Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2012 June ; 22(3): 389–396. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2012.03.003.

Signaling in dendritic spines and spine microdomains

Yao Chen and Bernardo L. Sabatini

Howard Hughes Medical Institute Department of Neurobiology Harvard Medical School Boston MA 02115

Abstract

The specialized morphology of dendritic spines creates an isolated compartment that allows for localized biochemical signaling. Recent studies have revealed complexity in the function of the spine head as a signaling domain and indicate that (1) the spine is functionally subdivided into multiple independent microdomains and (2) not all biochemical signals are equally compartmentalized within the spine. Here we review these findings as well as the developments in fluorescence microscopy that are making possible direct monitoring of signaling within spines and, in the future, within sub-spine microdomains.

Introduction

In projection neurons of the mammalian brain, the post-synaptic sides of glutamatergic synapses are typically housed within specialized cellular compartments called dendritic spines[1]. In many parts of the brain, including the CA1 subfield of the hippocampus, each sub-femtoliter compartment is associated with one, and only one glutamatergic synapse, and spines are therefore considered morphological correlates of synapses. Each mature spine contains a post-synaptic density (PSD), which houses ionotropic glutamate receptors, other ion channels, scaffolding proteins, and enzymes that transduce and regulate synaptic signals. Spines exist in several morphological classes that are thought to correlate with different developmental stages of the associated synapse (reviewed [1,2]). Morphologically, each spine consists of a bulbous head that is separated from the parent dendrite by a thin neck, which can biochemically isolate the spine head. The biochemical isolation arises from the spine neck as a barrier to movement of ions, second messengers, and proteins, as well as from the action of enzymes and proteins that limit the half-life of signaling molecules in the spine. Such compartmentalization is thought to endow the associated synapse with spatially confined signaling modes.

The properties of individual spines and the signaling that occurs within them have been extensively studied in the CA1 region of the hippocampus in the context of the induction of long-term potentiation (LTP), a Ca-dependent form of synaptic plasticity in which correlated pre and post-synaptic activity leads to strengthening of an individual synapse and enlargement of the associated spine [3,4]. For these reasons, the discussion below focuses on signaling cascades relevant to LTP induction in CA1 pyramidal neurons. Furthermore, many of the results discussed may only apply to mushroom spines, which are thought to be the most developmentally mature class of spines and, because of their relatively large size and

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

bsabatini@hms.harvard.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

high AMPA-type glutamate receptor content, have received the majority of experimental attention [5].

A biochemical cascade that is active in the spine head can be considered to occur in a spatially isolated manner if the duration of the signaling reaction or the lifetime of the signaling molecules (τ_{signal}) is short compared to the time constant of diffusion equilibration across the spine neck (τ_{equil}) – i.e. $\tau_{\text{signal}} \ll \tau_{\text{equil}}$. In this case, the reaction or signal in the spine head will come to an end before significant mixing can occur between the spine head and the dendrite. This is certainly the case for synaptic calcium (Ca^{2+}) transients, since under physiological conditions, Ca^{2+} is extruded from the spine to the extracellular environment with a time constant of $\tau_{\text{signal}} \sim 15$ ms, which is much shorter than the typical mixing time constants $\tau_{\text{equil}} \sim 200$ ms [6]. (Note that this short Ca lifetime results at least in part from a low Ca^{2+} buffering capacity in spines of CA1 pyramidal neurons, a feature that is not shared with CA2 pyramidal neurons [7]). Based on the diffusion constant of Ca^{2+} and the small size of the spine head ($< 1 \mu\text{m}$ diameter, < 1 fL volume), Ca^{2+} diffuses and equilibrates within the spine head in only ~ 1 ms. Extrapolating from the studies of Ca, it is often assumed that, for many signaling molecules, the spine head operates as a uniform but diffusionally isolated signaling compartment in which bulk, or volume averaged, concentrations of second messengers and enzymes drive downstream reactions (Figure 1). This model appears to apply for some signaling cascades underlying LTP, which is triggered by the build-up of bulk Ca in the spine (see below) and can be induced in one spine independent of its closely spaced neighbors [8,9].

Nevertheless, Ca-dependent signaling in the spine is more complex than the simple model presented above, and Ca-signaling microdomains, as discussed below, are now known to exist within the spine and the dendrite. Furthermore, the degree of diffusional isolation of the spine is highly molecule dependent such that, for example, within the family of small GTPases, some are functionally restricted to a spine whereas others can signal over relatively large time and space scales [10-13]. Such differential compartmentalization can confer differential temporal and spatial specificity during modification of synapses.

Signaling microdomains within spines

The existence of signaling microdomains is inferred from two classes of pharmacological experiments. First, and specific for Ca^{2+} , is the differential actions of slow and fast Ca buffers of similar affinities such as EGTA and BAPTA. Both have affinities for Ca in the 200 nM range but EGTA, being a relatively unstructured molecule, has slow kinetics whereas BAPTA, which was designed to be a stiff and fast Ca buffer, has rapid kinetics [14]. Within a microdomain, the slow buffer EGTA is unable to bind to Ca in the few microseconds necessary for Ca to diffuse from the Ca source to the physically associated Ca sensor, thereby not affecting microdomain-calcium dependent process. For example, in the presynaptic terminal, moderate concentrations of BAPTA, but not EGTA, can prevent Ca-dependent neurotransmitter release, and this was one of the original pieces of evidence to infer that vesicle fusion is driven by high and short-lived Ca microdomains located at the mouth of open Ca channels (reviewed [15,16]). Computer simulations suggest that the Ca concentration within nanometers of calcium channels rises and falls within microseconds of a channel opening and closing, respectively, and can reach more than 10-100 micromolar [17,18]. The second type of experiments inferring signaling microdomains involve certain biochemical cascades that can be activated by one source of second messenger but not by a second source, even when both are located in the same microliter volume. Again using an example from the presynaptic terminal, Ca entry through different classes of voltage-gated Ca channels (VGCCs) in the bouton shows differential efficiency in triggering neurotransmitter release (e.g. [19]). This indicates that all Ca is not the same and is used to

