Published in final edited form as:

Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2012 July 6; 423(3): 461–466. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.05.141.

Dis3- and exosome subunit-responsive 3' mRNA instability elements

Daniel L. Kiss^{a,1,*}, Dezhi Hou^{a,*}, Robert H. Gross^b, and Erik D. Andrulis^{a,‡}

^aCase Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Cleveland, OH 44106

^bDartmouth College, Department of Biological Sciences, Life Sciences Center 343, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755

Abstract

Eukaryotic RNA turnover is regulated in part by the exosome, a nuclear and cytoplasmic complex of ribonucleases (RNases) and RNA-binding proteins. The major RNase of the complex is thought to be Dis3, a multi-functional 3' to 5' exoribonuclease and endoribonuclease. Although it is known that Dis3 and core exosome subunits are recruited to transcriptionally active genes and to messenger RNA (mRNA) substrates, this recruitment is thought to occur indirectly. We sought to discover *cis*-acting elements that recruit Dis3 or other exosome subunits. Using a bioinformatic tool called RNA SCOPE 2 to screen the 3' untranslated regions of up-regulated transcripts from our published Dis3 depletion-derived transcriptomic data set, we identified several motifs as candidate instability elements. Secondary screening using a luciferase reporter system revealed that one cassette—harboring four elements—destabilized the reporter transcript. RNAi-based depletion of Dis3, Rrp6, Rrp4, Rrp40, or Rrp46 diminished the efficacy of cassette-mediated destabilization. Truncation analysis of the cassette showed that two exosome subunit-sensitive elements (ESSEs) destabilized the reporter. Point-directed mutagenesis of ESSE abrogated the destabilization effect. An examination of the transcriptomic data from exosome subunit depletion-based microarrays revealed that mRNAs with ESSEs are found in every up-regulated mRNA data set but are underrepresented or missing from the down-regulated data sets. Taken together, our findings imply a potentially novel mechanism of mRNA turnover that involves direct Dis3 and other exosome subunit recruitment to and/or regulation on mRNA substrates.

Keywords

Dis3; exosome; ribonuclease; mRNA turnover; 3' UTR instability element; RNA SCOPE

²Abbreviations: SCOPE, suite for computational identification of promoter elements; ESSE, exosome subunit-sensitive element; RNase, ribonuclease

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[‡]Corresponding author; Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, 10900 Euclid Avenue, Wood Building, W212, Cleveland, OH 44106; exa32@case.edu; Ofc: 216-368-0261; Fax: 216-368-3055.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work;

¹Present address: Center for RNA Biology, Ohio State University, School of Biomedical Sciences, 385 Hamilton Hall, 1645 Neil Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

Messenger RNA (mRNA) turnover is essential for maintaining proper gene expression and cell function. Surplus or aberrant mRNAs—either mis-transcribed, mis-processed, mis-transported, mis-folded, mis-packaged, mis-modified, or mutated—must be identified, sequestered, and degraded in a precise manner. These phenomena, included under the umbrella term mRNA surveillance [1,2], require the functional and physical interplay of a network of mRNA metabolic processes. Although much progress has been made towards understanding many of the pathways that comprise the network [3,4,5], the molecular mechanisms by which aberrant or surplus mRNAs are detected and winnowed are still unclear.

