The Effects of Habitat on Coral Bleaching Responses in Kenya

Gabriel Grimsditch, Jervas M. Mwaura,
Joseph Kilonzo, Nassir Amiyo

Received: 30 April 2009 / Revised: 8 February 2010 / Accepted: 15 March 2010 / Published online: 13 May 2010

Abstract This study examines the bleaching responses of scleractinian corals at four sites in Kenya (Kanamai, Vipingo, Mombasa and Nyali) representing two distinct lagoon habitats (relatively shallow and relatively deep). Bleaching incidence was monitored for the whole coral community, while zooxanthellae densities and chlorophyll levels were monitored for target species (*Pocillopora damicornis*, *Porites lutea*, and *Porites cylindrica*) during a non-bleaching year (2006) and a year of mild-bleaching (2007). Differences in bleaching responses between habitats were observed, with shallower sites Kanamai and Vipingo exhibiting lower bleaching incidence than deeper sites Nyali and Mombasa. These shallower lagoons display more fluctuating thermal and light environments than the deeper sites, suggesting that corals in the shallower lagoons have acclimatized and/or adapted to the fluctuating environmental conditions they endure on a daily basis and have become more resistant to bleaching stress. In deeper sites that did exhibit higher bleaching (Mombasa and Nyali), it was found that coral recovery occurred more quickly in the protected area than in the non-protected area.

Keywords Coral reef · Bleaching · Resilience

INTRODUCTION

Climatic changes linked with global warming are causing increased frequency of coral bleaching events worldwide. Coral bleaching events are usually associated with above-average water temperatures and high irradiance levels that cause the disruption of the obligate symbiosis between scleractinian corals and micro-algal zooxanthellae (Hoegh-Guldberg 1999). The symbiotic zooxanthellae are expelled and the coral is left in a weakened state that can eventually

lead to mortality of colonies and even a phase shift from a coral-dominated to an algal-dominated reef with a subsequent loss of biodiversity (Glynn 1993; Nystrom et al. 2000).

However, it is possible for corals to either resist conditions that cause coral bleaching or to recover from bleaching events (resilience). Resistance can be defined as the ability of an ecosystem to withstand disturbances without undergoing a phase shift or losing neither structure nor function. Resilience can be defined as the ability of a system or an individual to absorb or recover from disturbance and change, while recovering its functions. Several ecological and environmental factors can affect a coral reef's resistance and resilience to bleaching events. These include the coral reef's community species composition, herbivory levels, zooxanthellae population, temperature and irradiance history, connectivity to other reefs, water movement, and shading or screening properties (Grimsditch and Salm 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg 1999; West and Salm 2003).

Furthermore, it has been shown that it is possible for colonies to acclimatize to increased temperatures and high irradiance levels so that they are able to resist bleaching events when they occur. Acclimatization can be defined as the ability of an organism to undergo phenotypic changes in response to stress in the natural environment that result in the readjustment of the organism's tolerance levels to that stress (Coles and Brown 2003). Threshold temperatures that induce coral bleaching-related mortality vary worldwide (from 27°C in Easter Island; Wellington et al. 2001, to 36°C in the Arabian Gulf; Riegl 1999) according to the maximum water temperatures that are normal in the area, implying a capacity of corals and/or zooxanthellae to acclimatize to high temperatures depending on their environment. Furthermore, corals that are regularly exposed to

Fig. 1 Map of study sites

stressful environmental conditions have been shown to acclimatize and exhibit physiological tolerance to elevated temperatures and UV-radiation that exceed normal thresholds (Brown et al. 2000, 2002a, b; Coles and Brown 2003).

In order to combat the worst effects of climate change and to conserve this valuable ecosystem, it is important to determine which factors affect coral reef bleaching resistance and resilience and to apply this knowledge in management plans. Although bleaching events cannot be prevented by managers, by implementing appropriate management responses it is possible to help a coral reef recover from bleaching and to mitigate the worst effects.

This study examines the bleaching responses of corals at four sites (Nyali, Mombasa Marine Park, Kanamai, and Vipingo, Fig. 1) representing two distinct lagoon habitats on the Kenyan coast (deeper and shallower lagoons). The sites were characterized using environmental parameters such as depth, water flow, light, and temperature. Bleaching responses were monitored for the general coral community and zooxanthellae densities and chlorophyll levels were monitored for target species (*Pocillopora damicornis*, *Porites lutea*, and *Porites cylindrica*) during a non-bleaching year (2006) and a mild bleaching year (2007).