infer physical relationships between particular VGCCs and the release machinery. Both lines of evidence were used to conclude that neurotransmitter release is not driven by bulk, volume-average Ca in the bouton, but rather by the short-lived, high-amplitude build-up of Ca that occurs around the mouth of open VGCCs. The physical association of VGCCs and the release machinery has been confirmed by biochemical analysis (e.g. [20]).

On the postsynaptic side, in contrast, similar analyses showed that EGTA in the postsynaptic cell blocks the sustained phase of LTP, suggesting that it is triggered by a buildup in bulk Ca and not by microdomain Ca (e.g. [21-23]). In addition, LTP also requires high calcium after the end of the induction protocol for ~1s, orders of magnitude longer than the lifetime of a microdomain, again supporting the conclusion that bulk Ca buildup is important for LTP [24]. On the other hand, similar to the second type of experiments outlined above, although intracellular Ca elevation is found to be sufficient to induce LTP, LTP induction requires opening of NMDA-type glutamate receptors (NMDARs) and not of VGCCs, both of which are found in spines [25]. At first glance, these two types of experimental results are hard to reconcile. The resolution of this apparent conflict may be that spine head Ca transients due to NMDAR opening are much longer lasting and, particularly in the face of depolarization and diminished Mg^{2+} block, are much larger in amplitudes than those due to VGCC opening [6,26]. Thus, the privileged ability of NMDAR-dependent Ca influx to induce LTP may reflect the properties of the Ca transient and not the physical association of NMDARs and Ca sensors.

Although microdomain calcium may not be involved for LTP induction, functional Ca signaling microdomains do exist within dendritic spines. In rat hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons, Ca influx through nimodipine-sensitive, presumably L-type VGCCs, triggers a kinase cascade that reduces the probability of opening of R-type VGCCs [27]. Other sources of Ca, whose opening raise bulk spine head Ca to similar or higher levels and with similar kinetics, are unable to engage the same kinase cascade, indicating a privileged function of Ca entering through L-type channels. Similarly, Ca influx through L-type VGCCs, while not sufficient to induce LTP and comprising a very small and nearly undetectable fraction of synaptic Ca influx [6,27-29], selectively activates CAMKII in dendritic spines and is necessary for induction of LTP in some protocols [13,30]. Therefore, these results indicate the existence of Ca signaling microdomains at the mouth of L-type VGCCs. Curiously, opening of non L-type VGCCs is sufficient to induce CAMKII in the dendrite during extended depolarizations [13].

Separate studies indicate that Ca entry through R-type VGCCs in dendritic spines of mouse hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons has a privileged ability to open small conductance Ca-activated potassium (SK) channels [28,31]. Blocking R-type VGCCs with the spider toxin SNX-482 has the curious effect of increasing the amplitude of synaptic Ca transients while preventing the Ca-dependent opening of SK channels. The activation of SK channels in spines dampens synaptic potentials and Ca influx; conversely, their blockade enhances these signals, thus favoring LTP induction and hippocampal dependent learning [32-34]. Interestingly, inhibition of SK opening represents the mechanism by which activation of postsynaptic muscarinic acetylcholine receptors modulates synaptic transmission, calcium influx, and LTP [31,35].

These studies of L- and R-type VGCC signaling in spines demonstrate privileged coupling between a particular Ca source and the activation of a Ca-dependent process, indicative of functional signaling microdomains. However, a caveat of these studies is that, since it was necessary to monitor spine Ca signaling, they were performed with Ca indicators in the cell. Since Ca indicators must bind Ca, they are Ca buffers and, when used at typical sub-

millimolar concentrations, may preferentially interfere with bulk Ca signaling and force microdomain Ca signaling to be the dominant mode [14,36,37].

Molecule specific compartmentalization

During the induction of LTP, the localized accumulation of Ca in a single stimulated spine leads to the spatially restricted and relatively short-lived (~ 10 sec) activation of CAMKII [13]. Beautiful recent studies exploiting fluorescent reporters of the activation of biochemical cascades demonstrate that LTP induction turns on at least three small GTPases – Cdc42, HRas, and RhoA – in the active spine [10,12]. As recently reviewed [38], GTP-bound (i.e active) Cdc42 is largely confined to the active spine whereas GTP-bound forms of HRas and RhoA are able to exit the spine, diffuse along the dendrite over relatively large distances (~ 10 microns), and enter neighboring, unstimulated spines [10,12]. The entry of active HRas into neighboring spines lowers the threshold for subsequent LTP induction over the next ~10 minutes [10].

