Published in final edited form as:

J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2012 July ; 24(3): 197–202. doi:10.1097/ANA.0b013e31824ac36c.

Hypothermia amplifies somatosensory evoked potentials in uninjured rats

Jai Madhok, MS¹, Dan Wu, MS¹, Wei Xiong, MD^{2,3}, Romergryko G. Geocadin, MD^{2,3}, and Xiaofeng Jia, MD, PhD^{1,2,4,*}

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

²Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

³Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

⁴Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

Abstract

Temperature fluctuations significantly impact neurologic injuries in intensive care units. As benefits of therapeutic hypothermia continue to unfold, many of these discoveries are generated by studies in animal models undergoing experimental procedures under the influence of anesthetics. We studied the effect of induced hypothermia on neural electrophysiological signals of an uninjured brain in a rodent model while under isoflurane. Fourteen rats were divided into two groups ($n=7$ each), based on electrode placement, at either frontal-occipital (FO) or primary somatosensory (SS) cortical locations. Neural signals were recorded during normothermia ($T=36.5\text{--}37.5^\circ\text{C}$), mild hypothermia ($T=32\text{--}34^\circ\text{C}$) and hyperthermia ($T=38.5\text{--}39.5^\circ\text{C}$). Burst-suppression ratio (BSR) was used to evaluate EEGs, and amplitude-latency analysis was used to assess SSEPs. Hypothermia was characterized by an increased BSR (Mean $\pm$ STD) of 0.58 ± 0.06 in hypothermia versus 0.16 ± 0.13 in normothermia, $p<0.001$ in FO; and 0.30 ± 0.13 in hypothermia versus 0.04 ± 0.04 in normothermia, $p=0.006$ in SS. There was potentiation of SSEP (2.89 ± 1.24 times normothermic baseline in hypothermia, $p=0.02$) and prolonged peak latency (N10: 10.8 ± 0.4 msec in hypothermia versus 9.1 ± 0.3 msec in normothermia; P15: 16.2 ± 0.8 msec in hypothermia versus 13.7 ± 0.6 msec in normothermia; $p<0.001$), whereas hyperthermia was primarily marked by shorter peak latencies (N10: 8.6 ± 0.2 msec, P15: 12.6 ± 0.4 msec; $p<0.001$). In the absence of brain injury in a rodent model, hypothermia induces significant increase to the SSEP amplitude while increasing SSEP latency. Hypothermia also suppressed EEGs at different regions of the brain by different degrees. The changes to SSEP and EEG are both reversible with subsequent rewarming.

Keywords

somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs); EEG; burst suppression; hypothermia; anesthesia

Corresponding Author: Xiaofeng Jia MD, PhD, 720 Rutland Ave., Traylor 710B, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, 21205. Tel: 410-502-6958, Fax: 410-502-9814, xjia1@jhmi.edu.

Portions of this work were presented at the 2010 Neurocritical Care Society Meeting on September 15–18, 2010 in San Francisco, CA.

1. Introduction

Hypothermia has been suggested as a means for neuroprotection after cardiac arrest^{1, 2}, traumatic spinal cord injury³, stroke⁴, cardiac surgery⁵, and brain trauma⁶. At the same time, it is also known that hyperthermia can exacerbate neural injury, shown in some animal⁷ and clinical studies⁸.

In the development of neuroprotective strategies for acute brain injury, there has been an increasing need to move basic research findings to the clinical setting via translational research or preclinical studies that involve whole animal preparations under some form of anesthetic. While a large number of studies have focused on demonstrating the benefits of hypothermic intervention after neural injury in animal models and humans, the effect of temperature changes in a non-injured brain in-vivo remains unclear. Anesthetics have direct effects on the neural response to experimental injuries and interventions. Several studies have dealt with the effect of temperature on neural activity but the presence of multiple confounding factors, including different surgical procedures like cardiopulmonary bypass⁹, varying anesthetics, rate of cooling, and depth of temperature change, have led to mixed results.