The objective of this study is thus to determine whether corals in different habitats display different bleaching responses (i.e. resistance and resilience) and to suggest which environmental characteristics are responsible for the variation in response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in 2006 and 2007 at four patch reefs within lagoons: Mombasa Marine Park (MMP), Nyali, Kanamai, and Vipingo in Kenya at a latitude of $\sim 4^{\circ}\text{S}$ (Fig. 1). The coral reefs in this area are coastal fringing crest reefs that form a natural barrier to the wave energy of the ocean creating lagoons where this study was carried out. MMP is protected from all extractive uses, including fishing, but is a primary site for snorkeling and SCUBA diving for tourists. Nyali is a marine reserve where only traditional fishing methods are allowed and is also regularly visited by tourists. Kanamai and Vipingo are unprotected shallow sites characterized by extensive fishing practices, but have lower tourist pressure.

Five large and healthy coral colonies for each target species (*Pocillopora damicornis*, *Porites cylindrica*, and

Porites lutea) were mapped at the two shallower lagoons (Kanamai and Vipingo, 0.4 m depth and 0.6 m at low tide, respectively) and the two deeper lagoons MMP and Nyali, 1.4 m and 1.8 m depth at low tide, respectively). Target species were chosen according to general abundance and bleaching susceptibility, with one highly susceptible (*Pocillopora damicornis*), one moderate (*Porites cylindrica*), and one resistant (*Porites lutea*) target species (Obura 2001). Coral size class data for all genera were collected for two fixed 25 × 2 m transects at each site. The coral size classes recorded were 0–5, 5–10, 10–20, 20–40, 40–80, 80–160, and >160 cm. Line intercept transect data for substrate types (hard coral, soft coral, macroalgae, turf algae, sponges, rock, sand, other invertebrates, etc.) were also collected on the same 25 m transects.

When bleaching occurs in Kenya, it occurs during the late northeast monsoon from mid March when doldrum conditions become most intense to late April when the first storms of the southeast monsoon induce cooling of surface waters. In the 2 years of the study, sampling began before bleaching during the northeast monsoon (February and early March), continued during bleaching season of the northeast monsoon (late March and April), and finished during the recovery period of the southeast monsoon (May, June and July).

Coral fragments were collected monthly from the mapped colonies using a chisel. The fragments were transported to the laboratory submerged in seawater in small plastic bottles and were held in an aerated seawater tank. Coral tissue was removed from the skeleton using a water jet. Seawater was then added to the tissue to make up a practical volume, usually 500 ml. The tissue slurry was homogenized using a hand-held homogenizer and 1.0 ml of homogenate was loaded into a Sedgwick-Rafter chamber. Using a compound binocular microscope and a magnification of ×400, the number of zooxanthellae in 10 random quadrats was recorded. The counting chamber was then reloaded and another 10 random quadrats were counted. The area of the coral skeleton was measured using the aluminum foil method; the area/weight ratio of aluminum foil was determined and coral skeletons were then wrapped in this foil. The foil was then trimmed to fit the skeleton area and weighed. Using the weight of the trimmed foil and the area/weight ratio for the foil, the skeleton area could be determined.

To measure chlorophyll *a* levels, a known volume of the homogenate was filtered through a GF/F filter paper and the filtrate was dissolved in 10 ml of 90% acetone. It was then centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 rpm and the chlorophyll absorbance was read using a spectrophotometer.

If it was observed, bleaching incidence was recorded in the water using a random swim method. This consists of noting the size class, genus, and bleached/pale/dead

percentages of each bleached colony in 20 haphazard 2 m² areas at each site.

Water temperature was monitored using underwater temperature loggers that were left at each site throughout the whole year for 2 years (Fig. 2). Light was monitored using a light meter for only 24-h periods on the same day and time at each site to avoid theft and algae over growth of the light meter. Water flow was measured using a clod card method (McClanahan et al. 2005). Plaster-of-Paris clod cards were made in an ice-cube tray, dried and weighed before being deployed in the field in small net bags that were tied to the substrate. They were collected 24 h later, dried, and weighed again in order to determine how much had dissolved.