The differential ability of an activated GTPase to move out of the potentiated spine and reach neighboring spines depends on (1) the intrinsic mobility of the protein, (2) the distribution and kinetics of the cognate guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), which activates the GTPase by loading it with GTP, and (3) GTPase-activating protein (GAP), which deactivate the GTPase by initiating hydrolysis of the bound GTP. Thus, the spatiotemporal domain of synaptically-evoked GTPase signaling can be highly individualized for each GTPase. In fact, the three GTPases discussed above have similar mobility across the spine neck, indicating that the differences in the spatial spread of their activated GTP-bound forms reflect secondary factors. For example, continued activation of Cdc42 by GEFs in the spine head coupled with rapid inactivation in the spine and in the dendrite shaft by GAPs would produce a maintained gradient across the spine neck [12] (Figure 1). Thus the gradient of active Cdc42, as for Ca, reflects the location of sources and sinks and the short half-life of the activated (or for Ca, unbound) molecule as compared with its diffusion coefficient.

Conversely, signaling cascades can be initiated in the dendrite shaft but subsequently enter and act in spines. This includes CAMKII and PKA, which have large inactive reservoirs in the parent dendrite that can be quickly engaged and mobilized (e.g [39,40]). The physiological importance of mobile dendritic CAMKII is unclear as CAMKII is present in resting spines and is activated by synaptic Ca influx; nevertheless, dendritic CAMKII moves into the spine during LTP induction or strong NMDA receptor activation [13,40-45]. Recent work has highlighted that in many cell types PKA action on synaptic NMDARs enhances Ca influx through the open channel, which can have important consequences for the subsequent induction of plasticity [46-48]. In this manner, spatially diffuse signals, such as activation of PKA following stimulation of $G_{\alpha s}$ -associated G-protein coupled receptors, can send a signal from the dendrite into the spine to modulate the function of post-synaptic terminals [39]. Additional complexities of PKA signaling are posed by the PSD localization of A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs), which provide scaffolds that place PKA at the mouth of ion channels, often in conjunction with phosphatases, phosphodiesterases, or other kinases [49,50]. This tight physical association between kinase and substrate once again suggests highly localized signaling. Indeed AKAPs regulate synapse structure, function, and plasticity in a variety of systems (e.g. [51-54]).

New microscopy approaches for the analysis of signaling in spines

The remarkable insight that has been gained into the signaling cascades that are active in individual spines during synaptic activity and plasticity is due to equally remarkable advances in fluorescence microscopy. In particular, fluorescence-lifetime imaging

microscopy (FLIM), a method to measure Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) (Figure 2), provides an inherently quantitative measure of protein-protein interactions or of intramolecular conformational state (reviewed [55]). This approach has been used with genetically-encoded fluorescent sensors that change fluorescent state upon activation of the pathway of interest. In ratiometric FRET, the proximity of donor and acceptor fluorophores fused to two potentially interacting proteins is reported by the ability of donor excitation to trigger fluorescence emission by the acceptor [56](Figure 2A). This technique is difficult to combine with 2-photon microscopy due to overlapping excitation spectra of many fluorophores under nonlinear excitation. In FLIM, the analysis is limited to the lifetime of the excited state of only the donor fluorophore, which is shortened when a potential path to transfer energy to a second fluorophore is present [55-57](Figure 2B, C). The utility and robustness of this approach is greatly increased by the development of “dark” acceptors that are capable of absorbing the energy from the excited acceptor but do not emit fluorescence [58,59]. When coupled with 2-photon laser scanning microscopy, FLIM allows measurement of signaling state in dendrites and spines with ~1 second and ~1 micron spatiotemporal resolution within complex brain tissue [10-13,60]. Furthermore, when combined with the use of 2-photon laser photolysis of caged glutamate to stimulate a single visualized dendritic spine, the biochemical signaling that underlies post-synaptic plasticity can be studied in exquisite detail (e.g. [12]).

These approaches were used to reveal the spatiotemporal extent of signaling discussed above. For example, the ratio of GTP-bound and GDP-bound forms of small GTPases is determined by measuring the fluorescence lifetime of the GTPase fused to a donor fluorophore and a protein that binds the GTP-bound GTPase fused to an acceptor fluorophore [11,55]. Conversely, CAMKII activation is monitored by an intramolecular interaction within a doubly tagged CAMKII [13,45,61]. Fluorescent reporters used for FLIM are often based upon closely related sensors developed for traditional ratiometric FRET (e.g. [62] for Ras and [45] for CAMKII). The use of FLIM with synthetic Ca indicators to measure intracellular Ca dynamics was reported nearly 20 years ago [63], but has yet to achieve widespread use. Nevertheless, development of FLIM reporters of protein-protein interactions, enzymatic activity, metabolic state, and ion concentration continues (e.g. [64-68]) and will likely accelerate.

A further imaging advance with great promise for the analysis of synaptic signaling, which has just started to provide real-time and functional information, is super-resolution fluorescence microscopy (reviewed in [69]). Since the sizes of synaptic elements – spine head, spine neck, synaptic cleft, PSD, presynaptic active zone, synaptic vesicles – are at best similar to and often far smaller than the diffraction limited resolution of confocal (150-200 nm) and 2-photon (~450 nm) microscopes, they cannot typically be accurately resolved. Stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) exploits antibodies labeled with synthetic photoswitchable fluorophores to localize proteins with 3D precision of tens of nanometers [70,71] and has been used to visualize the structure of the synapse in stunning detail [72]. The conceptually similar approach of photoactivatable localization microscopy (PALM) uses genetically encoded photoswitchable fluorophores [73,74]. Furthermore, combinations of photoswitchable and single molecule tracking approaches reveal single protein trajectories in living cells with nanometer resolution [75-77]. A third approach, stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED), physically limits the distribution of excited fluorophores to a volume below diffraction limit [78] and has been used for live neuron imaging [79-81], including analysis of the dynamics of intracellular actin and synaptic vesicles in real-time [82,83]. Furthermore, STED has been combined with 2-photon excitation and is suitable for closed-loop adaptive optics to potentially allow nanoscale imaging deep in the brain tissue [80].

