Published in final edited form as:

Transl Res. 2012 May ; 159(5): 366–375. doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2011.08.003.

Molecular Profiling of Individual Tumor Cells by Hyperspectral Microscopic Imaging

Jonathan W. Uhr¹, Michael L. Huebschman^{2,3}, Eugene P. Frenkel³, Nancy L. Lane^{1,3}, Raheela Ashfaq¹, HuaYing Liu¹, Dipen R. Rana², Lawrence Cheng¹, Alice T. Lin², Gareth A. Hughes⁴, Xiaojing J. Zhang⁵, and Harold R. Garner²

¹Cancer Immunobiology Center, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas

²McDermott Center for Human Growth and Development, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas

³Harold C. Simmons Cancer Center, Department of Internal Medicine, at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas

⁴Lynntech Inc., Dallas, Texas

⁵College of Engineering, University of Texas at Austin

Abstract

We have developed a hyperspectral microscopic imaging (HMI) platform that can precisely identify and quantify 10 molecular markers in individual cancer cells in a single pass. Exploitation of an improved separation of circulating tumor cells and the application of HMI has provided an opportunity to identify molecular changes in these cells, the recognition of co-expression of these markers, and poses an important opportunity for non-invasive diagnosis, and the use of targeted therapy. We have balanced the intensity of 10 fluorochromes bound to 10 different antibodies, each specific to a particular tumor marker, so that the intensity of each fluorochrome can be discerned from overlapping emissions. Using 2 touch preps from each primary breast cancer, the average molecular marker-intensities of 25 tumor cells gave a representative molecular signature for the tumor despite some cellular heterogeneity. The intensities determined by the HMI correlate well with the conventional 0-3+ analysis by experts in cellular pathology. Since additional multiplexes can be developed using the same fluorochromes but different antibodies, this analysis allows quantification of a large number of molecular markers on individual tumor cells. HMI can be completely automated and, eventually, could allow standardization of protein biomarkers and improve reproducibility among clinical pathology laboratories.

© 2011 Mosby, Inc. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Dr. Michael L. Huebschman, Assistant Instructor, Harold C. Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, 6000 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75390-8852, 214-648-1232, 214-648-1955 (fax), michael.huebschman@utsouthwestern.edu. Requests for reprints should be addressed to: Dr. Jonathan Uhr, Professor Emeritus, Cancer Immunobiology Center, 6000 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, Texas 75390-8576, 214-648-1226, 214-648-1955 (fax), Jonathan.uhr@utsouthwestern.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

All authors have read the journal's policy on disclosure of potential conflicts of interest and declare that there are none.

INTRODUCTION

The paradigm of cancer treatment has emphasized the need for extensive molecular profiling of the cancer. Recognition of protein biomarkers and genomic alterations in primary cancers has been shown to predict response to treatment (predictive markers)^{1, 2} and determine prognosis.^{3, 4, 5} The identification of pivotal genomic alterations that can be targeted is exemplified by the treatment of HER2 (Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2) oncoprotein over-expressing cancers with the anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody trastuzumab^{6, 7}. The success of this strategy has resulted in an intensive effort to understand cancer biology at a systems level. Multiparametric analysis at the single cell level is a technical hurdle that if successfully crossed, could allow for the analysis of systematic (nonrandom) heterogeneity and could be informative of proteins and pathways that cooperate to generate specific phenotypes. Such information should facilitate the development of new targeted drugs and rationally designed combinations that intervene in defined signaling pathways to affect the natural progression of cancer thus advancing the practice of truly individualized/personalized cancer therapy.

