Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2008 July 14; 64(29): 7008–7014. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2008.02.103.

Pt-catalyzed cyclization/migration of propargylic alcohols for the synthesis of 3(2*H*)-furanones, pyrrolones, indolizines, and indolizinones

Eric M. Bunnelle, Cameron R. Smith, Sharon K. Lee, Surendra W. Singaram, Allison J. Rhodes, and Richmond Sarpong*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Abstract

Several heterocycles such as furanones, pyrrolones, and indolizines, which are of pharmacological importance, are easily accessed via the Pt(II)-catalyzed heterocyclization/1,2-migration of propargylic ketols or hydroxy imine derivatives. This method sidesteps the challenges of traditional heteroaromatic oxygenation strategies such as regioselectivity and functional group tolerance in the syntheses of these heterocycles.

1. Introduction

The development of efficient and versatile strategies for the synthesis of heterocycles continues to be of significance in synthetic organic chemistry. The 3(2*H*)-furanones are a class of important heterocycles that are found in a variety of biologically active natural products such as the eremantholides¹ and jatrophone² as well as several medicinally active agents.³ Various methods have been developed for the synthesis of these heterocycles, including the conversion of 3-alkoxy-furans to 2-alkoxy furanones⁴ and the reaction of 3-silyloxyfurans with electrophiles⁵ such as aldehydes. Most of the current approaches to furanones remain rather specialized or demand considerable investment in the preparation of the requisite precursor substrates.

For example, we have considered a route to 3(2*H*)-furanones via the oxygenation/rearrangement of furans (e.g., **1**, Scheme 1), which may proceed via the intermediacy of epoxide **2**. However, this approach possesses several distinct challenges such as (A) the difficulty of synthesis of the requisite furan (i.e., **1**), (B) the inherent instability of the furan and other functional groups (e.g., sulfides, aldehydes, etc.) to the oxygenation (epoxidation) conditions, and (C) the regioselectivity of the initial epoxidation.

As a result of these challenges, we were encouraged to pursue alternate strategies that would provide ready access to **2** (or an equivalent) in one or two steps en route to an expedient synthesis of 3(2*H*)-furanones (i.e., **4**). In this article, we present our efforts that have led to the realization of 3(2*H*)-furanone compounds in short order.⁶ An extension of this transformation to the expedient synthesis of other heterocycles such as pyrrolones, indolizines, and indolizinones using nitrogen nucleophiles was subsequently developed and communicated by us.⁷ A comprehensive account of these studies is presented herein.

2. Results and discussion

As illustrated in Scheme 1, it was envisaged that intermediates such as **2** could be readily accessed from propargylic alcohols (e.g., **3**) via a carbophilic Lewis acid-mediated cyclization followed by proton transfer. The viability of this transformation is supported by several related literature reports using Ag(I) by Marshall,⁸ and Au(III) by Liu,⁹ Larock,¹⁰ and Hashmi¹¹ among others. In turn, rearrangement of **2** could yield 3(2*H*)-furanone **4**. Investigations of this transformation began with alkynyl ketone **5** (Scheme 2), which was available in one-step from 3,4-hexanedione using the method of Chisholm.¹² Our past success using PtCl₂ as a carbophilic Lewis acid to mediate transformations involving alkynes¹³ made it a starting point of our investigations.

Although various Lewis acids (e.g., InCl₃, BF₃ · OEt₂) and Brønsted acids such as HCl were also investigated for the conversion of **5** to 3(2*H*)-furanone **9**, in the end, PtCl₂ proved to be the ideal catalyst. This was due in part to the operational simplicity of using the air- and moisture-tolerant Pt(II) salts, as well as the consistency in yield and amenability to scale up when this catalyst was used. The reaction can be run at low catalyst loadings (2 mol % PtCl₂), although a longer period of time is required for the reaction to reach completion. However, the use of a 2 mol % PtCl₂ loading under an atmosphere of CO, following the precedent of Fürstner,¹⁴ leads to comparable reaction rates as to when a 10 mol % loading is used. Furthermore, the reaction gave comparable yields in the presence of 1 equiv of H₂O.