Analysis

Current speed in g d^{−1} (24 h) was obtained by dividing weight loss by deployment time. Weight loss (g) was then converted to flow speed ($V_w = \text{cm s}^{-1}$) using the equation $V_w = (DW_{\text{clod}} - 0.932)/2.357$, which was obtained by studies of clod dissolution in a flume with controlled water flow (Anzai 2001).

Statistical analyses were performed using the program Minitab (version 10.1). Differences in zooxanthellae density were investigated using the Man–Whitney *U*-test and the Kruskal–Wallis test.

RESULTS

Temperature

The shallower lagoons, Kanamai and Vipingo, displayed the most extreme temperature conditions (Fig. 2), with higher standard deviations ($\pm 1.9^\circ\text{C}$ and $\pm 1.5^\circ\text{C}$, respectively), higher maximum temperatures (36.2°C and 33.8°C , respectively), lower minimum temperatures (24.0°C and 23.7°C , respectively), and bigger differences between diurnal and nocturnal temperatures ($+0.9^\circ\text{C}$ and $+0.4^\circ\text{C}$, respectively) than the deeper lagoons. Between the two, Kanamai displayed the more extreme fluctuations, as well as the highest average temperature overall (27.8°C) (Fig. 3).

The deeper lagoons of MMP and Nyali displayed less pronounced temperature variations with lower standard deviations (both $\pm 1.4^\circ\text{C}$) as well as smaller maximum–minimum ($+8.9^\circ\text{C}$ and $+8.1^\circ\text{C}$, respectively) and diurnal–nocturnal (both $+0.2^\circ\text{C}$) differences than the shallower lagoons. However, the deeper lagoons displayed bigger temperature increases from the 2006 to 2007 northeast monsoon bleaching seasons, with a $+0.9^\circ\text{C}$ increase in

Fig. 2 Temperature graphs for all four study sites during the period of the study (March 2006 to June 2007). Temperature was logged every half an hour

Nyali and a $+0.8^{\circ}\text{C}$ increase in MMP compared to a $+0.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ increase in both Kanamai and Vipingo.

Light

As expected, the shallow lagoons, Kanamai and Vipingo, also displayed more extreme light regimes than the deeper

lagoon MMP. Unfortunately, light data for Nyali was not available due to technical problems. Kanamai ($4913 \pm 14,315$ lux) and Vipingo ($4758 \pm 10,489$ lux) both displayed much higher average light levels, higher standard deviations, and higher maxima (70,846 lux and 110,223 lux respectively) than MMP (average of 518 ± 879 lux, maximum of 5167 lux). Although light data is unavailable

Fig. 3 Average ($\pm$ standard deviation), maximum, and minimum water temperatures during study period

for Nyali, it is likely that light levels are similar to MMP given the depth and sediment levels of the site.

Water Flow

There was no clear trend between water flow at deeper and shallower lagoons. MMP consistently displayed the highest average water flow during both neap (6.4 cm/s) and spring tide cycles (9.0 cm/s). Kanamai (5.4 cm/s) displayed similar water flow to Nyali (5.5 cm/s) and Vipingo (5.6 cm/s) during neap tide and, although unfortunately data for spring tide at Nyali is unavailable, it appears that MMP stands out as the site displaying highest water flow. Overall, Kanamai displayed the lowest water flow during both neap and spring tides (8.1 cm/s).

Substrate Cover

MMP and Kanamai displayed higher hard coral cover (28.4% and 23.0%, respectively) while Nyali and Vipingo displayed lower hard coral cover (14.7% and 10.6%, respectively), showing no trend with depth. Macroalgal cover was higher in deeper sites, MMP (25.5%) and Nyali (21.0%), than in shallower sites, Vipingo (8.3%) and Kanamai (3.7%). Hard corals and turf algae dominate the substrate at all sites.

Coral Cover

All sites are dominated by massive and branching *Porites* colonies. 90.5% of MMP, 80.3% of Kanamai, 59.9% of Nyali, and 42.2% of Vipingo coral cover was massive and branching *Porites*. Vipingo (10.2%) and Nyali (8.3%) displayed the highest relative coral cover of the more bleaching-susceptible genera, *Acropora* and *Pocillopora*.

Acropora and *Pocillopora* only accounted for 3.7% of Kanamai's coral cover and 0.7% of MMP's coral cover.

