Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2010 October 3; 51(44): 5757–5760. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.08.089.

Synthesis of Hemigossypol and its Derivatives

Jun Wei^{a, #}, David L. Vander Jagt^b, Robert E. Royer^b, and Lorraine M. Deck^{a, *}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131

^bDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of New Mexico School of Medicine, Albuquerque, NM 87131

Abstract

Hemigossypol (**3**), a sesquiterpene natural product, was previously isolated from *Gossypium barbadense* and was shown to display improved anti-fungal activity compared to gossypol (**1**), the disesquiterpene dimer of hemigossypol (**3**). Gossypol exhibits multiple biological activities. In order to study whether hemigossypol and its derivatives retain the various bioactivities of gossypol, we developed a short and convenient synthetic scheme to synthesize hemigossypol. This is the first de novo synthesis of this natural product. In addition derivatives of hemigossypol with various 2,5-alkyl substituents were synthesized. Modification of the synthetic scheme also afforded the natural product hemigossylic lactone (**4**) and its 2,5-substituted derivatives.

Keywords

Hemigossypol; gossypol; hemigossylic lactone

Gossypol (**1**), a natural product from cottonseed, is a disesquiterpene exhibiting atropisomerism owing to restricted rotation around the binaphthyl bond (Figure 1). Gossypol exhibits multiple biological properties, including spermicidal,¹ antiparasitic,² anticancer,³ and antiviral activities.⁴ Gossypol displays potent inhibition against anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins where it functions as a BH3 mimic.⁵ (–)-Gossypol is currently in phase II clinical trials, displaying single-agent antitumor activity in patients with advanced malignancies.^{6,7} Multiple bioactivities of gossypol have stimulated wide interest in the development of gossypol derivatives to explore structure-activity relationships.^{8–13} We have previously reported that gossylic lactone (**2**)⁹ (Figure 1), in which the aldehyde groups of gossypol that contribute to toxicity have been modified, retains antimalarial activity and exhibits enhanced inhibitory activity compared to gossypol against aldose reductase, an enzyme implicated in the etiology of diabetic complications.^{9,14}

The question of interest is whether hemigossypol (**3**) and hemigossylic lactone (**4**) (Figure 1), the monomers of gossypol and gossylic lactone, respectively, still retain the various bioactivities of gossypol. Hemigossypol, a natural product isolated from the *verticillium*-infected stele tissue of *Gossypium barbadense*,¹⁵ is at least three-fold more toxic to the cotton seedling disease pathogen, *Rhizoctonia solani*, than gossypol.¹⁶ Furthermore, it was

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved

*Corresponding author: ldeck@unm.edu; Phone: (505)-277-5438.

#Current address: Sanford-Burnham Institute Medical Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

reported that methylated hemigossypol displayed comparable spermicidal activity as gossypol.¹⁷ Hemigossylic lactone with a methoxy group on position six is a natural product isolated from the root bark of *B. malabaricum*,¹⁸ however, bioactivity has not been reported. In order to explore SAR of hemigossypol and hemigossylic lactone, we developed a short and convenient synthetic scheme to synthesize hemigossypol and its 2,5-alkyl substituted derivatives. Modification of the synthetic scheme also afforded hemigossylic lactone and its 2,5-alkyl substituted derivatives.

Synthetic Scheme 1 features the incorporation of the carbon atoms for the second ring of the naphthalene system in one step by the reaction of the Grignard reagent formed from 1-bromo-2-isopropyl-3,4-dimethoxybenzene (**5a**) and 1-bromo-3,4-dimethoxy-2-propylbenzene (**5c**) with ethyl 3-methyl-4-oxobutanoate (**6a**) and ethyl 2,3-dimethyl-4-oxobutanoate (**6b**) to form lactones **7a**, **7b** and **7c** in 63–70% yield.¹⁹ The precursor bromides are readily prepared from commercially available starting materials using procedures described in the literature.^{20, 21, 22} In some preparations of the bromides using the Edward's procedure²² small amounts (5 to 10%) of the isomeric bromides 5-bromo-3-alkyl-1,2-dimethoxybenzene were formed.²³ An alternate highly regioselective silica gel catalyzed bromination, using N-bromosuccinimide proved more effective.²⁴ The precursors ethyl 3-methyl-4-oxobutanoate (**6a**) and ethyl 2,3-dimethyl-4-oxobutanoate (**6b**) were prepared by a Horner-Emmons-Wadsworth reaction followed by hydrogenation of the alkene bond and hydrolysis of the resulting acetal as previously described.^{25, 26} In our hands hydrogenation using palladium on charcoal resulted in loss of a methoxy group of the acetal but use of palladium on calcium carbonate gave the desired acetal, which was then hydrolyzed.