As illustrated in Table 1, the reaction is general for a range of substrates. Significant variability can be achieved at the alkyne terminus as alkyl (**3a** and **3b**) and aryl substituents (**3c–f**) are well tolerated (entry 1). In addition, the formation of spiro-furanone products appears to be general if cyclic substrates are employed (**3g–j**, entry 2). The migrating group is not restricted to alkyl fragments as phenyl groups migrate just as readily (entry 3). Furthermore, a high level of versatility may be achieved in the furanone product by beginning with an appropriately functionalized propargylic alcohol (**3l** and **3m**, entry 4). Importantly, sensitive functional groups such as sulfides, which will not survive the oxidative transformation of furans to 3(2*H*)-furanones (i.e., **1** → **3**, Scheme 1), remain intact under the PtCl₂-catalyzed conditions (see **3j**, entry 2).

In a subset of the transformations of propargylic alcohols (see **10a** and **10b**, Scheme 3) studied to date, significant amounts of enedione products (**12a** and **12b**) were obtained in addition to the desired 3(2*H*)-furanone products.¹⁵ This appears to correlate with an increase in the rate of other competing fragmentation processes relative to the migratory aptitude of the alkyl substituent alpha to the tertiary alcohol (e.g., the ethyl group, see **10**).

Insight into the mechanism for the formation of the enedione products was gained upon reaction of **13** (Scheme 4). Consistent with our initial proposal, whereas 3(2*H*)-furanone products such as **15** (14% yield) may arise via a concerted migration¹⁶ involving the Me substituent (see **14**, Path A), competing epoxidation by collapse of the zwitterionic intermediate **14** may lead to epoxydihydrofuran **16**. In turn, **16** may be converted to the observed enedione products via epoxide opening and ring fragmentation (path B).^{17,18} The major *E*-alkene diastereomer observed for the enedione product (**18**, 58% yield)¹⁹ presumably arises via Pt(II)-catalyzed or thermal isomerization of **17** following ring opening under the reaction conditions.²⁰

Subsequent to the studies on the formation of 3(2*H*)-furanones, we have discovered that these novel transformations of tertiary propargylic alcohols are not restricted to cyclizations involving oxygen nucleophiles (i.e., carbonyl groups), as nitrogen nucleophiles readily participate to provide important azaheterocycles. For example, indolizines (e.g., **21**, Scheme

5) and related derivatives, which have significant pharmacological potential,²¹ may be realized if one begins with the pyridinyl propargylic alcohol **19**. Because of the importance of indolizines from a pharmacological standpoint, a variety of methods for their syntheses have emerged.²² On the basis of our precedent for the formation of furanones, which was achieved prior to the initiation of our work with nitrogen nucleophiles, we reasoned that substrates such as **19** (Scheme 5), which possess a pyridine fragment, could participate in metal-catalyzed cycloisomerizations to access a range of nitrogen-containing heterocycles (e.g., indolizines related to **21**).

Optimization of the general transformation **19** → **21** was conducted with propargylic ester **22a** (Table 2) prepared from pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde by the addition of ethynyl Grignard reagent and subsequent acylation.²³ Initially, we found that both PtCl₄ (entry 1) and PtCl₂ (entry 2) at 5 mol % loading effected the transformation of **22a** (0.20 M in PhH, 70 °C) to the desired C-1 substituted indolizine **23a** under similar conditions.²⁴ Because PtCl₂ is less sensitive to moisture as compared to PtCl₄, we continued our studies with this salt, which greatly simplified the experimental setup.

Further optimization revealed that the introduction of bulky, electron-rich phosphine ligands such as 2-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino) biphenyl²⁵ (**24**, entry 3) or 2-(di-cyclohexylphosphino) biphenyl (**25**, entry 4) led to a pronounced increase in the yield of the indolizine product **23a**, with **25** proving to be the best additive of those surveyed (79% yield). Phosphine ligands have been shown to facilitate Pt(II)-catalyzed reactions involving nitrogen nucleophiles in studies by Widenhoefer on the hydroamination of olefins.²⁶ In these studies, Widenhoefer reported that a 1:1 ratio of Pt(II) salt to exogenous phosphine (Pt/PR₃) was critical to success.²⁷ We reasoned that the use of bulky phosphines would favor the formation of a 1:1 Pt/PR₃ complex. It should be noted that in our studies, these ligands were consistently superior to PPh₃ for the formation of indolizines from the corresponding propargylic alcohols.