These super-resolution fluorescence approaches are already revolutionizing the static analysis of synapse morphology, structure, and molecular organization and provide complementary approaches to electron microscopic tomography. The integration of these approaches using FLIM, Ca sensitive fluorophores or state-sensitive fluorophores, promises to allow direct visualization of the real-time function of signaling in microdomains. These techniques will likely continue to reveal the extraordinary intricacy of organization and signaling within dendritic spines that underlies the rich phenomenological repertoire of synapse regulation and plasticity.

References

1. Alvarez VA, Sabatini BL. Anatomical and physiological plasticity of dendritic spines. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 2007; 30:79–97. [PubMed: 17280523]
2. Bourne JN, Harris KM. Balancing Structure and Function at Hippocampal Dendritic Spines. *Annual Review of Neuroscience.* 2008; 31:47–67.
3. Bliss TV, Collingridge GL. A synaptic model of memory: long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. *Nature.* 1993; 361:31–39. [PubMed: 8421494]
4. Yuste R, Bonhoeffer T. Morphological changes in dendritic spines associated with long-term synaptic plasticity. *Annual review of neuroscience.* 2001; 24:1071–1089.
5. Bourne J, Harris KM. Do thin spines learn to be mushroom spines that remember? *Current Opinion in Neurobiology.* 2007; 17:381–386. [PubMed: 17498943]
6. Sabatini BL, Oertner TG, Svoboda K. The life cycle of Ca(2+) ions in dendritic spines. *Neuron.* 2002; 33:439–452. [PubMed: 11832230]
7. Simons SB, Escobedo Y, Yasuda R, Dudek SM. Regional differences in hippocampal calcium handling provide a cellular mechanism for limiting plasticity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 2009; 106:14080–14084.
8. Matsuzaki M, Honkura N, Ellis-Davies GC, Kasai H. Structural basis of long-term potentiation in single dendritic spines. *Nature.* 2004; 429:761–766. [PubMed: 15190253]
9. Harvey CD, Svoboda K. Locally dynamic synaptic learning rules in pyramidal neuron dendrites. *Nature.* 2007; 450:1195–1200. [PubMed: 18097401]
10. Harvey CD, Yasuda R, Zhong H, Svoboda K. The spread of Ras activity triggered by activation of a single dendritic spine. *Science.* 2008; 321:136–140. [PubMed: 18556515]
11. Yasuda R, Harvey CD, Zhong H, Sobczyk A, van Aelst L, Svoboda K. Supersensitive Ras activation in dendrites and spines revealed by two-photon fluorescence lifetime imaging. *Nat Neurosci.* 2006; 9:283–291. [PubMed: 16429133]
- 12. Murakoshi H, Wang H, Yasuda R. Local, persistent activation of Rho GTPases during plasticity of single dendritic spines. *Nature.* 2011; 472:100–104. [PubMed: 21423166] Structural plasticity of synapses requires the regulation of the cytoskeleton. Using imaging approaches the authors describe the cascading activation of small GTPases in spines associated with synapses undergoing potentiation. Each GTPase acts over different space and time scales.
- 13. Lee SJ, Escobedo-Lozoya Y, Szatmari EM, Yasuda R. Activation of CaMKII in single dendritic spines during long-term potentiation. *Nature.* 2009; 458:299–304. [PubMed: 19295602] The time over which CAMKII acts to promote synapse strengthening has been debated with some models calling for maintained CAMKII signaling underlying synaptic potentiation and memory for many minutes. This paper uses FLIM reporters of CAMKII activity to show that the “memory” provided by CAMKII can last only upto a few seconds after potentiation.
14. Tsien RY. New calcium indicators and buffers with high selectivity against magnesium and protons: design, synthesis, and properties of prototype structures. *Biochemistry.* 1980; 19:2396–2404. [PubMed: 6770893]
15. Zucker RS. Calcium and transmitter release. *Journal of Physiology-Paris.* 1993; 87:25–36.
16. Smith SJ, Augustine GJ. Calcium ions, active zones and synaptic transmitter release. *Trends in neurosciences.* 1988; 11:458–464. [PubMed: 2469165]

