS}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$)⁺ 459.9635, found 459.9625.

5-Iodo-3-iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (77): The yield was 68 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.77 (1H, d, $J = 1.6$ Hz), 7.74 (1H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 7.73 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.58 (1H, dd, $J = 1.7$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.41 (1H, s), 7.22 (2H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 3.83 (2H, t, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 3.19 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 2.35 (3H, s); APCI-MS (m/z) 551.9 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$.

Ethyl 4-bromo-3-indolylglyoxylate (80): To a solution of **78** (2.94 g, 15.0 mmol) in diethyl ether (60 mL) was added oxalyl chloride (3.01 mL, 34.5 mmol) at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h. After evaporation, ethanol (30 mL) was added to the solids, and the solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated to give a solid. This residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 , and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude solid, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate (1:1) gave **80** (2.3 g, 52 %).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 9.55 (1H, br s), 8.24 (1H, d, $J = 3.3$ Hz), 7.50 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 7.7 Hz), 7.42 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 8.3 Hz), 7.13 (1H, t, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 4.41 (2H, q, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 1.40 (3H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz); APCI-MS (m/z) 296.0 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$.

Ethyl 7-bromo-3-indolylglyoxylate (81): Compound **81** was obtained from **79** by the similar procedure for the preparation of **80** (yield 70 %).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.96 (1H, br s), 8.55 (1H, d, $J = 3.3$ Hz), 8.39 (1H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.0 Hz), 7.48 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 8.0 Hz), 7.23 (1H, t, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 4.43 (2H, q, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 1.44 (3H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz); APCI-MS (m/z) 296.0 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$.

4-Bromo-tryptophol (82): To a solution of **80** (20 mg, 0.0675 mmol) in THF (1.4 mL) was added lithium aluminum hydride (17.4 mg, 0.459 mmol), and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate (1:1) to give **82** (10 mg, 63 % yield). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.12 (1H, br s), 7.32 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 7.7 Hz), 7.28 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 7.7 Hz), 7.14 (1H, d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 7.02 (1H, t, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 3.97 (2H, q, $J = 6.1$ Hz), 3.29 (2H, dt, $J = 0.6$ and 6.5 Hz), 1.46 (1H, t, $J = 5.6$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{11}\text{BrNO}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 240.0024, found 240.0028.

7-Bromo-tryptophol (83): Compound **83** was obtained from **81** by the similar procedure for the preparation of **82** (yield 52 %).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.82 (1H, br s), 7.57 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.36 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 7.02 (1H, t, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 3.91 (2H, q, $J = 6.2$ Hz), 3.02 (2H, dt, $J = 0.5$ and 6.3 Hz), 1.46 (1H, t, $J = 6.0$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{11}\text{NOBr}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 240.0024, found 240.0031.

4-Bromo-3-(p-toluenesulfonyloxyethyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (84): The yield was 41 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.91 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 8.2 Hz), 7.75 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.55 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.41 (1H, s), 7.28 (1H, dd, $J = 1.0$ and 7.8 Hz), 7.25 (2H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.11 (2H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.09 (1H, t, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 4.32 (2H, t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 3.25 (2H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.34 (6H, s); APCI-MS (m/z) 548.0 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$.

4-Bromo-3-iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indole (85): The yield was 67 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.96 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 8.2 Hz), 7.76 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.52 (1H, s), 7.38 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 8.0 Hz), 7.23 (2H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.13 (1H,

t, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 3.45 (4H, s), 2.35 (2H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{17}H_{16}NO_2IBrS$ ($M+H$)⁺ 509.9130, found 509.9114.

7-Bromo-3-iodoethylindole (86): The yield was 88 %. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 8.21 (1H, br s), 7.52 (1H, dd, $J = 0.8$ and 8.0 Hz), 7.36 (1H, d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 7.02 (1H, t, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.39–3.46 (2H, m), 3.29–3.37 (2H, m); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{10}H_{10}NBrI$ ($M+H$)⁺ 349.9041, found 349.9036.

2-Hydroxyethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-indole (88): To a solution of *N*-tosyl-2-iodoanilide (1.172g, 3.14 mmol) in DMF were added 3-buten-1-ol (1.42 mL, 18.8 mmol), copper iodide (119 mg, 0.628 mmol), triethyl amine (13.56 mL, 97.3 mmol) and $Pd(PPh_3)_2Cl_2$ (220.3 mg, 0.314 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate. The solution was washed with water, dried over $MgSO_4$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate (1:1) gave **88** (820 mg, 83%). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 8.16 (1H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.61 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.42 (1H, dd, $J = 1.7$ and 7.4 Hz), 7.28 (1H, dt, $J = 2.2$ and 7.6 Hz), 7.23 (1H, overlapped with Ph), 7.18 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 6.50 (1H, s), 4.01 (2H, t, $J = 6.1$ Hz), 3.29 (2H, t, $J = 6.2$ Hz), 2.33 (3H, s); APCI-MS (m/z) 316.0 ($M+H$)⁺.

2-Iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)-indole (89): To a solution of **88** (638 mg, 2.02 mmol) in a mixture of diethylether (24 mL) and acetonitrile (8 mL) were added triphenylphosphine (1.589 g, 6.06 mmol), imidazole (439 mg, 6.46 mmol) and iodine (1.639 g, 6.46 mmol), the reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate. The solution was washed with water, dried over $MgSO_4$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate (4:1) gave **89** (847 mg, 98 %).

1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 8.14 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.60 (2H, dt, $J = 2.0$ and 8.6 Hz), 7.45 (1H, dd, $J = 1.0$ and 6.7 Hz), 7.30 (1H, dt, $J = 1.5$ and 8.0 Hz), 7.23 (1H, dd, $J = 1.2$ and 7.6 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 6.50 (1H, s), 3.46 – 3.60 (4H, m), 2.33 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}NO_2IS$ ($M+H$)⁺ 426.0025 found 426.0035.

Benzoimidazol-1-yl-ethanol (92): To a solution of benzoimidazol-1-yl-acetic acid (893 mg, 5.06 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added lithium aluminum hydride (672 mg, 17.7 mmol) at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. After dilution with ethyl acetate the solution was washed with water, dried over $MgSO_4$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (8:1) to give **92** (620 mg, 76 %).

1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.63 (1H, s), 7.38 (1H, dt, $J = 1.0$ and 8.2 Hz), 7.31 (1H, dt, $J = 1.0$ and 8.0 Hz), 7.17 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.0$, 7.1, and 8.1 Hz), 7.06 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.1$, 7.1, and 8.1 Hz), 4.22 (2H, t, $J = 4.9$ Hz), 3.99 (2H, t, $J = 4.8$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_9H_{11}N_2O$ ($M+H$)⁺ 163.0871, found 163.0880.

Benzotriazol-1-yl-ethanol (93): Procedure used for the preparation of **93** from **91** was similar to those used for the preparation of **92** from **90**; amorphous solid, the yield was 59 %.

1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.61 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.50 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.1$, 7.0 and 8.1 Hz), 7.36 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.2$, 6.9 and

8.1 Hz), 4.75 (2H, t, $J = 5.1$ Hz), 4.25 (2H, dd, $J = 5.9$ and 10.3 Hz), 2.55 (1H, t, $J = 6.0$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_8H_{10}N_3O$ 164.0824 found 164.0812.

Benzimidazol-1-yl-ethyl iodide (94): To a solution of **92** (22 mg, 0.134 mmol) in a mixture of acetonitrile (0.3 mL) and diethylether (0.9 mL) were added triphenylphosphine (105 mg, 0.402 mmol), imidazole (29 mg, 0.428 mmol), and iodine (108 mg, 0.428 mmol) at 0 °C, the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over $MgSO_4$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of toluene and acetone (2:1) to give **94** (32.3 mg, 88 %).

1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.97 (1H, s), 7.80–7.87 (1H, m), 7.28–7.42 (3H, m), 4.58 (2H, t, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 3.51 (2H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz); APCI-MS (m/z) 273.0 ($M+H$) $^+$.

Benzotriazol-1-yl-ethyl iodide (95): Procedure used for the preparation of **95** from **93** was similar to those used for the preparation of **94** from **92**; amorphous solid, the yield was 88 %. 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 8.09 (1H, dt, $J = 1.0$ and 8.3 Hz), 7.50 – 7.60 (2H, m), 7.40 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.8, 6.3,$ and 8.1 Hz), 5.02 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 3.67 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_8H_9N_3I$ ($M+H$) $^+$ 273.9841, found 273.9833.

3-Hydroxyethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)pyrrole (98): To a solution of **97** (1.24 g, 4.21 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added lithium aluminum hydride (340 mg, 6.32 mmol) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h. After addition of ethyl acetate the mixture was stirred for 30 min. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over $MgSO_4$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (20:1) gave **98** (692 mg, 62 %).

1H NMR δ 7.74 (2H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.28 (2H, d, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 7.10 (1H, t, $J = 2.7$ Hz), 6.99 (1H, m), 6.19 (1H, dd, $J = 1.5$ and 3.3 Hz), 3.74 (2H, t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.64 (2H, t, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 2.40 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}NO_3S$ ($M+H$) $^+$ 266.0851, found 266.0837.

3-Iodoethyl-1-(p-toluenesulfonyl)pyrrole (99): The procedure used for preparation of **99** from **98** is similar to those used for the preparation of **89** from **88**; the yield was 62 %.

1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 7.73 (2H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.29 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.08 (1H, t, $J = 2.8$ Hz), 6.99 (1H, m), 6.16 (1H, dd, $J = 1.6$ and 3.3 Hz), 3.24 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz), 2.96 (2H, t, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 2.40 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}NO_2SI$ ($M+H$) $^+$ 375.9868, found 375.9857.