The exosome complex and its cofactors have emerged over the last decade as fundamental participants in mRNA surveillance. Structurally, the yeast exosome core is composed of a hexameric ring consisting of RNase PH subunits (Rrp41/Ski6, Rrp42, Rrp43, Rrp45, Rrp46, and Mtr3) and a trimeric cap consisting of S1 domain subunits (Rrp4, Rrp40, and Csl4) [6]. Two active ribonucleases interact with the core: Rrp6, an RNase D homolog, and Dis3, and RNase II/R homolog. Functionally, these exosome subunits and RNases have been implicated in most if not every aspect of mRNA metabolism including elongation [7,8], splicing [9,10,11], 3' processing [12,13,14], termination [15,16], transport [17,18], and turnover [19,20]. While there is evidence suggesting that the entire core complex participates in mRNA surveillance, there is also evidence indicating that individual subunits “survey” mRNAs independently of the complex [21,22,23]—either alone or as subcomplexes that we have called exozymes [24]. One recent study that supports the exozyme hypothesis showed that patients with pontocerebellar hypoplasia type 1 have specific, non-lethal mutations in EXOSC3 (Rrp40) [25]. These mutations have tissue-specific effects, suggesting that Rrp40 regulates a subset of exosome core-surveyed RNAs. Dis3 and Rrp6 have attracted a great deal of attention because they exist in a biochemically defined exozyme [26,27], have both exosome-tethered and -independent ribonucleolytic activity [6,21,22,23,27,28,29,30,31] and modulate cell cycle progression [32,33,34]. In light of this functional multivalence, making the biochemical distinction between the core complex and exozymes is necessary for our understanding of how these essential polypeptides recognize and process or degrade distinct RNA substrates.

A critical step in 3' to 5' mRNA decay is protein recruitment to the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the mRNA substrate [35]. Several non-exosome RNA binding proteins have been suggested or shown to elicit AU-rich element (ARE)-mediated mRNA turnover through recruitment of the exosome or subunits of the complex [36,37,38]. Several RNase PH subunits preferentially bind AREs, triggering their turnover [39,40]. Still, we do not have a complete understanding of which exosome subunits and exozymes directly recognize specific RNA sequence motifs or structures. In this regard, most if not all exosome subunits have bioinformatically predicted or experimentally defined specific RNA interaction domains [41,42,43,44], some of which are known to bind particular RNA structures [45,46]. Moreover, several models have suggested or implied a direct RNA-S1 cap interaction prior to RNA threading through the hexameric RNase PH ring and ultimate catalysis by Dis3 [47,48].

Here, we use bioinformatics to identify novel 3' UTR instability elements. We characterize two elements that confer Dis3- and exosome subunit-sensitive instability in a reporter system. These *cis*-acting elements exist almost exclusively within the transcriptomic pool that is stabilized by depleting exosome subunits, suggesting that they are targets for exozyme or exosome recruitment and Dis3-mediated decay.

Material and methods

Antibodies and S2 cell culture

Polyclonal exosome subunit-specific primary antibodies and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and *D. melanogaster* S2 cell culture were described earlier [7,34].

Double-stranded RNA preparation and RNA interference

Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) was prepared as previously described [20,34]. Cells were treated with dsRNAs (30 µg/mL) on days 0, 1, and 3 and harvested on the 5th day [34].

Luciferase Reporter Assays

Cis-elements were added to luciferase reporters (Addgene) and using the Change-IT kit (USB). S2 cells were co-transfected either with empty pAC5.1c-Fluc or with pAC5.1c containing *cis*-element as indicated and with pAC5.1c-Rluc using Cellfectin II (Invitrogen). Cells were lysed using the PLB buffer from dual luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) the day after transfection. Firefly and renilla luciferase levels were determined in triplicate.

Real-time PCR

RNA was isolated from S2 cells with TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen), cleaned with RNAeasy mini kit (Qiagen), and first-strand cDNA synthesis performed (on 1 µg RNA) with the Quantitect Reverse Transcription kit (Qiagen). Real-time PCR was performed with SYBR Green PCR master mix (Qiagen) and BIO-RAD iCycler IQ real-time PCR system. Primers (Table S1) were custom designed (Primer3).

Results

Bioinformatic identification of candidate *cis*-acting instability elements

We selected our previously published Dis3 microarray data for bioinformatic screening of *cis*-acting instability elements because our ongoing interest in characterizing Dis3 [20,27,29,33]. RNA motif identification was performed using a modification of the SCOPE program [49] designed to work on RNA regions instead of DNA (RNA SCOPE [50]). Using RNA SCOPE, we identified 84 candidate conserved sequence elements. We reasoned that regulatory elements would be rare, so we used a ~1 in 4000 chance (*i.e.*, a completely conserved 6-mer motif) as a lower boundary for these elements. At that scarcity, such an element would occur by chance in roughly one tenth of *Drosophila* 3' UTRs (average length of ~375 nt) [20]. After excluding motifs that were underrepresented in the transcriptome, had weak consensus sequences, or could not be tested conclusively (Figure 1), 17 RNA SCOPE-defined motifs remained.