The uninjured brain's response to hypothermia has been studied using neuroimaging¹⁰; however, imaging techniques can only describe the indirect changes that occur in the brain after neuronal activation, whereas electrophysiological recordings provide direct measures of underlying neuronal activation. In this study, we use a rat model to determine the effects of temperature changes on neural activity in a standard procedure under isoflurane as the anesthetic without any form of neural injury. Specifically, we investigated changes to both spontaneous (EEG) and evoked neural activity (SSEPs) at clinically relevant temperature ranges: mild hypothermia (32–34°C) and mild hyperthermia (38.5–39.5 °C).

2. Materials and methods

Fourteen adult male Wistar rats were used for this study. The animal protocol used in this study was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.

2.1 Electrode implantation

One week prior to the experiment, the rats were implanted with epidural screw electrodes (Plastics One, Roanoke, VA). In the first group ($n=7$), the electrodes were placed over the frontal (F1, F2: 6 mm anterior and ± 3 mm lateral to bregma) and occipital (O1, O2: 7.5 mm posterior and ± 3 mm lateral to bregma) regions of the rat '10–20 system'^{11, 12}, with a reference at the center (Cz: 1.5 mm posterior to bregma at the midline). In the second group ($n=7$) the electrodes were placed over the Forelimb (FL) and hindlimb (HL) regions of bilateral primary somatosensory cortex (S1FL: 1 mm posterior and ± 3.8 mm lateral to bregma); HL: 2 mm posterior and ± 1.5 mm lateral to bregma) as previously described^{13, 14} (Figure 1).

2.2 Experimental procedure

On the day of the experiment, the rats were anesthetized via a tight-fitting facemask Kent Scientific Corporation, Torrington, Connecticut) using 1.5% isoflurane in 1:1 N₂/O₂ by a standard precision anesthesia vaporizer [Penlon Sigma Delta, Penlon Ltd., Abingdon, Oxon, UK] for the entire experiment. Anesthetic depth at the prescribed concentration of delivered volatile anesthetic was consistent in reproducing a tranquil but cardio-pulmonary stable animal. This concentration of isoflurane has been used in prior studies with the same experimental anesthesia model when median nerve stimulation is applied^{13–15}. Adequate

oxygenation and acid-base balance was verified via arterial blood gas (ABG) measurements as in previous experiments with or without cardiac arrest^{11, 13, 14, 16}. Recent studies from the National Academies Institute for Laboratory Animal Research (ILAR) Journal et al have also suggested the most appropriate isoflurane dose level was 1.5%, yielding stable MAP and HR values comparable to those observed in the animal's conscious state^{17, 18}. We recognize the limitation of using a facemask for anesthesia and potential inter-animal variability. However, in our experiments, we observe very little signal (EEG or SSEP) variability between animals given a set isoflurane level.

The rats were placed on a heating pad and the temperature continuously measured using a semi-automated temperature monitoring system (Mon-a-Therm 6510, Mallinckrodt Medical Inc, St Louis, MO). In order to record evoked somatosensory cortex activity, the median nerves were stimulated by needle electrodes which were connected to a stimulus generator with direct current stimulation using pulses that were 6mA in amplitude and 200 μ s wide at a frequency of 0.5 Hz^{13, 14}. Stimulation was performed one side at a time in an alternating fashion. A stimulation current of 6 mA was chosen for median nerve stimulation as this was the current required to induce "supramaximal" stimulation in the vast majority of our rats. Data was sampled at a frequency of 6.1KHz for SSEPs and 305 Hz for EEG using the Tucker Davis Technologies (TDT, Alachua, FL) System 3 data acquisition system.