Using HMI in this study we present evidence that expansion and quantification of molecular signatures can be obtained on individual tumor cells (ITCs) stained with a panel of antibody-fluor conjugates that detect and quantify biomarkers of interest. Cells were obtained by touch preps of tumor tissue, capture of circulating tumor cells (CTCs), and by use of cells from established cell lines. The result is an ability to analyze a large number of molecular markers on individual tumor cells and quantify their expression objectively and precisely. Clinical studies are in progress to define the level of expression of individual markers as well as non-random patterns of molecular markers within ITCs for correlative delineation of prognostic and/or predictive relevance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hyperspectral Microscopic Imaging (HMI)

Analysis of normal and tumor cells was performed by HMI. In contrast to conventional fluorescent microscopy, HMI systems are capable of recording the entire emission spectra of a fluorochrome in a single pass under the microscope. Each pixel in a scanned image radiates in over 365nm wavelengths and the HMI acquires the complete emission spectrum for every pixel. Pixels in the present studies were 0.3µm in size. For each pixel, the emission spectrum was acquired followed by processing of the data using a spectral deconvolution algorithm. The deconvoluted signal attributable to each dye that is proportional to the amount of molecular marker expression is then presented for viewing with artificial colors designed to mimic those observed using conventional microscopy. These can then be viewed individually, or as overlays, and inspection of these views enables the users to characterize the expression level following traditional (subjective 0 – 3+) methods. A software package fits each pixel in a scanned image to a library of emission spectra for every fluorochrome. A spectrograph and computer analysis results in the separation and precise quantification of each fluorochrome despite overlapping emission spectra. For our purposes, HMI evaluates fluorescent antibody-based conjugates. Each conjugate represents an antibody to a different molecular marker which is conjugated to a different fluorochrome. Therefore, the amount of the fluorescence intensity of each conjugate bound to the tumor cell gives a value for the level of expression of that molecular marker. There is a linear relationship between the fluorescence intensity value and the amount of protein measured. The number of molecules of a fluorochrome conjugated to an antibody is readily measured. Thus, software adjustments can be made for different batches of the same conjugate that have different average numbers of the same fluorochrome. Each fluorescent conjugate was used by itself or as part of a 10 tumor marker multiplex to stain touch preps. Only after the final results

showed virtually identical values indicating no steric hindrance (or any other effect of the multiplex on expression of the individual conjugate), was the multiplex considered complete.

HMI System and Operation

The Hyperspectral Microscopic Imaging system is composed of an Olympus IX-70 inverted microscope, SP-500i imaging spectrograph (Acton Instruments Acton Research Corporation Acton, Massachusetts), Quantix KAF1600 CCD (Charge-Coupled Device) camera (Photometrics Tucson, AZ), and X-Y motorized stage (Ludl Electronic Products Ltd Hawthorne, NY).⁸⁻¹¹

The movement of the stage and acquisitions of the camera are controlled by in-house VC++ programmed software running on a Personal Computer with the Windows XP operating system. The system records the full spectrum of a line of pixels from the sample image and moves the stage to the next line based on the slit width of the spectrograph and the objective power of the microscope. A microscope filter cube is used to excite the samples with 290nm to 480nm lamp radiation and permits the long pass of 420nm and above radiation emitted by the sample to reach the spectrograph's entrance slit. A 60× objective is used for cell scanning. The spectrometer grating (150 grooves per mm) and CCD array size (1536×1024 pixels of size 9 microns by 9 microns) enables a spectral acquisition range from 420nm to 785nm for the scans.⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾ This combination of a single excitation band and long pass emission band provide the HMI with the novel capability to collect highly accurate continuous emission spectra. The entrance slit of the imaging spectrograph is coupled to the slide port of the microscope and the CCD camera is mounted on the exit port of the spectrograph. The spectrum of the sample is focused on the CCD array and thus the irradiance intensity at the sample is also the intensity received at the CCD array.

The spectrometer entrance slit, set at 72 microns wide, views a width of the sample of 1.2 microns with a 60× objective and a length of 233.3 microns (14mm long slit). Thus, each acquisition of the CCD camera will record the spectra radiating from a 1.2 micron width and cover a spatial length of 233.3 microns. The data being presented used a 60× magnification such that each camera pixel is representing 0.3 microns by 0.3 microns (2×2 binning). The spatial resolution along the slit length is set by the magnification and camera pixel size while perpendicular spatial resolution is set by the slit width. Spatial resolution can be improved by reducing the slit width⁸.