General synthetic procedure for 2-substituted adenosine derivatives: To a solution of 2', 3', 5'-triacetyl-6-chloroguanosine in *N,N*-dimethylformamide were added iodide (1.8 eq) and Cs_2CO_3 (2.7 eq) at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. After dilution with ethyl acetate the solution was washed with water twice, dried over $MgSO_4$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil which was purified by column chromatography or preparative TLC on silica gel. Elution or developing with a mixture of toluene and acetone (4:1) gave the 2-substituted 2', 3', 5'-triacetyl-6-chloroadenosine derivative.

A solution of 2-substituted 2', 3', 5'-triacetyl-6-chloroadenosine derivative in saturated ammonia ethanol solution was stirred in sealed tube overnight at 110 – 120 °C. The solvent

was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (8:1) to give the 2-substituted adenosine derivative.

In case of deprotection of tosyl group of 2-substituent, tosylated adenosine derivative was treated with KOH (20 eq) in methanol overnight at 70 °C in sealed tube. The reaction mixture was concentrated to a small amount of solution, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (5:1) to give the final product.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (102): The yield was 86 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.98 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 7.76 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.61 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 7.53 (1H, s), 7.14 – 7.36 (6H, m), 6.13 (1H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 5.93 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 5.65 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.71 (2H, m), 4.39 – 4.49 (2H, m), 4.32 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.7 and 12.6 Hz), 3.24 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.32 (3H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₃H₃₂N₅O₁₀ClSNa (M+Na)⁺ 748.1456, found 748.1455.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(5''-methoxy-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (103): The yield was 72 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.73 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.48 (1H, s), 7.20 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.03 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 6.92 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.3 and 8.9 Hz), 6.12 (1H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 5.93 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 5.66 (1H, t, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 4.69 (2H, ddd, *J* = 3.8, 7.4, and 14.3 Hz), 4.40 – 4.49 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.4 and 12.6 Hz), 3.85 (3H, s), 3.19 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.32 (3H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₄H₃₅N₅O₁₁SCl (M+H)⁺ 756.1742, found 756.1735.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(5''-(p-toluenesulfonyloxy)-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (104): The yield was 51 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.83 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.72 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.68 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.57 (1H, s), 7.25–7.31 (3H, m), 7.22 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 6.83 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.3 and 8.9 Hz), 6.12 (1H, d, *J* = 4.4 Hz), 5.94 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.7 and 5.5 Hz), 5.67 (1H, t, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 4.64 (2H, m), 4.40–4.50 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.1 and 12.8 Hz), 3.13 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.42 (3H, s), 2.34 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.08 (3H, s), 2.03 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₄₀H₃₉ClN₅O₁₃S₂ (M+H)⁺ 896.1674 found 896.1638.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(5''-fluoro-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (105): The yield was 59 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.91 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.4 and 9.1 Hz), 7.74 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.57 (1H, s), 7.25–7.31 (1H overlapped with CHCl₃), 7.22 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.04 (1H, dt, *J* = 2.6 and 9.0 Hz), 6.11 (1H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 5.94 (1H, t, *J* = 4.9 Hz), 5.67 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.69 (2H, m), 4.40–4.50 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.9 and 12.9 Hz), 3.18 (2H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 2.33 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₂H₂₃N₅O₁₀SFCl (M+H)⁺ 744.1542, found 744.1522.

2-(3''-(5''-Bromo-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-6-chloro-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (106): The yield was 47 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.84 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.75 (1H, s), 7.73 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 7.54 (1H, s), 7.40 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.9 Hz), 7.22 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 6.13 (1H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 5.94 (1H, t, *J* = 4.9 Hz), 5.67 (1H, t, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 4.69 (2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 4.40–4.50 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.2 Hz), 3.19 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.33 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.03 (3H, s); HRMS (ESIMS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₃H₃₂N₅O₁₀BrSCl (M+H)⁺ 804.0742, found 804.0752.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(5''-methoxy-2''-methyl-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (107): The yield was 72 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (1H, s), 8.07 (1H, d, *J* = 9.6 Hz), 7.60 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.17 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 6.98 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 6.87 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 9.1 Hz), 6.12 (1H, d, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 5.83 (1H, t, *J* = 5.2 Hz), 4.36–4.54 (5H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.1 and 12.4 Hz), 3.86 (3H, s), 3.13 (2H, t, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 2.61 (3H, s), 2.32 (3H, s), 2.13 (3H, s), 2.06 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₅H₃₇N₅O₁₁SCl (M+H)⁺ 770.1899, found 770.1895.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(6''-chloro-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (108): The yield was 70 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.99 (1H, d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 7.76 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.53 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.52 (1H, s), 7.20–7.28 (3H, m), 6.11 (1H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 5.95 (1H, t, *J* = 4.9 Hz), 5.66 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.68 (2H, m), 4.38–4.50 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.5 and 12.2 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.06 (3H, s); APCI-MS (*m/z*) 760.1 (M+H)⁺.

2-(3''-(6''-Bromo-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-6-chloro-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (109): The yield was 45 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.15 (1H, d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), 8.09 (1H, s), 7.76 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.50 (1H, s), 7.49 (1H, d, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.38 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.7 and 8.5 Hz), 7.25 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 6.11 (1H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 5.95 (1H, t, *J* = 4.9 Hz), 5.66 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.68 (2H, m), 4.18–4.50 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.5 and 12.5 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.35 (3H, s), 2.14 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.06 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₃H₃₂N₅O₁₀SCl Br(M+H)⁺ 804.0742, found 804.0760.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(5''-chloro-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (110): The yield was 46 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.89 (1H, d, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.73 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.59 (1H, d, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 7.24–7.30 (1H, m), 7.22 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 6.12 (1H, d, *J* = 4.4 Hz), 5.94 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 5.67 (1H, t, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 4.69 (2H, m), 4.40–4.49 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.9 and 13.2 Hz), 3.18 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.33 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₃H₃₁N₅O₁₀SCl₂Na (M+Na)⁺ 782.1066, found 782.1071.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(5''-iodo-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (111): The yield was 45 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.93 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 7.73 (3H, m), 7.57 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.7 Hz), 7.50 (1H, s), 7.22 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 6.13 (1H, d, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 5.94 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 5.67 (1H, t, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 4.68 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 4.40–4.48 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.1 and 13.3 Hz), 3.18 (2H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 2.33 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₃H₃₂N₅O₁₀SClI (M+H)⁺ 852.0603, found 852.0566.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(4''-bromo-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (112): The yield was 40 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.95 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.8 and 8.2 Hz), 7.75 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.59 (1H, s), 7.39 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.8 and 8.0 Hz), 7.23 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.12 (1H, t, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 6.13 (1H, d, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 5.92 (1H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz), 5.64 (1H, t, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 4.75 (2H, m), 4.38–4.50 (2H, m), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.7 and 12.9 Hz), 3.53 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.34 (3H, s), 2.13 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.05 (3H, s); APCI-MS (*m/z*) 806.1 (M+H)⁺.

6-Chloro-2-(3''-(7''-bromoindolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (113): The yield was 10 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (1H, s), 7.66 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.35 (1H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.27 (1H overlapped with CHCl₃), 7.03 (1H, t, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 6.11 (1H, d, *J* = 5.0 Hz),

5.91 (1H, t, $J = 5.2$ Hz), 5.64 (1H, t, $J = 5.2$ Hz), 4.70 (2H, m), 4.37–4.46 (2H, m), 4.32 (1H, dd, $J = 5.4$ and 13.1 Hz), 3.30 (2H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 2.13 (3H, s), 2.07 (3H, s), 2.07 (3H, s); APCI-MS (m/z) 672.1 ($M+Na$)⁺.

6-Chloro-2-(*p*-phenyloxy-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (114): The yield was 63 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (1H, s), 7.16–7.34 (5H, m), 6.14 (1H, d, $J = 4.9$ Hz), 5.91 (1H, t, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 5.63 (1H, t, $J = 5.2$ Hz), 4.38–4.52 (5H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, $J = 3.8$ and 11.8 Hz), 2.85 (2H, dd, $J = 7.4$ and 8.0 Hz), 2.12–2.24 (2H, m), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.10 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for C₂₅H₂₇N₄O₈ClLi ($M+Li$)⁺ 553.1677, found 553.1661.

6-Chloro-2-(2'-(1'-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (115): The yield was 40 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.16 (1H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 8.09 (1H, s), 7.63 (2H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.42 (2H, d, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 7.15–7.32 (5H, m), 6.59 (1H, s), 6.16 (1H, d, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 5.87 (1H, t, $J = 5.2$ Hz), 5.61 (1H, t, $J = 5.2$ Hz), 4.83 (2H, m), 4.40–4.48 (2H, m), 4.34 (1H, dd, $J = 4.9$ and 13.2 Hz), 3.58 (2H, t, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 2.33 (3H, s), 2.12 (3H, s), 2.08 (3H, s), 2.07 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for C₃₃H₃₃N₅O₁₀ClS ($M+H$)⁺ 726.1637, found 726.1640.

6-Chloro-2-(3'-(benzimidazol-1'-yl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (116): The yield was 51 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.09 (2H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.79 (1H, dd, $J = 1.4$ and 7.1 Hz), 7.55 (1H, dd, $J = 1.1$ and 7.1 Hz), 7.34 (1H, dt, $J = 1.4$ and 7.4 Hz), 7.28 (1H, dt, $J = 1.4$ and 7.5 Hz), 6.05 (1H, d, $J = 4.7$ Hz), 5.92 (1H, t, $J = 4.9$ Hz), 5.67 (1H, t, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 4.82 (2H, m), 4.66 (2H, t, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 4.39–4.48 (2H, m), 4.27 (1H, dd, $J = 5.2$ and 13.2 Hz), 2.16 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.02 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for C₂₅H₂₆N₆O₈Cl ($M+H$)⁺ 573.1501, found 573.1503.