Experimental screening of candidate instability elements

We engineered four sets of contiguous candidate elements—with linker nucleotides between each element—into independent cassettes. These cassettes (M1, M2, M3, and M4) were cloned into the 3' UTR of a firefly luciferase reporter plasmid, and screened by a luminescence-based assay in transiently transfected *Drosophila* S2 tissue culture cells (Figure 2a). A comparison of these cassettes to the empty vector showed that M2 had no effect, M1 and M3 showed a 10–20% reduction in signal, and the M4 cassette elicited > 90% reduction in signal (Figure 2b).

Given that the luciferase assay measures luminescence (protein levels), we test whether the M4-dependent reduction of light signal was caused by reduced RNA level. To this end, we

performed quantitative real-time RT-PCR on total RNA purified from S2 cells transfected with either the control (empty) vector or the M4-containing vector. The 4-fold decrease in relative mRNA levels (Figure 2c) indicates that M4 destabilizes the reporter mRNA.

Since these elements were identified in mRNAs up-regulated by Dis3 depletion, we expect M4-dependent destabilization of firefly luciferase mRNA to require Dis3. Using RNAi to deplete Dis3 or GFP (Figure 2d), we captured the raw data in triplicate for either the empty or M4-containing reporter (Figure 2e). Because Dis3 depletion affects the levels of the empty reporter, we compared the ratio of empty to M4 (Empty/M4) for each experimental sample. By this analysis, Dis3 depletion has a greater than two-fold reduction in this ratio as compared to GFP depletion alone. To determine whether the M4-mediated destabilization of the reporter required other exosome subunits, we depleted Rrp6, Rrp4, Rrp40, and Rrp46 using RNAi (Figure 2d). For each subunit, the relative Empty/M4 ratio was statistically lower than that of GFP (Figure 2e). Together, these data suggest that Dis3, Rrp6 and core exosome subunits are each necessary for effective M4-mediated destabilization of the firefly luciferase mRNA.

M4 cassette deletion and mutagenesis defines two sequence motifs

To identify which of the four element(s) in M4 w(as/ere) responsible for the destabilization effect, we tested a set of truncations (Figure 3a). We found modest effects—30–40% reduction—when the four elements (named A, B, C, or D) were tested independently. These modest effects are surprising because RNA SCOPE identified unique, *individual* sequences and because they are not consistent with the robust M4-mediated effects (Figure 2). Thus, we tested the elements in pairs to make sense of this anomaly. Although we did not observe additive or synergistic effects with either the AB or BC element pairs, we saw a striking and specific effect with the CD element pair. We henceforth refer to these two elements together as the exosome subunit-sensitive elements (ESSE), with C and D being called ESSE1 and ESSE2, respectively. We pursued a deeper understanding of ESSE-dependent destabilization through site-directed mutagenesis. Single and triple point mutations in either ESSE1 or ESSE2 alone or both together restored the luciferase activity that was abrogated by the wild-type ESSE (Figure 3c and 3d).

ESSE is enriched in exosome subunit-depleted, stabilized transcriptomes

We next examined the scope and frequency of ESSE in our complete published microarray data set [20]. In quantifying the number of transcripts that contained either ESSE1 or ESSE2 alone or both together (Table 1), we found that RNase PH subunits (Mtr3, 61; Ski6, 62; Rrp46, 20) had the most ESSE-containing transcripts, S1 subunits had the next greatest (Rrp4, 51; Rrp40, 23; Csl4, 17), and the core exosome-associated proteins had the fewest (Dis3, 19; Rrp6, 2; Rrp47, 31). A similar frequency was found for both ESSE1 and ESSE2 in an individual stabilized transcript. In contrast to the stabilized RNAs, ESSE1 and ESSE2 were underrepresented in the down-regulated transcripts (Table 1, bottom). There were no down-regulated mRNAs containing both ESSE1 and ESSE2. These data support the idea that the bipartite ESSE is a *bona fide* instability element.