17. Simon SM, Llinas RR. Compartmentalization of the submembrane calcium activity during calcium influx and its significance in transmitter release. *Biophys J.* 1985; 48:485–498. [PubMed: 2412607]
18. Fogelson AL, Zucker RS. Presynaptic calcium diffusion from various arrays of single channels. Implications for transmitter release and synaptic facilitation. *Biophys J.* 1985; 48:1003–1017. [PubMed: 2418887]
19. Mintz IM, Sabatini BL, Regehr WG. Calcium control of transmitter release at a cerebellar synapse. *Neuron.* 1995; 15:675–688. [PubMed: 7546746]
20. Sheng Z-H, Westenbroek RE, Catterall WA. Physical Link and Functional Coupling of Presynaptic Calcium Channels and the Synaptic Vesicle Docking/Fusion Machinery. *Journal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes.* 1998; 30:335–345. [PubMed: 9758330]
21. Lynch G, Larson J, Kelso S, Barrionuevo G, Schottler F. Intracellular injections of EGTA block induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Nature.* 1983; 305:719–721. [PubMed: 6415483]
22. Hoffman DA, Sprengel R, Sakmann B. Molecular dissection of hippocampal theta-burst pairing potentiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2002; 99:7740–7745. [PubMed: 12032353]
23. Nevian T, Sakmann B. Spine Ca^{2+} signaling in spike-timing-dependent plasticity. *J Neurosci.* 2006; 26:11001–11013. [PubMed: 17065442]
24. Malenka RC, Lancaster B, Zucker RS. Temporal limits on the rise in postsynaptic calcium required for the induction of long-term potentiation. *Neuron.* 1992; 9:121–128. [PubMed: 1632966]
25. Malenka RC, Kauer JA, Perkel DJ, Nicoll RA. The impact of postsynaptic calcium on synaptic transmission--its role in long-term potentiation. *Trends in neurosciences.* 1989; 12:444–450. [PubMed: 2479146]
26. Yuste R, Denk W. Dendritic spines as basic functional units of neuronal integration. *Nature.* 1995; vol 375:682–684. [PubMed: 7791901]
27. Yasuda R, Sabatini BL, Svoboda K. Plasticity of calcium channels in dendritic spines. *Nat Neurosci.* 2003; vol 6:948–955. [PubMed: 12937422]
28. Bloodgood BL, Sabatini BL. Nonlinear regulation of unitary synaptic signals by $\text{CaV}(2.3)$ voltage-sensitive calcium channels located in dendritic spines. *Neuron.* 2007; vol 53:249–260. [PubMed: 17224406]
29. Hoogland TM, Saggau P. Facilitation of L-type Ca^{2+} channels in dendritic spines by activation of beta2 adrenergic receptors. *J Neurosci.* 2004; vol 24:8416–8427. [PubMed: 15456814]
30. Magee JC, Johnston D. A synaptically controlled, associative signal for Hebbian plasticity in hippocampal neurons. *Science.* 1997; 275:209–213. [PubMed: 8985013]
- 31. Giessel AJ, Sabatini BL. M1 muscarinic receptors boost synaptic potentials and calcium influx in dendritic spines by inhibiting postsynaptic SK channels. *Neuron.* 2010; 68:936–947. [PubMed: 21145006] This study demonstrates that the muscarinic receptor dependent enhancement of synaptic Ca^{2+} influx is indirect and occurs via the down-regulation of small-conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K channels in active spines. It demonstrates the power of local ion channel cascades in regulated post-synaptic signals.
32. Ngo-Anh TJ, Bloodgood BL, Lin M, Sabatini BL, Maylie J, Adelman JP. SK channels and NMDA receptors form a Ca^{2+} -mediated feedback loop in dendritic spines. *Nat Neurosci.* 2005; 8:642–649. [PubMed: 15852011]
33. Hammond RS, Bond CT, Strassmaier T, Jennifer Ngo-Anh T, Adelman JP, Maylie J, Stackman RW. Small-Conductance Ca^{2+} -Activated K^{+} Channel Type 2 (SK2) Modulates Hippocampal Learning, Memory, and Synaptic Plasticity. *The Journal of Neuroscience.* 2006; 26:1844–1853. [PubMed: 16467533]
34. Faber ESL, Delaney AJ, Sah P. SK channels regulate excitatory synaptic transmission and plasticity in the lateral amygdala. *Nat Neurosci.* 2005; 8:635–641. [PubMed: 15852010]
- 35. Buchanan KA, Petrovic MM, Chamberlain SEL, Marrion NV, Mellor JR. Facilitation of Long-Term Potentiation by Muscarinic M1 Receptors Is Mediated by Inhibition of SK Channels. *Neuron.* 2010; 68:948–963. [PubMed: 21145007] This study uses novel pharmacological agents to demonstrate the muscarinic enhancement of LTP in the hippocampus occurs via the downregulation of small-conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K channels.