6-Chloro-2-(3'-(benzotriazol-1'-yl)ethoxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (117): The yield was 53 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (1H, s), 8.03 (1H, dt, $J = 0.8$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.72 (1H, dt, $J = 0.8$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.52 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.0$, 7.1 and 8.1 Hz), 7.36 (1H, ddd, $J = 1.1$, 7.1 and 8.2 Hz), 6.07 (1H, d, $J = 4.4$ Hz), 5.89 (1H, dd, $J = 4.7$ and 5.5 Hz), 5.62 (1H, t, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 5.11 (2H, m), 5.00 (2H, m), 4.40–4.48 (2H, m), 4.26–4.34 (1H, m), 2.15, 2.10 and 2.05 (each 3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for C₂₄H₂₅N₇O₈Cl ($M+H$)⁺ 574.1453, found 574.1456.

6-Chloro-2-(3'-(1'-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)pyrrolyl)ethyloxy)-3',4',5'-triacetyladenosine (118): The yield was 61 %. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.08 (1H, s), 7.73 (1H, d, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.27 (2H, d overlapped with CHCl₃), 7.08 (2H, m), 6.28 (1H, dd, $J = 1.9$ and 3.0 Hz), 6.13 (1H, d, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 5.91 (1H, t, $J = 5.1$ Hz), 5.63 (1H, t, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 4.57 (1H, dd, $J = 2.8$ and 7.4 Hz), 4.53 (1H, dd, $J = 3.0$ and 7.7 Hz), 4.38–4.45 (2H, m), 4.32 (1H, dd, $J = 4.3$ and 12.2 Hz), 2.95 (2H, t, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 2.40 (3H, s), 2.15 (3H, s), 2.09 (3H, s), 2.07 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for C₂₉H₃₁N₅O₁₀SCl ($M+H$)⁺ 676.1480, found 676.1450.

2-(3'-(5'-Methoxy-1'-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (119): The yield was 56 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.14 (1H, s), 7.81 (1H, d, $J = 9.3$ Hz), 7.67 (2H, dt, $J = 1.9$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.52 (1H, s), 7.15 (2H, dd, $J = 0.6$ and 8.5 Hz), 7.02 (1H, d, $J = 2.5$ Hz), 6.89 (1H, dd, $J = 2.8$ and 8.8 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, $J = 6.0$ Hz), 4.72 (1H, t, $J = 5.6$ Hz), 4.55 (2H, dt, $J = 1.1$ and 6.3 Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, $J = 3.3$ and 5.0 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, $J = 3.0$ Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, $J = 2.7$ and 12.4 Hz), 3.78 (3H, s), 3.74 (1H, dd, $J = 3.2$ and 12.5 Hz), 3.11 (2H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz), 2.25 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for C₂₈H₃₁N₆O₈S ($M+H$)⁺ 611.1924, found 611.1899.

2-(3''-(5''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyloxy)-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (120): The yield was 63 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.15 (1H, s), 7.86 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.71 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.64 (1H, s), 7.60 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.28 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.21 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.08 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 6.93 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.2 and 9.1 Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 4.42 (2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 4.33 (2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 5.1 Hz), 4.14 (1H, q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 3.90 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.6 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 12.5 Hz), 3.00 (2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 2.34 (3H, s), 2.29 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₃₄H₃₅N₆O₁₀S₂ (M+H)⁺ 751.1856, found 751.1819.

2-(3''-(5''-Fluoro-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (121): The yield was 55 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.13 (1H, s), 7.91 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.4 and 9.1 Hz), 7.70 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.64 (1H, s), 7.29 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.5 and 8.8 Hz), 7.16 (2H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.05 (1H, dt, *J* = 2.5 and 9.1 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.73 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.54 (2H, t, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.7 and 12.4 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 12.5 Hz), 3.10 (2H, t, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 2.26 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₇H₂₈N₆O₇SF (M+H)⁺ 599.1724, found 599.1714.

2-(3''-(6''-Chloro-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (122): The yield was 51 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.13 (1H, s), 7.92 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 7.72 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.61 (1H, s), 7.58 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.25 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.9 and 8.5 Hz), 7.21 (2H, dd, *J* = 0.7 and 8.7 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 4.72 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.55 (2H, m), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.12 (2H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 2.28 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₇H₂₈N₆O₇SCl (M+H)⁺ 615.1429, found 615.1413.

2-(3''-(6''-Bromo-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (123): The yield was 50 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.13 (1H, s), 8.08 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 7.71 (2H, dt, *J* = 2.1 and 8.5 Hz), 7.60 (1H, s), 7.53 (1H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.38 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.7 and 8.2 Hz), 7.21 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 4.72 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.54 (2H, m), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.7 and 12.4 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.12 (2H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 2.28 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₇H₂₈N₆O₇BrS (M+H)⁺ 659.0924, found 659.0910.

2-(3''-(5''-Chloro-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (124): The yield was 62 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.13 (1H, s), 7.90 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8), 7.71 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.64 (1H, s), 7.57 (1H, d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 7.27 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.9 and 8.8 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.73 (1H, t, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 4.55 (2H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.7 and 12.6 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 12.5 Hz), 3.11 (2H, t, *J* = 6.3 Hz), HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₇H₂₈N₆O₇SCl (M+H)⁺ 615.1429, found 615.1401.

2-(3''-(5''-Iodo-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (125): The yield was 71 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.14 (1H, s), 7.89 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 7.73 (1H, d, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.71 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.58 (1H, s), 7.57 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.7 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.73 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.54 (2H, t, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 4.34 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.9 and 12.5 Hz), 3.75 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.10 (2H, t, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 3.27 (3H, s); APCI-MS (*m/z*) 707.0 (M+H)⁺.

2-(3''-(4''-Bromo-1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethoxy)adenosine (126): The yield was 43 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.13 (1H, s), 7.95 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.7 and 8.4 Hz), 7.73 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.69 (1H, s), 7.39 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.8 and 7.7 Hz), 7.19 (2H, dd, *J* = 0.6 and 8.5 Hz), 7.15 (1H, t, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 4.74 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.61 (2H, m), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.75 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.44 (2H, m), 2.27 (3H, s); APCI-MS (*m/z*) 659.1 (M+H)⁺.

2-(3''-(1''-(p-toluenesulfonyl)pyrrolyl)ethoxy)-adenosine (127): The yield was 69 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.72 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.29 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.08–7.14 (2H, m), 6.30 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.7 and 3.2 Hz), 5.88 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.41 (2H, t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 4.32 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.4 and 5.1 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.6 and 12.5 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.7 Hz), 2.85 (2H, t, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 2.36 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₃H₂₇N₆O₇S (M+H)⁺ 531.1662, found 531.1667.

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-2-(2'-Amino-6'-chloropurin-9'-yl)-5-hydroxymethyl-3, 4-O-isopropylidene-tetrahydrofuran (128): To a solution of 2-amino-6-chloropurine-9-riboside (100 mg, 0.331 mmol) in *N,N*-dimethylformamide (2 mL) were added 2,2-dimethoxypropane (0.242 mL, 1.97 mmol) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (188 mg, 0.993 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of toluene and acetone (1:1) to give **128** (56 mg, 50 %):

¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.81 (1H, s), 5.79 (1H, d, *J* = 4.9 Hz), 5.68 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.4 and 11.3 Hz), 5.14–5.24 (3H, m), 5.08 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.4 and 6.0 Hz), 4.51 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 3.97 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 12.6 Hz), 3.78 (1H, ddd, *J* = 1.9, 11.3 and 13.2 Hz), 1.64 (3H, s), 1.38 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₁₃H₁₇N₅O₄Cl (M+H)⁺ 342.0969, found 342.0979.

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-2-(2'-Amino-6'-chloropurin-9'-yl)-5-carboxy-3, 4-O-isopropylidene-tetrahydrofuran (129): To a solution of **128** (16.9 mg, 0.0494 mmol) in water (4.5 mL) were added potassium permanganate (70.3 mg, 0.445 mmol) and potassium hydroxide (25 mg, 0.444 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h. After addition of isopropanol the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was neutralized with 0.1N hydrochloric acid aqueous solution and evaporated to give a crude solid, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform, methanol and saturated aqueous ammonia (2:1:0.3) to give **129** (9 mg, 51 %).

¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.29 (1H, s), 6.18 (1H, d, *J* = 1.2 Hz), 5.52 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.7 and 6.2 Hz), 5.37 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.59 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 1.55 (3H, s), 1.39 (3H, S); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₁₃H₁₃N₅O₅Cl (M-H)⁻ 354.0605, found 354.0622.

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-2-(2'-Amino-6'-chloropurin-9'-yl)-5-ethoxycarboxamide-3, 4-isopropylidene-tetrahydrofuran (130): To a solution of **129** (11.9 mg, 0.0334 mmol) in *N,N*-dimethylformamide (0.8 mL) were added ethylamine hydrochloride (8.1 mg, 0.100 mmol), *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (0.035 mL, 0.200 mmol), and (benzotriazol-1-yloxy)tripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (22.5 mg, 0.0434 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (10:1) to give **130** (10 mg, 78 %).

^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.16(1H, s), 6.27 (1H, s), 5.73 (1H, dd, J = 1.9 and 6.3 Hz), 5.43 (1H, d, J = 6.3 Hz), 4.62 (1H, d, J = 1.7 Hz), 2.91 (1H, dt, J = 6.0 and 13.3 Hz), 2.80 (1H, dt, J = 6.0 and 13.3 Hz), 1.55 (3H, s), 1.40 (3H, s), 0.61 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz); HRMS (ESIMS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_6\text{O}_4\text{Cl}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 383.1235, found 383.1229.

