Published in final edited form as:

Exp Gerontol. 2012 August ; 47(8): 631–637. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2012.05.017.

Pleiotropy of Segregating Genetic Variants that Affect Honey Bee Worker Life Expectancy

Luke R. Dixon¹, Michelle R. McQuage¹, Ellen J. Lonon¹, Dominique Buehler^{1,2}, Oumar Seck¹, and Olav Rueppell^{1,*}

¹Department of Biology, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, 312 Eberhart Building, Greensboro, NC, 27403, USA

Abstract

In contrast to many other complex traits, the natural genetic architecture of life expectancy has not been intensely studied, particularly in non-model organisms, such as the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.). Multiple factors that determine honey bee worker lifespan have been identified and genetic analyses have been performed on some of those traits. Several of the traits are included in a suite of correlated traits that form the pollen hoarding syndrome, which was named after the behavior to store surplus pollen in the nest and is tied to social evolution. Here, seven quantitative trait loci that had previously been identified for their effects on different aspects of the pollen hoarding syndrome were studied for their genetic influence on the survival of adult honey bee workers. To gain a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic architecture of worker longevity, a panel of 280 additional SNP markers distributed across the genome was also tested. Allelic distributions were compared between young and old bees in two backcross populations of the bi-directionally selected high- and low-pollen hoarding strain. Our results suggest a pleiotropic effect of at least one of the behavioral quantitative trait loci on worker longevity and one significant and several other putative genetic effects in other genomic regions. At least one locus showed evidence for strong antagonistic pleiotropy and several others suggested genetic factors that influence pre-emergence survival of worker honey bees. Thus, the predicted association between worker lifespan and the pollen hoarding syndrome was supported at the genetic level and the magnitude of the identified effects also strengthened the view that naturally segregating genetic variation can have major effects on age-specific survival probability in the wild.

Keywords

Longevity; Lifespan; Social Evolution; Division of Labor; QTL; Pleiotropy; Genetic Architecture

Introduction

Complex traits that are commonly studied in a quantitative genetic context include behavior (Oldroyd and Thompson 2007), disease (Lander and Schork 1994), and many life history traits (Mackay 2001). However, relatively few detailed studies of individual lifespan exist

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding Author: olav_rueppell@uncg.edu, phone: (1) 336-256-2591, fax: (1) 336-334-5839.

²Current address: Eidgenössische Forschungsanstalt für Wald, Schnee und Landschaft, Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

(Shmookler Reis et al. 2006), although this trait is probably the most complex trait (Finch and Tanzi 1997; Mackay 2002), with strong genetic (Kenyon 2005; Wang et al. 2004) and environmental influences (Carey et al. 1998), interactions between loci (Leips and Mackay 2000), interactions between genotype and environment (Paaby and Schmidt 2009; Shook and Johnson 1999), and a large stochastic component (Finch and Kirkwood 2000). Death, the ultimate determinant of lifespan, can have numerous causes, ranging from intrinsic, molecular causes to external, macro-environmental circumstances, and multiple interactions among the different factors (McGinnis and Foege 1993).

The genetic architecture of lifespan has been investigated with quantitative trait loci (QTL) studies mostly in the model species *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Ayyadevara et al. 2003; Ebert et al. 1993; Shook and Johnson 1999), *Drosophila* (Lai et al. 2007; Leips and Mackay 2000; Paaby and Schmidt 2009), and *Mus musculus* (Jackson et al. 2002; Lang et al. 2010). A few studies also exist in humans (Perls and Terry 2003). However, the genetic architecture of life expectancy or longevity has not been characterized in many species. In contrast, significant progress has been made in understanding the molecular processes and genes that can potentially affect lifespan when experimentally manipulated (Shmookler Reis et al. 2006; Slagboom et al. 2011).

The honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) is an emergent model organism for biogerontological research (Omholt and Amdam 2004; Page and Peng 2001; Rueppell et al. 2004a). Social (Amdam et al. 2009; Rueppell et al. 2007; Rueppell et al. 2008) and physiological (Nelson et al. 2007) variables that influence honey bee life expectancy have been identified. Most notably, the reproductive queen lives an order of magnitude longer than the non-reproductive workers, despite a similar genome and environment (Page and Peng 2001). Pronounced variation in life expectancy also exists among workers, which has been related to the timing of their age-related specialization in different behavioral tasks (Nelson et al. 2007; Rueppell et al. 2007).

The timing of the behavioral ontogeny in adult workers is related to the pollen hoarding syndrome, a life history syndrome that has been initially characterized in artificially selected strains but applies to honey bees in general (Page et al. 2007). The pollen hoarding syndrome has been named after the propensity of worker bees to store surplus pollen in their nest. It comprises a number of correlated traits, including reproductive and sensory traits, learning and memory, and foraging behavior. For example, high pollen hoarding workers have larger ovaries, are more responsive to sucrose, learn faster, initiate foraging earlier, and specialize more in pollen collection than their low pollen hoarding counterparts (Page et al. 2007). The genetic architecture of multiple traits of the pollen hoarding syndrome has been characterized, revealing several significant QTL. Some of these QTL affect multiple traits, indicating partial overlap in the genetic determination of these traits due to pleiotropy (Graham et al. 2011; Rueppell et al. 2006; Rueppell et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2009).