The HMI data acquisition sequence begins with the operator using a joy-stick to move the slide around above the microscope objective and optically select each cell for imaging. The cell is then automatically scanned. The spectra from one line crossing the sample at a time are recorded by the CCD camera, downloaded and stored on the computer. The stage is controlled to move one imaged-slit-width at a time. The stage is stepped and spectra recorded until the sample has been moved the full scan distance. A full scan sequence records on the computer hard drive an image cube of data consisting of spectral images. The image cube data are values which are 4-dimensionally related; two spatial (plane of the cell), one wavelength, and one intensity versus wavelength.^{8, 9, 10, 11}

Data Cube Analysis

Data cube files are transferred to other computers for analysis since the analysis software is independent of the acquisition software. Each 2D image of the spectra is integrated along the wavelength axis to give a line of intensity-varied pixels. The lines of pixels can be adjacently displayed in a picture to show a composite image of the scanned sample. But, more importantly, the image cube data is further analyzed for spectral content.

The analysis programming is written in ITT Visual Information Solutions' Interactive Data Language (IDL). The analysis package begins with a graphical user interface for selecting the image cube of interest¹¹. Next, the number and type of fluorochromes for de-convolution are selected through another graphical user interface. Then the user is provided with the composite image, as described above, to interactively select the Region of Interest that contains the cell or cells for analysis.

The software uses the IDL 'Curve_Fit' function to determine the best least squares fit of a user defined linear combination of the eleven spectra; 10 fluorochrome standards from the library and a background spectrum. The output is the set of coefficients for each standard spectrum which indicates the relative amount of each fluorochrome standard in the data spectrum.¹¹ Next, these best-fit-coefficients for each marker are processed with the IDL 'WaterShed' function to use its segmentation results to separate marker values from background values. Final cell boundaries are determined from these results and cell morphology parameters are calculated. The average intensity for each marker is calculated by summing all the segmented coefficient values of a particular marker inside the cell boundary and dividing by the cell area. The marker values are also used to determine total marker intensity and marker pixels in the cell above a threshold. The marker data for each cell is saved in a 32-bit floating point Tagged Image File Format (TIFF).

Fig 1 provides a representative sample of fluorescing spectra emitted from a 10 marker stained MCF7 cell. Analysis software provides a wavelength-integrated grayscale 2D image of the cell (Fig 1A) which can be queried interactively to display lines of spectra (Fig 1B&C) or single pixel spectra (Fig 1D-F)¹¹. A line display provides the spectra along a spatial length of the image and is presented in a 3D graph format. The axes of the 3D graph are wavelength (λ) in nanometers, spatial (y) in microns and intensity in counts/sec. The software permits the scales to be varied and the coordinates system rotated. Single pixel spectra are provided in 2D graphs but with overlays of the standard spectra used in the deconvolution and plotted proportional to their contribution to the total spectrum. The closely matching overlay of the sum (thick red) of all the standards (thin colors), including the background (thin black), onto the data spectrum (thick black) indicates the degree of accuracy in the deconvolution (Fig 1D-F). Observing the number of the standard curves (thin colors) visible on a graph is a quick count of the number of markers present in that single pixel, as 9 of 10 in Fig 1F.

Qualitative and Quantitative Analysis

An important correlative step allows us to display the cell for qualitative assessment by a certified pathologist from our team and other members of our Department of Pathology for quality control. Each marker value, as arrayed within the cell area, can be displayed separately or in combinations. To assist in the assay, the markers can be false colored to match current pathology staining protocols and, especially when displayed in combinations, to distinguish one marker from another within the cell. The quantitative intensity values allow us to directly compare expression levels. In Figs 2 and 3 we show images of cell markers generated with the 'cell average' method to represent the concentration levels with color intensity similar to that seen by pathologist for those fluors in the visible range.

In addition to spectral quantification, the analysis program simultaneously computes eight morphological parameters that are measured during analysis of each cell: these are cell area, cell perimeter, nuclear area to cell area ratio, cell long axis, cell short axis to long axis ratio, measured area to calculate ellipse area ratio, measured perimeter to calculated ellipse perimeter, and orientation angle. Graphs are made of average marker intensity and cell morphological parameters.