In the synthesis of gossypol performed by Venuti²⁷ compound **5a** was transformed to naphthalenol compound **8** in six steps. In one of the steps Venuti proposed compound **7a** as an intermediate, but it was never isolated. We envisioned that lactone **7a** could be converted to naphthalenol **8** in one step using a strong Lewis acid which would cause the opening of the lactone ring followed by Friedel Crafts cyclization of the resulting acid, dehydration and aromatization.²⁸ Attempts using dilute hydrochloric acid, phosphorous trichloride or titanium tetrachloride failed and concentrated sulfuric acid afforded only 5% of product. However lactones **7a–7c** were successfully converted to naphthalenols **9a–9c** using boron tribromide in 70–90% yield.²⁹ Compound **9a** is slightly unstable and degrades during chromatography. The aldehyde group was introduced by formylation of naphthalenols **9a–9c** with titanium tetrachloride and dichloromethyl methyl ether^{30,31} followed by hydrolysis, to successfully afford the natural product hemigossypol **3a** and its derivatives **3b** and **3c** in moderate yield.³² The proton NMR spectrum of compound **3a** generally agreed with the literature¹⁵. Anhydrohemigossypol **10a** has been observed in the mass spectrum of hemigossypol¹⁵ and anhydrogossypol, the dimer of compound **10a**, is well documented in the literature.^{33, 34} The proton NMR spectrum of anhydrohemigossypol **10a** and its derivatives **10b** and **10c** is similar to that of anhydrogossypol.³⁵ The anhydro compounds **10a–10c** could be converted back to aldehydes **3a–3c** using dilute hydrochloric acid in acetonitrile.

Alternate synthetic methods for the formation of compounds **3** from naphthalenols **9a** and **9b** were investigated in hopes of increasing the yield of product. Vilsmeier and N,N-diphenylformamidine methods did not afford product.^{36, 37, 38} The Duff reaction, which involves the use of hexamethylenetetramine in the presence of acetic acid, on compound **9b** gave the dehydrated compound **10b** in a 25% yield.³⁹ These disappointing results led to the one pot reaction of compound **7a** with boron tribromide followed by triethylorthoformate to give compound **11** in 25% yield.⁴⁰ Unfortunately, compound **11**⁴¹ is the 2-formyl regioisomer of compound **3** and its structure was verified by methylation to give the known

compound **12**.¹⁷ Using the same conditions on compound **7b**, which has a methyl group in position two, 10% of compound **10b** was obtained.

Since formylation of naphthalenols **9** resulted in poor yields of product, compounds **9a** and **9b** were methylated using dimethylsulfate to give compounds **13a** and **13b**.⁴² (Scheme 2). Formylation reactions on the dimeric (5,5'-diisopropyl-1,1',6,6',7,7'-hexamethoxy-3,3'-dimethyl-2,2'-binaphthalene) and the monomeric form of compound **13a** have been published by Meltzer.³⁷ Meltzer found that bisformylation and concomitant loss of both isopropyl groups occurred on reaction of the dimeric form of compound **13a** using titanium tetrachloride and dichloromethyl methyl ether. Identical treatment of the monomeric compound, **13a**, resulted in formylation in the 8 position, peri to the isopropyl group. Using these same conditions we reacted compound **13b** and obtained a mixture of products with the major product lacking an isopropyl group. Fortunately, formylation of compound **13a** using *t*-butyllithium followed by the electrophile *N*-methylformanilide and hydrolysis gave a 49% yield of compound **14** (Scheme 2) whereas reaction of **13b** using these conditions failed to give the desired product.^{17, 43} Demethylation of compound **14** with boron tribromide¹⁰ afforded an 80% yield of compound **3a**.