The reaction efficiency was substantially different when internal alkyne substrates (e.g., **22b**) were used (entries 5–11). Similarly in these cases, bulky phosphine additives led to higher yields of the indolizine products (i.e., **23b**, entries 6 and 7) as compared to the cases when phosphines were not added (entry 5) or when triphenylphosphine was used as the additive (not shown). For example at 40 °C, there was no reaction with PtCl₂ alone as catalyst (entry 8) whereas with **24** and **25** as additives (entries 9 and 10, respectively), product formation was observed, with **24** proving to be superior. Indium trichloride also catalyzes the indolizine-forming reaction (entry 11) but the scope was limited to internal alkyne substrates. We believe that the lack of success of InCl₃ in catalyzing the cycloisomerization of terminal alkyne substrates may be the result of the competing formation of indium acetylides under the reaction conditions, consistent with the observations of Shibasaki.²⁸

As shown in Figure 1, a range of indolizines are obtained utilizing either Pt(II) (5 mol % of PtCl₂, 10 mol % of 2-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)biphenyl (**24**), 0.2 M in PhH, 70 °C) or In(III) (5 mol % of InCl₃, 0.2 M in PhH, 70 °C). The pivalate protective group was found to give the highest yields (see **26–29**) compared to other acyl protecting groups (e.g., acetate and benzoate) for a range of alkyl-, cycloalkyl-, aryl-, and alkenyl-substituted indolizines. Silyl protective groups (e.g., TBS) may also be employed, but the products are generally isolated in lower yield (57% yield of **30**).²⁹ This is likely due to the relative instability of the corresponding silylated indolizines toward purification.

By direct analogy to our observations involving the furanone-forming reactions, we hypothesized that tertiary propargylic alcohol substrates such as **31** (Scheme 6) could provide a platform for heterocyclizations that involve a 1,2-shift.³⁰

In a preliminary study, upon treatment of hydrazone **31** with PtCl_2 (10 mol %) for 3 h at 100 °C, pyrrolone **34** was formed in 71% yield. This transformation likely proceeds via zwitterion **32** and platina-alkoxide **33**, in an analogous fashion to the furanones.³¹

Despite our initial success in transforming **31** to pyrrolone **34**, the reaction conditions were ineffective at low catalyst loadings especially for substrates containing a pyridine fragment. Following a comprehensive study of various additives, solvents, and temperatures, optimized conditions (5 mol % PtCl_2 , 10 mol % 2-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)biphenyl, 0.1 equiv Cs_2CO_3 , 100 °C), which were readily applicable to several tertiary propargylic alcohol substrates (**35a–e**, Table 3) were identified. This provided the corresponding indolizinones (**36a–e**) in modest to good yields. The addition of substoichiometric quantities of a base (Cs_2CO_3), which may facilitate proton transfer events prior to the 1,2-migration event, was found to be critical.³² To probe the nature of the 1,2-migrations, enantioenriched **35a** (99.9% ee, Eq. 1) was subjected to the optimized reaction conditions and provided **36a** in 97% ee, which corroborated a highly stereoselective 1,2-migration.^{33–35}

(1)