2.3 Temperature modulation and recording protocol

Rat temperature was recorded from a rectal probe. After the rats were stabilized at normothermic temperature (36.5–37.5 °C), baseline EEG and SSEPs were recorded for 15mins. The rat was then cooled down to the hypothermic range (32–34 °C) by external systemic cooling using a fan and alcohol-water mist spray^{11, 16}. In all rats, the transition to hypothermia was achieved within 15mins. The temperature was allowed to stabilize within the hypothermic range prior to recording to reach a thermo steady state¹⁶. Finally, the rats were gradually warmed up to the hyperthermic range (38.5–39.5 °C) over a period of 60–75 minutes (~15 min/°C) using an infra-red lamp (Thermalet TH-5, model 6333, Phyritemp, NJ, USA), and signals were recorded for 15mins after stabilization of temperature around 39 °C. The rats were allowed to cool down to normal temperature before they were returned to the cage. All rats used in this study survived the experiment.

2.4 Quantification of EEG burst suppression

Burst-suppression (BS) is defined as an EEG pattern where high amplitude δ (0.5–4 Hz) and/or θ (4–7Hz) waves, often with high frequency components, are interspersed with relatively inactive periods that occur in an irregular stochastic fashion. The most common time-domain parameter employed to quantify the bursting phenomenon is the burst-suppression ratio (BSR)¹⁹, which represents the percentage of time the EEG remains suppressed. Suppression is defined as periods longer than 0.5 sec during which the EEG amplitude typically does not exceed $\pm 10 \mu$ V. BSR was calculated as the duration of suppression as a fraction of segment length. An amplitude threshold between ± 10 –25 μ V based on different baseline amplitude of each channel was selected for detection of suppression stretches based upon the baseline EEG amplitude. BSR was computed for minute-long windows and then averaged over the duration of the recording in each temperature phase. Monopolar EEG signals from all 4 channels were low-pass filtered before processing with a 4th order Butterworth filter at a cut-off frequency of 50Hz in order to cut off the 60Hz noise and high frequency noise. Prior to analysis, the raw signals were examined in order to identify segments/channels with electrical noise or motion artifacts.

2.5 Amplitude-latency analysis of SSEPs

Bipolar SSEPs were computed using the difference of the contralateral forelimb and hindlimb channels implanted on each cerebral hemisphere. The average of every 30 sweeps was mean-corrected and the first 5–25 msec of each 150 msec waveform was used for further processing. SSEP peaks were identified using an automated peak detection algorithm in user-defined windows of interest to determine peak-to-peak amplitudes and peak latencies. The inter-peak latency was taken to be the difference between the first negative and first positive peak. Amplitude calculations were normalized to the mean amplitudes at normothermia for comparison. We focused on capturing the changes to the amplitudes during hypothermia and hyperthermia for each rat as each rat had a variable amplitude baseline during normothermia.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using MedCalc for Windows, version 11.2.1.0 (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). The normality of the EEG and SSEP derived parameters was verified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. All data are presented as mean±standard deviation (STD). As signals from each rat were recorded during different temperature phases, differences were evaluated using repeated measures analysis of variance. The *p*-values were Bonferroni corrected and *p*<0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1 Hypothermia leads to reversible EEG burst suppression

The EEG (here refers to spontaneous brain activity without stimulation) in both groups showed continuous activity with low BSRs (on average <10% in SS and <20% in FO) during normothermia. With the onset of hypothermia, the EEG showed characteristic BS patterns with variable burst durations and inter-burst intervals (Figure 2). Frontal occipital regions had 58 ±8% suppression and the primary somatosensory areas had an average BSR of 30 ±12% (Figure 3). Upon re-warming, the EEG waves fused to continuous waveforms. Mild hyperthermia did not show significant differences in BSR when compared to normothermia (Figure 3).

3.2 Temperature dependence of the SSEP

The rat's SSEP is characterized by a triphasic waveform with a negative peak around 10ms (N10) which is equivalent to the commonly observed N20 potential in human, followed by a positive peak around 15ms (P15) (Figure 4).