There are several HMI instruments that have become commercially available (CitoViva Inc., LightForm Inc., Nikon Instruments Inc., etc.) since we began to develop our HMI platform a decade ago¹². As yet, to our knowledge, there are no published reports of quantification of a 10 color multiplex using one of these instruments. As described in methods, there are many critical steps involving mechanics, microscopic, computer and cellular analyses to accomplish a multicolor quantitative analysis.

Preparation of Samples

Using polylysine-coated slides, touch preps of fresh breast cancer tissue were performed as previously described¹³ or tumors cells (TCs) from cell lines were gently dropped onto slides and dried for 2 hours at 37°C, then fixed for 10 minutes in ice cold acetone and stored at -80°C until stained. CTCs were captured from whole blood by an immunomagnetic assay as previously described.^{14, 15} Antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, California) and fluorochromes from Molecular Probes Inc. (Eugene, Oregon). Conjugation was performed according to manufacturer's instructions. Tumor cells were stained with the conjugates and the position of each TC on the slide was recorded by the microscopist looking at the cytomorphology and the staining for cytokeratin and CD45. ITCs were examined by HMI to determine the expression of each molecular marker. Tumor cells were stained with mouse monoclonal IgG1, IgG2a, rabbit IgG and goat IgG not specific to human antigens as negative controls. The specificity of each antibody-conjugate was confirmed by analyzing reactions with appropriate cell lines with known expression levels for each molecular marker and with touch preps to ensure that both negative and positive results could be obtained. Two normal breast epithelial cell lines, recently established and characterized by Dr. David Euhus (UTSWMC), were studied along with 5 neoplastic cell lines and clinical samples. The study conformed to the ethical guidelines for human and animal research. All human specimens were obtained after informed consent and collected using protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX.

Statistics

Statistical values were computed using the library functions provided in Microsoft Office Excel 2007. Error bars on graphs represent the $\pm$ standard deviation of the mean. The R^2 value computed is the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient squared. Students' *t*-tests were used to compare the concordance of the two pathologists' subjective 0-3+ evaluations of the cell markers. Probabilities of $p < 0.05$ were considered significant.

RESULTS

The present study focused on the analysis of breast carcinoma as a prototype of cancer since analysis of molecular markers in breast cancer (*e.g.* HER2, ER (Estrogen Receptor) and PR (Progesterone Receptor), etc.) is a common approach in their evaluation by pathology and the levels of which affect treatment decisions for the patient.

We used touch preps to obtain ITCs on a slide rather than using routine paraffin embedded and formalin fixed tissue because the former improves analysis of cytomorphology. Fluorescent staining of touch preps allows analysis of individual cells, and facilitates the detection of nonrandom co-expression of specific molecular markers relevant to treatment decisions; and, also identifies rare tumor cells such as putative cancer stem cells in a heterogeneous population. Ten different fluorochromes were used for each slide: 9 were conjugated to 9 different antibodies, each specific to a different molecular marker specifically selected for the analysis of breast cancer cells. One other fluorochrome, DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole), stains the nucleus directly. The first aim was to quantify 10

molecular markers in a single pass of an ITC under the microscope. The development of this capacity to achieve a 10 tumor marker multiplex was complex because it was necessary to balance the intensity and background of the 10 different fluorochromes in order to optimally decompose the spectral contributions of each fluor-antibody conjugate.

Fig 2 shows the 10 molecular markers multiplex: nuclear, cytokeratin, CD45 (cluster of differential 45), Notch1, ER, PR, HER2, Bcl-2 (B-cell lymphoma 2), Ki-67 and EpCAM (Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule). The MCF7 breast cancer cell line was chosen as it is known to express all 9 markers (CD45 neg.), each of which are significant in cancer biology or clinical decision-making. In preliminary studies we have evidence that additional complexes of antibody-fluorochrome conjugates can be easily constructed using this same wavelength-optimized set of fluorochromes by substituting one conjugate for another.