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-5-Ethoxycarboxamide-2-(2'-hydroxy-6'-chloropurin-9'-yl)-3, 4-O-isopropylidene-tetrahydrofuran (131): To a solution of **130** (10 mg, 0.026 mmol) in a mixture of 2-propanol (0.4 mL) and water (0.4 mL) was added *t*-butylnitrite (13.3 μL , 0.115 mmol) at 4 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (10:1) to give **131** (5 mg, 50 %).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.99 (1H, s), 6.37 (1H, br t, J = 6.1 Hz), 6.11 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 5.72 (1H, dd, J = 1.7 and 6.1 Hz), 5.36 (1H, dd, J = 1.7 and 6.0 Hz), 4.75 (1H, s), 3.05 (2H, m), 1.61 (3H, s), 1.41 (3H, s), 0.77 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz); APCI-MS (m/z) 384.1 ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$.

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-5-Ethylcarboxamide-2-(3''-(1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-6'-chloropurin-9'-yl)-3, 4-isopropylidene-tetrahydrofuran (132): To a solution of **131** (19.4 mg, 0.0505 mmol) in *N,N*-dimethylformamide (0.8 mL) was added iodide **47** (43 mg, 0.101 mmol) and cesium carbonate (49.3 mg, 0.151 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO_4 and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of toluene and acetone (4:1) to give **132** (24 mg, 70 %).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.99 (1H, s), 7.98 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.77 (2H, d with small coupling, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.61 (1H, d with small coupling, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.56 (1H, s), 7.24–7.35 (2H, m), 7.21 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.27 (1H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 6.14 (1H, d, J = 2.2 Hz), 5.52 (1H, dd, J = 1.9 and 6.1 Hz), 5.39 (1H, dd, J = 2.1 and 6.2 Hz), 4.60–4.80 (3H, m), 3.27 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), 2.97 (2H, m), 2.32 (3H, s), 1.61 (3H, s), 1.35 (3H, s), 0.69 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{33}\text{N}_6\text{O}_7\text{SCl Na}$ ($\text{M}+\text{Na}$) $^+$ 703.1718, found 703.1732.

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-5-Ethylcarboxamide-2-(6'-amino-2'-(3''-(1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-purin-9'-yl)-3, 4-O-isopropylidene-tetrahydrofuran (133): A solution of **132** in saturated ammonia ethanol solution was stirred at 120 °C overnight. The solvent was evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (10:1) to give **133** (17 mg, 88 % yield).

^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 7.96 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.77 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.68 (1H, s), 7.54–7.60 (2H, m), 7.30 (1H, dt, J = 1.6 and 7.7 Hz), 7.24 (1H, overlapped with CHCl_3), 7.19 (2H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.44 (1H, t, J = 5.9 Hz), 6.07 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz), 5.59 (1H, br s), 5.51 (1H, dd, J = 1.9 and 6.0 Hz), 5.43 (1H, dd, J = 1.8 and 6.2 Hz), 4.70 (1H, d, J = 1.9 Hz), 4.61 (2H, m), 3.18 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz), 2.96 (2H, m), 2.31 (3H, s), 1.64 (3H, s), 1.31 (3H, s), 0.69 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_7\text{O}_7\text{S}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 662.2397, found 662.2374.

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-5-Ethylcarboxamide-2-(6'-amino-2'-(3''-(1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)-purin-9'-yl)-tetrahydrofuran (134): A solution of **133** (13.4 mg, 0.0202 mmol) in 80 % acetic acid aqueous solution was stirred at 80 °C for 63 h and evaporated to give an oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (8:1) to give **134** (9 mg, recovery yield 85 %).

^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.94 (1H, d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.69 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 7.56 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.52 (1H, s), 7.30 (1H, dt, $J = 1.3$ and 7.7 Hz), 7.16–7.26 (3H, m), 5.93 (1H, d, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 4.54–4.76 (2H, m), 4.42 (1H, d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, $J = 1.8$ and 4.8 Hz), 3.00–3.18 (4H, m), 2.28 (3H, s), 0.83 (3H, t, $J = 7.1$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{32}\text{N}_7\text{O}_7\text{S}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 622.2084, found 622.2095.

2-Phenylpropoxyadenosine (8): The yield was 66 %. ^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.11 (1H, s), 7.10–7.28 (5H, m), 5.88 (1H, d, $J = 6.1$ Hz), 4.72 (1H, t, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 4.30–4.34 (1H overlapped with CH_2), 4.29 (2H, t, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 4.10 (1H, q, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, $J = 2.9$ and 12.5 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, $J = 3.3$ and 12.4 Hz), 2.78 (2H, t, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 2.06 (2H, dt, $J = 6.4$ and 15.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_5\text{O}_5$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 402.1777, found 402.1771; HPLC (system A) 14.1 min (99%), (system C) 10.7 min (99%).

2-(3'-Indolylethyloxy)adenosine (17): The yield was 72 %. ^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.60 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.32 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.15 (1H, s), 7.07 (1H, dt, $J = 1.2$ and 7.5 Hz), 7.01 (1H, dt, $J = 1.2$ and 7.3 Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 4.58 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, $J = 3.6$ and 5.2 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, $J = 2.8$ and 12.4 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, $J = 3.4$ and 12.2 Hz), 3.24 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_6\text{O}_5$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 427.1730, found 427.1711; HPLC (system A) 11.4 min (99 %), (system C) 9.3 min (99 %).

2-(3'-(1'-(p-Toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (18): The yield was 60 %. ^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.14 (1H, s), 7.93 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 7.70 (2H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.59 (2H, m), 7.29 (1H, dt, $J = 1.7$ and 8.1 Hz), 7.23 (1H, dt, $J = 1.5$ and 8.1 Hz), 7.17 (2H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, $J = 6.0$ Hz), 4.73 (1H, t, $J = 5.6$ Hz), 4.57 (2H, m), 4.33 (1H, dd, $J = 3.2$ and 5.1 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, $J = 3.0$ Hz), 3.88 (1H, dd, $J = 2.8$ and 12.4 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, $J = 3.3$ and 12.4 Hz), 3.14 (2H, t, $J = 6.3$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{29}\text{N}_6\text{O}_7\text{S}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 581.1818, found 581.1797; HPLC (system B) 16.5 min (99%), (system C) 16.7 min(99%).

2-(3'-Pyrrolylethyloxy)adenosine (19): The yield was 57 %. ^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.11(1H, s), 6.63(2H, d, $J = 2.2$ Hz), 6.04 (1H, t, $J = 2.1$ Hz), 5.88 (1H, d, $J = 5.8$ Hz), 4.72 (1H, t, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 4.42 (2H, t, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, $J = 3.4$ and 5.1 Hz), 4.10 (1H, q, $J = 3.2$ Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, $J = 3.0$ and 12.4 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, $J = 3.6$ and 12.4 Hz), 2.91 (2H, t, $J = 7.3$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_6\text{O}_5$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 377.1573, found 377.1577; HPLC (system A) 4.5 min (99%), (system C) 4.7 min (99%).

2-(2'-Indolylethyloxy)adenosine (20): The yield was 32 %. ^1H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.08 (1H, s), 7.37 (1H, d with small couplings, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 7.25 (1H, d with small coupling, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 6.97 (1H, dt, $J = 1.4$ and 7.1 Hz), 6.88 (1H, dt, $J = 1.1$ and 7.2 Hz), 6.21 (1H, d, $J = 0.8$ Hz), 5.86 (1H, d, $J = 5.8$ Hz), 4.69 (1H, t, $J = 5.5$ Hz), 4.57 (2H, t, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 4.30 (1H, dd, $J = 3.3$ and 5.2 Hz), 4.08 (1H, q, $J = 3.3$ Hz), 3.83 (1H, dd, $J = 2.9$ and 12.2 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, $J = 3.3$ and 12.4 Hz), 3.18 (2H, t, $J = 6.7$ Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_6\text{O}_5$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$) $^+$ 427.1730, found 427.1735; HPLC (system A) 14.6 min (99 %), (system C) 10.9 min (99 %).

2-(2'-(1'-(p-Toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (21): The yield was 55 %. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3) δ 8.10 (1H, d, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.57–7.64 (3H, m), 7.37 (1H, d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 7.11–7.26 (4H, m), 6.52 (1H, s), 5.71 (1H, d, $J = 6.9$ Hz), 5.66 (2H, br s), 5.03 (1H, t, $J = 6.9$ Hz), 4.62 (1H, m), 4.62 (1H, m), 4.46 (2H, m), 4.27 (1H, s), 3.89 (1H, d, $J = 11.5$ Hz), 3.74 (1H, d, $J = 11.5$ Hz), 3.43 (2H, m), 3.19 (1H, br s), 2.30 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for

$C_{27}H_{29}N_6O_7S$ (M+H)⁺ 581.1818, found 581.1802; HPLC (system A) 23.0 min (99%), (system C) 16.7 min (99%).

2-(3''-(5''-Fluoro-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (22): The yield was 80 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.20 ~ 7.30 (3H, m), 6.83 (1H, dt, *J* = 2.5 and 9.1 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.72 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.55 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.3 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 12.4 Hz), 3.16 (2H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₁N₆O₅FNa (M + Na)⁺ 467.1455, found 467.1479; HPLC (system A) 13.1 min (99%), (system C) 10.2 min (99 %).

2-(3''-(6''-Chloro-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (23): The yield was 54 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.08 (1H, s), 7.52 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.27 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 7.13 (1H, s), 6.95 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.9 and 8.5 Hz), 5.86 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.67 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.51 (2H, m), 4.28 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.4 and 5.1 Hz), 4.07 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.81 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.69 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.14 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂N₆O₅Cl (M+H)⁺ 461.1340, found 461.1339; HPLC (system A) 15.7 min (99 %), (system C) 11.9 min (99 %).