Seven of the identified QTL are of particular interest for aging research. The pollen hoarding QTL (*pln1-4*) were originally identified for their effect on foraging behavior of workers (Hunt et al. 1995; Page et al. 2000; Rueppell et al. 2004) but show pleiotropic effects on sucrose responsiveness (Rueppell et al. 2006), ovary size (Graham et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2009), and the timing of the irreversible transition of workers from in-hive tasks to foraging (Rueppell et al. 2004b), termed the age of first foraging. The *pln* QTL are significantly enriched for genes involved in insulin/insulin-like signaling (Hunt et al. 2007), which has been implicated in the endocrine regulation of aging in a number of species (Tatar et al. 2003). Two of these candidate genes have been directly implicated in the pollen hoarding syndrome (Wang et al. 2009). The other QTL of interest (*aff2-4*) have been identified for their effects on the worker age of first foraging (Rueppell 2009; Rueppell et al. 2004b). They

are particularly relevant in the context of life expectancy because the age of first foraging is the strongest predictor of honey bee worker lifespan in all studies that have investigated its effect (Rueppell et al. 2007; Rueppell et al. 2008).

Based on these findings, we predicted the *pln* and *aff* QTL to show an effect on honey bee worker life expectancy. We tested this prediction in reciprocal backcrosses between the high and low pollen hoarding strains. These strains have been artificially selected from commercial honey bees for the amount of pollen stored in their hive (Page and Fondrk 1995). They show a number of correlated selection responses that originally defined the pollen hoarding syndrome (Page et al. 2007). These two strains also differ significantly in worker life expectancy (Amdam et al. 2007; Rueppell et al. 2007). Therefore, we screened a panel of 280 random SNPs throughout the genome for their effect on worker life expectancy, in addition to conducting specific tests of the effect of the three *aff* and four *pln* QTL.

Methods and Materials

Mapping populations

The high and low pollen hoarding strains that have been bi-directionally selected for the amount of pollen stored in their hive (Page and Fondrk 1995) were used to set up our experimental crosses. The high and low pollen hoarding strains have been maintained by circular inbreeding with occasional outcrossing to phenotypically similar, but unrelated colonies because honey bees cannot be directly inbred due to their complementary sex determination mechanism (Beye et al. 2003). After 20 generations, reciprocal backcrosses were set up by artificial insemination of one low strain queen with the semen of one high strain male (Figure 1). Resulting daughters were raised to queens and then either backcrossed to one high strain male (high backcross: HBC) or one low strain male (low backcross: LBC).

The LBC and HBC queens were both caged on empty wax cells in their respective hives 21 days before the onset of the experiment. The resulting brood was transferred one day before emergence to an incubator (34 °C, 50 % relative humidity). Small, random subsets of newly emerged LBC (n = 130) and HBC (n = 160) workers were frozen directly after emergence. The majority of LBC (n = 1300) and HBC (n = 1600) worker bees were color-marked on their thorax with non-toxic paint (Testors™) according to their LBC and HBC identity and introduced into one unrelated host hive. Survival of these cohorts was monitored nightly by counting all marked workers present in the hive. All surviving bees were collected at the age of 40 days, when 10% of the workers in both cohorts were alive. The host hive was opened at dusk when foraging activity had ceased and all marked bees were collected directly onto dry ice. Genomic DNA was extracted from the frozen worker samples, using a modified phenol-chloroform protocol (Hunt and Page 1995). DNA quantity and quality were assessed using a Nanodrop™ spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, MA).

SNP Genotyping

To search the honey bee genome for potential genetic factors that influence adult survival probability without *a priori* information, 20 random samples for each of the four groups (HBC young, HBC old, LBC young, LBC old) were genotyped at 280 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), that were selected from a larger set of confirmed SNPs (Whitfield et al. 2006). SNP genotyping was performed by Sequenom (CA), using MALDI-TOF (Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight) mass spectrometry with automatic genotype calling (Ragoussis et al. 2006), according to internal company protocols. Loci with >50% missing genotypes were omitted in the HBC (16 loci) and LBC (18 loci). One

individual in the LBC was completely excluded because it resulted in erroneous genotypes in >50 loci, indicating insufficient DNA quality. Monomorphic loci (HBC: 171, LBC: 170) were also excluded from the subsequent analyses because they were uninformative. For all informative, polymorphic markers (93 in the HBC and 92 in the LBC) allele frequency differences between the young and the old cohorts were evaluated by a 2×2 contingency analysis, using Fisher's exact test to calculate the probability of an association between cohort age and genotype frequencies. A significant association indicated a shift of allele frequencies from young to old bees in a particular SNP and a linked genetic effect on survival probability. We also evaluated overall allele bias in the collective sample of young and old workers to assess potential pre-emergence mortality factors.

Microsatellite selection and worker genotyping

Based on the results of the SNP analyses and our hypothesis that *pln* and *aff*QTL would affect life expectancy, ten genomic regions were studied in the HBC and the LBC for a genetic effect on lifespan using microsatellite markers. Several microsatellite loci were selected from previous studies (Salignac et al. 2007) or identified *de novo* from the honey bee genome sequence (Honeybee Genome Consortium 2006) close to each QTL and SNP of interest. The suitability of loci to serve as markers was tested by genotyping eight individuals per cross. One microsatellite per region of interest was selected based on its reliability. The selected microsatellite loci were genotyped in 48 young and 48 old workers of a given backcross.