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we report an expedient synthesis of 3(2*H*)-furanones from propargylic alcohols that may be accessed in one step from 1,2-diketones using an efficient Rh(I)-catalyzed alkyne addition developed in the laboratories of Chisholm. The reaction tolerates sensitive functional groups such as nitro groups and sulfides, which may be unstable or easily oxidized (sulfides) under traditional protocols for the formation of these heterocycles from the oxygenation of furans or other related heteroaromatic compounds. The overall transformation is not restricted to the synthesis of 3(2*H*)-furanones as indolizines and indolizinones may also be formed using substrates bearing a nitrogen nucleophile. While PtCl_2 alone, as well as in the presence of phosphine additives, has been found to be generally effective, InCl_3 has also proven to be a highly competent catalyst for a subset of these transformations involving internal alkyne substrates. The work reported herein presents an observed significant effect of bulky electron-rich phosphines on the heterocycloisomerization transformations involving Pt(II) catalysis, which we anticipate will find wide applicability in other cycloisomerization reactions. This work has also described the competing formation of enedione byproducts in the Pt-catalyzed cycloisomerization of keto propargyl alcohols, and a tentative mechanism for the formation of these compounds is proposed. Further studies to probe the mechanisms of these transformations, broaden the scope to include other examples of chirality transfer, and identify conditions to shorten the reaction times are underway. Additionally, applications of these heterocycles in natural product synthesis are currently under investigation and will be reported in due course.

4. Experimental section

4.1. Materials and methods

All air or moisture sensitive reactions were conducted in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Toluene and benzene were distilled over calcium hydride. Platinum catalysts and phosphine ligands were purchased from Strem or Johnson Matthey. All other reagents were purchased from Aldrich, Acros, or Lancaster and used without further purification. Reaction temperatures were controlled by an IKAmag[®] or OptiChem[®] temperature modulator. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV and anisaldehyde stain. Fisher silica gel 240–400 mesh (particle size 0.032–0.063) was used for flash chromatography. Pt-catalyzed reactions were performed in Schlenk flasks unless otherwise noted. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-500 (at 500 MHz and 125 MHz, respectively), Bruker DRX-500 (at 500 MHz and 125 MHz, respectively) or on a Bruker AVB-400 (at 400 MHz and 100 MHz, respectively) in chloroform-*d* or benzene-*d*₆ at 23 °C, unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts were referenced to the residual solvent peak, which was set at $\delta=7.26$ for ¹H NMR and $\delta=77.0$ for ¹³C NMR, for CDCl₃; and $\delta=7.15$ for ¹H NMR and $\delta=128.6$ for ¹³C NMR, for C₆D₆. Data for ¹H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shifts (δ ppm); multiplicity, (s=singlet, d=doublet, t=triplet, q=quartet, dd=doublet of doublet, dt=doublet of triplet, dq=doublet of quartet, qd=quartet of doublet, m=multiplet, br=broad resonance), coupling constants (Hz), and integration in parentheses. Data for ¹³C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shift. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet MAGNA-IR 850 spectrometer and are reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). Low and high resolution mass spectral data were obtained from the University of California, Berkeley Mass Spectral Facility, on a VG 70-Se Micromass spectrometer for FAB, and a VG Prospec Micromass spectrometer for EI. Enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC using a Shimadzu 10A VP series chiral HPLC. Optical rotation data was obtained using a Perkin–Elmer 241 Polarimeter with a 589 nm sodium lamp.

4.2. Representative procedure for the formation of furanones

4.2.1. 5-Butyl-2,2-diethylfuran-3(2H)-one (4a)—A 20 mL Schlenk tube equipped with a stir bar was charged with platinum(II) chloride (5 mg, 0.02 mmol, 0.1 equiv). To the tube was added a solution of **3a** (39 mg, 0.2 mmol) in toluene (2.0 mL). The Schlenk tube was sealed, and the reaction mixture was heated to 100 °C for 3 h, then cooled to 23 °C. Silica gel (250 mg) was added to the reaction mixture and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The adsorbed product was purified by flash chromatography (20 mL silica gel, 8:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate), to yield 25 mg (65%) of a light yellow oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 5.40 (s, 1H), 2.50 (t, *J*=7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.75 (qd, *J*=7.3, 1.2 Hz, 4H), 1.65 (dt, *J*=15.3, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.41 (sext., *J*=7.4 Hz, 2H), 0.94 (t, *J*=7.4 Hz, 3H), 0.78 (t, *J*=7.4 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 207.1, 193.5, 104.1, 94.4, 30.5, 28.8, 28.4, 22.3, 13.7, 7.2; IR (film) $\nu_{\max}$ 2970, 2937, 1702, 1596, 1460 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI⁺) calcd for [C₁₂H₂₀O₂]⁺: *m/z* 196.1463, found 196.1469.