Analyzing the 89 ESSE1- and ESSE2-containing transcripts stabilized by exosome subunit depletion, we quantified how many of these are affected by the full complement of exosome subunits (Figure 4). We find that there was not one ESSE-containing RNA that was affected by the depletion of all subunits tested. By comparison, about 25% of the transcripts are stabilized by the depletion of 4 or more exosome subunits.

Discussion

We use a computational analysis to identify novel *cis*-acting mRNA targets of exosome subunits. Through motif isolation, mutagenesis, and RNAi-based testing in a reporter assay system, we discovered the ESSE: a pair of *cis*-acting elements that confer mRNA destabilization. ESSE is enriched in the stabilized mRNA pools for all exosome subunit depletion microarray data sets.

Mechanisms and compartments of exosome-mediated RNA decay

To the best of our knowledge, this work is the first to identify specific *cis*-acting 3' UTR mRNA sequence elements to which the exosome, exozyme, or set thereof may be recruited. Whether this recruitment occurs in the nucleus—either co- or post-transcriptionally—or cytoplasm is undetermined but may occur in either one or both compartments [51]. Additional experiments are necessary to clarify which, if any, subunit(s) bind(s) directly to ESSE—or are recruited indirectly by an undefined RNA binding protein—and destabilize(s) ESSE-containing mRNAs. Given the observed difference of the four-fold and ten-fold decrease in luciferase mRNA and protein, respectively, we suspect that the ESSE elicits some of its effects at the level of translation.

Is the *cis*-acting element reconstituting a trans-acting phenomenon?

The observation that ESSE-mediated destabilization required both ESSE1 and ESSE2 was quite surprising because RNA SCOPE was used to identify individual elements. We propose three interpretations to make sense of this unusual outcome. First, discovery of ESSE is an artifact of the cloning process or placement in the reporter; its activity does not reflect a ribo-metabolically relevant phenomenon. However, both ESSE1 and ESSE2 individually confer a ~40% reduction in mRNA stability. Further, the ESSE-dependent destabilization effect is sensitive to the protein levels Dis3, Rps6, and core exosome subunits. Moreover, ESSE1 and ESSE2 are enriched *only* in stabilized transcript sets. Thus, though we cannot rule out this possibility, it is unlikely. A second possibility is that RNA SCOPE identified ESSE1 and ESSE2 together in a limited number of stabilized transcripts—even though they also exist in independent transcripts. Then, of the enriched elements selected for further analysis, ESSE1 and ESSE2 were by coincidence subcloned together. While this scenario is possible, it seems unreasonable. We considered a third possibility: the ESSE, a single *cis*-acting RNA unit of two apposed elements, reconstitutes a previously undiscovered *trans*-acting phenomenon. That is, under normal conditions in wild-type cells, ESSE1 resides in the 3' UTR of one mRNA and ESSE2 is in the 3' UTR of another, non-contiguous mRNA. In this hypothetical scenario, the exosome or an exozyme acts as a molecular bridge between the two elements, coupling two mRNAs together and targeting them simultaneously for degradation. While this protein bridging is thought to occur within RNA molecules [52] (as within and between DNA [53,54]), this mechanism of action requires experimental validation.

Can we use ESSE frequency to test the exozyme hypothesis?

The exozyme hypothesis [24] predicts that most ESSE-containing transcripts should not overlap between the microarray profiles of depleted exosome subunits. Consistent with this prediction, ~50% of ESSE1 or ESSE2-containing mRNAs are shared in only two exosome-subunit depletion microarray data sets (mostly Mtr3 and Ski6). That a varying number of subunits act on ESSE ultimately suggests that there is great deal of cross-talk between exosome subunits and factors in 5' to 3' decay and 3' mRNA processing. Thus, while our data provides support for the exozyme hypothesis, it does not rule out the possibility that, for example, Mtr3 and Ski6 impact ESSE-containing mRNAs in the context of the exosome core.