A tailed-primer approach (Schuelke 2000) was used, in which the microsatellite alleles were labeled with a universal M13-primer coupled to an IRD700™ or IRD800™ color label (Licor Inc., Lincoln, NB), for detection with a DNA Analyzer 4300™ (Licor Inc., Lincoln, NB). A touchdown PCR protocol was used for the amplification of the microsatellite alleles, decreasing the annealing temperature from 68° to 48°C (Schug et al. 2004). PCR reactions were carried out in 10 µl total volume: 1 µl of 10 ng/µl of template DNA was added to a master mix that contained 1 µl of 2 mM dNTPs, 0.25 µl of 10 µM forward primer, 0.5 µl of 10 µM reverse primer, 0.5 µl of 1 µM of IRD-labeled M13-primer, 1 µl of 10× PCR buffer (20 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.3], 500 mM KCl), 0.13 µl of 5 u/µl Taq DNA polymerase, and 5.62 µl dH₂O. PCR products of different size and IRD label were combined before loading on 25 cm polyacrylamide gels. Samples were run at 1,000 V for 1.5 – 2.5 h, depending on product size to determine which of the two alternative alleles each individual was carrying. The significance of allele frequency shifts from young to old ages was assessed by Fisher's exact tests of a 2×2 contingency table, as described above.

Results

The SNP analyses identified five markers in the HBC and five additional markers in the LBC that showed a shift in allele frequencies between the young and old worker cohorts, suggesting a linked genetic effect on survival probability between the ages of one day and 40 days. The ten effects were significant without correction for multiple comparisons (Table 1) but only the effect of *ahb687* in the HBC remained significant (Figure 2) after Bonferroni-correction for all tests performed. None of these loci showed a corresponding effect in the alternate backcross (Table 1). In addition, 11 loci showed an overall deviation from the expected Mendelian 1:1 ratio when combining the young and old samples (Table 2). Six of these loci remained significant after Bonferroni correction. None of the loci showed a corresponding deviation from 1:1 in the alternate backcross (Table 2). Two loci (*est6107*, *est73*) showed an overall skew in allele distribution and a shift in allele frequency between young and old.

Polymorphic microsatellite markers near the genomic regions of interest were successfully identified for ten of the genomic regions in the HBC and seven of the genomic regions in the LBC (Table 3), allowing specific tests of genetic effects. One of these markers (*4A001*), in the *aff3* QTL region was found to have a significant effect in the HBC (Figure 3) but no other significant effects were identified in either backcross (Table 3). Three of the microsatellite loci (*BI183*, *Ap016*, *HYAL*) showed a significant deviation from an overall 1:1 Mendelian segregation ratio (Table 3), including the microsatellite near the *pln3* QTL in the LBC.

Discussion

Multiple genetic influences on the life expectancy of honey bee workers were detected in this study. These comprised effects of genetic markers near previously mapped QTL. However, more pronounced effects were found elsewhere in the genome, including loci with antagonistic pleiotropy between early and late survival. Results in the two investigated reciprocal backcrosses differed significantly, indicating the importance of genetic background.

Our results suggest that the behavioral QTL *aff3* pleiotropically influences adult honey bee worker mortality, in addition to its influence on the age of first foraging (Rueppell 2009). Our results also suggest a putative influence of *pln3* on juvenile survival in addition to its influence on foraging specialization (Hunt et al. 1995), the age of foraging onset (Rueppell et al. 2004b), sucrose responsiveness (Rueppell et al. 2006), and ovary size (Graham et al. 2011). Therefore, the data support our prediction that the QTL, previously identified for influencing the pollen hoarding syndrome, influence worker mortality. This prediction was based on the phenotypic association of worker lifespan with the pollen hoarding syndrome, particularly the age of first foraging (Amdam et al. 2007; Rueppell et al. 2007). As a consequence of this association, alternative alleles that influence the age of first foraging should influence worker lifespan. However, we also identified several putative genetic influences on worker life expectancy in other regions of the genome, and not every investigated QTL marker influenced worker life expectancy.

Tests of previously identified QTL for influences on worker life expectancy depend on the segregating genetic variation in a particular study population, the genetic background, parental imprinting effects, and the availability of closely-linked genetic markers. Therefore, we would not expect to identify all predicted effects, even with large sample sizes. We investigated a marker for eleven of the total 14 possible QTL tests, identifying a significant shift in allelic frequency between newborn and aged workers at the marker locus for *aff3* (Figure 3). This suggests that *aff3* influences the life expectancy of adult worker honey bees but it does not rule out influences of all other QTL. Specifically, we also found an influence of the SNP *est8873* genotype on survival probability. This SNP is linked to *aff2* (also referred to as *affnew*; Rueppell 2009), which indicates an influence of *aff2* on worker mortality, although the corresponding microsatellite marker did not show a significant effect.

