Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2012 January 16; 51(3): 728–732. doi:10.1002/anie.201107623.

A Practical Sulfenylation of 2,5-Diketopiperazines**

Prof. Dr. K. C. Nicolaou, Dr. Denis Giguère, Sotirios Totokotsòpoulos, and Dr. Ya-Ping Sun

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (USA) and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry University of California, San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093 (USA) Fax: (+1) 858-784-2469

K. C. Nicolaou: kcn@scripps.edu

Abstract

Sulfurs in action—A practical and simple method for the introduction of sulfur atoms into 2,5-diketopiperazines (**I**) under mild conditions has been developed leading to more or less complex epidithiodiketopiperazines (**II**) and *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines (**III**).

Keywords

bis-methylthiodiketopiperazine; epidithiodiketopiperazine; natural product synthesis; sulfenylation

Sulfenylated 2,5-diketopiperazine structural motifs are found abundantly in nature as domains of a wide range of natural products.^[1] Among them, the *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines [e.g. epicoccin G (**1**), Figure 1]^[2] and epidithiodiketopiperazines [e.g. aranotin (**2**), Figure 1]^[3] are the most common and important. These natural products are often endowed with important biological properties such as cytotoxic, antibacterial, antiviral, antiallergy and antimalarial activities.^[4] Full biological investigations of several of these promising compounds are lacking, primarily due to their natural scarcity and difficulties associated with their chemical synthesis. The latter problems stem from the sensitivity of their sulfur moieties and the deficiencies of methods for their installation within the growing diketopiperazine scaffolds.^[5] Herein we report a simple and practical method for the sulfenylation of 2,5-diketopiperazines to afford either epidithiodiketopiperazines or *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines through the use of elemental sulfur and sodium hexamethyldisilazide (NaHMDS).

Previous sulfenylation methods of 2,5-diketopiperazines involved installation of sulfur, either directly (i.e. NaNH₂, S₈, liq. NH₃)^[6] or indirectly through their 3,6-dibromodiketopiperazine,^[7] 3,6-dimethoxydiketopiperazine^[8] and 3,6-

**We thank Drs. D. H. Huang and L. Pasternack for NMR spectroscopic assistance, Dr. R. Chadha for X-ray crystallographic assistance and Dr. G. Siuzdak for mass spectrometric assistance. This work was supported by the Skaggs Institute for Research and grants from the National Institutes of Health (USA) and National Science Foundation (USA), as well as a postdoctoral fellowship from Fonds de Recherche Québec (to D. G.).

Correspondence to: K. C. Nicolaou, kcn@scripps.edu.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.

dihydroxydiketopiperazine^[9] derivatives. These methods require either harsh conditions or multi-step sequences, or both, and they lack in generality and efficiency. Faced with such difficulties in our total synthesis approach toward some of these natural products (i.e. **1** and **2**, Figure 1),^[10] we opted to explore the use of elemental sulfur in the presence of NaHMDS. As we describe below, these explorations led to a general and practical sulfenylation method of 2,5-diketopiperazines that is simple to perform at ambient temperature in common organic solvents.

The new sulfenylation method involves three sequential steps from 2,5-diketopiperazine substrates (**I**, Table 1) to epidithiodiketopiperazines (**II**, Table 1) or *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines (**III**, Table 2) with no purification in between steps. Thus 2,5-diketopiperazine **I** was added to a freshly prepared solution of elemental sulfur and NaHMDS in THF at room temperature. After a short period of stirring, additional NaHMDS was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature until the sulfenylation was complete, at which time the reaction was quenched with aq. NH₄Cl. The crude product was transferred to a THF:EtOH (1:1) solution through extraction with CH₂Cl₂, drying, evaporation and dissolution, and then reduced with NaBH₄ to the corresponding dithiolate, which was oxidized with KI₃ to afford epidithiodiketopiperazines (**II**), or methylated with MeI to give the corresponding *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines (**III**).