Synthesis of hemigossylic lactone **4a** and its derivative, **4c**, is similar to the synthesis of compound **14** except for the electrophile. Reaction of compound **13a** with *t*-butyllithium followed by methylchloroformate resulted in ester **15a**.⁴⁴ Bromination of compound **15a** gave bromide **15c**.^{43, 45} The methyl ether groups in compounds **15** were readily removed using boron tribromide and the resulting mixtures were gently refluxed in dilute hydrochloric acid to undergo intramolecular lactonization and afford lactones **4a** and **4c** in 71–80% yield.⁴⁶

A one step transformation from the readily available intermediate **7b** to naphtholactone **16** is an attractive route to explore. Since lactones **7a–7c** have been successfully converted to naphthalenols **8** and **9a–9c** in one step using Lewis acids (Scheme 1), we further envisioned that chlorosulfonyl isocyanate (CSI),⁴⁷ a strong Lewis acid containing a reactive electrophilic isocyanate group, could convert lactone **7b** into naphtholactone **16** in one step. Treatment of compound **7b** with excess CSI followed by an acidic hydrolysis (Scheme 3) successfully afforded naphtholactone **16** in a 10% yield along with the unexpected amide compound **17** in 30% yield.⁴⁸ We propose that initially the CSI acts as a Lewis acid and readily converts the lactone **7b** to the 2-methylsubstituted naphthalenol adduct of compound **8**. This naphthalenol adduct further reacts with the electrophilic carbonyl carbon in the CSI and hydrolysis using dilute acid causes intramolecular attack of the carbonyl by the peri hydroxyl group on position one resulting in formation of lactone **16**. Amide **17** was formed by the reaction of CSI with the substituted naphthalenol at position four followed by acidic hydrolysis. Because of the brevity of this synthetic sequence and the promising results, reaction conditions are being investigated to improve the yield.

In conclusion, a short and convenient synthetic scheme was developed to afford the bioactive natural product hemigossypol **3a** and its 2, 5-substituted derivatives **3b** and **3c**. The modification of the synthetic scheme afforded hemigossylic lactones **4a** and **4c** as derivatives of the natural product 3-methylhemigossylic lactone. Bioactivities of hemigossypol, hemigossylic lactones and their derivatives are currently being investigated.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported in part by grant HL68598 from the National Institutes of Health. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained at UNM Mass Spec Facility, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