The SNP analysis covered only a part of the honey bee genome and the number of investigated markers was insufficient for a comprehensive QTL mapping analysis. Nevertheless, the SNP screening revealed several effects despite the small sample size. The allele frequencies at the SNP locus *ahb687* differed between the young and the old worker groups. The allele that was much more common than its counterpart in the young workers was only found in a small number of the old individuals. This shift in allele frequencies with age cannot be explained by a technical genotyping error, particularly in light of the non-significant results at this locus in the reciprocal backcross. Thus, *ahb687* marks a genomic

region that exhibits antagonistic pleiotropy. One allele conferred a survival advantage during the developmental phase until adult emergence, leading to an overrepresentation of the “B” allele (95%) in newborn bees. The opposite allele was associated with a survival advantage during adulthood, explaining the overrepresentation of the “A” allele (84%) in 40-day old bees. While the skew in the young bees might also be due to a distortion of the Mendelian 1:1 ratio before fertilization (Hurst and Werren 2001), the reversal of allelic skew in the old cohort can only be explained by allele “A” conferring a strong survival advantage in adults.

The genomic region around this locus contains multiple genes that might be interpreted as positional candidate genes for influencing survivorship. The adipokinetic hormone receptor (also known as insect gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor) gene is the most notable, because it influences nutrient metabolism and starvation resistance in *Drosophila* (Gronke et al. 2007) and has been linked to aging in vertebrates (Wang et al. 2010). However, our experiment did not identify any specific causes of death. Therefore, the identified genetic effects could be due to a change in the internal rate of aging, altered extrinsic mortality risk, or an interaction between genetic and environmental factors. In the case of the *aff*QTL, the genetic factor accelerates the intrinsic rate of maturation (Rueppell et al. 2004b), exposing workers earlier to a more hazardous environment (Rueppell et al. 2007). None of the other nine SNP results remain significant after stringent Bonferroni correction. Nevertheless, these SNPs represent candidate regions for further investigation. The SNP *est6107* is particularly interesting because its allelic ratio in the young workers was close to the expected 1:1 ratio, but only one allele was found in the old worker group of the HBC.

Est6107 was also among the six loci that showed a significant skew in their allele distribution when both age groups were combined, indicating an influence on combined juvenile and adult survivorship. Three of these loci (*est3280*, *est6069*, *est10746*) contained only one individual with the less common genotype and are monomorphic in the reciprocal backcross. Thus, it is likely that these three loci are spuriously categorized as polymorphic and the results were due to genotyping errors. In contrast, the results of *est6107* and the remaining two loci *ahb6325* and *est73* cannot be attributed to genotyping errors that would favor one allele over the other because these loci showed no significant skew in the reciprocal backcross (Table 2). Instead, it can be concluded that the underrepresented alleles at these three loci led to pre-emergence mortality or that the overrepresented alleles influenced meiotic segregation in their favor (Hurst and Werren 2001). The existence of lethal alleles in honey bees would be remarkable because hapo-diploidy strongly selects against them (Hedrick and Parker 1997).

All identified effects were backcross specific and thus influenced by genetic background, similar to the QTL effects in all our previous studies (Graham et al. 2011; Rueppell et al. 2006; Rueppell et al. 2004b; Rüppell et al. 2004). We cannot exclude the possibility of allelic scoring bias for the microsatellites because these loci were typically genotyped only in one backcross. Thus, we do not further consider the microsatellite loci that show an overall allelic bias. It is impossible to point to candidate genes for the juvenile mortality effects because lethal genes may belong to a variety of groups (Spradling et al. 1999) and the genome environment of the three SNP markers of significant overall bias are extremely gene rich, with over one gene per 2000 base pairs on scaffold 1.22, on scaffold 3.12, and in the 400000 base pairs surrounding *est6107* on scaffold 8.7.

We sought to confirm the identified SNP effects in a larger sample with microsatellites that were linked to the SNPs with an effect on adult survival. However, this confirmation of the SNP results was unsuccessful. Most likely, this is due to the distance between the SNP and corresponding microsatellite markers (70000 – 100000 base pairs). Physical genome distances translate into substantial recombination distances between our SNP and

microsatellite markers due to the very high recombination rate throughout the honey bee genome (Beye et al. 2006). However, we also cannot exclude the possibility that errors in our microsatellite genotyping eroded the marker effect. In contrast, Sequenom's SNP genotyping has a very low reported error rate (Kathiresan et al. 2008), suggesting that the initial SNP results are trustworthy. However, follow-up studies are needed to reconfirm the effects and test how general they are.

More genetic effects of the *aff* and *pln* QTL might have been expected because the age of first foraging strongly influences longevity in honey bee workers (Rueppell et al. 2007; Rueppell et al. 2008). The pollen hoarding syndrome affects worker lifespan physiologically by influencing the dynamics of juvenile hormone and vitellogenin (Nelson et al. 2007) and behaviorally by influencing foraging initiation and foraging specialization that in turn affect worker lifespan (Rueppell et al. 2007). However, QTL effects are always dependent on the segregating variation in the particular cross. The high and low pollen hoarding strains are not comparable to inbred lines (Page and Fondrk 1995) and this experiment was conducted multiple generation after the isolation of the *aff* and *pln* QTL with at least one outbreeding event. In addition, the exact locations of the QTL are unknown and any marker is only linked to the actually effective genetic element to a certain degree, which makes the detection of weak effects difficult. Furthermore, the QTL may display antagonistic pleiotropy, shortening the pre-foraging lifespan but extending foraging lifespan. Therefore, the QTL tests are conservative and previous studies have shown that usually only a fraction of the tested QTL show an effect in any particular cross (Graham et al. 2011; Rueppell et al. 2006; Rüppell et al. 2004; Wang et al. 2009).