Marked changes in the peak amplitudes and latencies of the evoked potentials were observed in response to changes in temperature (Figure 5). SSEPs demonstrated a sharp increase in N10-P15 peak-to-peak amplitudes (2.89 ± 1.24 times normothermia baseline in hypothermia, *p*=0.02) accompanied by a transmission delay of the obligate potentials. The N10 and P15 latencies were delayed on average by 18–19% during hypothermia (**N10**: 10.8 ± 0.4 msec; **P15**: 16.2 ± 0.8 msec) compared to normothermia (**N10**: 9.1 ± 0.3 msec; **P15**: 13.7 ± 0.6 msec (*p*<0.0001). Moreover, the N10-P15 inter-peak latency is also prolonged during hypothermia (5.4 ± 0.6 msec) compared to normothermia (4.6 ± 0.4 msec) (*p*=0.005).

Upon warming to the mild-hyperthermia, SSEP amplitudes fluctuated around baseline values ($1.29, \pm 1.14$ times normothermia baseline, *p*=1.0), whereas a decrease in latencies was observed indicating faster impulse conduction in the underlying somatosensory pathway (**N10**: 8.6 ± 0.2 msec; **P15**: 12.6 ± 0.4 msec) (*p*<0.001).

No significant decrease in the N10-P15 inter-peak latency was observed in hyperthermia (3.8 ± 0.8 msec) ($p=0.11$).

4. Discussion

This study demonstrates the effects of induced mild hypothermia (32–34 °C) and mild hyperthermia (38.5–39.5°C) on EEG and SSEPs in a rodent model without neural injury in the setting of spontaneous circulation using isoflurane as the anesthetic. While negligible BS was observed during normothermia, the suppression increased significantly during hypothermia. The observed increase in BS can either be attributed to hypothermia-induced metabolic suppression or may result from the hypothermic potentiation of isoflurane-induced metabolic suppression²⁰. We have previously shown that there is more bursting in animals subjected to hypoxic-ischemic injury during hyperthermia compared to normothermia possibly due to an increased metabolic rate²¹.

The prolongation of SSEP latencies in hypothermia and faster conduction during hyperthermia has been reported in several studies^{22, 23}. In our study, both the N10 latency and N10-P15 inter-peak latency are delayed. It is known that the rising slope of N10 is most likely generated by the thalamocortical radiation²⁴ with the subsequent components of the evoked response (the N10 to P15 waveform and beyond) are speculated to be the result of corticocortical interactions²⁴. The delay in N10 is therefore indicative of a conduction delay at the subcortical level of transmission, and the increase of N10-P15 inter-peak latency suggests that corticocortical networks are also slowed down.

The effect of hypothermia on SSEP amplitudes remains unclear and unpredictable²⁵. It has been well established that increases in concentrations of halogenated anesthetics like isoflurane suppress SSEPs^{22, 26}. Further, hypothermia is known to down-regulate cerebral metabolism²⁷. In accordance with this, we would expect a suppressed cortical SSEP during hypothermia; however a 2–4 folds increase in amplitudes was observed. The counter-intuitive increase in SSEP amplitudes observed in our study points towards a hypothermia-mediated regulation of cortical activity. Though we are not able to explain the exact mechanism of hypothermic-potentiation by this experiment alone, we speculate that hypothermia reduces the basal tone of cortical firing that acts synergistically with the diminished activity of inhibitory cortical interneurons to produce a hyper-excitable state^{28, 29} in the cortex. This 'hyper-excitable' state may lead to a larger evoked response upon arrival of an afferent stimulus to the cortex. Since isoflurane-induced BS does not affect thalamic sensory processing³⁰, the amplified response upon stimulation may be due to the synchronous recruitment of a larger number of thalamocortical and/or cortical neurons.