Bleaching and Mortality Incidence

Bleaching was first observed during late April of 2007. It was measured using the random swim method described in the “Method” section. The shallower lagoons, Kanamai and Vipingo, exhibited lower levels of combined paling, bleaching, and mortality (4.4% and 6.3% of total coral area, respectively) than the deeper lagoons, Nyali and MMP (35.1% and 26.9% of total coral area, respectively). In May, at the height of the bleaching event, in Kanamai 1.3% of corals surveyed were pale, 2.8% were bleached, and 0.4% were dead. In Vipingo, 0.9% were pale, 5.1% were bleached, and 0.3% were dead. In MMP, 5.4% were pale, 20.2% were bleached, and 1.4% were dead. In Nyali, 14.7% were pale, 19.7% were bleached, and 0.9% were dead.

During the recovery period in June, MMP still exhibited relatively high bleaching incidence (9.8%) but low mortality (0.9%). Nyali exhibited the highest mortality (11.7%) and also relatively high bleaching (4.5%). In July, mortality increased to 13.1% in Nyali and 2% in MMP, but bleaching incidence in MMP decreased to 6.0%, indicating recovery (Fig. 4).

Overall, *Pocillopora* and *Porites* accounted for the highest proportion of bleached colonies, but differed greatly in their mortality rates. In MMP, Nyali, and Vipingo, *Pocillopora* accounted for 37, 47.1, and 33.3% of bleached colonies, respectively. In Kanamai, MMP, and Nyali, *Porites* accounted for 47.2, 28.3, and 13.8% of bleached colonies, respectively (Fig. 5). However, during the recovery period of June and July, *Porites* experienced low bleaching-related mortality while *Pocillopora* experienced high mortality. *Porites* colonies only experienced mortality in Vipingo, where they accounted for 12.5% of total bleaching-related mortality, whereas *Pocillopora* accounted for the highest proportion of bleaching-related mortality in all sites (from 37.5% in Vipingo to 90% in MMP) (Fig. 6). Furthermore, of the three species only *Pocillopora damicornis* suffered high mortality in both 2006 (50% overall mortality) and 2007 (47% overall mortality) with colonies in Kanamai experiencing the lowest mortality rate in both years.

Zooxanthellae Densities

Average zooxanthellae densities for marked colonies (*Pocillopora damicornis*, *Porites lutea*, and *Porites cylindrica*) were higher for all species at all sites in 2006 than in 2007. Average zooxanthellae densities were also higher

Fig. 4 Bleaching, paling, and mortality incidence during 2007

Fig. 5 Proportion of bleached corals by genera. *Por Bra*, *Porites* branching; *Acr* *Acropora*; *Por Col*, *Porites* columnar; *Por Mas*, *Porites* massive; *Poc*, *Pocillopora*

Fig. 6 Proportion of corals exhibiting bleaching-related mortality by genera. *Por Mas*, *Porites* massive; *Acr*, *Acropora*; *Poc*, *Pocillopora*

in shallower sites, Kanamai and Vipingo, than in deeper sites, MMP and Nyali, for all species. In general, Kanamai exhibited the highest average zooxanthellae densities while MMP displayed the lowest. *Porites lutea* colonies exhibited the highest zooxanthellae densities while *Pocillopora damicornis* displayed the lowest densities, with *Porites cylindrica* in between the two (Fig. 7).

In 2006, colonies in MMP, Nyali, and Kanamai displayed similar zooxanthellae density trends with decreases in the warm months of February and March, and recovery in the following months as temperature decreased. Colonies in Vipingo, on the other hand, exhibited increasing densities for all species during February and March followed by decreases from April to June. In 2007, average zooxanthellae densities in *Pocillopora damicornis* remained very low ($<1 \times 10^6$ cells cm^{-2}) in all sites with recovering densities in July. *Porites lutea* and *Porites cylindrica* colonies showed a general increasing trend in average zooxanthellae densities from March to July (Fig. 7).

Chlorophyll *a* Levels

Chlorophyll *a* concentrations were generally higher at shallower sites, Kanamai and Vipingo, than at deeper sites, Nyali and MMP, and Kanamai exhibited by far the highest chlorophyll *a* concentrations while MMP exhibited the lowest. *Porites cylindrica* consistently exhibited the highest chlorophyll *a* concentrations at all sites, while *Pocillopora damicornis* consistently displayed the lowest

Fig. 7 Average zooxanthellae densities for selected species (*PD* *Pocillopora damicornis*, *PC* *Porites cylindrica*, *PL* *Porites lutea*) during 2006 and 2007

Fig. 8 Average mg chlorophyll *a* per m³ for selected species (*Porites cylindrica*, *Porites lutea*, and *Pocillopora damicornis*) during 2007

concentrations, with *Porites lutea* in between the two (Fig. 8).