References

1. Waller DP, Zaneveld LJ, Fong HH. Contraception. 1980; 22:183–7. [PubMed: 7449381]
2. Montamat EE, Burgos C, Gerez de Burgos NM, Rovai LE, Blanco A, Segura EL. Science. 1982; 218:288–9. [PubMed: 6750791]
3. Jaroszewski JW, Kaplan O, Cohen JS. Cancer Res. 1990; 50:6936–43. [PubMed: 2208159]
4. Dorsett PH, Kerstine EE, Powers LJ. J Pharm Sci. 1975; 64:1073–5. [PubMed: 1133739]
5. Wang, S.; Yang, D. US patent applications series no. 2003008924. 2002.
6. Meng Y, Tang W, Dai Y, Wu X, Liu M, Ji Q, Ji M, Pienta K, Lawrence T, Xu L. Mol Cancer Ther. 2008; 7:2192–202. [PubMed: 18645028]
7. Van Poznak C, Seidman AD, Reidenberg MM, Moasser MM, Sklarin N, Van Zee K, Borgen P, Gollub M, Bacotti D, Yao TJ, Bloch R, Ligueros M, Sonenberg M, Norton L, Hudis C. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2001; 66:239–48. [PubMed: 11510695]
8. Royer RE, Deck LM, Campos NM, Hunsaker LA, Vander Jagt DL. J Med Chem. 1986; 29:1799–801. [PubMed: 3528492]
9. Deck LM, Vander Jagt DL, Royer RE. J Med Chem. 1991; 34:3301–5. [PubMed: 1956050]
10. Royer RE, Deck LM, Vander Jagt TJ, Martinez FJ, Mills RG, Young SA, Vander Jagt DL. J Med Chem. 1995; 38:2427–32. [PubMed: 7608907]
11. Deck LM, Royer RE, Chamblee BB, Hernandez VM, Malone RR, Torres JE, Hunsaker LA, Piper RC, Makler MT, Vander Jagt DL. J Med Chem. 1998; 41:3879–87. [PubMed: 9748363]
12. Wei J, Kitada S, Rega MF, Emdadi A, Yuan H, Cellitti J, Stebbins JL, Zhai D, Sun J, Yang L, Dahl R, Zhang Z, Wu B, Wang S, Reed TA, Lawrence N, Sebt S, Reed JC, Pellecchia M. Mol Cancer Ther. 2009; 8:904–13. [PubMed: 19372563]
13. Wei J, Rega MF, Kitada S, Yuan H, Zhai D, Risbood P, Seltzman HH, Twine CE, Reed JC, Pellecchia M. Cancer Lett. 2009; 273:107–13. [PubMed: 18782651]
14. Brown WM, Yowell CA, Hoard A, Vander Jagt TA, Hunsaker LA, Deck LM, Royer RE, Piper RC, Dame JB, Makler MT, Vander Jagt DL. Biochemistry. 2004; 43:6219–29. [PubMed: 15147206]
15. Bell AA, Stipanovic RD, Howell CR, Fryxell PA. Phytochemistry. 1975; 14:225–231.
16. Howell CR, Hanson LE, Stipanovic RD, Puckhaber LS. Phytopathology. 2000; 90:248–52. [PubMed: 18944616]
17. Manmade A, Herlihy P, Quick J, Duffley RP, Burgos M, Hoffer AP. Experientia. 1983; 39:1276–7. [PubMed: 6641903]
18. Seshadri V, Batta AK, Rangaswami S. Indian Journal of Chemistry, Section B: Organic Chemistry Including Medicinal Chemistry. 1976; 14B:616–617.
19. **Data for lactones 7:** Dihydro-5-(2-isopropyl-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-2-furanone **7a**: Yellow oil; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 7.09 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.80 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.89 (m, 2H), 2.34 (d, 1H), 1.34 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 3H), 1.33 (s, 3H), 0.66 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 176.1, 152.4, 148.2, 137.2, 125.6, 120.4, 109.7, 82.0, 60.3, 55.3, 37.8, 34.4, 28.4, 21.6, 21.2, 15.4. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 279.1596; found, 279.1592. Dihydro-5-(2-isopropyl-3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-3,4-dimethyl-2-furanone **7b**: Yellow oil; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 7.11 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.66 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.02 (m, 1H), 2.92 (m, 1H), 2.76 (m, 1H), 1.34 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H), 1.21 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 0.51 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR: δ 178.4, 152.4, 148.3, 137.2, 125.6, 120.7, 109.7, 80.6, 60.5, 55.4, 41.0, 39.5, 28.5, 21.6, 21.3, 10.0, 9.8. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 293.1753; found, 293.1744. Dihydro-5-(3,4-dimethoxy-2-propylphenyl)-3,4-dimethyl-2-furanone **7c**: Yellow oil; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 7.00 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.78 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.75 (m, 1H), 2.55 (m, 1H), 2.31 (m, 1H), 1.34 (m, 6H), 1.23 (m, 3H), 0.65 (m, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 179.2, 151.2, 146.6, 134.0, 120.6, 109.8, 103.2, 77.3, 68.3, 60.4, 55.4, 34.3, 28.3, 26.1, 24.2, 14.5, 12.9. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 293.1753; found, 293.1751.
20. Edwards JD, Cashaw JL. J. Org. Chem. 1955; 20:847–849.
21. Deck LM, Brazwell EM, Vander Jagt DL, Royer RE. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1990; 22:495–500.

