Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 March 4; 13(5): 1080–1082. doi:10.1021/ol1031238.

Studies toward the Synthesis of Maoecrystal V

Zhenhua Gu and Armen Zakarian*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93110, United States

Abstract

An approach toward the synthesis of maoecrystal V is described. The synthetic strategy for this approach was designed to address unique challenges posed by the strained tetrahydrofuran ring at the center of the target structure.

Maoecrystal V is a densely functionalized C₁₉ diterpenoid containing five highly congested rings and seven stereogenic centers, including two adjacent quaternary centers (**1**, Scheme 1). By far the most modified naturally occurring *ent*-kauranoid from *Isodon* species, it was isolated and characterized by Sun and co-workers in 2004.¹ Maoecrystal V exhibits selective cytotoxicity against HeLa cells (IC₅₀ = 0.02 μg/mL) and virtually no inhibitory activity against K562, A549, BGC-823, and CNE cells.

While the quaternary stereocenters clearly constitute a significant challenge associated with the synthesis of maoecrystal V, it is the central tetrahydrofuran ring that became the focus of attention in formulating our strategy. The five-membered heterocycle is flanked on both sides by *transfused* six-membered rings, which creates notable ring strain that contributes to difficulties in its construction. As a testament to this analysis, many research groups provided successful solutions to the installation of the adjacent quaternary centers, yet the formation of the tetrahydrofuran ring remained unresolved.² Recently, Yang and co-workers completed the synthesis of racemic maoecrystal V by an intramolecular Diels–Alder (IMDA) reaction that in one event forms the central tetrahydrofuran and the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane ring systems.³ The IMDA reaction is preceded by a Wessely oxidative dearomatization, and although it suffers from poor facial selectivity, it does provide a successful synthetic path to (±)-**1**.

The synthesis plan depicting our approach is outlined in Scheme 1. This plan features an early installation of the tetrahydrofuran ring followed by an intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction with an *o*-quinone subunit to construct the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane ring system incorporating a potentially sensitive enol ether.⁴ The enol ether is intended to serve as a radical acceptor for the eventual assembly of the six-membered lactone.⁵ The synthesis will be concluded by the formation of the cyclohexenone via intramolecular aldol cyclization of

ketoaldehyde **2**.⁶ Sesamol (**6**) was identified as the starting material for synthesis of IMDA reaction substrate **5**. Lability of the enol ether in intermediates such as **3** and **4** was of concern during the design stages of this investigation.

Alkylation of sesamol with hindered neopentyl alcohol **7**⁷ was carried out under the Mitsunobu conditions in 63% yield (Scheme 2).⁸ *ortho*-Metalation of **8** with *n*-butyl-lithium in THF at room temperature followed by transmetalation with zinc chloride to the corresponding arylzinc chloride reagent and coupling with methyl chloroacetate delivered ketoester **9** in 46% yield along with the recovery of 25% of the starting material.⁹ The α -ketoester was advanced to α -diazoester **10** by a two-step process involving the intermediacy of the tosylhydrazone generated from **9** and its fragmentation under basic conditions (DBU) in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature.¹⁰

Diazoester **10** served as a substrate for the formation of requisite benzofuran **11** by an intramolecular C–H functionalization process. Exposure of **10** to a catalytic amount of rhodium acetate (1 mol %) in carefully degassed dry CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of 4 Å molecular sieves resulted in a clean transformation affording **11** in 86% yield with 10:1 diastereoselectivity.^{11,12}

In order to explore the feasibility of the IMDA process that forms the basis of our synthesis plan, we chose to introduce a methyl substituent at the C10 position as a mimic of the related quaternary center in the natural product. Thus, for the purposes of this study, the zincate enolate generated from ester **11** by enolization with LiN(SiMe₃)₂ and addition of diethylzinc was treated with iodomethane in the presence of DMPU, affording the alkylation product in quantitative yield as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (3:1, Scheme 3).¹³ Methylation using a more standard procedure without the addition of diethylzinc (LiN(SiMe₃)₂, THF) afforded less than 10% yield of the desired product and was complicated by the formation of intractable mixture of products. Upon reduction of the ester with lithium aluminum hydride, the diastereomers could be separated by column chromatography and alcohol **13** was isolated in 63% yield. Initial attempts at removal of the methyldiene group from the catechol using Pb(OAc)₄–AcOH¹⁴ or BBr₃ were unproductive;¹⁵ however, treatment of **13** with methylmagnesiumbromide (6 equiv) in benzene at reflux afforded the desired monoprotected catechol derivative (**14**) as a single regioisomer in a quantitative yield.¹⁶ The high regioselectivity can be attributed to the directing affect of the primary hydroxyl group in addition to steric effect considerations.

Oxidative dearomatization of **14** with PhI(O₂CCF₃)₂ in ethanol readily afforded protected *o*-quinone **15** that exhibited sufficient stability to be suitable for further studies.¹⁷

A set of substrates for the IMDA cyclization study was prepared as illustrated in Scheme 4. Vinyl carbonate **16**, acrylate **17**, and vinylsulfonate **18** were prepared in good yields by treatment of **15** with vinyl chloroformate, acryloyl chloride, and 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride, respectively. Heating of vinyl carbonate **16** in 1,2-dichlorobenzene (*o*-DCB) at temperatures in the range 130–175 °C in the presence of 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2-methylphenol (BHT) only led to decomposition if any conversion was observed. In contrast, thermal activation of acrylate **17** (160 °C, *o*-DCB) resulted in an efficient cycloaddition affording lactone **19** in an essentially quantitative yield.¹⁸ Notably, compound **19** containing the enol ether functionality was stable to silica gel chromatography and showed no decomposition upon storage at room temperature for several days, documenting its feasibility for an eventual elaboration to maoecrystal V. Treatment of vinyl sulfonate **18** as a solution in toluene or *o*-DCB at ~90 °C in the presence of BHT resulted in decomposition, and no cycloaddition product could be detected. We hypothesized that a trace amount of acid resulting from exposure of **20** to elevated temperatures could lead to decomposition of the

initially formed product. This could be prevented by buffering the reaction medium with a base, and indeed, when **18** was heated at 90 °C in toluene in the presence of BHT and *i*-Pr₂NEt, the cycloaddition product was isolated in 60% yield. As with **19**, enol ether **20** displayed excellent stability to silica gel chromatography and storage.