In summary, we have identified two potential Dis3- and exosome-directed instability elements in 3' UTRs of certain *Drosophila* mRNAs using RNA SCOPE. Based upon ESSE enrichment in our microarray-identified stabilized RNA pool, we suggest that the exosome and/or exozymes may be directly recruited to these mRNAs. Given the widespread roles for Dis3 and the exosome in mRNA turnover, processing, transport, packaging, and surveillance, our study provides new insight into how they regulate distinct steps in general mRNA metabolism.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Will Bechtold and members of the Andrulis and Gross laboratories for experimental assistance and advice on the manuscript. This work was supported by grant GM072820 from NIGMS (EDA) and by grant DBI-0445967 from the National Science Foundation (RHG).

References

1. Culbertson MR. RNA surveillance. Unforeseen consequences for gene expression, inherited genetic disorders and cancer. *Trends Genet.* 1999; 15:74–80. [PubMed: 10098411]
2. Weischenfeldt J, Lykke-Andersen J, Porse B. Messenger RNA surveillance: neutralizing natural nonsense. *Current biology : CB.* 2005; 15:R559–R562. [PubMed: 16051166]
3. Maniatis T, Reed R. An extensive network of coupling among gene expression machines. *Nature.* 2002; 416:499–506. [PubMed: 11932736]
4. Licatalosi DD, Darnell RB. RNA processing and its regulation: global insights into biological networks, *Nature reviews. Genetics.* 2010; 11:75–87. [PubMed: 20019688]
5. Reed R. Coupling transcription, splicing and mRNA export. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2003; 15:326–331. [PubMed: 12787775]
6. Liu Q, Greimann JC, Lima CD. Reconstitution, activities, and structure of the eukaryotic RNA exosome. *Cell.* 2006; 127:1223–1237. [PubMed: 17174896]
7. Andrulis ED, Werner J, Nazarian A, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Lis JT. The RNA processing exosome is linked to elongating RNA polymerase II in *Drosophila*. *Nature.* 2002; 420:837–841. [PubMed: 12490954]
8. Hessle V, Bjork P, Sokolowski M, Gonzalez de Valdivia E, Silverstein R, Artemenko K, Tyagi A, Maddalo G, Ilag L, Helbig R, Zubarev RA, Visa N. The exosome associates cotranscriptionally with the nascent pre-mRNP through interactions with heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2009; 20:3459–3470. [PubMed: 19494042]
9. Eberle AB, Hessle V, Helbig R, Dantoft W, Gimber N, Visa N. Splice-site mutations cause Rrp6-mediated nuclear retention of the unspliced RNAs and transcriptional down-regulation of the splicing-defective genes. *PLoS One.* 2010; 5:e11540. [PubMed: 20634951]
10. Nag A, Steitz JA. Tri-snRNP-associated proteins interact with subunits of the TRAMP and nuclear exosome complexes, linking RNA decay and pre-mRNA splicing. *RNA biology.* 2012; 9
11. Bousquet-Antonelli C, Presutti C, Tollervey D. Identification of a regulated pathway for nuclear pre-mRNA turnover. *Cell.* 2000; 102:765–775. [PubMed: 11030620]
12. van Hoof A, Lennertz P, Parker R. Yeast exosome mutants accumulate 3'-extended polyadenylated forms of U4 small nuclear RNA and small nucleolar RNAs. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2000; 20:441–452. [PubMed: 10611222]
13. LaCava J, Houseley J, Saveanu C, Petfalski E, Thompson E, Jacquier A, Tollervey D. RNA degradation by the exosome is promoted by a nuclear polyadenylation complex. *Cell.* 2005; 121:713–724. [PubMed: 15935758]