Initially, we quantified 10 molecular markers in 25 cells from 5 different cancer cell lines and 2 normal breast epithelial cell lines (providing 1700 measurements of tumor marker intensity). A representative cell was chosen from each cell line in Fig 3. This allowed us to obtain positive and negative controls for each conjugate. We had previously shown that irrelevant immunoglobulins of the type used in the conjugates did not stain nonspecifically. This further provided evidence that our results were concordant with those in the literature. In addition, it provided further data on the extent of marker heterogeneity.

Visual examination of 25 TCs in a particular cell line showed a reproducible average tumor marker expression, although individual cells could vary substantially in expression of a particular molecular marker. As can be seen in Fig 3 the B cell lymphoma line, Daudi, expressed CD45 but not cytokeratin in contrast to the 4 carcinoma lines as expected. Also, the level of expression of markers on the cells of the 2 normal breast cell lines was markedly reduced compared to the cells of the 2 cancer cell lines: SKBr3 and MCF7.

We assessed the quantitative intensities output by the HMI for each molecular marker in tumor cells from clinical samples to correlate with the conventional pathologic analysis. Two of the authors (JU and HL) each evaluated approximately 3000 cell images blinded to the nature of their source, including approximately 300 images that were inserted randomly in the set twice. These were selected from the touch preps of 7 patients with breast cancer and from 5 patients with normal breasts or breasts containing benign tumors. The results showed that JU and HL had reproducibility errors of 15 and 20% respectively. This was expected since this is a subjective analysis and the observer has to partition a very large range of image types and intensities into only 4 categories (0, 1+, 2+ and 3+), the scale typically used to categorize pathology samples. When we compared the results of the two observers, there was approximately 75% concordance. We then compared the averages of subjective 0-3+ analyses to that of the HMI analysis. Fig 4 shows a representative result with a highly significant Pearson correlation of 0.70, with a small standard error. We conclude that there is a general, but not a precise concordance.

In order to evaluate tumor heterogeneity and reproducibility, we examined the number of tumor cells required to provide a “representative” quantification of the 10 molecular markers in a given cancer. There is no objective quantitative standard for reporting heterogeneity or variance in conventional pathological analysis.^{4, 16} In order to approach this, we did 2 successive touch preps from a single breast cancer tumor from 11 different breast cancer patients. In this preliminary study, the HMI analysis of HER2 status matched the pathology report in 10 out of 11 tissue samples taken at the time of breast tumor removal. Using our previous pattern, we examined 25 cells per touch prep for all 10 markers (5500 measurements). We compared the average intensity of molecular marker expression between the 2 touch preps. Fig 5 shows a representative experiment analyzing molecular markers

from 2 touch preps from the same tumor. There is marked heterogeneity even though comparison of the standard deviations of the average expression of each molecular marker showed no statistically significant differences between each other. This confirms that there is considerable heterogeneity among cancer cells, but that the average levels of each of the 10 molecular markers from 25 TCs appears capable of providing representation of the tumor's molecular signature.

DISCUSSION

The major findings of this paper are: 1) development of a hyperspectral microscopic imaging platform that can precisely quantify 10 molecular markers in a single pass of an individual TC. 2) Use of touch preps of tumor tissue in order to search for nonrandom patterns of molecular marker expression in ITCs that indicate clinical bias and could lead to new hypotheses. 3) HMI analysis of 25 TCs in a touch prep gave a defined molecular signature despite considerable heterogeneity within each molecular marker assay. 4) Demonstration that HMI values of particular molecular markers correlate with the conventional 0-3⁺-subjective pathology analysis.

Claim of a “representative” analysis of a breast carcinoma by examining 25 TCs requires explanation. Using published data from clinical pathology laboratories, it is not possible to define “representative” in precise quantitative terms. There is significant heterogeneity within a given tumor¹⁶ and subjective analysis among different laboratories shows considerable variability *e.g.* HER2, ER, PR.^{19, 20} However, comparison of the average intensities of the molecular markers from 2 touch preps from the same tumor yielded relatively similar results despite considerable heterogeneity within a single touch prep. There was also a reasonable correlation between the HMI numbers and those obtained by standard pathologist examination using a 0-3⁺ analysis. This suggests that objective quantification has the potential to standardize analysis among different pathology laboratories provided the calculations for fluorescence expression have been established for each tumor marker, analysis is completely automated and standardized instruments, procedures, and reagents are used. This will also require well-designed clinical correlative studies. However, once confirmed, there could be a major impact on standardizing the practice of reporting protein biomarkers and inter-laboratory reproducibility.