Technical error such as EEG artifacts or noise would likely only have very minimal impact on our consistently observed SSEP amplitude increase. Assuming that these artifacts or noise occur in a random fashion, any effects would be averaged out in our data analysis. The fact that we consistently observed this amplitude increase and that differences were very significant leads us to believe that this is a very real observation. Furthermore, we evaluated SSEPs during spontaneous circulation, as described in the clinical study by Lang et al.³¹, which also described a higher SSEP amplitude during hypothermia. This is in contrast to prior clinical findings in models of cardiopulmonary bypass surgery, where hypothermia is known to suppress the somatosensory response³². The difference in the effects of hypothermia may be due to the presence of spontaneous pulsatile circulation.

Our study shows that both spontaneous and evoked neural responses are sensitive to changes in temperature. While mild hyperthermia may not cause any significant changes to the EEG in the non-injured brain, hypothermia may act synergistically with isoflurane and suppresses

the tone of basal cortical activity leading to electroencephalic suppression. As the afferent volley from the thalamus reaches the cortex, a larger population of cortical neurons is recruited, leading to a more synchronized, hence amplified, SSEP. This also indicates that the synergistic effect of hypothermia and isoflurane is not functionally equivalent to the effect of increasing the anesthetic concentration alone which would have resulted in the suppression of cortical SSEPs. A potential limitation in this study is that we randomized the temperature manipulation methods by using hypothermia first for all rats without verifying the effect if the sequence of procedures were switched.

As we undertake more translational studies with animals subjected to anesthetics in conjunction with experimentally induced injuries and further modify how we deliver hypothermia, it is critical that we develop a firm grasp of the uninjured brain's response to temperature modulation paradigms to fully understand the impact of our interventions. While experiments are typically undertaken with a concomitant a control group, the analysis of data is always focused on the intervention and results are interpreted with no in-depth focus of the response in the control group. In this study, we provide observations that neuro-electrophysiologic responses are clearly altered in uninjured animals, and we hope that a full appreciation of these changes will help us design better experiments and guide us to a more precise interpretation of data that is generated.

From a clinical practice consideration, these observations further highlight the temperature-dependent nature of EEGs and SSEPs, as these signals are frequently studied in conjunction with anesthetics in operating rooms and intensive care units for assessing neurological function, diagnosis, and prognosis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants 09SGD2110140 from the American Heart Association and RO1 HL071568 from the National Institute of Health. The authors would like to thank Dr. Marek Mirski from Johns Hopkins University for the helpful discussion.

References

1. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med.* 2002; 346:549–56. [PubMed: 11856793]
2. Bernard SA, Gray TW, Buist MD, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. *N Engl J Med.* 2002; 346:557–63. [PubMed: 11856794]
3. Ha KY, Kim YH. Neuroprotective effect of moderate epidural hypothermia after spinal cord injury in rats. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2008; 33:2059–65. [PubMed: 18758361]
4. Yenari MA, Zhao H, Giffard RG, et al. Gene therapy and hypothermia for stroke treatment. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2003; 993:54–68. discussion 79–81. [PubMed: 12853295]
5. Spencer FC, Bahnson HT. The present role of hypothermia in cardiac surgery. *Circulation.* 1962; 26:292–300. [PubMed: 13915665]
6. Jiang JY, Yang XF. Current status of cerebral protection with mild-to-moderate hypothermia after traumatic brain injury. *Curr Opin Crit Care.* 2007; 13:153–5. [PubMed: 17327735]
7. Hickey RW, Kochanek PM, Ferimer H, et al. Induced hyperthermia exacerbates neurologic neuronal histologic damage after asphyxial cardiac arrest in rats. *Crit Care Med.* 2003; 31:531–5. [PubMed: 12576962]
8. Zeiner A, Holzer M, Sterz F, et al. Hyperthermia after cardiac arrest is associated with an unfavorable neurologic outcome. *Arch Intern Med.* 2001; 161:2007–12. [PubMed: 11525703]
9. Michenfelder JD, Milde JH. The relationship among canine brain temperature, metabolism, and function during hypothermia. *Anesthesiology.* 1991; 75:130–6. [PubMed: 2064037]