14. Milligan L, Torchet C, Allmang C, Shipman T, Tollervey D. A nuclear surveillance pathway for mRNAs with defective polyadenylation. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2005; 25:9996–10004. [PubMed: 16260613]
15. Marquardt S, Hazelbaker DZ, Buratowski S. Distinct RNA degradation pathways and 3' extensions of yeast non-coding RNA species. *Transcription.* 2011; 2:145–154. [PubMed: 21826286]
16. Torchet C, Bousquet-Antonelli C, Milligan L, Thompson E, Kufel J, Tollervey D. Processing of 3'-extended read-through transcripts by the exosome can generate functional mRNAs. *Mol Cell.* 2002; 9:1285–1296. [PubMed: 12086625]
17. Hieronymus H, Yu MC, Silver PA. Genome-wide mRNA surveillance is coupled to mRNA export. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18:2652–2662. [PubMed: 15489286]
18. Kadowaki T, Chen S, Hitomi M, Jacobs E, Kumagai C, Liang S, Schneiter R, Singleton D, Wisniewska J, Tartakoff AM. Isolation and characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mRNA transport-defective (mtr) mutants. *J Cell Biol.* 1994; 126:649–659. [PubMed: 8045930]
19. Houalla R, Devaux F, Fatica A, Kufel J, Barrass D, Torchet C, Tollervey D. Microarray detection of novel nuclear RNA substrates for the exosome. *Yeast.* 2006; 23:439–454. [PubMed: 16652390]
20. Kiss DL, Andrulis ED. Genome-wide analysis reveals distinct substrate specificities of Rps6, Dis3, and core exosome subunits. *RNA.* 2010; 16:781–791. [PubMed: 20185544]
21. Schneider C, Anderson JT, Tollervey D. The exosome subunit Rps44 plays a direct role in RNA substrate recognition. *Mol Cell.* 2007; 27:324–331. [PubMed: 17643380]
22. Callahan KP, Butler JS. Evidence for core exosome independent function of the nuclear exoribonuclease Rps6p. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2008; 36:6645–6655. [PubMed: 18940861]
23. Callahan KP, Butler JS. TRAMP complex enhances RNA degradation by the nuclear exosome component Rps6. *The Journal of biological chemistry.* 2010; 285:3540–3547. [PubMed: 19955569]
24. Kiss DL, Andrulis ED. The exozyme model: a continuum of functionally distinct complexes. *RNA.* 2011; 17:1–13. [PubMed: 21068185]
25. Wan J, Yourshaw M, Mamsa H, Rudnik-Schoneborn S, Menezes MP, Hong JE, Leong DW, Senderek J, Salman MS, Chitayat D, Seeman P, von Moers A, Graul-Neumann L, Kornberg AJ, Castro-Gago M, Sobrido MJ, Sanefuji M, Shieh PB, Salamon N, Kim RC, Vinters HV, Chen Z, Zerres K, Ryan MM, Nelson SF, Jen JC. Mutations in the RNA exosome component gene EXOSC3 cause pontocerebellar hypoplasia and spinal motor neuron degeneration. *Nature genetics.* 2012
26. Graham AC, Davis SM, Andrulis ED. Interdependent nucleocytoplasmic trafficking and interactions of Dis3 with Rps6, the core exosome, and importin- α 3. *Traffic.* 2009; 10:499–513. [PubMed: 19220816]
27. Mamolen M, Smith A, Andrulis ED. *Drosophila melanogaster* Dis3 N-terminal domains are required for ribonuclease activities, nuclear localization and exosome interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010; 38:5507–5517. [PubMed: 20421210]
28. Dziembowski A, Lorentzen E, Conti E, Seraphin B. A single subunit, Dis3, is essentially responsible for yeast exosome core activity. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2007; 14:15–22. [PubMed: 17173052]
29. Mamolen M, Andrulis ED. Characterization of the *Drosophila melanogaster* Dis3 ribonuclease. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2009; 390:529–534. [PubMed: 19800864]
30. Schaeffer D, Tsanova B, Barbas A, Reis FP, Dastidar EG, Sanchez-Rotunno M, Arraiano CM, van Hoof A. The exosome contains domains with specific endoribonuclease, exoribonuclease and cytoplasmic mRNA decay activities. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2009; 16:56–62. [PubMed: 19060898]
31. Schneider C, Leung E, Brown J, Tollervey D. The N-terminal PIN domain of the exosome subunit Rps44 harbors endonuclease activity and tethers Rps44 to the yeast core exosome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009; 37:1127–1140. [PubMed: 19129231]
32. Murakami H, Goto DB, Toda T, Chen ES, Grewal SI, Martienssen RA, Yanagida M. Ribonuclease Activity of Dis3 Is Required for Mitotic Progression and Provides a Possible Link between Heterochromatin and Kinetochores Function. *PLoS ONE.* 2007; 2:e317. [PubMed: 17380189]