Chlorophyll *a* concentrations in *Pocillopora damicornis* colonies generally decreased progressively at all sites from March to July as colonies bleached and failed to recover. *Porites lutea* and *Porites cylindrica* colonies in the shallower site Kanamai displayed peaks during March and then decreasing concentrations to July. In MMP and Nyali, the concentration peaks for these two species were not as pronounced and generally occurred in May or June (Fig. 8).

DISCUSSION

Differences in bleaching responses during 2007 between shallower and deeper lagoonal sites were observed, with shallower sites, Kanamai and Vipingo, exhibiting lower bleaching and paling incidence than deeper sites, Nyali and MMP, in both haphazard sampling and marked *Pocillopora damicornis* colonies. These results suggest that corals in the shallower lagoons have acclimatized to the more extreme environmental conditions they endure on a daily basis and have become more resistant to thermal stress than corals in the deeper lagoons.

Shallower sites, Kanamai and Vipingo, displayed more extreme temperature and light regimes than deeper sites, Nyali and MMP, with higher maxima, lower minima, larger standard deviations, and larger diel variations. The

smaller volumes of water in the shallower lagoons probably explain the difference in temperature and light regimes; shallower lagoons absorb but also lose heat more quickly and attenuate less light than in the deeper lagoons. That year no bleaching was observed on the deeper reef slope (anecdotal observations).

Moreover, differences in temperature and light regimes could explain differences in bleaching responses between similar-depth sites. Kanamai is a shallower site than Vipingo, displaying higher average temperatures, standard deviation in temperatures, difference between maximum and minimum temperature, diel temperature variation, maximum light level, and standard deviation in light levels. This may explain why Kanamai also displayed lower bleaching incidence and bleaching-related mortality than Vipingo. Similarly, MMP is a shallower site than Nyali, displaying higher average temperatures and difference between maximum and minimum temperature. Nyali experienced increased warming during the 2007 northeast monsoon bleaching period (+0.9°C to 2006) compared to MMP (+0.8°C to 2006). These factors could explain why Nyali exhibited higher bleaching incidence than MMP.

On the other hand, water flow did not correlate well with depth and bleaching incidence, and although corals in Nyali suffered much higher bleaching incidence than Kanamai and Vipingo, all these sites displayed very similar water flow velocities. It therefore appears that light and temperature histories were more influential than water flow in determining the bleaching responses of corals.

Moreover, average zooxanthellae densities and chlorophyll *a* concentrations are higher in Kanamai and Vipingo than in Nyali and MMP, with highest densities in Kanamai. Studies in the region have shown that corals with higher zooxanthellae densities are more resistant to bleaching (Grimsditch et al. 2008), a hypothesis that is further confirmed by these results. In addition, *Porites lutea* displayed the highest densities and was the most tolerant to bleaching, while *Pocillopora damicornis* exhibited the lowest densities and was the most susceptible to bleaching. Zooxanthellae population dynamics could thus also partly explain bleaching responses at different sites.

Interestingly, species that suffered less bleaching (*Porites lutea* and *Porites cylindrica*) did not exhibit decreases in chlorophyll *a* concentrations through time, and actually exhibited increases in chlorophyll *a* during bleaching and recovery months in some cases. However, *Pocillopora damicornis*, which was more susceptible to bleaching, displayed decreasing chlorophyll *a* concentrations with time, indicating that bleaching events cause disruptions in pigments as well as symbionts.