2-(3''-(5''-Bromo-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (24): The yield was 70 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.70 (1H, d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 7.24 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.20 (1H, s), 7.15 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.7 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.56 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 4.32 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 5.2 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 12.4 Hz), 3.17 (2H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂N₆O₅Br (M+H)⁺ 505.0835, found 505.0822; HPLC (system A) 15.2 min (98 %), (system C) 12.2 min (98 %).

2-(3''-(5''-Iodo-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (25): The yield was 56 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.89 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.6 and 1.7 Hz), 7.32 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.7 and 8.5 Hz), 7.16 (1H, s), 7.15 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.6 and 8.5 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.55 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 4.32 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 5.2 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.16 (2H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂N₆O₅I (M+H)⁺ 553.0696, found 553.0681; HPLC (system A) 19.0 min (98 %), (system C) 13.1 min (98 %).

2-(3''-(5''-Bromo-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (26): The yield was 68 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.14 (1H, s), 7.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.6 and 8.8 Hz), 7.68 – 7.74 (3H, m), 7.63 (1H, s), 7.40 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.8 and 8.8 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.73 (1H, t, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 4.55 (2H, t, *J* = 6.3 Hz), 4.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.9 and 12.5 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.11 (2H, t, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 2.27 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₇H₂₈N₆O₇BrS (M+H)⁺ 659.0924, found 659.0921.

2-(3''-(5''-Chloro-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (27): The yield was 54 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.55 (1H, d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 7.28 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.5 and 8.5 Hz), 7.22 (1H, s), 7.03 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.9 and 8.5 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.56 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 5.2 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.9 and 12.5 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.17 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂N₆O₅Cl (M+H)⁺ 461.1340, found 461.1332; HPLC (system A) 16.6 min (99 %), (system C) 11.8 min (99 %).

2-(3''-(6''-Bromo-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (28): The yield was 71 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.52 (1H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.48 (1H, d, *J* = 1.7 Hz), 7.17 (1H, s), 7.12 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.7 and 8.5 Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.56 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.4 and 12.2 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.4 and 12.2 Hz), 3.21 (2H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂N₆O₅Br (M+H)⁺ 505.0835 found 505.0840; HPLC (system A) 18.1 min (98 %), (system C) 12.6 min (98 %).

2-(3''-(4''-Bromo-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (29): The yield was 44 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.11 (1H, s), 7.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.8 and 8.0 Hz), 7.24 (1H, s), 7.16 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.8 and 7.4 Hz), 6.93 (1H, t, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.60 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 5.0 Hz), 4.10 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.9 and 12.5 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.4 and 12.5 Hz), 3.47 (1H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂N₆O₅Br (M+H)⁺ 505.0835, found 505.0843; HPLC (system A) 15.3 min (99 %), (system C) 11.7 min (99 %).

2-(3''-(7''-Bromo-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (30): The yield was 27 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.60 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.25 (1H, d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.24 (1H, s), 6.95 (1H, t, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 4.58 (2H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 4.9 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.85 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.9 and 12.2 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.20 (2H, t, *J* = 6.9 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₀H₂₂N₆O₅Br (M+H)⁺ 505.0835, found 505.0837; HPLC (system A) 15.9 min (99 %), (system C) 12.1 min (99 %).

2-(3''-(5''-Methoxy-2''-methylindolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (31): The yield was 29 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.13 (1H, s), 7.10 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.6 and 8.8 Hz), 6.96 (1H, d, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 6.65 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.3 and 8.7 Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.68 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.47 (2H, m), 4.30 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.6 and 5.2 Hz), 4.10 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 and 6.5 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.9 and 12.2 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.13 (2H, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 2.37 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₂₆N₆O₈Na (M+Na)⁺ 493.1812, found 493.1792; HPLC (system A) 11.8 min (98%), (system C) 9.4 min (98 %).

2-(3''-(5''-Methoxy-2''-methyl-1''-(*p*-toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (32): The yield was 71 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.96 (1H, d, *J* = 9.1 Hz), 7.52 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 7.11 (2H, d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 6.92 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 6.82 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.5 and 9.1 Hz), 5.87 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.66 (1H, t, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 4.41 (2H, m), 4.29 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 5.1 Hz), 4.10 (1H, q, *J* = 2.9 Hz), 3.82 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.77 (3H, s), 3.70 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 12.5 Hz), 3.06 (2H, t, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 2.56 (3H, s), 2.21 (3H, s); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₉H₃₃N₆O₈S (M+H)⁺ 625.2081, found 625.2079; HPLC (system B) 17.3 min (99 %), (system C) 17.6 min (99 %).

2-(3''-(5''-Methoxy-indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (33): The yield was 54 %. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.20 (1H, d, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.12 (1H, s), 7.05 (1H, d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 6.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.5 and 8.8 Hz), 5.89 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 4.56 (2H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.10 (1H, q, *J* = 3.2 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.18 (2H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz), HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₁H₂₅N₆O₆ (M+H)⁺ 457.1836, found 457.1815; HPLC (system A) 10.7 min (98 %), (system C) 8.8 min (98%).

2-(3''-(5''-Hydroxyindolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (34): The yield was 31 %; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.12 (1H, s), 7.15 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.6 and 8.8 Hz), 7.09 (1H, s), 7.01 (1H, dd, *J* = 0.5 and 2.5 Hz), 6.65 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.3 and 8.4 Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, *J* = 5.8 Hz), 4.72 (1H, t, *J* =

5.6 Hz), 4.54 (2H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, J = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, J = 3.2 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, J = 2.7 and 12.4 Hz), 3.74 (1H, dd, J = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.13 (2H, t, J = 7.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}N_6O_6Na$ ($M+Na$)⁺ 465.1499, found 465.1471; HPLC (system A) 5.5 min (98%), (system C) 5.3 min (98 %).

2-(3'-(Benzoimidazole-1'-yl)ethyloxy)adenosine (35): The yield was 57 %. ¹H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.22 (1H, s), 8.10 (1H, s), 7.62 (2H, d with small coupling, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.31 (1H, dt, J = 1.2 and 7.6 Hz), 7.24 (1H, dt, J = 1.3 and 7.6 Hz), 5.84 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz), 4.63 – 4.74 (5H, m), 4.31 (1H, dd, J = 3.2 and 5.1 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, J = 3.0 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, J = 2.8 and 12.4 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 3.0 and 12.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}N_7O_5$ ($M+H$)⁺ 428.1682, found 428.1691; HPLC (system A) 4.9 min (99%), (system D) 8.3 min (99 %).

2-(3'-(Benzotriazole-1'-yl)ethyloxy)adenosine (36): The yield was 40 %. ¹H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.10 (1H, s), 7.93 (1H, dt, J = 1.0 and 7.4 Hz), 7.80 (1H, dt, J = 0.8 and 8.2 Hz), 7.52 (1H, ddd, J = 1.0, 7.1 and 8.1 Hz), 7.38 (1H, ddd, J = 1.0, 7.1 and 8.1 Hz), 5.83 (1H, d, J = 5.8 Hz), 5.13 (2H, m), 4.82–4.92 (2H, m, overlapped with HDO), 4.64 (1H, t, J = 5.6 Hz), 4.31 (1H, dd, J = 3.3 and 5.2 Hz), 4.10 (1H, q, J = 3.1 Hz), 3.83 (1H, dd, J = 2.8 and 12.6 Hz), 3.72 (1H, dd, J = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}N_8O_5$ ($M+H$)⁺ 429.1635, found 429.1642; HPLC (system A) 4.7 min (99 %), (system C) 4.8 min (99 %).

6-Guanidino-2-(3'-(indolylethyloxy)adenosine (37) and 6-Guanidino-2-(3'-(p-Toluenesulfonyl)indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (38): To a solution of guanidine hydrochloride (98 mg, 1.02 mmol) in acetonitrile (2.2 mL) and *N,N*-dimethylformamide (1.1 mL) was added sodium hydride (60 %) (41.2 mg, 1.02 mmol) at room temperature, the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. This guanidine solution was added to a mixture of compound **102** (46 mg, 0.0633 mmol) and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (14 mg, 0.0126 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at 110 °C and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to give a crude oil, which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform, methanol and saturated aqueous ammonia (2:1:0.3) to give **37** (2.3 mg, 8 %) and **38** (9 mg, 23 %) as an amorphous solid:

37: ¹H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.09 (1H, s), 7.60 (1H, d with small coupling, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.32 (1H, d with small coupling, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.16 (1H, s), 7.08 (1H, dt, J = 1.3 and 7.6 Hz), 7.01 (1H, dt, J = 1.2 and 7.3 Hz), 5.90 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.73 (1H, t, J = 5.5 Hz), 4.54 (2H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.32 (1H, dd, J = 3.3 and 4.9 Hz), 4.12 (1H, q, J = 3.0 Hz), 3.87 (1H, dd, J = 2.8 and 12.6 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, J = 3.3 and 12.4 Hz), 3.24 (2H, t, J = 7.4 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS m/z) calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}N_8O_5$ ($M+H$)⁺ 469.1948, found 469.1952; HPLC (system B) 8.9 min (97 %), (system D) 7.5 min (97 %).