33. Smith SB, Kiss DL, Turk E, Tartakoff AM, Andrulis ED. Pronounced and extensive microtubule defects in a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DIS3 mutant. *Yeast*. 2011; 28:755–769. [PubMed: 21919057]
34. Graham AC, Kiss DL, Andrulis ED. Core exosome-independent roles for Rrp6 in cell cycle progression. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2009; 20:2242–2253. [PubMed: 19225159]
35. von Roretz C, Di Marco S, Mazroui R, Gallouzi IE. Turnover of AU-rich-containing mRNAs during stress: a matter of survival, Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. *RNA*. 2011; 2:336–347. [PubMed: 21957021]
36. Chen CY, Gherzi R, Ong SE, Chan EL, Raijmakers R, Pruijn GJ, Stoecklin G, Moroni C, Mann M, Karin M. AU binding proteins recruit the exosome to degrade ARE-containing mRNAs. *Cell*. 2001; 107:451–464. [PubMed: 11719186]
37. Franks TM, Lykke-Andersen J. TTP and BRF proteins nucleate processing body formation to silence mRNAs with AU-rich elements. *Genes & Development*. 2007; 21:719–735. [PubMed: 17369404]
38. Lin WJ, Duffy A, Chen CY. Localization of AU-rich element-containing mRNA in cytoplasmic granules containing exosome subunits. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282:19958–19968. [PubMed: 17470429]
39. Mukherjee D, Gao M, O'Connor JP, Raijmakers R, Pruijn G, Lutz CS, Wilusz J. The mammalian exosome mediates the efficient degradation of mRNAs that contain AU-rich elements. *Embo J*. 2002; 21:165–174. [PubMed: 11782436]
40. Anderson JR, Mukherjee D, Muthukumaraswamy K, Moraes KC, Wilusz CJ, Wilusz J. Sequence-specific RNA binding mediated by the RNase PH domain of components of the exosome. *Rna*. 2006
41. Lorentzen E, Basquin J, Tomecki R, Dziembowski A, Conti E. Structure of the Active Subunit of the Yeast Exosome Core, Rrp44: Diverse Modes of Substrate Recruitment in the RNase II Nuclease Family. *Mol Cell*. 2008; 29:717–728. [PubMed: 18374646]
42. Butler JS. The yin and yang of the exosome. *Trends Cell Biol*. 2002; 12:90–96. [PubMed: 11849973]
43. Januszyk K, Liu Q, Lima CD. Activities of human RRP6 and structure of the human RRP6 catalytic domain. *RNA*. 2011; 17:1566–1577. [PubMed: 21705430]
44. Midtgaard SF, Assenolt J, Jonstrup AT, Van LB, Jensen TH, Brodersen DE. Structure of the nuclear exosome component Rrp6p reveals an interplay between the active site and the HRDC domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103:11898–11903. [PubMed: 16882719]
45. Arcus V. OB-fold domains: a snapshot of the evolution of sequence, structure and function. *Curr Opin Struct Biol*. 2002; 12:794–801. [PubMed: 12504685]
46. Mihailovich M, Militti C, Gabaldon T, Gebauer F. Eukaryotic cold shock domain proteins: highly versatile regulators of gene expression, *BioEssays : news and reviews in molecular. cellular and developmental biology*. 2010; 32:109–118.
47. Malet H, Lorentzen E. Mechanisms of RNA recruitment by the exosome. *RNA biology*. 2011; 8:398–403. [PubMed: 21558791]
48. Wang HW, Wang J, Ding F, Callahan K, Bratkowski MA, Butler JS, Nogales E, Ke A. Architecture of the yeast Rrp44 exosome complex suggests routes of RNA recruitment for 3' end processing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104:16844–16849. [PubMed: 17942686]
49. Carlson JM, Chakravarty A, DeZiel CE, Gross RH. SCOPE: a web server for practical de novo motif discovery. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2007; 35:W259–W264. [PubMed: 17485471]
50. Emmons J, Townley-Tilson WH, Deleault KM, Skinner SJ, Gross RH, Whitfield ML, Brooks SA. Identification of TTP mRNA targets in human dendritic cells reveals TTP as a critical regulator of dendritic cell maturation. *RNA*. 2008; 14:888–902. [PubMed: 18367721]
51. Graham AC, Kiss DL, Andrulis ED. Differential distribution of exosome subunits at the nuclear lamina and in cytoplasmic foci. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2006; 17:1399–1409. [PubMed: 16407406]
52. Komarova AV, Brocard M, Kean KM. The case for mRNA 5' and 3' end cross talk during translation in a eukaryotic cell. *Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular biology*. 2006; 81:331–367. [PubMed: 16891176]