4.3. Representative procedure for the formation of indolizines

4.3.1. 3-Butylindolizin-1-yl pivalate (23b)—Platinum(II) chloride (5 mg, 18 μ mol) and 2-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino) biphenyl (11 mg, 36 μ mol) were added to a solution of pivalate **22b** (100 mg, 0.36 mmol) in benzene (2 mL) in a 1-dram vial. The vial was sealed and heated at 70 °C for 3 h. The mixture was then filtered, concentrated by rotary evaporation, and purified by flash chromatography (4:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to yield 95 mg (95%) of **23b** as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.30 (d, *J*=9.01 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, *J*=7.10 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 6.32 (dd, *J*=8.99, 6.42 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (t, *J*=6.76 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (t, *J*=7.65 Hz,

2H), 1.40–1.32 (m, 2H), 1.27 (s, 9H), 1.18–1.10 (m, 2H), 0.74 (t, $J=7.34$ Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 175.7, 127.1, 121.3, 120.9, 120.7, 116.1, 114.0, 109.6, 105.0, 38.9, 29.0, 27.0, 25.2, 22.4, 13.6; IR (film) ν_{max} 2958, 2931, 2871, 1749, 1278, 1120, 728 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (EI) calcd for $[\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{23}\text{NO}_2]^+$: m/z 273.1729, found 273.1732.

4.4. Representative procedure for the formation of indolizinones

4.4.1. ($\pm$)-3-Butyl-8a-ethylindolizin-1(8aH)-one (36a)—Platinum(II) chloride (3 mg, 12 μmol), 2-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino) biphenyl (7 mg, 23 μmol), and cesium carbonate (7 mg, 23 μmol) were added to a solution of ($\pm$)-**35a** (50 mg, 0.23 mmol) in benzene (1 mL) and the solution was heated at 100 $^\circ\text{C}$ in a sealed vial with stirring. Once the reaction was judged complete by TLC (48 h) the mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation and the residue purified by flash chromatography (4:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to yield 33 mg (0.15 mmol, 66%) of **36a** as a yellow oil. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 5.95 (d, $J=9.28$ Hz, 1H), 5.84 (d, $J=7.07$ Hz, 1H), 5.61 (dd, $J=9.26, 5.37$ Hz, 1H), 4.99 (t, $J=6.21$ Hz, 1H), 4.82 (s, 1H), 1.92–1.82 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.64 (m, 3H), 1.16–1.04 (m, 2H), 1.03–0.93 (m, 2H), 0.80 (t, $J=7.41$ Hz, 3H), 0.65 (t, $J=7.29$ Hz, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 201.0, 175.0, 123.7, 122.2, 121.8, 108.1, 98.3, 70.3, 31.4, 28.4, 26.2, 22.1, 13.3, 6.5; IR (film) ν_{max} 2960, 2932, 2873, 1675, 1534, 1433, 725, 689 cm^{-1} ; HRMS (EI) calcd for $[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}]^+$: m/z 217.1467, found 217.1467.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to UC Berkeley, Abbott Laboratories, and GlaxoSmithKline for generous financial support, Johnson Matthey for a gift of PtCl_2 , Dr. Herman van Halbeek for help with NOE studies, and to Dr. John Greaves (Mass Spectral Facility, University of California, Irvine) for HRMS data for compounds **3b**, **10a**, and **11**.