38: ¹H NMR (CD_3OD) δ 8.25 (1H, s), 7.93 (1H, dd, J = 1.4 and 7.4 Hz), 7.71 (2H, d with small coupling, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.61 (1H, dd, J = 1.4 and 7.1 Hz), 7.59 (1H, s), 7.30 (1H, dt, J = 1.2 and 7.6 Hz), 7.24 (1H, dt, J = 1.2 and 7.6 Hz), 7.18 (2H, d with small coupling, J = 8.5 Hz), 5.96 (1H, d, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.74 (1H, t, J = 5.5 Hz), 4.59 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 4.35 (1H, dd, J = 3.4 and 5.1 Hz), 4.13 (1H, q, J = 3.2 Hz), 3.89 (1H, dd, J = 2.9 and 12.5 Hz), 3.76 (1H, dd, J = 3.6 and 12.4 Hz), 3.18 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 3.26 (3H, s); HRMS (ESIMS m/z) calcd for $C_{28}H_{31}N_8O_7S$ ($M+H$)⁺ 623.2036, found 623.2045; HPLC (system A) 16.3 min (97 %), (system C) 8.7 min (97 %).

6-Ethylamino-2-(3'-(indolyl)ethyloxy)adenosine (39): To a solution of **102** (28.3 mg, 0.0389 mmol) in DMF (1.4 mL) in sealed tube were added ethylamine hydrochloride (63.5 mg, 0.779 mmol) and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (0.271 mL), and the reaction mixture was

stirred at 140 °C overnight and evaporated to give an oil. The oil was dissolved in methanol (1 mL), KOH (14.6 mg, 0.261 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 42 h at 80 °C. The solvent was evaporated to give a crude solid which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (5:1) to give **39** (2.2 mg, 28 % yield in two steps).

¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.05 (1H, s), 7.60 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 7.32 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.14 (1H, s), 7.07 (1H, dt, *J* = 1.3 and 7.6 Hz), 7.00 (1H, dt, *J* = 1.1 and 7.4 Hz), 5.87 (1H, d, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 4.71 (1H, t, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 4.60 (2H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 4.30 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 5.1 Hz), 4.11 (1H, q, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 3.86 (1H, dd, *J* = 2.6 and 12.5 Hz), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* = 3.2 and 12.5 Hz), 3.50–3.66 (2H, br m), 3.22 (2H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz), 1.26 (3H, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz); HRMS (ESI-MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₂₇N₆O₅ (M+H)⁺ 455.2043, found 455.2063; HPLC (system A) 19.5 min (99 %), (system C) 13.5 min (99 %).

(2R, 3S, 4S, 5R)-6-Amino-5-Ethylcarboxamide-2-(3''-indolyl)ethyloxy)-purin-9-yl)-tetrahydrofuran (40): Potassium hydroxide (12.6 mg, 0.025 mmol) was added to a solution of **134** (7.0 mg, 0.0112 mmol) in methanol (1.5 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C overnight. The mixture was evaporated to a small amount of solution which was subjected to preparative TLC developed with a mixture of chloroform and methanol (5:1) to give **40** (1.7 mg, 33% yield).

¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.06 (1H, s), 7.56 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.32 (1H, d with small coupling, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.11 (1H, s), 7.08 (1H, dt, *J* = 1.2 and 8.1 Hz), 7.00 (1H, dt, *J* = 1.1 and 8.1 Hz), 5.91 (1H, d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 4.74 (1H, dd, *J* = 4.8 and 7.3 Hz), 4.61 (2H, m), 4.41 (1H, d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 4.30 (1H, dd, *J* = 1.7 and 4.9 Hz), 3.15–3.26 (4H, m), 0.99 (3H, t, *J* = 7.2 Hz); HRMS (ESI MS *m/z*) calcd for C₂₂H₂₆N₇O₅(M+H)⁺ 53 468.1995, found 468.2015; HPLC (system A) 15.7 min (98 %), (system C) 11.4 min (98 %).

Pharmacological Methods

[¹²⁵I]*N*⁶-(4-amino-3-iodobenzyl)adenosine-5'-*N*-methyluronamide (I-AB-MECA; 2000 Ci/mmol), [³H]CCPA (2-chloro-*N*⁶-cyclopentyladenosine, 42.6 Ci/mmol), [³H]CGS21680 (2-[*p*-(2-carboxyethyl)phenylethylamino]-5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamido-adenosine, 47 Ci/mmol) and [³H]cyclic AMP (40 Ci/mmol) were from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech (Buckinghamshire, UK).

Cell culture and membrane preparation

CHO (Chinese hamster ovary) cells expressing the recombinant human ARs²⁶ were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 2 µmol/mL glutamine and 800 µg/mL geneticin. Cells were harvested by trypsinization. After homogenization and suspension, cells were centrifuged at 500 *g* for 10 min, and the pellet was re-suspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA and 0.1 mg/mL CHAPS. The suspension was homogenized with an electric homogenizer for 10 sec, and was then re-centrifuged at 20,000 *g* for 20 min at 4°C. The resultant pellets were resuspended in buffer in the presence of 3 Units/ml adenosine deaminase, and the suspension was stored at -80°C until the binding experiments. The protein concentration was measured using the Bradford assay.⁴³

Binding assay

Human A₁ and A_{2A} Receptors—For binding to human A₁ receptors, [³H]CCPA (1 nM) was incubated with membranes (40 µg/tube) from CHO cells stably expressing human A₁ receptors at 25°C for 60 min in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4; MgCl₂, 10 mM) in a total assay volume of 200 µL. Nonspecific binding was determined using 10 µM of CPA. For

human A_{2A} receptor binding, membranes (20 µg/tube) from HEK-293 cells stably expressing human A_{2A} receptors were incubated with 15 nM [³H]CGS21680 at 25°C for 60 min in 200 µl 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, containing 10 mM MgCl₂. NECA (10 µM) was used to define nonspecific binding. Reaction was terminated by filtration with GF/B filters.

Human A³ Receptor—For competitive binding assay, each tube contained 100 µL membrane suspension (20 µg protein), 50 µL of [¹²⁵I]-AB-MECA (0.5 nM), and 50 µL of increasing concentrations of the nucleoside derivative in Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) containing 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA. Nonspecific binding was determined using 10 µM of Cl-IB-MECA in the buffer. The mixtures were incubated at 25 °C for 60 min. Binding reactions were terminated by filtration through Whatman GF/B filters under reduced pressure using a MT-24 cell harvester (Brandell, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). Filters were washed three times with 9 mL ice-cold buffer. Radioactivity was determined in a Beckman 5500B γ-counter.

Cyclic AMP accumulation assay

Intracellular cyclic AMP levels were measured with a competitive protein binding method.^{44, 45} CHO cells that expressed recombinant human A₃ARs were harvested by trypsinization. After centrifugation and resuspension in medium, cells were plated in 24-well plates in 1.0 ml medium. After 24 hr, the medium was removed and cells were washed three times with 1 ml DMEM, containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4. Cells were then treated with agonists and/or test compounds in the presence of rolipram (10 µM) and adenosine deaminase (3 units/mL). After 45 min forskolin (10 µM) was added to the medium, and incubation was continued an additional 15 min. The reaction was terminated upon removal of the supernatant, and cells were lysed upon the addition of 200 µL of 0.1 M ice-cold HCl. The cell lysate was resuspended and stored at -20°C. For determination of cyclic AMP production, protein kinase A (PKA) was incubated with [³H]cyclic AMP (2 nM) in K₂HPO₄/EDTA buffer (K₂HPO₄, 150 mM; EDTA, 10 mM), 20 µL of the cell lysate, and 30 µL 0.1 M HCl or 50 µL of cyclic AMP solution (0–16 pmol/200 µL for standard curve). Bound radioactivity was separated by rapid filtration through Whatman GF/C filters and washed once with cold buffer. Bound radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation spectrometry.

Statistical analysis

Binding and functional parameters were calculated using Prism 4.0 software (GraphPAD, San Diego, CA, USA). IC₅₀ values obtained from competition curves were converted to K_i values using the Cheng-Prusoff equation.⁴⁶ Data were expressed as mean ± standard error.

Molecular modeling

A published molecular model of the human A_{2B}AR in which (*S*)-PHPNECA was docked³⁸ was utilized to study the binding mode of **28**. Toward this goal, the ribose and adenine moieties of **28** were superimposed upon the corresponding moieties of (*S*)-PHPNECA located inside the binding site. Then (*S*)-PHPNECA was removed from the receptor. The obtained complex of the A_{2B}AR with **28** was subjected to Monte Carlo Multiple Minimum (MCM) calculations using MacroModel software.³⁸ The MCM calculations were performed for **28** and all residues located within 5 Å from the ligand, using a shell of residues located within 2 Å. The following parameters were used: MMFFs force field, water was used as an implicit solvent, a maximum of 1000 iterations of the Polak-Ribier Conjugate Gradient (PRCG) minimization method was used with a convergence threshold of 0.05 kJ·mol⁻¹·Å⁻¹, the number of conformational search steps = 100, the energy window for saving structures = 1000 kJ·mol⁻¹.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. John W. Daly, Dr. Peiran Chen, Dr. Hea Ok Kim, and Dan Margulies (NIDDK) for helpful discussions and Dr. John Lloyd (NIDDK) for mass spectral determinations. We are grateful to the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation and Microbial Chemistry Research Foundation for financial support provided to H. Adachi.

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases.