22. Edwards JD Jr, Cashaw JL. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956; 78:3821–3824.
23. Chin C, Tran DD, Shia K, Liu H. Synlett. 2005; 3:417–420.
24. Konishi H, Aritomi K, Okano T, Kiji J. Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan. 1989; 62:591–593.
25. Curley RW Jr, Ticorac CJ. Synth. Commun. 1986; 16:627–631.
26. Meyers AI, Roth GP, Hoyer D, Barner BA, Laucher D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988; 110:4611–4624.
27. Venuti MC. J. Org. Chem. 1981; 46:3124–3127.
28. Huang J, Su T, Watanabe KA. J. Org. Chem. 1991; 56:4811–4815.
29. *Data for naphthalenols 9: 5-Isopropyl-3-methyl-1,6,7-naphthalenetriol 9a*: Red oil; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 6.48 (s, 1H), 5.92 (br s, 2H), 5.40 (br s, 1H), 3.84 (m, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.44 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 143.1, 136.7, 135.4, 126.2, 122.7, 118.7, 110.9, 108.5, 102.0, 95.0, 19.8, 13.7, 7.9. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_3$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 233.1177; found, 233.1122. *5-Isopropyl-3,4-dimethyl-1,6,7-naphthalenetriol 9b*: Light grey solid; mp 194–195 °C; ^1H NMR: (Acetone- d_6 , 250 MHz) δ 8.87 (br s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.37 (br s, 1H), 7.15 (br s, 1H), 3.84 (m, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.46 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR: (Methanol- d_4 , 250 MHz) δ 149.2, 145.2, 144.7, 133.3, 128.2, 125.7, 121.5, 116.8, 116.5, 102.8, 27.8, 21.5, 21.3, 12.2. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_3$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 247.1334; found, 247.1332. *3,4-Dimethyl-5-propyl-1,6,7-naphthalenetriol 9c*: Light grey solid; mp 168–170 °C; ^1H NMR: (Acetone- d_6 , 250 MHz) δ 9.29 (s, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 2.96 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.00 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR: (Acetone- d_6 , 250 MHz) δ 148.9, 144.0, 143.3, 132.7, 127.8, 120.1, 120.0, 115.4, 115.2, 102.5, 27.4, 23.2, 20.9, 14.1, 11.8. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_3$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 247.1334; found, 247.1334.
30. Rieche A, Gross H, Hoft E. Chem. Ber. 1960; 93:88–94.
31. Schuda PF, Price WA. J. Org. Chem. 1987; 52:1972–1979.
32. *Data for hemigossypols 3: 2,3,8-Trihydroxy-4-isopropyl-6-methyl-1-naphthaldehyde 3a*: Yellow solid; mp 158–160 °C (lit¹⁵ 159–163 °C); ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 15.10 (s, 1H), 11.20 (s, 1H), 7.53 (s, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 5.71 (s, 1H), 3.85 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz): δ 199.3, 155.6, 151.6, 142.8, 134.2, 133.9, 129.5, 116.9, 114.4, 113.2, 111.6, 28.0, 21.6, 20.3. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 261.1127; found, 261.1172. *2,3,8-Trihydroxy-4-isopropyl-6,7-dimethyl-1-naphthaldehyde 3b*: Yellow solid; mp 160–162 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 15.21 (s, 1H), 11.23 (s, 1H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 6.29 (s, 1H), 5.42 (s, 1H), 3.85 (m, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 199.2, 155.9, 149.5, 142.1, 134.1, 133.3, 126.9, 117.8, 117.4, 115.1, 113.4, 27.7, 20.0, 20.3, 11.8. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 275.1283; found, 275.1328. *2,3,8-Trihydroxy-6,7-dimethyl-4-propyl-1-naphthaldehyde 3c*: Yellow solid; mp 194–195 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 8.47 (s, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.05 (br s, 1H), 2.79 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.70 (m, 2H), 1.03 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 175.0, 152.4, 149.3, 149.0, 135.5, 126.5, 123.6, 122.6, 120.7, 118.6, 117.0, 27.7, 22.5, 19.9, 14.4, 12.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{18}\text{O}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 275.1283; found, 275.1288.
33. Miller RF, Adams R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1937; 59:1736–1738.
34. Jaroszewski JW, Hansen T. Chirality. 1992; 4:216–221.
35. *Data for anhydrohemigossypols 10: 4-Hydroxy-5-isopropyl-7-methyl-3-naphtho[1,8-bc]furan-3-one 10a*: Yellow oil; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 8.48 (s, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 3.52 (m, 1H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 175.3, 152.3, 149.1, 148.8, 135.2, 130.7, 123.2, 123.0, 120.4, 118.6, 116.7, 27.1, 19.8, 12.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_3$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 243.1021; found, 243.0976. *4-Hydroxy-5-isopropyl-7,8-dimethyl-3-naphtho[1,8-bc]furan-3-one 10b*: Brown solid; mp 192–193 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 8.50 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 3.46 (m, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 2.49 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 175.2, 152.5, 149.2, 148.8, 135.3, 130.8, 123.3, 123.1, 120.6, 118.6, 116.7, 27.1, 20.5, 19.9, 12.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_3$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$: 257.1177; found, 257.1176. *4-Hydroxy-7,8-dimethyl-5-propyl-3-naphtho[1,8-bc]furan-3-one 10c*: Yellow solid; mp 163–165 °C; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 15.17 (s, 1H), 11.24 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 6.12 (s, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 3.02 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.04 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 250 MHz) δ 200.1,