References and notes

1. Le Quesne PW, Lavery SB, Menachery MD, Brennan TF, Raffauf RF. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1. 1978:1572–1580.
2. (a) Kupchan SM, Sigel CW, Matz MJ, Gilmore CJ, Bryan RF. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976; 98:2295–2300. [PubMed: 1254866] (b) Smith AB III, Guaciario MA, Schow SR, Wovkulich PM, Toder BH, Hall TW. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981; 103:219–222.
3. Shin SS, Byun Y, Lim KM, Choi JK, Lee K-W, Moh JH, Kim JK, Jeong YS, Kim JY, Choi YH, Koh H-J, Park Y-H, Oh YI, Noh M-S, Chung S. J. Med. Chem. 2004; 47:792–804. [PubMed: 14761182]
4. Sayama S. Heterocycles. 2005; 65:1347–1358.
5. Winkler JD, Oh K, Asselin SM. Org. Lett. 2005; 7:387–389. [PubMed: 15673246]
6. A synthesis of 3(2*H*)-furanones closely related to our work appeared while this work was in progress, see: Kirsch SF, Binder JT, Liébert C, Menz H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006; 45:5878–5880.
7. For our initial report on these transformations, see: Smith CR, Bunnelle EM, Rhodes AJ, Sarpong R. Org. Lett. 2007; 9:1169–1171. [PubMed: 17309277]
8. Precedent for a closely related strategy in the synthesis of furans and butenolides can be found in the work of Marshall, see: Marshall JA, Wang X-J. J. Org. Chem. 1991; 56:960–969.
9. Liu Y, Liu M, Guo S, Tu H, Zhou Y, Gao H. Org. Lett. 2006; 8:3445–3448. [PubMed: 16869631]
10. Yao T, Zhang X, Larock RC. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004; 126:11164–11165. [PubMed: 15355093]
11. Hashmi ASK, Schwarz L, Choi J-H, Frost TM. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000; 39:2285–2288.

12. Dhondi PK, Chisholm JD. *Org. Lett.* 2006; 8:67–69. [PubMed: 16381569] . For more details, see Supplementary data.
13. (a) Bhanu Prasad BA, Yoshimoto FK, Sarpong R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:12468–12469. [PubMed: 16144376] (b) Pujanauski BG, Bhanu Prasad BA, Sarpong R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:6786–6787. [PubMed: 16719448]
14. Fürstner A, Davies PW, Gress T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:8244–8245. [PubMed: 15941232]
15. Minor amounts of enedione products were observed during the reactions of substrates **3a–f**.
16. The α -ketol migration has been shown to be stereospecific. See Ref. 6.
17. This may occur in a stepwise as opposed to a concerted manner. The role of the cyclopropyl group at the terminus of the alkyne in promoting the formation of significant amounts of enedione products is under active investigation.
18. A Meyere–Schuster mechanism for the formation of **18** from **13** cannot be ruled out at this time.
19. The *E*-diastereomer is supported by NOE analysis of the product **18**. For more information, see Supplementary data.
20. For a recent report of protic acid-catalyzed isomerization of *Z*-enediones, see: Crone B, Kirsch SF. *Chem. Commun.* 2006; 764.
21. Michael JP. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1999; 16:675–696.
22. For recent examples, see: Kaloko J Jr, Hayford A. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:4305–4308. [PubMed: 16146413] Kel'in AV, Sromek AW, Gevorgyan VJ. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001; 123:2074–2075. Seregin IV, Gevorgyan V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:12050–12051. [PubMed: 16967938] Marchalin S, Baumlová B, Baran P, Oulyadi H, Daïch A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 71:9114–9127. [PubMed: 17109537] Yan B, Liu Y. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:4323–4326. [PubMed: 17854200] .
23. For details, see Supplementary data.
24. Heating **22a** without added catalyst at 120 °C over 48 h yielded minor amounts of **23a** (ca. 15% yield) along with significant byproducts. This points to a slow and inefficient background reaction.
25. (a) Tomori H, Fox JM, Buchwald SL. *J. Org. Chem.* 2000; 65:5334–5341. [PubMed: 10993363] (b) Fox JM, Huang X, Chieffi A, Buchwald SL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000; 122:1360–1370.
26. (a) Bender CF, Widenhoefer RA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:1070–1071. [PubMed: 15669824] (b) Qian H, Widenhoefer RA. *J. Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:2635–2638.
27. Wang X, Widenhoefer RA. *Organometallics.* 2004; 23:1649–1651.
28. Takita R, Yakura K, Ohshima T, Shibasaki M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:13760–13761. [PubMed: 16201775]
29. Previously, PtCl₂ was reported to be an ineffective catalyst for the cycloisomerization that results in **30**. See Ref. 22c.
30. For an example of α -hydroxyiminium 1,2-migration, see: Fenster MDB, Patrick BO, Dake GR. *Org. Lett.* 2001; 3:2109–2112. [PubMed: 11418061] .
31. More recently, following our initial report (Ref. 7), Kirsch and co-workers have reported that imines may participate in similar transformations, see: Binder JT, Crone B, Kirsch SF, Liébert C, Menz H. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007:1636–1647. and Table 4 therein.
32. The formation of a cesium alkoxide prior to 1,2-migration may also be important.
33. Enantioenriched **35a** was obtained via preparative chiral column chromatography of the racemate.²³
34. Efforts to delineate whether this transformation is stereospecific and the loss of ee in forming enantioenriched **36a** occurs via a competing process are currently ongoing.
35. Liu has recently reported that these reactions may be effected with Cu(I) salts, see: Yan B, Zhou Y, Chen J, Liu Y. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 72:7783–7786. [PubMed: 17718578] .