In this study, we have identified natural genetic effects on honey bee worker lifespan for the first time. Some of these effects were quite strong, causing significant shifts in the allelic distributions from newly-emerged to 40-day-old honey bees. The strongest effect shifted the frequency of a particular allele from 5% in young bees to 84% in old bees, which amounts to a 16-fold difference. The size of the effect contradicts the suggestion that genetic effects may only account for up to 35% of the observed lifespan variability in invertebrates (Finch and Tanzi 1997). Although our data is not suitable to calculate how much lifespan variability is explained by our loci, the magnitude of the identified effects is similar to the pronounced effects of several experimental mutations that have been studied in invertebrates (Kenyon et al. 1993; Paaby and Schmidt 2009).

Furthermore, we found clear evidence for antagonistic pleiotropy, one of the major evolutionary explanations for the evolution of aging (Williams 1957). While the honey bee displays an exceptional plasticity of aging (Omholt and Amdam 2004) and is lacking a list of well-characterized "aging genes" that exist in the classic aging models, our study suggests that fundamentally similar genetic patterns and processes exist, even in species with a highly derived life history (Rueppell et al. 2004a; Rueppell et al. 2007).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Robert E. Page Jr. and M. Kim Fondrk for letting us use their selected strains of honey bees. Ben Novak helped the project with DNA extractions. We would also like to thank diverse members of the UNCG honey bee lab and the North Carolina Honey Bee Research Consortium for helpful comments on this work and Matina Kalcounis-Rueppell for proof-reading the manuscript. Additionally, we thank two anonymous reviewers for their suggestions to improve the manuscript. This work was supported financially by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under Agreement No. 2010-65104-20533, the National Institute of Aging (#PO1 AG22500), and the National Science Foundation (#0615502, #0926288, and #0850465).

References

- Amdam GV, Nilsen KA, Norberg K, Fondrk MK, Hartfelder K. Variation in endocrine signaling underlies variation in social life history. *American Naturalist*. 2007; 170:37–46.
- Amdam GV, Rueppell O, Fondrk MK, Page RE, Nelson CM. The nurse's load: Early-life exposure to brood-rearing affects behavior and lifespan in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *Experimental Gerontology*. 2009; 44:467–471. [PubMed: 19264121]
- Ayyadevara S, Ayyadevara R, Vertino A, Galecki A, Thaden JJ, Reis RJS. Genetic loci modulating fitness and life span in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: Categorical trait interval mapping in CL2a X Bergerac-BO recombinant-inbred worms. *Genetics*. 2003; 163:557–570. [PubMed: 12618395]
- Beye M, Gattermeier I, Hasselmann M, Gempe T, Schioett M, Baines JF, Schlipalius D, Mougél F, Emore C, Rueppell O, Sirvio A, Guzman-Novoa E, Hunt G, Solignac M, Page RE. Exceptionally high levels of recombination across the honey bee genome. *Genome Research*. 2006; 16:1339–1344. [PubMed: 17065604]
- Beye M, Hasselmann M, Fondrk MK, Page RE, Omholt SW. The gene *csd* is the primary signal for sexual development in the honeybee and encodes an SR-type protein. *Cell*. 2003; 114:419–429. [PubMed: 12941271]
- Carey JR, Liedo P, Muller HG, Wang JL, Vaupel JW. Dual modes of aging in Mediterranean fruit fly females. *Science*. 1998; 281:996–998. [PubMed: 9703516]
- Ebert RH, Cherkasova VA, Dennis RA, Wu JH, Ruggles S, Perrin TE, Reis RJS. Longevity determining genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* - Chromosomal mapping of multiple noninteractive loci. *Genetics*. 1993; 135:1003–1010. [PubMed: 8307318]
- Finch, CE.; Kirkwood, TBL. *Chance, Development, and Aging*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2000.
- Finch CE, Tanzi RE. Genetics of aging. *Science*. 1997; 278:407–411. [PubMed: 9334291]
- Graham AM, Munday MD, Kaftanoglu O, Page RE, Amdam GV, Rueppell O. Support for the reproductive ground plan hypothesis of social evolution and major QTL for ovary traits of Africanized worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera*L.). *BMC Evol Biol*. 2011; 11:95. [PubMed: 21489230]
- Gronke S, Muller G, Hirsch J, Fellert S, Andreou A, Haase T, Jackle H, Kuhnlein RP. Dual lipolytic control of body fat storage and mobilization in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Biol*. 2007; 5:e137. [PubMed: 17488184]
- Hedrick PW, Parker JD. Evolutionary genetics and genetic variation of haplodiploids and X-linked genes. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*. 1997; 28:55–83.
- Honeybee Genome Consortium. Insights into social insects from the genome of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Nature*. 2006; 443:931–949. [PubMed: 17073008]
- Hunt GJ, Amdam GV, Schlipalius D, Emore C, Sardesai N, Williams CE, Rueppell O, Guzman-Novoa E, Arechavaleta-Velasco M, Chandra S, Fondrk MK, Beye M, Page RE. Behavioral genomics of honeybee foraging and nest defense. *Naturwissenschaften*. 2007; 94:247–267. [PubMed: 17171388]
- Hunt GJ, Page RE, Fondrk MK, Dullum CJ. Major quantitative trait loci affecting honey bee foraging behavior. *Genetics*. 1995; 141:1537–1545. [PubMed: 8601492]
- Hunt GJ, Page RE. Linkage map of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*, based on RAPD markers. *Genetics*. 1995; 139:1371–1382. [PubMed: 7768445]
- Hurst GDD, Werren JH. The role of selfish genetic elements in eukaryotic evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2001; 2:597–606.
- Jackson AU, Galecki AT, Burke DT, Miller RA. Mouse loci associated with life span exhibit sex-specific and epistatic effects. *Journals of Gerontology Series A-Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2002; 57:B9–B15.
- Kathiresan S, Melander O, Guiducci C, Surti A, Burt NP, Rieder MJ, Cooper GM, Roos C, Voight BF, Havulinna AS, Wahlstrand B, Hedner T, Corella D, Tai ES, Ordovas JM, Berglund G, Vartiainen E, Jousilahti P, Hedblad B, Taskinen MR, Newton-Cheh C, Salomaa V, Peltonen L, Groop L, Altshuler DM, Orho-Melander M. Six new loci associated with blood low-density

- lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol or triglycerides in humans. *Nat Genet.* 2008; 40:189–197. [PubMed: 18193044]
- Kenyon C. The plasticity of aging: insights from long-lived mutants. *Cell.* 2005; 120:449–460. [PubMed: 15734678]
- Kenyon C, Chang J, Gensch E, Rudner A, Tabtiang R. A *C. elegans* mutant that lives twice as long as wild-type. *Nature.* 1993; 366:461–464. [PubMed: 8247153]
- Lai CQ, Leips J, Zou W, Roberts JF, Wollenberg KR, Parnell LD, Zeng ZB, Ordovas JM, Mackay TFC. Speed-mapping quantitative trait loci using microarrays. *Nature Methods.* 2007; 4:839–841. [PubMed: 17873888]
- Lander ES, Schork NJ. Genetic dissection of complex traits. *Science.* 1994; 265:2037–2048. [PubMed: 8091226]
- Lang DH, Gerhard GS, Griffith JW, Vogler GP, Vandenberg DJ, Blizard DA, Stout JT, Lakoski JM, McClearn GE. Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) analysis of longevity in C57BL/6J by DBA/2J (BXD) recombinant inbred mice. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research.* 2010; 22:8–19. [PubMed: 20305363]
- Leips J, Mackay TFC. Quantitative trait loci for life span in *Drosophila melanogaster*: Interactions with genetic background and larval density. *Genetics.* 2000; 155:1773–1788. [PubMed: 10924473]
- Mackay TF. The nature of quantitative genetic variation for *Drosophila* longevity. *Mech Ageing Dev.* 2002; 123:95–104. [PubMed: 11718804]
- Mackay TFC. The genetic architecture of quantitative traits. *Annual Review of Genetics.* 2001; 35:303–339.
- McGinnis JM, Foege WH. Actual causes of death in the United States. *Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association.* 1993; 270:2207–2212.
- Nelson CM, Ihle KE, Fondrk MK, Page RE, Amdam GV. The gene vitellogenin has multiple coordinating effects on social organization. *Plos Biology.* 2007; 5:e62. [PubMed: 17341131]
- Oldroyd BP, Thompson GJ. Behavioural genetics of the honey bee *Apis mellifera*. *Advances in Insect Physiology.* 2007; 33:1–49.
- Omholt SW, Amdam GV. Epigenic regulation of aging in honeybee workers. *Sci. Aging Knowl. Environ.* 2004; 26:pe28.
- Paaby AB, Schmidt PS. Dissecting the genetics of longevity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Fly.* 2009; 3:29–38. [PubMed: 19182541]
- Page RE, Fondrk MK. The effects of colony level selection on the social organization of honey bee (*Apis mellifera*L.) colonies - colony level components of pollen hoarding. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology.* 1995; 36:135–144.
- Page RE, Fondrk MK, Hunt GJ, Guzman-Novoa E, Humphries MA, Nguyen K, Greene AS. Genetic dissection of honeybee (*Apis mellifera*L.) foraging behavior. *Journal of Heredity.* 2000; 91:474–479. [PubMed: 11218085]
- Page RE, Peng Y-SC. Aging and development in social insects with emphasis on the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*L. *Experimental Gerontology.* 2001; 36:695–711. [PubMed: 11295509]
- Page RE, Scheiner R, Erber J, Amdam GV. The development and evolution of division of labor and foraging specialization in a social insect (*Apis mellifera*L.). *Current Topics in Developmental Biology.* 2007; 74:253–286. [PubMed: 16860670]
- Perls T, Terry D. Genetics of exceptional longevity. *Experimental Gerontology.* 2003; 38:725–730. [PubMed: 12855277]
- Ragoussis J, Elvidge GP, Kaur K, Colella S. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation, time-of-flight mass spectrometry in genomics research. *Plos Genetics.* 2006; 2:920–929.
- Rueppell O. Characterization of quantitative trait loci for the age of first foraging in honey bee workers. *Behavior Genetics.* 2009; 39:541–553. [PubMed: 19449161]
- Rueppell O, Amdam GV, Page RE, Carey JR. From genes to society: Social insects as models for research on aging. *Sci. Aging Knowl. Environ.* 2004a; 5:pe5.
- Rueppell O, Bachelier C, Fondrk MK, Page RE. Regulation of life history determines lifespan of worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera*L.). *Experimental Gerontology.* 2007; 42:1020–1032. [PubMed: 17689041]