References

1. Fredholm BB, IJzerman AP, Jacobson KA, Klotz KN, Linden J. International Union of Pharmacology. XXV. Nomenclature and classification of adenosine receptors. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2001; 53:527–552. [PubMed: 11734617]
2. Jacobson KA, Gao ZG. Adenosine receptors as therapeutic targets. *Nature Rev Drug Disc.* 2006; 5:247–264.
3. Kim YC, Ji X-d, Melman N, Linden J, Jacobson KA. Anilide derivatives of an 8-phenylxanthine carboxylic congener are highly potent and selective antagonists at human A_{2B} adenosine receptors. *J Med Chem.* 2000; 43:1165–1172. [PubMed: 10737749]
4. Webb TR, Lvovskiy D, Kim SA, Ji X-d, Melman N, Linden J, Jacobson KA. Quinazolines as adenosine receptor antagonists: SAR and selectivity for A_{2B} receptors. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 2003; 11:77–85. [PubMed: 12467710]
5. Harada H, Asano O, Hoshino Y, Yoshikawa S, Matsukura M, Kabasawa Y, Nijima J, Kotake Y, Watanabe N, Kawata T, Inoue T, Horizoe T, Yasuda N, Minami H, Nagata K, Murakami M, Nagaoka J, Kobayashi S, Tanaka I, Abe S. 2-Alkynyl-8-aryl-9-methyladenines as novel adenosine receptor antagonists: Their synthesis and structure-activity relationships toward hepatic glucose production induced via agonism of the A_{2B} receptor. *J Med Chem.* 2001; 44:170–179. [PubMed: 11170626]
6. Hayallah AM, Sandoval-Ramirez J, Reith U, Schobert U, Preiss B, Schumacher B, Daly JW, Müller CE. 1,8-Disubstituted xanthine derivatives: Synthesis of potent A_{2B}-selective adenosine receptor antagonists. *J Med Chem.* 2002; 45:1500–1510. [PubMed: 11906291]
7. Elzein E, Kalla R, Li X, Perry T, Parkhill E, Palle V, Varkhedkar V, Gimbel A, Zeng D, Lustig D, Leung K, Zablocki J. Novel 1,3-dipropyl-8-(1-heteroaryl-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)-xanthine derivatives as high affinity and selective A_{2B} receptor antagonists. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2006; 16:302–306. [PubMed: 16275090]
8. Varani K, Gessi S, Merighi S, Vincenzi F, Cattabriga E, Benini A, Klotz KN, Baraldi PG, Tabrizi AM, Lennan SM, Leung E, Borea PA. Pharmacological characterization of novel adenosine ligands in recombinant and native human A_{2B} receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2005; 70:1601–1612. [PubMed: 16219300]
9. Feoktistov I, Ryzhov S, Zhong H, Goldstein AE, Matafonov A, Zeng D, Biaggioni I. Hypoxia modulates adenosine receptors in human endothelial and smooth muscle cells toward an A_{2B} angiogenic phenotype. *Hypertension.* 2004; 44:649–654. [PubMed: 15452028]
10. Eltzschig HK, Ibla JC, Furuta GT, Leonard MO, Jacobson KA, Enyoji K, Robson SC, Colgan SP. Coordinated adenine nucleotide phosphohydrolysis and nucleoside signaling in post-hypoxic endothelium: role of ectonucleotidases and adenosine A_{2B}-receptors. *J Exp Med.* 2003; 198:783–796. [PubMed: 12939345]
11. Németh ZH, Lutz CS, Csóka B, Deitch EA, Leibovich SJ, Gause WC, Tone M, Pacher P, Vizi ES, Haskó G. Adenosine augments IL-10 production by macrophages through an A_{2B} receptor-mediated posttranscriptional mechanism. *J Immunol.* 2005; 175:8260–8270. [PubMed: 16339566]
12. Wang L, Kolachala V, Walia B, Balasubramanian S, Hall RA, Merlin D, Sitaraman SV. Agonist-induced polarized trafficking and surface expression of the adenosine 2b receptor in intestinal epithelial cells: role of SNARE proteins. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* 2004; 287:G1100–1107. [PubMed: 15256361]
13. Kolachala V, Asamoah V, Wang L, Srinivasan S, Merlin D, Sitaraman SV. Interferon-gamma down-regulates adenosine 2b receptor-mediated signaling and short circuit current in the intestinal

- epithelia by inhibiting the expression of adenylate cyclase. *J Biol Chem.* 2005; 280:4048–4057. [PubMed: 15550390]
14. Lazarowski ER, Tarran R, Grubb BR, van Heusden CA, Okada S, Boucher RC. Nucleotide release provides a mechanism for airway surface liquid homeostasis. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:36855–36864. [PubMed: 15210701]
 15. Auchampach JA, Jin J, Wan TC, Caughey GH, Linden J. Canine mast cell adenosine receptors: cloning and expression of the A₃ receptors and evidence that degranulation is mediated by the A_{2B} receptor. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1997; 52:846–860. [PubMed: 9351976]
 16. Feoktistov I, Ryzhov S, Goldstein AE, Biaggioni I. Mast cell-mediated stimulation of angiogenesis: cooperative interaction between A_{2B} and A₃ adenosine receptors. *Circ Res.* 2003; 92:485–492. [PubMed: 12600879]
 17. Yan L, Burbiel JC, Maass A, Müller CE. Adenosine receptor agonists: from basic medicinal chemistry to clinical development. *Expert Opin Emerging Drug.* 2003; 8:537–576.
 18. Clancy JP, Ruiz FE, Sorscher EJ. Adenosine and its nucleotides activate wild-type and R117H CFTR through an A_{2B} receptor-coupled pathway. *Am J Physiol.* 1999; 276:C361–C369. [PubMed: 9950763]
 19. Dubey RK, Gillespie DG, Mi Z, Jackson EK. Adenosine inhibits PDGF-induced growth of human glomerular mesangial cells via A_{2B} receptors. *Hypertension.* 2005; 46:628–634. [PubMed: 16103269]
 20. Mohsenin A, Blackburn MR. Adenosine signaling in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Current Opin in Pulmonary Med.* 2006; 12:54–59.
 21. Chiang PH, Wu SN, Tsai EM, Wu CC, Shen MR, Huang CH, Chiang CP. Adenosine modulation of neurotransmission in penile erection. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 1994; 38:357–362. [PubMed: 7833226]
 22. Yang D, Zhang Y, Nguyen HG, Koupenova M, Chauhan AK, Makitalo M, Jones MR, St Hilaire C, Seldin DC, Toselli P, Lamperti E, Schreiber BM, Gavras H, Wagner DD, Ravid K. The A_{2B} adenosine receptor protects against inflammation and excessive vascular adhesion. *J Clin Invest.* 2006; 116:1913–1923. [PubMed: 16823489]
 23. Philipp S, Yang XM, Cui L, Davis AM, Downey JM, Cohen MV. Postconditioning protects rabbit hearts through a protein kinase C-adenosine A_{2B} receptor cascade. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2006; 70:308–314. [PubMed: 16545350]
 24. Daly JW, Butts-Lamb P, Padgett W. Subclasses of adenosine receptors in the central nervous system: interaction with caffeine and related methylxanthines. *Cell Mol Neurobiol.* 1983; 3:69–80. [PubMed: 6309393]
 25. Hide I, Padgett WL, Jacobson KA, Daly JW. A_{2a}-Adenosine receptors from rat striatum and rat pheochromocytoma PC12 cells: Characterization with radioligand binding and by activation of adenylate cyclase. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1992; 41:352–359. [PubMed: 1311411]
 26. Gao ZG, Mamedova L, Chen P, Jacobson KA. 2-Substituted adenosine derivatives: Affinity and efficacy at four subtypes of human adenosine receptors. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 2004; 68:1985–1993. [PubMed: 15476669]
 27. Klotz KN, Hessling J, Hegler J, Owman C, Kull B, Fredholm BB, Lohse MJ. Comparative pharmacology of human adenosine receptor subtypes – characterization of stably transfected receptors in CHO cells. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol.* 1998; 357:1–9.
 28. de Zwart M, Link R, von Frijtag Drabbe Künzel JK, Cristalli G, Jacobson KA, Townsend-Nicholson A, IJzerman AP. A screening of adenosine analogues on the human adenosine A_{2B} receptor as part of a search for potent and selective agonists. *Nucleos Nucleotid.* 1998; 17:969–986.
 29. Volpini R, Costanzi S, Lambertucci C, Taffi S, Vittori S, Klotz KN, Cristalli G. N⁶-alkyl-2-alkynyl derivatives of adenosine as potent and selective agonists at the human adenosine A₃ receptor and a starting point for searching A_{2B} ligands. *J Med Chem.* 2002; 45:3271–3279. [PubMed: 12109910]
 30. Vittori S, Costanzi S, Lambertucci C, Portino FR, Taffi S, Volpini R, Klotz KN, Cristalli G. A_{2B} adenosine receptor agonists: synthesis and biological evaluation of 2-phenylhydroxypropynyl adenosine and NECA derivatives. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids.* 2004; 23:471–481. [PubMed: 15043167]