36. Higley MJ, Sabatini BL. Calcium signaling in dendrites and spines: practical and functional considerations. *Neuron*. 2008; 59:902–913. [PubMed: 18817730]
37. Yasuda R, Nimchinsky EA, Scheuss V, Pologruto TA, Oertner TG, Sabatini BL, Svoboda K. Imaging calcium concentration dynamics in small neuronal compartments. *Sci STKE*. 2004; 2004:pl5. [PubMed: 14872098]
38. Yasuda R, Murakoshi H. The mechanisms underlying the spatial spreading of signaling activity. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2011; 21:313–321. [PubMed: 21429735]
- 39. Zhong H, Sia GM, Sato TR, Gray NW, Mao T, Khuchua Z, Hugarir RL, Svoboda K. Subcellular dynamics of type II PKA in neurons. *Neuron*. 2009; 62:363–374. [PubMed: 19447092] Using imaging to monitor protein translocation in real-time the authors demonstrate that the majority of PKA is anchored in the dendrite at rest and, when activated, can rapidly translocate into spines. The dendritic targeting of PKA regulatory subunits is accomplished via the AKAP properties of MAP2.
40. Shen K, Meyer T. Dynamic Control of CaMKII Translocation and Localization in Hippocampal Neurons by NMDA Receptor Stimulation. *Science*. 1999; 284:162–167. [PubMed: 10102820]
41. Rose J, Jin S-X, Craig AM. Heterosynaptic Molecular Dynamics: Locally Induced Propagating Synaptic Accumulation of CaM Kinase II. *Neuron*. 2009; 61:351–358. [PubMed: 19217373]
42. Petersen JD, Chen X, Vinade L, Dosemeci A, Lisman JE, Reese TS. Distribution of Postsynaptic Density (PSD)-95 and Ca²⁺/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein Kinase II at the PSD. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2003; 23:11270–11278. [PubMed: 14657186]
43. Otmakhov N, Tao-Cheng J-H, Carpenter S, Asrican B, Dosemeci A, Reese TS, Lisman J. Persistent Accumulation of Calcium/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein Kinase II in Dendritic Spines after Induction of NMDA Receptor-Dependent Chemical Long-Term Potentiation. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2004; 24:9324–9331. [PubMed: 15496668]
44. Zhang Y-P, Holbro N, Oertner TG. Optical induction of plasticity at single synapses reveals input-specific accumulation of α CaMKII. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008; 105:12039–12044.
45. Takao K, Okamoto K, Nakagawa T, Neve RL, Nagai T, Miyawaki A, Hashikawa T, Kobayashi S, Hayashi Y. Visualization of synaptic Ca²⁺ /calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II activity in living neurons. *J Neurosci*. 2005; 25:3107–3112. [PubMed: 15788767]
46. Skeberdis VA, Chevaleyre V, Lau CG, Goldberg JH, Pettit DL, Suadicani SO, Lin Y, Bennett MV, Yuste R, Castillo PE, et al. Protein kinase A regulates calcium permeability of NMDA receptors. *Nature neuroscience*. 2006; 9:501–510.
- 47. Higley MJ, Sabatini BL. Competitive regulation of synaptic Ca²⁺ influx by D2 dopamine and A2A adenosine receptors. *Nat Neurosci*. 2010; 13:958–966. [PubMed: 20601948]
- 48. Chalifoux JR, Carter AG. GABAB receptors modulate NMDA receptor calcium signals in dendritic spines. *Neuron*. 2010; 66:101–113. [PubMed: 20399732] Each of these studies demonstrates that G-protein coupled receptor-dependent modulation of PKA alters synaptic Ca accumulation in active dendritic spines by regulating NMDA receptor dependent Ca entry.
49. Sanderson JL, Dell'Acqua ML. AKAP Signaling Complexes in Regulation of Excitatory Synaptic Plasticity. *The Neuroscientist*. 2011; 17:321–336. [PubMed: 21498812]
50. Beene DL, Scott JD. A-kinase anchoring proteins take shape. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*. 2007; 19:192–198. [PubMed: 17317140]
51. Colledge M, Dean RA, Scott GK, Langeberg LK, Hugarir RL, Scott JD. Targeting of PKA to Glutamate Receptors through a MAGUK-AKAP Complex. *Neuron*. 2000; 27:107–119. [PubMed: 10939335]
52. Snyder EM, Colledge M, Crozier RA, Chen WS, Scott JD, Bear MF. Role for A Kinase-anchoring Proteins (AKAPS) in Glutamate Receptor Trafficking and Long Term Synaptic Depression. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 2005; 280:16962–16968. [PubMed: 15718245]
53. Robertson HR, Gibson ES, Benke TA, Dell'Acqua ML. Regulation of Postsynaptic Structure and Function by an A-Kinase Anchoring Protein–Membrane-Associated Guanylate Kinase Scaffolding Complex. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2009; 29:7929–7943. [PubMed: 19535604]