In conclusion, we reported our progress toward the synthesis of maoecrystal V. Preparation and stability of advanced tricyclic enol ether intermediates **19** and **20** was evaluated. The synthesis features a rhodium-catalyzed C–H functionalization in the synthesis of dihydrobenzofuran **11** and an intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction to form bicyclo[2.2.2]octane ring system from a masked *o*-quinone via an IMDA reaction. The efficient Grignard reagent-mediated regioselective modification of the catechol methyldiene acetal enabled access to the masked *o*-quinone intermediate in a concise manner.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Amgen and Eli Lilly for unrestricted support of our research. This work was supported by NIGMS (R01 GM077379), NSF (CHE-0836757), and the University of California Cancer Research Coordinating Committee. We are grateful to Dr. Hongjun Zhou for assistance with NMR spectroscopy.

References

1. Li S-H, Wang J, Niu X-M, Shen Y-H, Zhang H-J, Sun H-D, Li M-L, Tian Q-E, Lu Y, Cao P, Zheng Q-T. *Org Lett.* 2004; 6:4327–4330. [PubMed: 15524475]
2. (a) Gong J, Lin G, Li C-C, Yang Z. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:4770–4773. [PubMed: 19863143] (b) Krawczuk PJ, Schöne N, Baran PS. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:4774–4776. [PubMed: 19795876] (c) Peng F, Yu M, Danishefsky SJ. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009; 50:6586–6587. [PubMed: 20174449] (d) Nicolaou KC, Dong L, Deng L, Talbot AC, Chen DY-K. *Chem Commun.* 2010; 46:70–72. (e) Lazarski KE, Hu DX, Stern CL, Thomson RJ. *Org Lett.* 2010; 12:3010–3013. [PubMed: 20521835] (f) Baitinger I, Mayer P, Trauner D. *Org Lett.* 2010; 12:5656–5659. [PubMed: 21087008]
3. Gong J, Lin G, Sun W, Li C-C, Yang Z. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:16745–16746. [PubMed: 21049937]
4. For recent examples using masked *ortho*-quinone as substrates for Diels–Alder reactions, see: Chu C-S, Lee T-H, Rao PD, Song L-D, Liao C-C. *J Org Chem.* 1999; 64:4111–4118. Nicolaou KC, Toh Q-Y, Chen DY-K. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:11292–11293. [PubMed: 18665593] Singh V, Bhalerao P, Mobin SM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010; 51:3337–3339.
5. (a) Trost BM, Waser J, Meyer AJ. *Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:16424–16434. (b) Trost BM, Nguyen HM, Koradin C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010; 51:6232–6235. [PubMed: 21076637]
6. Mahrwald R. *Modern Aldol Reactions*. 1 and 22004; Wiley-VCH Weinheim. Recent applications: Stivala CE, Zakarian A. *Org Lett.* 2009; 11:839–842. [PubMed: 19199776] Qin Y, Stivala CE, Zakarian A. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2007; 46:7466–7469. Stivala C, Zakarian A. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:3774–3776. [PubMed: 18311987]
7. O'Connor PD, Kim UB, Brimble MA. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2009:4405–4411.
8. Mitsunobu O, Yamada M, Mukaiyama T. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn.* 1967; 40:935–939.
9. Velkov J, Mincheva Z, Bary J, Boireau G, Fujier C. *Synth Commun.* 1997; 27:375–378.
10. Yang J, Wu H, Shen L, Qin Y. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:13794–13795. [PubMed: 17956099]
11. (a) Davies HML, Manning JR. *Nature.* 2008; 451:417–424. [PubMed: 18216847] (b) Koizumi Y, Kobayashi H, Wakimoto T, Furuta T, Fukuyama T, Kan T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:16854–16855. [PubMed: 19053440] (c) Saito H, Oishi H, Kitagaki S, Nakamura S, Anada M, Hashimoto S. *Org Lett.* 2002; 4:3887–3890. [PubMed: 12599484]

12. The assignment of relative stereochemistry is tentative and is based on literature precedent, see refs. ^{11a-11c}
13. Morita Y, Suzuki M, Noyori RJ. *Org Chem.* 1989; 54:1785–1787. Recent applications: Ilardi EA, Isaacman MJ, Qin Y-c, Shelly SA, Zakarian A. *Tetrahedron.* 2009; 65:3261–3269. Iimira S, Overman LE, Paulini R, Zakarian A. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006; 128:13095–13101. [PubMed: 17017789]
14. Ikeya Y, Taguchi H, Yoshioka I. *Chem Pharm Bull.* 1981; 29:2893–2898.
15. Tamura S, Yoshihira K, Tokumaru M, Zisheng X, Murakami N. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2010; 20:3872–3875. [PubMed: 20627560]
16. Hirao N. *Chem Abstr.* 1931; 25:5156.
17. Compound **16** is stable at –20 °C for a few days.
18. The relative configuration of the product and the corresponding *endo*-selectivity of the IMDA reaction are confirmed by NOE studies.

Scheme 1.
Synthesis Plan for Maoecrystal V

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.