Table 1 demonstrates the generality and scope of the developed sulfenylation method for the preparation of a range of epidithiodiketopiperazines. Thus, 3,6-unsubstituted diketopiperazines (e.g. **3**, entry 1) enter the reaction to provide the expected epidithiodiketopiperazine product, albeit in modest yield (40%). This result may be attributed to unhindered intermolecular reactions of the generated sulfur species, as supported by the higher yields obtained from 3,6-mono- (entry 2) and 3,6-disubstituted (entries 3–7) diketopiperazines. Furthermore, the present method accommodates equally well both *syn* (entries 4–6) and *anti* (entries 3 and 7) 3,6-disubstituted diketopiperazines, as well as polycyclic diketopiperazines (entries 8–10). It should be noted that sulfenylation through this protocol proceeds from the same side of the molecule even in the case of the *anti* diketopiperazines (entries 3 and 7). As a consequence of enolate formation, the epidithio products obtained in Table 1 are racemic. Product **21** is formed as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (ca. 1.4:1 *dr*) as previously demonstrated.^[10]

With the generality and scope of the newly developed sulfenylation method for the synthesis of epidithiodiketopiperazines demonstrated, we then proceeded to explore its application to the preparation of *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines (**III**, Table 2) from diketopiperazine substrates (**I**). The protocol for the preparation of the latter compounds required modification of only the last step of the sequence employed for the preparation of the epidithiodiketopiperazine derivatives, namely methylation of the generated sulfenylated species after the sodium borohydride reduction with MeI. Table 2 summarizes the conditions used and shows a number of examples involving monocyclic, tricyclic and pentacyclic diketopiperazines as substrates. All *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines were obtained in good yields as single *syn* diastereoisomers (racemic mixtures) except for compound **26**, which was isolated as a mixture of diastereoisomers (ca. 1.4:1 *dr*) by virtue of the additional stereogenic centers present in the starting substrate.^[10] The absence of any *anti* products in these reactions provides support for an intramolecular attachment of the second sulfur moiety within the diketopiperazine scaffold and stands in contrast to the classical Schmidt method that provides mixtures of *syn* and *anti* products in much lower yields.^[6]

Having developed this new sulfenylation method using the [NaHMDS-S₈] reagent combination, we then sought to gain some mechanistic insight as to the chemistry involved.

To this end, we attempted to analyze the products obtained by the initial mixing of elemental sulfur (S_8) and NaHMDS as described above. High resolution mass spectrometry showed a major signal at $m/e = C_{12}H_{36}N_2O_2S_4H^{\oplus}$ [$M+H^{\oplus}$] which corresponded to $(TMS)_2N-SSSS-N(TMS)_2$ (calcd: 449.0911; found: 449.0908).^[11] Flash column chromatography with silica gel led to the isolation of this rather labile compound whose NMR spectroscopic data provided further support for its presumed structure noted above [1H NMR ($CDCl_3$, 600 MHz) $\delta = 0.26$ ppm; ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$, 150 MHz) $\delta = 2.4$ ppm]. A possible scenario for the formation of this species is depicted in Scheme 1. Thus, it is postulated that the first equivalent of NaHMDS opens the 8-membered ring sulfur cluster to an open-chain mono-TMS sulfenamide species (**28**) which suffers further attack from a second equivalent of NaHMDS preferentially at the middle of the sulfur chain (presumably due to electronic and steric repulsion at each end, respectively) to afford the observed N,N' -tetrathio-*bis*-TMS derivative (**29**). Indeed, freshly prepared and isolated species **29** served as a successful sulfonylating reagent of diketopiperazine **6** in the presence of 3.0 equiv of NaHMDS under the same conditions as those described in Table 1 to afford epidithiodiketopiperazine **16** in similar yield (57% yield) to the original protocol. The crude mixture [NaHMDS- S_8] also exhibited mass spectrometric peaks corresponding to $(TMS)_2N-SSS-N(TMS)_2$ (calcd for $C_{12}H_{36}N_2O_2S_3H^{\oplus}$ [$M+H^{\oplus}$]: 417.1190; found: 417.1186) and $(TMS)_2N-SSSSS-N(TMS)_2$ (calcd for $C_{12}H_{36}N_2O_2S_5H^{\oplus}$ [$M+H^{\oplus}$]: 512.0280; found: 512.0241).