10. Li, N.; Thakor, NV.; Jia, X. Laser speckle imaging reveals multi- aspects of cerebral vascular responses to whole body mild hypothermia in rat. 33rd Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society; Boston, MA. 2011.
11. Jia X, Koenig MA, Shin HC, et al. Improving neurological outcomes post-cardiac arrest in a rat model: Immediate hypothermia and quantitative EEG monitoring. *Resuscitation*. 2008; 76:431–42. [PubMed: 17936492]
12. Jia X, Koenig MA, Shin HC, et al. Quantitative EEG and neurological recovery with therapeutic hypothermia after asphyxial cardiac arrest in rats. *Brain Res*. 2006; 1111:166–75. [PubMed: 16919609]
13. Xiong W, Koenig MA, Madhok J, et al. Evolution of Somatosensory Evoked Potentials after Cardiac Arrest induced hypoxic-ischemic injury. *Resuscitation*. 2010; 81:893–7. [PubMed: 20418008]
14. Madhok J, Maybhate A, Xiong W, et al. Quantitative assessment of somatosensory-evoked potentials after cardiac arrest in rats: Prognostication of functional outcomes. *Crit Care Med*. 2010; 38:1709–17. [PubMed: 20526197]
15. Wu D, Anastassios B, Xiong W, et al. Study of the origin of short- and long-latency SSEP during recovery from brain ischemia in a rat model. *Neurosci Lett*. 485:157–61. [PubMed: 20816917]
16. Jia X, Koenig MA, Nickl R, et al. Early electrophysiologic markers predict functional outcome associated with temperature manipulation after cardiac arrest in rats. *Crit Care Med*. 2008; 36:1909–16. [PubMed: 18496359]
17. Constantinides C, Mean R, Janssen BJ. Effects of isoflurane anesthesia on the cardiovascular function of the C57BL/6 mouse. *ILAR J*. 2011; 52:22–32.
18. Knudsen J, Nauntofte B, Josipovic M, et al. Effects of isoflurane anesthesia and pilocarpine on rat parotid saliva flow. *Radiat Res*. 2011; 176:84–8. [PubMed: 21299403]
19. Rampil IJ, Laster MJ. No correlation between quantitative electroencephalographic measurements and movement response to noxious stimuli during isoflurane anesthesia in rats. *Anesthesiology*. 1992; 77:920–5. [PubMed: 1443747]
20. Reinsfelt B, Westerlind A, Houtz E, et al. The effects of isoflurane-induced electroencephalographic burst suppression on cerebral blood flow velocity and cerebral oxygen extraction during cardiopulmonary bypass. *Anesth Analg*. 2003; 97:1246–50. [PubMed: 14570630]
21. Jia X, Koenig MA, Venkatraman A, et al. Post-cardiac arrest temperature manipulation alters early EEG bursting in rats. *Resuscitation*. 2008; 78:367–73. [PubMed: 18597914]
22. Browning JL, Heizer ML, Baskin DS. Variations in corticomotor and somatosensory evoked potentials: effects of temperature, halothane anesthesia, and arterial partial pressure of CO₂. *Anesth Analg*. 1992; 74:643–8. [PubMed: 1567029]
23. Oro J, Haghighi SS. Effects of altering core body temperature on somatosensory and motor evoked potentials in rats. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1992; 17:498–503. [PubMed: 1621147]
24. Ahmed I. Use of somatosensory evoked responses in the prediction of outcome from coma. *Clin Electroencephalogr*. 1988; 19:78–86. [PubMed: 3396210]
25. Kottenberg-Assenmacher E, Armbruster W, Bornfeld N, et al. Hypothermia does not alter somatosensory evoked potential amplitude and global cerebral oxygen extraction during marked sodium nitroprusside-induced arterial hypotension. *Anesthesiology*. 2003; 98:1112–8. [PubMed: 12717132]
26. Liu EH, Wong HK, Chia CP, et al. Effects of isoflurane and propofol on cortical somatosensory evoked potentials during comparable depth of anaesthesia as guided by bispectral index. *Br J Anaesth*. 2005; 94:193–7. [PubMed: 15516356]
27. Rojl G, Fuchtemeier M, Leithner C, et al. Hypothermia effects on neurovascular coupling and cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen. *Neuroimage*. 2008; 40:1523–32. [PubMed: 18343160]
28. Kroeger D, Amzica F. Hypersensitivity of the anesthesia-induced comatose brain. *J Neurosci*. 2007; 27:10597–607. [PubMed: 17898231]
29. Ferron JF, Kroeger D, Chever O, et al. Cortical inhibition during burst suppression induced with isoflurane anesthesia. *J Neurosci*. 2009; 29:9850–60. [PubMed: 19657037]