The HMI analysis of ITCs adds to conventional pathologic analysis of molecular markers and to the vast repertoire of molecular assays presently under development for detection and quantification of both molecular markers and informative genes.^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25} At present, amplification of the HER2 gene remains the gold standard for selecting patients for anti HER2 therapy. This direct comparison of subjective observations of HER2 expression with the HMI evaluation provides an important potential tool. The precise and rapid quantification with the HMI generates values for each molecular marker that are reproducible and lack observer bias; thus HMI may supplant current methodologies. Additionally, baseline values generated from analysis at primary diagnosis can be compared with subsequent values either in cells obtained from metastatic sites or CTCs, not only impacting treatment strategies but also providing a deeper understanding of the evolutionary biology of the patient's tumor. For example, the analysis of individual breast cells from touch preps and CTCs indicated that 70% of HER2 amplified tumor cells had co-amplification of the urokinase plasminogen activator receptor (uPAR) gene, significantly higher than the product of the individual frequencies of the amplification of each gene which lies on different chromosomes.²⁶ We hypothesized that uPAR amplification might be essential for HER2 amplified cells to exert their increased malignancy. Several studies^{26, 27, 28} support this hypothesis. Their data suggests that a targeting drug to inactivate uPAR together with trastuzumab may have a synergistic effect.^{29, 30}

Caution is warranted, however, before application of HMI into routine clinical laboratory practice. Although the value generated by the HMI for each tumor marker in a particular cell is objective, extensive clinical validation studies must be done to determine which values will indicate their clinical significance. For example, a prospective validation study of patients with HER2+ tumors treated with trastuzumab using HMI and standard pathological methods of HER2 analysis would be indicated to determine that the results generated by HMI define a biologically and clinically relevant status.

It is well known that tumors continue to evolve as they grow, progress and metastasize. Each step in the progression of a tumor cell may be associated with additional genetic alterations and hence altered phenotypes. Continual phenotypic changes in cancer progression are a major obstacle to individualization of treatment, particularly with regard to targeted therapy. It has been very difficult to follow and document these changes and then harness the heterogeneity of tumors for effective treatment strategies because repeated invasive biopsies are not feasible.

It is also well known that there can be significant heterogeneity among metastases in a patient. Recently, due to the above stated reasons, there is considerable interest in characterizing CTCs. The development of various techniques and an automated instrument^{5, 31, 32} that can count CTCs in recurrent breast, prostate and colon cancer and thereby evaluate prognosis and efficacy of a treatment regimen has fueled recent attention and research in the field of CTCs. The evaluation of CTCs is now moving from mere enumeration of cells as a prognostic indicator to further interrogation of the molecular signature, genetic and proteomics in these cells. A provocative example is the acquisition of HER2 over expression and gene amplification in CTCs in breast cancer progression.^{13, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36} Such patients may be candidates for treatment with anti-HER2 therapy but would not have been treated based on a negative primary tumor assay.

Conventional pathologic examination together with present-day fluorescence microscopy is insufficient to obtain the level of molecular profiling necessary to optimize new treatment regimens. HMI analysis of touch preps of tumor tissue and CTCs, represents a major step forward because a large number of molecular markers can be detected and their expression precisely quantified in individual TCs. Eventually, this should have a positive effect on prediction, prognosis and the prioritization of which targeting drugs should go into clinical trials. Coupled with extensive clinical experience, automation, standardization of HMI and reagents, exact values should replace subjective quantification and diminish or eliminate variation in results among different laboratories.

Acknowledgments

We thank Lisa Jones for help with the manuscript. This work was supported by the Raymond and Ellen Willie Distinguished Chair in Cancer Research (JU), National Cancer Institute grant 1R01CA106272-01A1, P.O'B. Montgomery Distinguished Chair (HRG), the Hudson Foundation, the Texas Ignition Fund, the Nasher Family Cancer Research Program and the T. Boone Pickens Fund.