54. Bhattacharyya S, Biou V, Xu W, Schluter O, Malenka RC. A critical role for PSD-95/AKAP interactions in endocytosis of synaptic AMPA receptors. *Nat Neurosci.* 2009; 12:172–181. [PubMed: 19169250]
55. Yasuda R. Imaging spatiotemporal dynamics of neuronal signaling using fluorescence resonance energy transfer and fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2006; 16:551–561. [PubMed: 16971112]
56. Lakowicz, JR. Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy. edn 3rd. Springer; New York: 2006.
57. Wallrabe H, Periasamy A. Imaging protein molecules using FRET and FLIM microscopy. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2005; 16:19–27. [PubMed: 15722011]
58. Murakoshi H, Lee SJ, Yasuda R. Highly sensitive and quantitative FRET-FLIM imaging in single dendritic spines using improved non-radiative YFP. *Brain Cell Biol.* 2008; 36:31–42. [PubMed: 18512154]
59. Ganesan S, Ameer-Beg SM, Ng TT, Vojnovic B, Wouters FS. A dark yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-based Resonance Energy-Accepting Chromoprotein (REACH) for Forster resonance energy transfer with GFP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; 103:4089–4094. [PubMed: 16537489]
60. Chen Y, Periasamy A. Characterization of two-photon excitation fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy for protein localization. *Microscopy Research and Technique.* 2004; 63:72–80. [PubMed: 14677136]
61. Kwok S, Lee C, Sanchez SA, Hazlett TL, Gratton E, Hayashi Y. Genetically encoded probe for fluorescence lifetime imaging of CaMKII activity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2008; 369:519–525. [PubMed: 18302935]
62. Mochizuki N, Yamashita S, Kurokawa K, Ohba Y, Nagai T, Miyawaki A, Matsuda M. Spatio-temporal images of growth-factor-induced activation of Ras and Rap1. *Nature.* 2001; 411:1065–1068. [PubMed: 11429608]
63. Lakowicz JR, Szmajdzinski H, Nowaczyk K, Johnson ML. Fluorescence lifetime imaging of calcium using Quin-2. *Cell Calcium.* 1992; 13:131–147. [PubMed: 1576634]
64. Harvey CD, Ehrhardt AG, Cellurale C, Zhong H, Yasuda R, Davis RJ, Svoboda K. A genetically encoded fluorescent sensor of ERK activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008; 105:19264–19269. [PubMed: 19033456]
65. Hung YP, Albeck JG, Tantama M, Yellen G. Imaging cytosolic NADH-NAD(+) redox state with a genetically encoded fluorescent biosensor. *Cell Metab.* 2011; 14:545–554. [PubMed: 21982714]
66. Tantama M, Hung YP, Yellen G. Imaging intracellular pH in live cells with a genetically encoded red fluorescent protein sensor. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:10034–10037. [PubMed: 21631110]
67. Timm T, von Kries JP, Li X, Zempel H, Mandelkow E, Mandelkow EM. Microtubule affinity regulating kinase (MARK) activity in living neurons examined by a genetically encoded FRET/FLIM based biosensor: Inhibitors with therapeutic potential. *J Biol Chem.* 2011
68. Klarenbeek JB, Goedhart J, Hink MA, Gadella TWJ, Jalink K. A mTurquoise-Based cAMP Sensor for Both FLIM and Ratiometric Read-Out Has Improved Dynamic Range. *PLoS ONE.* 2011; 6:e19170. [PubMed: 21559477]
69. Huang B, Babcock H, Zhuang X. Breaking the Diffraction Barrier: Super-Resolution Imaging of Cells. *Cell.* 2010; 143:1047–1058. [PubMed: 21168201]
- 70. Huang B, Wang W, Bates M, Zhuang X. Three-dimensional super-resolution imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. *Science.* 2008; 319:810–813. [PubMed: 18174397]
Demonstration of a novel imaging approaching to achieving fluorescence imaging at the tens of nanometer scale in 3-dimensions.
71. Rust MJ, Bates M, Zhuang X. Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM). *Nat Methods.* 2006; 3:793–795. [PubMed: 16896339]
- 72. Dani A, Huang B, Bergan J, Dulac C, Zhuang X. Superresolution imaging of chemical synapses in the brain. *Neuron.* 2010; 68:843–856. [PubMed: 21144999] Application of STORM imaging to resolve the substructure of individual post-synaptic densities. The relative organization of AMPA and NMDA receptors is revealed.
73. Hess ST, Girirajan TP, Mason MD. Ultra-high resolution imaging by fluorescence photoactivation localization microscopy. *Biophys J.* 2006; 91:4258–4272. [PubMed: 16980368]

74. Betzig E, Patterson GH, Sougrat R, Lindwasser OW, Olenych S, Bonifacino JS, Davidson MW, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hess HF. Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. *Science*. 2006; 313:1642–1645. [PubMed: 16902090]
75. Giannone G, Hosy E, Levet F, Constals A, Schulze K, Sobolevsky AI, Rosconi MP, Gouaux E, Tampe R, Choquet D, et al. Dynamic superresolution imaging of endogenous proteins on living cells at ultra-high density. *Biophys J*. 2010; 99:1303–1310. [PubMed: 20713016]
76. Frost NA, Shroff H, Kong H, Betzig E, Blanpied TA. Single-Molecule Discrimination of Discrete Perisynaptic and Distributed Sites of Actin Filament Assembly within Dendritic Spines. *Neuron*. 2010; 67:86–99. [PubMed: 20624594]
77. Manley S, Gillette JM, Patterson GH, Shroff H, Hess HF, Betzig E, Lippincott-Schwartz J. High-density mapping of single-molecule trajectories with photoactivated localization microscopy. *Nat Meth*. 2008; 5:155–157.
78. Hell SW, Wichmann J. Breaking the diffraction resolution limit by stimulated emission: stimulated-emission-depletion fluorescence microscopy. *Opt Lett*. 1994; 19:780–782. [PubMed: 19844443]
79. Lauterbach MA, Keller J, Schönle A, Kamin D, Westphal V, Rizzoli SO, Hell SW. Comparing video-rate STED nanoscopy and confocal microscopy of living neurons. *Journal of Biophotonics*. 2010; 3:417–424. [PubMed: 20379984]
- 80. Ding JB, Takasaki KT, Sabatini BL. Supraresolution imaging in brain slices using stimulated-emission depletion two-photon laser scanning microscopy. *Neuron*. 2009; 63:429–437. [PubMed: 19709626] The ability to achieve super-resolution 2-photon laser-scanning microscopy via STED for living neurons deep in acute brain slice is demonstrated.
81. Nägerl UV, Willig KI, Hein B, Hell SW, Bonhoeffer T. Live-cell imaging of dendritic spines by STED microscopy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008; 105:18982–18987.
82. Westphal V, Rizzoli SO, Lauterbach MA, Kamin D, Jahn R, Hell SW. Video-Rate Far-Field Optical Nanoscopy Dissects Synaptic Vesicle Movement. *Science*. 2008; 320:246–249. [PubMed: 18292304]
- 83. Nicolai, T Urban; Katrin, I Willig; Hell Stefan, W.; Nägerl, UV. STED Nanoscopy of Actin Dynamics in Synapses Deep Inside Living Brain Slices. *Biophysical Journal*. 2011; 101:1277–1284. [PubMed: 21889466] STED is used to image living neurons and examine the dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton in dendrites and spines. The suitability of this approach to examine genetically-encoded fluorophores living neurons is made clear.