Given the possibility of several sulfonylation agents within the reaction mixture, this sulfonylation reaction may be rather complex. However, using tetrasulfide species **29**, the tentative mechanism shown in Scheme 2 for the case of diketopiperazine **6** as a substrate may be proposed. Thus, enolate formation^[12] from **6** under the basic conditions employed may allow stepwise installation of sulfur at positions 3 and 6 through sequential inter- and intramolecular carbo-sulfur bond formations. This sequence furnishes intermediates **6b–6d** as a mixture of oligosulfides from which the epitetrasulfide **31** was isolated as the major product (43% yield) together with episulfide **16** (8% yield), as well as several other unidentified products. An X-ray crystallographic analysis of **31** proved its tetrasulfide nature unambiguously (see X-ray derived ORTEP in Scheme 2).^[13] Reduction of this oligosulfide mixture with $NaBH_4$ then leads to the corresponding *bis*-thiolate species, whose oxidation with KI_3 (after quenching with NH_4Cl) furnish the epidithiodiketopiperazine product **16**, whereas methylation affords *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazine **23**. Pure tetrasulfide **31** was also converted to **16** under the same $NaBH_4$ - KI_3 conditions (94% yield).

In order to explore further the usefulness of this new sulfonylation procedure, we decided to cap the intermediate dithiolate species with other electrophiles. As shown in Scheme 3, quenching of the resulting dithiolate after $NaBH_4$ reduction with pivaloyl chloride (PivCl) led to *bis*-pivalate **34** in 35% yield (*syn* isomer, unoptimized). Exposure of the latter to $Mg(OMe)_2$, followed by bubbling of oxygen through the solution led to the formation of epidithiodiketopiperazine **35** (87% yield). On the other hand, employment of trimethylsilyl ethoxymethyl chloride (SEMCl) instead of PivCl to capture the dithiolate species generated from **33** and NaHMDS led to the formation of *bis*-SEM derivative **36** in 40% yield (*syn* isomer, unoptimized). Attempts to deprotect compound **36** with fluoride reagents failed; at best, only trace amounts of epidithiodiketopiperazine **35** were obtained upon oxidation of the derived mixture of products. However, the most interesting transformation of compound **36** was observed when this compound was treated with $SnCl_4$ in THF at 25 °C in an attempt to cleave the SEM groups. Under these conditions, product **36**, possessing the S-CH₂-O bridge, was obtained in 93% yield. The assigned structure of the latter was consistent with its spectroscopic data and was unambiguously proven through X-ray crystallographic analysis (see X-ray derived ORTEP, Scheme 3).^[14]

A plausible mechanism for this unusual reaction is shown in Scheme 4. Thus, rapid cleavage of the tail end of the first SEM group may lead to trichlorotin alkoxide **36b**,^[15] whose decomposition to iminium species **36c** by expulsion of a trichlorotinthio-SEM species may be facilitated by intramolecular activation of the departing S atom as shown on **36b**. The latter species (**36c**) may then undergo ring closure to the observed product **37**.

The described chemistry offers a general, practical and simple method for the introduction of sulfur into cyclic 2,5-diketopiperazines under mild conditions. This method has already proven its value in the total synthesis of epicoccin G (**1**),^[10] 8,8'-*epi-ent*-rostratin B^[10] and acetylaranotin,^[16] where it was proven superior to previously known methods, and is expected to facilitate future expeditions in similarly complex and challenging molecules containing the epidithiodiketopiperazine and *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazine structural motifs.