- 157.0, 151.1, 142.8, 134.8, 130.8, 128.1, 121.0, 117.8, 117.2, 112.8, 28.5, 23.3, 21.1, 14.6, 12.6. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}O_4$ $[M+H]^+$: 257.1177; found, 257.1284.
36. Moazzam M, Sana S, Rajanna KC. 2002; 32:1351–1356.
37. Meltzer PC, Bickford HP, Lambert GJ. J. Org. Chem. 1985; 50:3121–3124.
38. Edwards JD Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958; 80:3798–3799.
39. Smith ES. J. Org. Chem. 1972; 37:3972–3973.
40. Banerjee AK, Poon PS, Laya MS. Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 2003; 73:1815–1820.
41. *Data for aldehyde 11*: 1,6,7-Trihydroxy-5-isopropyl-3-methyl-2-naphthaldehyde: Yellow solid; mp 160–161 °C; 1H NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 500Hz) δ 13.69 (s, 1H), 10.24 (s, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 3.83 (m, 1H), 2.67 (s, 3H), 1.45 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 500Hz) δ 195.2, 162.7, 149.0, 144.6, 133.2, 132.1, 126.0, 118.3, 115.3, 112.1, 104.3, 26.8, 20.3, 18.5. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{16}O_4$ $[M+H]^+$: 261.1127; found, 261.1127.
42. *Data for compound 13b*: 1-Isopropyl-2,3,5-trimethoxy-6,7-dimethyl-1-naphthalene: Oil; 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500Hz) δ 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.87 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500Hz) δ 152.9, 151.8, 147.0, 135.0, 133.4, 127.1, 124.8, 124.4, 120.0, 99.8, 61.0, 60.7, 55.4, 26.8, 22.2, 21.1, 12.4. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{24}O_3$ $[M+H]^+$: 289.1803; found, 289.1730.
43. Dallacker VF, Leuther P, Westerop KW. Chemiker-Zeitung. 1989; 113:97–102.
44. *Data for ester 15a*: Methyl 4-isopropyl-2,3,8-trimethoxy-6-methyl-1-naphthalene-carboxylate: Colorless oil; 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500Hz) δ 7.53 (s, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.92 (m, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.50 (s, 3H), 1.49 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500Hz) δ 169.2, 154.4, 150.1, 148.3, 136.6, 134.8, 131.1, 121.3, 117.6, 116.1, 107.0, 61.4, 60.6, 56.3, 52.0, 27.0, 22.4, 22.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{24}O_5$ $[M+Na]^+$: 355.1624; found, 355.1615.
45. *Data for bromide 15c*: Methyl 7-bromo-2,3,8-trimethoxy-6-methyl-4-isopropyl-1-naphthalenecarboxylate: White solid; mp 98–100 °C; 1H NMR: δ 7.77 (s, 1H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 6H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.81 (m, 1H), 2.54 (s, 3H), 1.45 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR: δ 168.4, 152.4, 150.4, 149.6, 137.1, 135.4, 129.8, 122.2, 121.2, 120.9, 116.4, 61.9, 61.5, 60.8, 52.3, 27.1, 24.2, 22.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{23}BrO_5$ $[M+H]^+$: 411.0807; found, 411.0740.
46. *Data for lactones 4*: 3,4-Dihydroxy-4-isopropyl-6-methyl-1,8-naphtholactone **4a**: White solid; mp 220–222 °C; 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500Hz) δ 8.70 (br s, 1H), 7.51 (s, 1H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 6.08 (s, 1H), 3.93 (m, 1H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 1.49 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR: (Acetone- d_6 , 500Hz) δ 166.1, 149.3, 148.0, 147.8, 136.3, 135.7, 124.4, 122.3, 117.7, 106.1, 100.9, 28.1, 22.9, 21.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}O_4$ $[M+H]^+$: 259.0970; found, 259.0970. 7-Bromo-2,3-dihydroxy-4-isopropyl-6-methyl-1,8-naphtho-lactone **4c**: White solid; mp 254–255 °C; 1H NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 500Hz) δ 7.78 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (m, 1H), 2.57 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H), 1.49 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (Acetone- d_6 , 500Hz) δ 164.7, 148.3, 147.6, 147.5, 136.6, 135.5, 123.4, 123.2, 119.8, 101.2, 100.0, 28.4, 23.4, 21.0. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{13}BrO_4$ $[M+H]^+$: 337.0075; found, 337.0088.
47. Lohaus G. Chem. Ber. 1967; 100:2719–2723.
48. *Data for compounds 16 and 17*: 4-isopropyl-2,3-dimethoxy-6,7-dimethyl-1,8-naphtholactone **16**: Oil; 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500Hz) δ 7.59 (s, 1H), 4.56 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.85 (m, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 500Hz) δ 165.6, 155.0, 149.8, 146.7, 144.9, 136.3, 124.6, 122.1, 118.5, 116.1, 103.3, 62.5, 61.6, 27.7, 22.2, 20.9, 11.9. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}O_4$ $[M+H]^+$: 301.1440; found, 301.1435. 5-Carboxamido-4-isopropyl-2,3-dimethoxy-6,7-dimethyl-1,8-naphtholactone **17**: Solid; mp 259–260 °C; 1H NMR: (Acetone- d_6 , 500Hz) δ 4.50 (s, 3H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.43 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (Dimethylformamide- d_7 , 500 MHz) δ 173.4, 164.9, 155.5, 153.4, 146.4, 146.3, 134.2, 130.2, 124.2, 120.2, 116.3, 104.7, 62.6, 61.7, 28.8, 21.4, 21.3, 16.9, 12.2. HRMS (EI) calcd for $C_{19}H_{21}NO_5$ $[M+H]^+$: 344.1498; found, 344.1498.