31. Volpini R, Costanzi S, Vittori S, Cristalli G, Klotz KN. Medicinal chemistry and pharmacology of A_{2B} adenosine receptors. *Curr Top Med Chem*. 2003; 3:427–443. [PubMed: 12570760]
32. Jacobson KA, Ohno M, Duong HT, Kim SK, Tchilibon S, Cesnek M, Holy A, Gao ZG. A neoceptor approach to unraveling microscopic interactions between the human A_{2A} adenosine receptor and its agonists. *Chem Biol*. 2005; 12:237–247. [PubMed: 15734651]
33. Moorman, AR. Synthesis of 2-aralkoxy adenosines and 2-alkoxyadenosines. WO patent. 2003035662.
34. Chou SY. A novel substitution reaction of tetrahydropyrano[3,4-b]indole derivative chain extension and structural correlation study. *Heterocycles*. 2003; 60:1095–1110.
35. Zhang H-C, Ye H, Moretto AF, Brumfiel KK, Maryanoff BE. Facile solid phase construction of indole derivatives based on a traceless, activating sulfonyl linker. *Org Lett*. 2000; 2:89–92. [PubMed: 10814253]
36. Ho-Hoang A, Fache F, Lemaire M. Synthesis and polymerization of 2-(3-pyrrolyl)acetic acid derivatives from pyrrole. *Synth Commun*. 1996; 26:1289–1304.
37. Beukers MW, Meurs I, IJzerman AP. Structure-affinity relationships of adenosine A_{2B} receptor ligands. *Med Res Rev*. 2006; 26:667–698. [PubMed: 16847822]
38. Ivanov AA, Palyulin VA, Zefirov NS. Computer aided analysis of the binding modes of the adenosine receptor agonists for all known subtypes of adenosine receptors. *J Mol Graph Mod*. 2006 in press.
39. Mohamadi FN, Richards GJ, Guida WC, Liskamp R, Lipton M, Caufield C, Chang G, Hendrickson T, Still WC. MacroModel - an integrated software system for modeling organic and bioorganic molecules using molecular mechanics. *J Comput Chem*. 1990; 11:440–467.
40. Mewshaw RE, Zhou D, Zhou P, Shi X, Hornby G, Spanger T, Scerni R, Smith D, Schechter LE, Andree TH. Studies toward the discovery of the next generation of antidepressants. 3. Dual 5-HT_{1A} and serotonin transfer affinity within a class of *N*-aryloxyethylindolylalkyl amines. *J Med Chem*. 2004; 47:3823–3842. [PubMed: 15239661]
41. Bang-Andersen, B.; Kehler, J.; Felding, J. Preparation of phenylpiperazines as dopamine D₄ and D₃ receptors ligands. WO patent. 2001049679.
42. Fuchs JR, Funk RL. Total synthesis of (±)-Perophoramidine. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2004; 126:5068–5069. [PubMed: 15099080]
43. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem*. 1976; 72:248–254. [PubMed: 942051]
44. Nordstedt C, Fredholm BB. A modification of a protein-binding method for rapid quantification of cAMP in cell-culture supernatants and body fluid. *Anal Biochem*. 1990; 189:231–234. [PubMed: 2177960]
45. Post SR, Ostrom RS, Insel PA. Biochemical methods for detection and measurement of cyclic AMP and adenylyl cyclase activity. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2000; 126:363–374. [PubMed: 10685423]
46. Cheng YC, Prusoff WH. Relationship between the inhibition constant (*K_i*) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 percent inhibition (*I*₅₀) of an enzymatic reaction. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 1973; 22:3099–3108. [PubMed: 4202581]

Figure 1.

Functional effects of compound **28** on adenylate cyclase in CHO cells stably expressing the human ARs. Compound **28** was a full agonist at the A_{2A} and A_{2B}ARs. In the curves shown the EC₅₀ values for **2** were 21.9 (A_{2A}) and 110 (A_{2B}) nM, and for **28** EC₅₀ values of were 39.7 (A_{2A}) and 109 (A_{2B}) nM were obtained. The relative maximal efficacy of **28** at the A₁ and A₃ARs was 31.8% and 20.2±1.0% of the full agonist **2**, respectively.

Figure 2.
Docking model of compound **28** in the binding site of the human A_{2B}AR, showing residues in proximity (A) and the Van der Waals surface of the receptor (B).

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

Scheme 2.

Reagents and conditions: (a) i. TsCl, pyridine, CH₂Cl₂; ii. 3-butyne-1-ol, Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, CuI, Et₃N, DMF, 70°C; (b) I₂, PPh₃, imidazole, Et₂O-MeCN, room temperature; (c) LAH, THF, 0°C - room temperature

Scheme 3.

Reagents and conditions: (a) R₁CH₂CH₂-I (**47** – **49**, **59** – **61**, **74** – **77**, **85**, **86**, 1-iodo-3-phenylpropane, **89**, **94**, **95** and **99**, respectively), Cs₂CO₃, DMF, room temperature; (b) saturated NH₃ in EtOH, 120°C; (c) deprotection of tosyl group of **18**, **119** – **121**, **26**, **32**, **122** – **126**, **21** and **127**; KOH, MeOH 70 – 90°C.

Scheme 4.

Reagents and conditions: (a) guanidine solution,³² DABCO, EtOH, 110°C; (b) i. EtNH₂, HCl, DIPEA, DMF, 140°C; ii. KOH, MeOH, 80°C.

Scheme 5.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 2,2-dimethoxypropane, p-TsOH-H₂O, DMF; (b) KMnO₄, KOH, H₂O; (c) PyBop, DIPEA, EtNH₂ HCl, DMF; (d) *t*-butyl nitrite, 2-PrOH/H₂O (1:1); (e) iodide **47**, Cs₂CO₃, DMF, room temperature; (f) saturated NH₃, EtOH, 120°C; (g) 80% AcOH, 80°C; (h) KOH, MeOH, 70°C.

Chart 1.

Chemical structures of selected adenosine derivatives **1** – **4** previously used as pharmacological reference compounds for characterization of the A_{2B}AR and novel adenosine derivatives **8**, **17** – **40**. The remaining adenosine derivatives in the series, **5** – **7** and **9** – **16** (structures in Table 1) were reported previously.²⁶

Table 1

Potency of various adenosine derivatives in activation of the human A_{2B}AR expressed in CHO cells, with values expressed either the EC₅₀ (nM) or the percent stimulation at 1 μ M (in parentheses). For comparison, binding affinities of the adenosine derivatives at human A₁, A_{2A}, and A₃ARs expressed in CHO cells (expressed as K_i value or percent displacement at 10 μ M) and maximal agonist effects at 10 μ M at the A₃AR. Values for compounds **5** – **7** and **9** – **16** are from ref. 25.

5 – **40**, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
Reference Agonists						
1	R-PIA	1680±500	2.0±0.3	884±188	8.7±0.9	102±6
2	NECA	140±19	6.8±2.4	2.2±0.6	16.0±5.4	100
3^b	6-guanidino-NECA	54.5±13.3	7.0±1.0	628±39	5.1±1.3	100
4^c	(S)-PHP-NECA	220	2.1	2.0	0.75	
2-Ethers						
5^d	R ₁ = H	(~1%)	2640±540	360±139	568±205	99±4
6^d		(36%)	(36%)	579±250	578±182	52±3

5 – 40, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
7 ^d		3490±1490	221±57	9.3±2.9	54.2±14.3	71±3
8		(29%)	960±95	500±50	66 ± 3	42±8
9 ^d		(3%)	2060 ± 630	519 ± 41	352 ± 66	37±8
10 ^d		(13%)	1560 ± 250	413 ± 37	312 ± 47	18±8

5 – 40, R₂= H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
11^d		(12%)	331±22	58.1±24.9	77.8±13.5	45±5
12^d		(64%)	312 ± 24	69.3 ± 4.7	119 ± 8	50±7
13^d		(11%)	467±100	56.8±16.3	112±16	74±5
14^d		1440±70	141±51	16.1±7.0	130±8	45±9

5 – 40, R₂= H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
15 ^d		1780±260	174±20	10.9±4.8	93.3±16.8	80±5
16 ^d		(9%)	280±72	13.3±4.1	101±34	62±15
17 ^b		299±45	148±19	45.0±11.6	232±54	17±3
18		(43%)	(39±6%)	2670±630	1340±230	0±3

5 – 40, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
19		2580	218±55	95±18	104±40	75±1
20		(49%)	197±47	373±71	513±84	37±8
21		(32%)	47±2%	2570±670	622±19	30±1
22		767	150±50	370±80	490±60	-1±1

5 – 40, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
23		2180	130±40	390±110	296±8	-4±1
24 ^b		365±73	358±1	502±32	234±24	1±2
25		1870	350±60	900±200	110±20	-5±2
26		(30%)	(38±2%)	(54±8%)	8730±340	-1±5

5 – 40, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
27		216±59	145±6	29.3±13.7	92.3±7.9	2±5
28 ^b		128±32	253±3	150±20	90±15	20±1
29		(16%)	443±82	39.7±14.4	260±19	-5±1
30		(43%)	210±19	252±109	142±17	-8±5

5 – 40, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
31		(48%)	579±88	(64±2%)	599±3	13±4
32		(24%)	20±2%	(26±4%)	(66±5%)	3±2
33		1250	1820±330	1400±300	360±50	-3±3
34		896	310 ± 90	450±8	120 ± 20	24±3

5 – 40, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
35		(0%)	(18±4%)	3870±497	2070 ± 700	3±2
36		(0%)	(41±5%)	(46±2%)	1920 ± 470	13±4
37		(40%)	73.6 ± 8.0	277 ± 74	90 ± 10	58±8

R₂ = guanidino

5 – 40, R₂ = H, R₃ = CH₂OH, unless noted

Compound	Name/Substitution	EC ₅₀ at A _{2B} AR (nM) (or % activation)	K _i at A ₁ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A _{2A} AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	K _i at A ₃ AR (nM) or % inhib. ^a	Efficacy, A ₃ AR %
38	R ₂ = Ts	(42%)	52 ± 2%	344 ± 72	457 ± 40	24 ± 7
39	R ₂ = guanidino	3270	640 ± 110	40 ± 4%	30 ± 10	54 ± 12
40	R ₂ = Et R ₃ = CONHEt	989	190 ± 20	250 ± 30	110 ± 20	102 ± 2

^a All experiments were performed using adherent CHO cells stably transfected with cDNA encoding a human A_{2B} or A₃AR was determined at 10 μM. Binding at A₁, A_{2A} and A₃ARs was carried out as described in Experimental Procedures. The A₃ receptor activation results were from three separate experiments. The K_i and EC₅₀ values from the present study are expressed as mean ± s.e.m., N = 3–5.

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

\$watermark-text

^bCompounds **3**, MRS3218; **17**, MRS3534; **24**, MRS3854; **28**, MRS3997.

^cData from references 29,31.

^dData from reference 26.