The genus most affected by bleaching at Nyali, MMP, and Vipingo was *Pocillopora*, and it accounted for most of the bleaching-related mortality in all sites. *Porites* also made up significant proportions of bleached corals in MMP, Nyali, and Kanamai. However, *Porites* colonies hardly exhibited any bleaching-related mortality, showing that although this genus does bleach and pale it is tolerant to bleaching. Despite their susceptibility to bleaching, *Acropora* corals form a very small proportion of bleached corals in most sites because they are scarce, except for in Vipingo where they form a larger proportion of the total coral and are subsequently also a larger proportion of bleached corals. The high susceptibility for *Pocillopora* is consistent with their K-selected life history strategy as a fast-growing but short-lived genus (Obura, 2001). However, it could also be indicative of a longer term phase shift in the scleractinian coral community, with more susceptible genera being eliminated by consecutive bleaching events. *Acropora*, another vulnerable coral genus, abundance in the region has already been dramatically reduced due to past bleaching events (Obura 2002). However, coral recruitment in the region is overwhelmingly dominated by *Pocillopora* recruits (Obura, 2002), counteracting high *Pocillopora* mortality and thus perhaps mitigating *Pocillopora* decline in the near future.

All sites were dominated by massive and branching *Porites* corals, discounting the possibility that large variations in coral community compositions determined bleaching responses. Bleaching-susceptible genera such as *Pocillopora* and *Acropora* did not necessarily form a larger proportion of the coral population in sites most affected by bleaching. The highest area percentage covered by these

genera occurs in Vipingo, which is a shallower site exhibiting low bleaching incidence. However, differences in community composition could partially explain varying bleaching responses between similar-depth sites. Nyali exhibited higher bleaching incidence than MMP and also a higher proportion of area covered by bleaching-susceptible genera. The same applies to Vipingo compared to Kanamai.

During the recovery period, colonies in Nyali displayed higher mortality than those in MMP, while a higher proportion of corals in MMP remained bleached but did not die. It thus seems that colonies in Nyali colonies were being overgrown by algae more quickly than those in MMP, which remained in a bleached state longer. This could be due to the protected status of MMP and the possibility that herbivorous fish populations are higher than in Nyali. McClanahan and Arthur (2001) showed that fish densities (not including damselfish and wrasses) in non-fished sites (including MMP as study site) were 62.6 individuals per 100 m² compared to 12.2 individuals per 100 m² in fished sites (including Nyali as a study site).

In conclusion, the data from this study show that during the 2007 bleaching season, corals in the shallow lagoons of Kanamai and Vipingo were more resistant to bleaching stress than corals in the deeper lagoons of MMP and Nyali, probably due to a history of higher light and temperature extremes and variation at the shallower sites. Interesting differences were also found between the deeper lagoons at Nyali and MMP—on the one hand MMP reefs experience slightly larger diel variation in temperature, and on the other they experienced less warming in 2007. Whether this acclimatization is due to short-term phenotypic changes or due to a longer-term process of adaptation through natural selection is a question that could be further researched. *Pocillopora* was the genus most susceptible to bleaching-related mortality, especially at Nyali, and *Porites* branching, massive and columnar all displayed high resistance to bleaching. Among all these patterns of bleaching susceptibility, it is not clear whether the acclimatization is host-based or symbiont-based, and monitoring of the zooxanthellae clades in corals at these sites could help in answering this question. Finally, bleached corals in MMP suffered lower levels of mortality than in Nyali, possibly associated with higher rates of herbivory due to MMP's protected status. While herbivory is often related to resilience of reefs and the influence of herbivores assisting recovery by coral recruits, this result suggests herbivory may also mediate interactions between algae and bleached corals, and assist in the survival of bleached corals. Priorities for research should explore management strategies that could increase coral reef resilience to climate change, and whether marine parks are an effective tool in bolstering ecological resilience.

Acknowledgments This study was made possible by the generous support of the MacArthur Foundation and the Swedish International Development Agency.