Experimental Section

Preparation of epidithiodiketopiperazines

To a suspension of elemental sulfur (8.0 equiv) in THF (0.2 M) at 25 °C under argon was added NaHMDS (0.6 M in PhMe, 3.0 equiv; LiHMDS and KHMDS gave inferior yields) dropwise over a period of 2 min. During the addition, the insoluble yellow S₈ quickly changed color, initially into a dark blue, then dark orange, and finally light orange solution. This solution was stirred for an additional 1 min, and DKP (1.0 equiv) dissolved in THF (0.2 M) was added dropwise at 25 °C over a 2 min period, at which time the reaction mixture turned light brown. The mixture was stirred for an additional 1 min, then additional NaHMDS (0.6 M in PhMe, 2.0 equiv) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq. NH₄Cl solution and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated to afford a brownish residue which was taken to the next step without purification. The residue was dissolved in a mixture of degassed THF:EtOH (1:1, 0.05 M) at 0 °C, and to the stirred solution under argon was added NaBH₄ (25 equiv) in small portions over a period of 1 min. The resulting mixture was stirred for 45 min while it was allowed to reach ambient temperature. After this time, the solution was cooled to 0 °C and quenched by careful addition of sat. aq. NH₄Cl solution. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc, and to the combined organic extracts was added an aq. solution of KI₃ (1.4 M). This mixture was stirred for 10 min and then quenched with sat. aq. Na₂S₂O₃ solution; the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give an oily residue. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography or preparative thin layer chromatography.

Preparation of *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines

The DKP was processed through steps a and b as described in Table 1. To the mixture obtained after NaBH₄ reduction (step b) at 0 °C was added MeI (50 equiv), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 15 h. After this time, the solution was quenched by careful addition of sat. aq. NH₄Cl solution and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated to give an oily residue. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography or preparative thin layer chromatography.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

1. a) Martins MB, Carvalho I. *Tetrahedron*. 2007; 63:9923–9932. b) Dinsmore CJ, Beshore DC. *Tetrahedron*. 2002; 58:3297–3312.
2. a) Guo H, Sun B, Gao H, Chen X, Liu S, Yao X, Liu X, Che Y. *J Nat Prod*. 2009; 72:2115–2119. [PubMed: 19919067] b) Wang JM, Ding GZ, Fang L, Dai JG, Yu SS, Wang YH, Chen XG, Ma SG, Qu J, Xu S, Du D. *J Nat Prod*. 2010; 73:1240–1249. [PubMed: 20550196]
3. Nagarajan R, Huckstep LL, Lively DH, DeLong DC, Marsh MM, Neuss N. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1968; 90:2980–2982. Studies toward arantol: Gross U, Nieger M, Brase S. *Chem Eur J*. 2010; 16:11624–11631.
4. a) Gardiner MD, Waring P, Howlett BJ. *Microbiology*. 2005; 151:1021–1032. [PubMed: 15817772] b) Rezanka T, Sobotka M, Spizek J, Sigler K. *Anti-infect Agents Med Chem*. 2006; 5:187–224. c) Greiner D, Bonaldi T, Eskeland R, Roemer R, Imhof A. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2005; 1:143–145. [PubMed: 16408017] d) Isham CR, Tibodeau JD, Jin W, Xu R, Timm MM, Bibblel KC. *Blood*. 2007; 109:2579–2588. [PubMed: 17090648]
5. Iwasa E, Hamashima Y, Sodeoka M. *Isr J Chem*. 2011; 51:420–433.
6. Ohler E, Poisel H, Tataruch F, Schmidt U. *Chem Ber*. 1972; 105:635–641. [PubMed: 4645598]
7. a) Iwasa E, Hamashima Y, Fujishiro S, Higuchi E, Ito A, Yoshida M, Sodeoka M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:4078–4079. [PubMed: 20210309] b) Iwasa E, Hamashima Y, Fujishiro S, Hashizume D, Sodeoka M. *Tetrahedron*. 2011; 67:6587–6599. c) Kishi Y, Fukuyama T, Nakatsuka S. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1973; 95:6492–6493. [PubMed: 4733401] d) Fukuyama T, Kishi Y. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1976; 98:6723–6724. [PubMed: 61223] e) Poisel H, Schmidt U. *Chem Ber*. 1971; 104:1714–1721.
8. a) Trown PW. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1968; 33:402–407. [PubMed: 5722231] b) Yoshimura Y, Nakamura H, Matsunari K. *Bull Chem Soc*. 1975; 48:605–609.
9. a) Kim J, Movassaghi M. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:14376–14378. [PubMed: 20866039] b) Kim J, Ashenurst A, Movassaghi M. *Science*. 2009; 324:238–241. [PubMed: 19359584] c) Öhler E, Tataruch F, Schmidt U. *Chem Ber*. 1973; 106:396–398. [PubMed: 4721259] d) Overman LE, Sato T. *Org Lett*. 2007; 9:5267–5270. [PubMed: 18001051] e) DeLorbe JE, Jabri SY, Mennen SM, Overman LE, Zhang FL. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:6549–6552. [PubMed: 21473649]
10. Nicolaou KC, Totokotsopoulos S, Giguère D, Sun Y, Sarlah D. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:8150–8153. [PubMed: 21548595]
11. a) Siivari J, Maaninen A, Haapaniemi E, Laitinen RS, Chivers T. *Z Naturforsch B: Chem Sci*. 1995; 50:1575–1582. b) Schmidt M, Scherer O. *Naturwissenschaften*. 1963; 50:302–304.
12. Treatment of 2,5-diketopiperazine 6 with excess NaHMDS under the sulfonylation conditions followed by quenching with D₂O led to incorporation of only one D into the molecule.
13. CCDC 851862 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound 31. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
14. CCDC 850759 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound 37. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
15. a) Chandrasekhar V, Nagendran S, Baskar V. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2002; 235:1–52. b) Wakamatsu K, Orita A, Otera J. *Organomet*. 2008; 27:1092–1097.
16. Codelli JA, Puchlopek ALA, Reisman SE. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:1021/1021-ja209354e