53. Engel JD, Tanimoto K. Looping, linking, and chromatin activity: new insights into beta-globin locus regulation. *Cell*. 2000; 100:499–502. [PubMed: 10721987]
54. Tan-Wong SM, French JD, Proudfoot NJ, Brown MA. Dynamic interactions between the promoter and terminator regions of the mammalian BRCA1 gene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2008; 105:5160–5165. [PubMed: 18375767]

Highlights

- Successful use of a novel RNA-specific bioinformatic tool, RNA SCOPE
- Identified novel 3'UTR *cis*-acting element that destabilizes a reporter mRNA
- Show exosome subunits are required for *cis*-acting element-mediated mRNA instability
- Define precise sequence requirements of novel *cis*-acting element
- Show that microarray-defined exosome subunit-regulated mRNAs have novel element

Figure 1. Multi-step screen to identify candidate *Dis3*-responsive 3'UTR instability elements
RNA SCOPE used Flymine to fetch 3' UTR sequences from the transcript IDs; these transcripts were specifically stabilized in the *Dis3* depletion-based microarray.

Figure 2. Identification and characterization of novel mRNA instability element

A. Constructs used in dual luciferase assay: Fluc, firefly luciferase; Rluc, renilla luciferase. Candidate *cis*-elements inserted into 3' UTR of firefly luciferase. **B.** Testing four multiple elements (M1, M2, M3, and M4) for destabilizing effect. Relative luciferase refers to firefly luciferase normalized to renilla luciferase (internal control). **C.** Real-time RT-PCR reveals that the M4 insert destabilizes the firefly luciferase mRNA. **D.** Exosome subunit Rrp4 and Dis3 are effectively depleted by RNAi in S2 cells. Lamin is the loading control. **E.** M4 requires proper exosome subunit levels to destabilize firefly luciferase. Note that RNAi depletion of Dis3 elicits the greatest effect.

Figure 3. ESSE: the exosome subunit-sensitive element in M4

A. Schematic of truncation series of the four candidate *cis*-acting instability elements in M4.

B. Testing the truncations in the luciferase reporter assay reveals that CD confers instability;

henceforth, CD is referred to as ESSE, for exosome subunit-sensitive element. **C.** Schema of

point mutation on ESSE elements, with ESSE1 and ESSE2 as noted. **D.** Luciferase reporter

assay reveals that both ESSE1 and ESSE2 are required for ESSE-mediated instability.

Figure 4. Shared up-regulated transcripts with ESSE across multiple depleted exosome subunit microarrays

Frequency that ESSE1 (left pie chart)- and ESSE2 (right)-containing transcripts are co-regulated by one or more exosome subunits.

Table 1

Number of ESSE-containing transcripts affected by exosome subunit depletion

	ESSE1		ESSE2		ESSE1 & 2	
Depletion	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn
Dis3	8	3	11	3	2	0
Rrp6	1	2	1	0	0	0
Rrp47	15	1	16	0	2	0
Mtr3	34	0	27	0	6	0
Ski6	33	1	29	0	7	0
Rrp46	9	1	11	2	7	0
Rrp4	27	2	24	0	3	0
Rrp40	12	0	11	2	3	0
Csl4	9	2	8	1	2	0