30. Detsch O, Kochs E, Siemers M, et al. Increased responsiveness of cortical neurons in contrast to thalamic neurons during isoflurane-induced EEG bursts in rats. *Neurosci Lett*. 2002; 317:9–12. [PubMed: 11750984]
31. Lang M, Welte M, Syben R, et al. Effects of hypothermia on median nerve somatosensory evoked potentials during spontaneous circulation. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol*. 2002; 14:141–5. [PubMed: 11907395]
32. Markand ON, Warren C, Mallik GS, et al. Effects of hypothermia on short latency somatosensory evoked potentials in humans. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol*. 1990; 77:416–24. [PubMed: 1701704]

Figure 1. Schematics of the electrode placement locations on the rat brain for recording EEG and median-nerve evoked potentials. Montage (A) shows placement of the electrodes for EEG recordings over the frontal-occipital regions and (B) shows the electrode positions on the primary somatosensory forelimb and hindlimb regions for evoked potential recordings.

Figure 2.

Representative EEG traces during the different temperature phases of the experiment in the frontal/occipital and primary somatosensory regions. Induced hypothermia results in a burst-suppression pattern, with periods of high amplitude activity interspersed with periods of relative quiescence. The continuous nature of the EEG waveform is restored upon gradual re-warming to the mild hyperthermic range.

Figure 3.

Summary of the Burst Suppression Ratio (BSR) in the frontal-occipital (A) and somatosensory regions (B) regions during normothermia, hypothermia, and hyperthermia respectively. This increase is reversible and burst suppression levels decrease to around normothermia levels during mild hyperthermia. (A: Normo-Hypo $p=0.002$, Normo-Hyper $p=0.06$, Hypo-Hyper $p<0.001$; B: Normo-Hypo $p=0.006$, Normo-Hyper $p=0.41$, Hypo-Hyper $p=0.06$)

Figure 4. Representative somatosensory evoked potential traces during normothermia, hypothermia and hyperthermia. The lighter waveforms are 30-sweep bipolar averages obtained during the 15min recording with the average for the entire recording block superimposed as the dark waveforms.

Figure 5.

N10-P15 Peak-to-Peak amplitudes and corresponding peak latencies of the Somatosensory Evoked Potentials (SSEPs) during normothermia, hypothermia, and hyperthermia respectively. Panel (A) shows that there is marked increase in SSEP amplitudes during hypothermia (Normo-Hypo $p=0.02$, Hypo-Hyper $p=0.02$) and no significant difference between hyperthermia and normothermia amplitudes. (B) and (C) indicate that the peak latencies are increased during hypothermia and reduced during hyperthermia (N10: Normo-Hypo $p<0.001$, Hypo-Hyper $p<0.001$, Normo-Hyper $p=0.02$; P15: Normo-Hypo $p<0.001$, Hypo-Hyper $p<0.001$, Normo-Hyper $p=0.04$). (D) shows the corresponding trend in inter-peak latencies (Normo-Hypo $p=0.005$, Hypo-Hyper $p=0.001$, Normo-Hyper $p=0.11$).