- Rueppell O, Chandra SBC, Pankiw T, Fondrk MK, Beye M, Hunt GJ, Page RE. The genetic architecture of sucrose responsiveness in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*L.). *Genetics*. 2006; 172:243–251. [PubMed: 16172502]
- Rueppell O, Linford R, Gardner P, Coleman J, Fine K. Aging and demographic plasticity in response to experimental age structures in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*L.). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*. 2008; 62:1621–1631. [PubMed: 18663386]
- Rueppell O, Pankiw T, Nielson DI, Fondrk MK, Beye M, Page RE. The genetic architecture of the behavioral ontogeny of foraging in honey bee workers. *Genetics*. 2004b; 167:1767–1779. [PubMed: 15342515]
- Rüppell O, Pankiw T, Page RE. Pleiotropy, epistasis and new QTL: the genetic architecture of honey bee foraging behavior. *Journal of Heredity*. 2004; 95:481–491. [PubMed: 15475393]
- Schuelke M. An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2000; 18:233–234.
- Schug MD, Regulski EE, Pearce A, Smith SG. Isolation and characterization of dinucleotide repeat microsatellites in *Drosophila ananassae*. *Genetical Research*. 2004; 83:19–29. [PubMed: 15125063]
- Shmookler Reis RJ, Kang P, Ayyadevara S. Quantitative trait loci define genes and pathways underlying genetic variation in longevity. *Experimental Gerontology*. 2006; 41:1046–1054. [PubMed: 16919411]
- Shook DR, Johnson TE. Quantitative trait loci affecting survival and fertility-related traits in *Caenorhabditis elegans* show genotype-environment interactions, pleiotropy and epistasis. *Genetics*. 1999; 153:1233–1243. [PubMed: 10545455]
- Slagboom PE, Beekman M, Passtoors WM, Deelen J, Vaarhorst AAM, Boer JM, van den Akker EB, van Heemst D, de Craen AJM, Maier AB, Rozing M, Mooijaart SP, Heijmans BT, Westendorp RGJ. Genomics of human longevity. *Phil Trans R Soc B*. 2011; 366:35–42. [PubMed: 21115528]
- Solignac M, Mouguel F, Vautrin D, Monnerot M, Cornuet JM. A third-generation microsatellite-based linkage map of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*, and its comparison with the sequence-based physical map. *Genome Biology*. 2007; 8:R66. [PubMed: 17459148]
- Spradling AC, Stern D, Beaton A, Rhem EJ, Laverty T, Mozden N, Misra S, Rubin GM. The Berkeley *Drosophila* Genome Project gene disruption project: Single P-element insertions mutating 25% of vital *Drosophila* genes. *Genetics*. 1999; 153:135–177. [PubMed: 10471706]
- Tatar M, Bartke A, Antebi A. The endocrine regulation of aging by insulin-like signals. *Science*. 2003; 299:1346–1350. [PubMed: 12610294]
- Wang L, Chadwick W, Park SS, Zhou Y, Silver N, Martin B, Maudsley S. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor system: modulatory role in aging and neurodegeneration. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. 2010; 9:651–660. [PubMed: 20632963]
- Wang MH, Lazebny O, Harshman LG, Nuzhdin SV. Environment-dependent survival of *Drosophila melanogaster*: a quantitative genetic analysis. *Aging Cell*. 2004; 3:133–140. [PubMed: 15153181]
- Wang Y, Amdam GV, Rueppell O, Wallrichs MA, Fondrk MK, Kaftanoglu O, Page RE. PDK1 and HR46 gene homologs tie social behavior to ovary signals. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4:e4899. [PubMed: 19340296]
- Whitfield CW, Behura SK, Berlocher SH, Clark AG, Johnston JS, Sheppard WS, Smith DR, Suarez AV, Weaver D, Tsutsui ND. Thrive out of Africa: Ancient and recent expansions of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *Science*. 2006; 314:642–645. [PubMed: 17068261]
- Williams GC. Pleiotropy, natural selection, and the evolution of senescence. *Evolution*. 1957; 11:398–411.

Highlights

- Natural genetic variation for longevity is significant but understudied.
- Segregating marker loci are identified for honey bee worker longevity and mortality.
- Previously identified, behavioral QTL affect bee longevity.
- Antagonistic pleiotropy is demonstrated in at least one locus.
- Natural variation may strongly affect survivorship probability in haplodiploid species.

Figure 1.

Crossing scheme to generate the reciprocal backcrosses between the high and low pollen hoarding strain that were used in the study. The original selection was conducted based on the quantity of pollen stored in colonies (outlined blue for one typical frame). The strains do not constitute completely homogeneous lines because full inbreeding is impossible due to the complimentary sex determination system of honey bees. The reciprocal cross allowed testing genetic effects against the genetic background of the high pollen hoarding line (in the HBC) and the low pollen hoarding line (in the LBC).