1: epicoccin G **2: aranotin**

Figure 1.
Molecular structures of epicoccin G (**1**) and aranotin (**2**).

Scheme 1.

Proposed mechanism for the formation of *N,N'*-tetrathio-*bis*-trimethylsilyl compound **29** from S_8 (**27**) and NaHMDS.

Scheme 2.

A postulated mechanism for the formation of epidithiodiketopiperazine **16** and bis-methylthiodiketopiperazine **23** from diketopiperazine **6**. Only one diastereoisomer of **6c** and related compounds is shown.

Scheme 3.

Synthesis of *bis*-sulfenylated diketopiperazine derivatives **34** and **36** and formation of *O,S*-acetal diketopiperazine **37**. Reagents and conditions: a) NaHMDS (0.6 M in PhMe, 3.0 equiv), S₈ (1.0 equiv), THF, 25 °C, 1 min; then **33** (1 M in THF, 1.0 equiv) 1 min; then NaHMDS (0.6 M in PhMe, 2.0 equiv), 25 °C, 0.5 h; b) NaBH₄ (25 equiv), THF/MeOH (1:1), 0→25 °C, 0.75 h; c) PivCl (50 equiv), 25 °C, 15 h, 35%; d) Mg(OMe)₂ (20 equiv), MeOH, 25 °C, 15 h, 87%; e) SEMCl (50 equiv), 25 °C, 15 h, 40%; f) SnCl₄ (1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂, 14 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 25 °C, 15 min, 93%.

Scheme 4.

Postulated mechanism for the formation of mixed thioacetal **37** from *bis*-SEMthiodiketopiperazine **36**. Reagents and conditions: a) SnCl_4 (1.0 M in CH_2Cl_2 , 14 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 25 °C, 15 min, 93%.

Table 1Preparation of epidithiodiketopiperazines from 3,6-diketopiperazines (DKPs).^[a]

Entry	Substrate	Product ^[b]	Overall yield (%) ^[c]
1			40
2			63
3			70
4			69
5			65
6			45
7			47

Entry	Substrate	Product ^[b]	Overall yield (%) ^[c]
8			65
9			68
10			55 ^[d]

^[a] Reactions were performed on 100 mg scale of DKP.

^[b] Racemic mixture unless otherwise stated.

^[c] Isolated yield after flash column chromatography.

^[d] ca. 1.4:1 *dr*.

Table 2Preparation of *bis*-methylthiodiketopiperazines from 3,6-diketopiperazines (DKPs).^[a]

Entry	Substrate	Product ^[b]	Overall yield (%) ^[c]
1			70
2			72
3			63
4			64
5			58 ^[d]

^[a] Reactions were performed on 100 mg scale of DKP.^[b] Racemic mixture unless otherwise stated.^[c] Isolated yield after flash column chromatography.^[d] ca. 1.4:1 *dr*.