Figure 1.

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of hemigossypol: Reagents and conditions: (a) Mg (3 equiv), $\text{BrCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$ (0.1 equiv), THF, reflux, 1.0 h then **6** (1.2 equiv), 0°C to rt, 12 h, $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{HCl}$, rt, 5 h, 70% of **7a**, 66% of **7b**, 63% of **7c**. (b) concentrated sulfuric acid, rt, 60°C , 2.0 h, 5%. (c) BBr_3 (5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 2.0 h, -78°C , 24 h, rt, 90% of **9a**, 70% of **9b**, 81% **9c**. (d) TiCl_4 , $\text{Et}_2\text{O}/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$, 0°C , 15 min, then $\text{Cl}_2\text{CHOCH}_3$ (2 equiv), 0°C , 3 h, rt, 18 h, 16% of **3a**, 10% of **3b**, 20% of **3c**, and 4% of **10a**, 34% of **10b**, 25% of **10c**; or **9b**, hexamethylenetetramine (0.5 equiv), HAc , 100°C , 1.0 h, then $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 60°C , 2 h, 25% **10b** (e) $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 6M HCl , rt, 18 h, 90% of **3b**, 100% of **3c**. (f) BBr_3 (7 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C , then reflux 3 h, 0°C , $\text{CH}(\text{OC}_2\text{H}_5)_3$ (6 equiv), reflux, 1.0 h, rt, 24 h, 10% of **10b** from **7b**, 10% of **10c** from **7c**. (g) BBr_3 (5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0°C , reflux, 3 h, then $\text{CH}(\text{OC}_2\text{H}_5)_3$ (6 equiv), 0°C , reflux, 1 h, rt, 24 h, 25%. (h) $(\text{CH}_3\text{O})_2\text{SO}_2$ (6.5 equiv), K_2CO_3 (6.5 equiv), $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}=\text{O}$, reflux, 24 h, 90%.

Scheme 2.

Synthesis of hemigossylic lactone and derivatives: Reagents and conditions: (a) (CH₃O)₂SO₂ (13 equiv), K₂CO₃ (13 equiv), (CH₃)₂C=O, reflux, 85% of **13a** and **13b**. (b) *t*-BuLi (8 equiv), C₆H₁₂, 0°C, 18 h then HCON(CH₃)C₆H₅ (2.5 equiv), 8 h, 49%. (c) BBr₃ (3 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, -78°C, 1.0 h, 0°C, 1.0 h, rt, 1.0 h, 80%. (d) *t*-BuLi (7 equiv), C₆H₁₂, 0°C, then 18 h, rt, then ClCO₂CH₃ (19 equiv), -10°C, 8 h, 60% of **15a**. (e) BBr₃ (4 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, -78 C, 1.0 h, 0°C, 1.0 h, rt, 3 h, 6M HCl, reflux, 3 h, 80% of **4a** and 71% **4c**. (f) Br₂ (1 equiv), CHCl₃, 0°C, 2 h, 88% of **15c**.

Scheme 3.

Synthesis of dimethylhemigossylic lactones: Reagents and conditions: (a) ClSO_2NCO (9 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 24 h, then $\text{HCl}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$, EtOH, reflux, 1.0 h, 10% of **16** and 30% of **17**.