SNP Marker *Ahb687*

Figure 2.

Allelic distributions of SNP marker *ahb687* in the experimental HBC cohort sampled directly after emergence (young workers) and after 40 days of normal life in the hive (old workers) show a significant shift in allelic skew between the two age samples.

Marker 4A001 (near *aff3*)

Figure 3.

Allelic distribution of microsatellite marker 4A001 at the *aff3* QTL location was significantly different between the two age samples “young workers” (newly emerged) “old workers” (40-day old, representing the oldest 10%) in the experimental HBC cohort.

Table 1

SNP markers that show an allele frequency shift from young to old workers

Marker	Genomic Location: Scaffold (bp position in scaffold)	Backcross	Allelic Ratio among Young Workers	Allelic Ratio among Old Workers	Uncorrected p-Value	Comparative p-Value in Alternative Backcross
Abb687	1.7 (618366)	HBC	1/18	16/3	0.0001	0.5118
Abb1303	1.42 (295472)	HBC	16/4	9/10	0.0484	0.2049
Est6107	8.7 (1097110)	HBC	9/5	19/0	0.0084	0.5148
Est8873	11.18 (430913)	HBC	4/15	10/8	0.0448	monomorphic
Abb4126	13.12 (3020860)	HBC	7/13	16/4	0.0095	0.3431
Est73	1.22 (519453)	LBC	0/20	5/14	0.0202	0.1516
Est5253	6.16 (840952)	LBC	13/7	4/15	0.0095	0.5231
Abb4188	14.15 (509703)	LBC	14/6	6/13	0.0256	0.2049
Est3328	4.13 (1709888)	LBC	6/14	13/6	0.0256	0.7524
Est10281	14.14 (100440)	LBC	13/7	4/15	0.0110	monomorphic

Table 2

SNP markers that show a deviation from the expected 1:1 ratio across both age groups

Marker	Genomic Location: Scaffold (bp position in scaffold)	Back cross	Allelic Ratio among all Workers	p-Value	Comparative p-Value in Alternative Backcross
Abh11676	1.41 (394070)	HBC	27/11	0.0094	0.7389
Abh6325	3.12 (192748)	HBC	31/9	0.0005	0.4233
Est3280 *	4.10 (115698)	HBC	28/1	0.0001	monomorphic
Est6069 *	8.6 (686197)	HBC	39/1	0.0001	monomorphic
Est6107	8.7 (1097110)	HBC	28/5	0.0001	1.0
Abh10360	9.12 (1822605)	HBC	26/13	0.0374	0.7456
Est8456	11.18 (1512912)	HBC	11/24	0.0280	1.0
Abh3288	12.13 (2062194)	HBC	22/10	0.0339	0.4111
Est10746 *	14.13 (196630)	HBC	1/39	0.0001	monomorphic
Est73	1.22 (519453)	LBC	5/34	0.0001	0.0630
Est7824	10.28 (209161)	LBC	26/13	0.0374	1.0

* Result may be due to a single genotyping error in a monomorphic marker

Table 3

Results of specific tests of microsatellites at genomic regions of specific interest

Marker	Genomic Location (Amel4.5)	Back-cross	Reason for Testing (inter-marker distance in bp) [/]	Allelic Ratio among Young Workers	Allelic Ratio among Old Workers	Uncorrected p-value	Overall deviation from 1:1 ratio
Ap106	1.8	HBC	<i>ahb687</i> (148,253)	26/18	19/26	0.1395	0.9156
BI159	1.16	HBC	<i>pln3</i>	24/20	24/21	1.000	0.4581
K0122	1.37	HBC	<i>pln2</i>	22/20	25/16	0.5086	0.2273
At154	1.42	HBC	<i>ahb1303</i> (389,709)	20/16	17/19	0.6376	0.8137
4A001	4.13	HBC	<i>aff3</i>	13/20	27/14	0.0345	0.4855
At164	5.12	HBC	<i>aff4</i>	9/10	11/11	1.000	0.8759
At168	8.7	HBC	<i>est6107</i> (91,081)	19/15	15/21	0.3387	0.8111
K1118	11.18	HBC	<i>aff2 / est8873</i> (1,072,084)	25/21	22/22	0.833	0.6733
SV244	13.7	HBC	<i>pln1</i>	25/20	21/22	0.6697	0.6698
BI183	13.12	HBC	<i>ahb4126</i> (70,334)	3/42	6/38	0.3148	0.0001
Ap016	1.15	LBC	<i>pln3</i>	17/29	19/26	0.6709	0.0464
K01105B	1.31	LBC	<i>pln2</i>	15/18	15/24	0.6341	0.1573
BI152	5.13	LBC	<i>aff4</i>	21/23	23/22	0.8331	0.9156
UN112	6.17	LBC	<i>est5253</i> (838,765)	28/16	21/20	0.2781	0.1585
BI269	11.18	LBC	<i>aff2</i>	22/25	18/29	0.5317	0.1487
SV244	13.7	LBC	<i>pln1</i>	23/20	19/19	0.8254	0.7389
HYAL	14.14	LBC	<i>est10281</i> (705,999)	29/18	29/18	1.000	0.0233

[/] SNP markers are given with their distance to the microsatellite but this is not possible for the QTL due to their unknown exact location.