Highlights

1. For certain molecules, dendritic spines operate as isolated signaling compartments
2. Other signaling molecules can enter and leave the spine on time scales that are relevant for the induction of synaptic plasticity
3. Dendritic spines contain functional subdomains in which the localized build-up of signaling molecules has a privileged ability to activate downstream biochemical cascades

Figure 1. Modes of signaling in dendritic spines

A, During synaptic activity Ca enters the head of a dendritic spine through multiple classes of ion channels (represented by the green and orange structures) and is rapidly extruded by the action of transporters and pumps (purple). Within microseconds of channel opening, the Ca concentration reaches tens of micromolar in the microdomain around the mouth of an open ion channel (blue shade, $[Ca]_{\mu}$). The localization of Ca-binding and Ca-sensitive proteins in this zone allows for Ca-dependent processes to be triggered by the opening of one class of ion channel and not by another. In contrast, Ca can also diffuse and equilibrate across the spine in milliseconds such that volume-averaged or bulk ($[Ca]_{bulk}$) Ca concentration results from the summed contributions of Ca entering through multiple sources. Ca-dependent proteins not physically associated with Ca channels will experience this lower $[Ca]_{bulk}$ and may be activated by Ca entering through multiple sources. Due to the high efficiency of Ca extrusion and the high resistance to Ca movement across the spine neck (dashed arrow) of mushroom spines in CA1 pyramidal neurons, only minimal Ca accumulates in the neighboring dendrite during synaptic stimulation ($[Ca]_{den}$).

B, The small GTPase Cdc42 is activated in the spine during LTP induction, presumably due to the local action of its cognate guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF). Despite the mobility of Cdc42-GTP, the action of GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) in the spine head and dendrite triggers hydrolysis of the GTP before it can substantially accumulate in the dendrite shaft. For this reason, most the dendritic Cdc42 during synaptic stimulation remains bound to GDP and inactive whereas the GTP-bound Cdc42 is limited to the stimulated spine.

C, As for Cdc42, the small GTPase HRas is activated in a spine during LTP induction. However, likely due to the relatively lower amounts of cognate GAP in the spine and dendrite, HRas-GTP is able to enter the dendrite and diffuse before being inactivated. For this reason, following LTP induction at one synapse, active HRas is able to enter neighboring spines and reduce the threshold for subsequent LTP induction at the associated synapses.

Figure 2. Förster resonance energy transfer and fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy

A, top, Two potentially interacting proteins are tagged with green and red fluorescence proteins (GFP and RFP, respectively). When the proteins are not interacting (*left*), excitation of GFP with blue light results in robust green fluorescence and minimal red fluorescence. In contrast, when the proteins are physically associated and the fluorophores are properly aligned, energy is transferred from GFP to RFP such that blue light excitation results in less green fluorescence and more red fluorescence. In this example, GFP is the “donor” and RFP is the “acceptor” but it is also possible to use cyan and yellow fluorescence proteins, respectively, as well as other genetically-encoded fluorophore pairs. *bottom*, As above but the RFP has been replaced with a mutated genetically encoded fluorophore sREACH (SR) that is capable of absorbing energy but has little or no fluorescence. The elimination of the fluorescence from the acceptor precludes its use for traditional FRET but simplifies FLIM. **B**, GFP (green) transitions from the ground state (S_0) to the excited state (S_1) on absorption of a blue photon ($h\nu$). In the absence of FRET, decay from the excited state to the ground state is accompanied by emission of a green photon. The fluorescence is spectrally shifted relative to the excitation light because of energy loss due to molecular interactions and conformational changes in the excited state. When FRET occurs due to well aligned interaction between the two fluorophores, energy is transferred directly between the fluorophores without emission of a photon from the donor (dashed line). Absorption of energy by the RFP causes a transition from the ground (S_0) to excited state (S_1). Subsequent energy loss and decay back to the ground state results in emission of a red photon. When FRET occurs, the presence of an additional path for GFP out of the excited state reduces its lifetime in S_1 compared to when there is no FRET.

C, Based on the simple two state model of GFP fluorescence portrayed in panel B, a short pulse of excitation light of GFP in the unbound (“no interaction”) state abruptly increases fluorescence emission, which decays mono-exponentially. When plotted on a semi-log plot as a function of time, fluorescence emission decays linearly ($F(t)=F(0)\exp(-t/\tau_D)$, where F is the number of fluorophores, t is time and τ_D is the decay time constant of donor only fluorescence (i.e. without FRET)). Lifetimes of many genetically-encoded and synthetic fluorophores are on the order of a few nanoseconds. When a mixture of bound and unbound GFP is present, the fluorescence emission decay is bi-exponential resulting and has multiple linear components on a semi-log plot (“mixture”) ($F(t)=F(0)[P_D\exp(-t/\tau_D)+P_{DA}\exp(-t/\tau_{DA})]$, where τ_{DA} is the decay time constant of donor fluorescence decay in the donor-to-acceptor FRET process, P_D and P_{DA} are the fraction of donor fluorophores that are undergoing FRET and free, respectively. $P_D+P_{DA}=1$)