Figure 1.

Pt(II)- and In(III)-catalyzed cycloisomerizations. Yields are indicated for reactions using PtCl₂ and InCl₃ (in parentheses). For a full description of reaction details, including the identity of propargylic ester substrates, see Supplementary data.

Scheme 1.
Proposed access to 3(2*H*)-furanones.

Scheme 2.

A proposed mechanism for the synthesis of 3(2*H*)-furanones.

Scheme 4.
A possible rationale for the formation of enediones.

Scheme 5.
Proposed hetero-cycloisomerization.

Scheme 6.
Tandem cyclization/1,2-migration of **31**.

Table 1

PtCl₂-catalyzed formation of furanone products^a

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%)
1			65
	3a (R= <i>n</i> -Bu)	4a (R= <i>n</i> -Bu)	48
	3b (R= <i>i</i> -Pr)	4b (R= <i>i</i> -Pr)	38
	3c (R=2-furyl)	4c (R=2-furyl)	56
	3d (R=Ph)	4d (R=Ph)	65
	3e (R= <i>p</i> -MeOPh)	4e (R= <i>p</i> -MeOPh)	48
	3f (R= <i>p</i> -NO ₂ Ph)	4f (R= <i>p</i> -NO ₂ Ph)	48
2			76
	3g (R= <i>n</i> -Bu)	4g (R= <i>n</i> -Bu)	66
	3h (R=Ph)	4h (R=Ph)	89
	3i R =	4i R =	76
	3j (R= <i>p</i> -MeSPh)	4j (R= <i>p</i> -MeSPh)	76
3			77
4 ^b			60
	3l (R ₁ , R ₂ =Et, Ph) 3m (R ₁ , R ₂ =Ph, Et)	4l	

^aReaction conditions: substrate (0.1 M of substrate), 5 mol % PtCl₂ in PhMe at 100 °C for 8 h.^bAn inseparable 1:2 mixture (as determined by ¹H NMR) of propargylic alcohols **3l** and **3m** was used for the formation of **4l**.

Table 2

Cycloisomerization of terminal alkyne propargylic ester substrates

Entry	Substrate	Catalyst	Additive	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Yield of 23 (%)
1	22a	PtCl ₄	—	70	18	34
2	22a	PtCl ₂	—	70	20	31
3	22a	PtCl ₂		70	20	43
4	22a	PtCl ₂		70	8	79
5	22b	PtCl ₂	—	70	10	81
6	22b	PtCl ₂	24	70	3	95
7	22b	PtCl ₂	25	70	9	95
8	22b	PtCl ₂	—	40	36	No reaction
9	22b	PtCl ₂	24	40	12	95
10	22b	PtCl ₂	25	40	36	33
11	22b	InCl ₃	—	40	4	85

Table 3

Pt-catalyzed formation of indolizinones

Entry	Substrate	Time (h)	Product	Yield (%)
1	 35 (a) R=Et (b) R=Me (c) R=3,5-bis(MeO)Ph (d) R=Ph (e) R= <i>c</i> -C ₃ H ₅	48	 36	66
		168		40
		120		66
		48		70 ^a
		144		44
2	 37	48	 38	36

^a Cs_2CO_3 was not used as an additive.