REFERENCES

- Anzai, R. 2001. The effects of coral morphology and waterflow rates on rates of coral growth and passive diffusion. MSc thesis, University of the Ryukyus, Japan.
- Brown, B.E., C.A. Downs, R.P. Dunne, and S.W. Gibbs. 2002a. Exploring the basis of thermotolerance in the reef coral *Goniastrea aspera*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 242: 119–129.
- Brown, B.E., R.P. Dunne, M.S. Goodson, and A.E. Douglas. 2000. Bleaching patterns in reef corals. *Nature* 404: 142–143.
- Brown, B.E., R.P. Dunne, M.S. Goodson, and A.E. Douglas. 2002b. Experience shapes the susceptibility of a reef coral to bleaching. *Coral Reefs* 21: 119–126.
- Coles, S.L., and B.E. Brown. 2003. Coral bleaching—capacity for acclimatisation and adaptation. *Advances in Marine Biology* 46: 183–223.
- Glynn, P.W. 1993. Coral reef bleaching ecological perspectives. *Coral Reefs* 12: 1–17.
- Grimsditch, G.D., and R.V. Salm. 2006. Coral reef resilience and resistance to coral bleaching, 53. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.
- Grimsditch, G.D., J. Mwaura, J. Kilonzo, N. Amiyo, and D. Obura. 2008. High zooxanthellae densities and turnover correlate with low bleaching tolerance in Kenyan corals. In *Coastal oceans research and development in the Indian Ocean status report 2008*, ed. D. Obura, J. Tاملander, and O. Linden, 235–236. Mombasa, Kenya: CORDIO.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O. 1999. Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. *Marine and Freshwater Research* 50: 839–866.
- McClanahan, T.R., and R. Arthur. 2001. The effect of marine reserves and habitat on populations of East African coral reef fishes. *Ecological Applications* 11: 559–569.
- McClanahan, T.R., J. Maina, R. Moothien-Pillay, and A.C. Baker. 2005. Effects of geography, taxa, water flow, and temperature variation on coral bleaching intensity in Mauritius. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 298: 131–142.
- Nystrom, M., C. Folke, and F. Moberg. 2000. Coral reef disturbance and resilience in a human-dominated environment. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 15: 413–417.
- Obura, D.O. 2001. Differential bleaching and mortality of eastern African corals. In *Marine Science Development in Tanzania and Eastern Africa. Proceedings of 20th anniversary conference on advances in marine science in Tanzania*, ed. M.D. Richmond and J. Francis, 301–317. Zanzibar: IMS/WIOMSA.
- Obura, D.O. 2002. *Status of coral reefs in Eastern Africa: Kenya, Tanzania, Mozambique and South Africa*. Townsville: Australian Institute of Marine Science.
- Riegl, B. 1999. Corals in a non-reef setting in the southern Arabian Gulf (Dubai, UAE): Fauna and community structure in response to recurring mass mortality. *Coral Reefs* 18: 63–73.
- Wellington, G.M., P.W. Glynn, A.E. Strong, S.A. Nauarrete, E. Wieters, and D. Hubbard. 2001. Crisis on coral reefs linked to climate change. *EOS* 82: 1–7.
- West, J.M., and R.V. Salm. 2003. Resistance and resilience to coral bleaching: Implications for coral reef conservation and management. *Conservation Biology* 17: 956–967.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Gabriel Grimsditch (✉) is based at the United Nations Environment Programme in Nairobi where he works on marine climate change adaptation. Previously he was researching coral reef resilience to climate change in Mombasa with the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Coastal and Ocean Research and Development in the Indian Ocean.
Address: IUCN Climate Change and Coral Reefs Working Group, Kibaki Flats 9, PO Box 10135-80101, Bamburi, Mombasa, Kenya.
e-mail: ggrimsditch@iucn.org

Jelvas M. Mwaura is based at Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, Mombasa, Kenya, where he is working on a number of targeted coral-reef related research projects. Previously, he was working for CORDIO-East Africa on climate change impacts on coral reefs, reef's resilience assessment and its implication on management. He holds an M.Sc. in Ecological Marine Management from Vrije Universiteit, Brussel, Belgium
Address: CORDIO East Africa, Kibaki Flats 9, PO Box 10135-80101, Bamburi, Mombasa, Kenya.
e-mail: jmwaura@cordioea.org

Joseph Kilonzo is working with Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute as a technical staff in the Institutes Lab. He has participated in a joined coral bleaching monitoring project in Marine protected areas and marine reserve between KMFRI and CORDIO E.A. Currently, he is working on coral survey in Shimoni South Coast Kenya and on Marine census on Fauna and Macrophytes with NAGISA project in West Indian Ocean.
Address: Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute, PO Box 81651, Bamburi, Mombasa, Kenya.
e-mail: jamkilonzo@yahoo.com

Nassir Amiyo is a research scientist working with Kenya Wildlife Service. He is currently a graduate student at University of the Ryukyus, Japan. His scientific interests include coral reef ecology, conservation, and management.
Address: Coast Conservation Area, Kenya Wildlife Service, PO Box 82144, Bamburi, Mombasa, Kenya.
e-mail: nassirie@gmail.com