Abbreviations

Bcl-2	B-cell lymphoma 2
CCD	Charge-Coupled Device
CD	clusters of differentiation
CTCs	Circulating Tumor Cells

DAPI	4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
EpCAM	Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule
ER	Estrogen Receptor
HER2	Human Epidermal growth factor Receptor 2
HMI	Hyperspectral Microscopic Imaging
IDL	Interactive Data Language
IgG	Immunoglobulin G
ITCs	Individual Tumor Cells
PR	Progesterone Receptor
TCs	Tumor cells
TIFF	Tagged Image File Format
uPAR	urokinase Plasminogen Activator Receptor

REFERENCES

1. Perou CM, Sorlie T, Eisen MB, et al. Molecular portraits of human breast tumors. *Nature*. 2000; 406:747–752. [PubMed: 10963602]
2. Beske OE, Goldbard S. High-throughput cell analysis using multiplexed array technologies. *Drug Discov Today*. 2002; 7:S131–S135. [PubMed: 12546879]
3. Rennstam K, Hedenfalk I. High-throughput genomic technology in research and clinical management of breast cancer. Molecular signatures of progression from benign epithelium to metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2006; 8:213–217. [PubMed: 16895590]
4. Van de Vijver MJ, He YD, van't Veer LJ, et al. A Gene-Expression Signature as a Predictor of Survival in Breast Cancer. *NEJM*. 2002; 347:1999–2009. [PubMed: 12490681]
5. Cristofanilli M, Budd T, Ellis M, et al. Circulating tumor cells, disease progression, and survival in metastatic breast cancer. *NEJM*. 2004; 351:781–791. [PubMed: 15317891]
6. Slamon DJ, Clark GM, Wong SG, Levin WJ, Ullrich A, McGuire WL. Human breast cancer: correlation of relapse and survival with amplification of the HER-2/neu oncogene. *Science*. 1987; 235:177–182. [PubMed: 3798106]
7. Romond EH, Perez EA, Bryant J, et al. Trastuzumab plus adjuvant chemotherapy for operable HER2-positive breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 353:1673–1684.
8. Huebschman ML, Schultz RA, Garner HR. Characteristics and Capabilities of the Hyperspectral Imaging Microscope. *IEEE Eng. Bio. and Med*. 2000; 21(7):104–117.
9. Schultz RA, Nielsen T, Zavaleta JR, Ruch R, Wyatt R, Garner HR. Hyperspectral Imaging: A Novel Approach For Microscopic Analysis. *Cytometry*. 2001; 43:239–247. [PubMed: 11260591]
10. Huebschman, ML.; Schultz, RA.; Garner, HR. Hyperspectral Imaging, Review Chapter, *Encyclopedia of Modern Optics*. Academic Press; 2004.
11. Huebschman ML, Rossenblatt KP, Garner HR. Hyperspectral microscopy imaging to analyze pathology samples with multi-colors reduces time and cost. *Proc. of SPIE*. 2009; 7182:1F-1–1F-10.
12. Garner, HR., inventor. Board of Regents, The University of Texas System, assignee. Hyperspectral slide reader. United States patent US. 6,160,618. 2000 Dec 12.
13. Meng SD, Tripathy D, Shete S, et al. HER-2 gene amplification can be acquired as breast cancer progresses. *PNAS*. 2004; 101:9393–9398. [PubMed: 15194824]
14. Fehm T, Solomayer EF, Meng S, et al. Methods for isolating circulating epithelial cells and criteria for their classification as carcinoma cells. *Cytotherapy*. 2005; 7:171–185. [PubMed: 16040397]

15. Meng S, Tripathy D, Frenkel E, et al. Circulating tumor cells in patients with breast cancer dormancy. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2004; 10:8152–8162. [PubMed: 15623589]
16. Shipitsin M, Campbell LL, Argani P, et al. Molecular definition of breast tumor heterogeneity. *Cancer Cell.* 2007; 3:259–273. [PubMed: 17349583]
17. Al-Hajj M, Wicha M. Prospective identification of tumorigenic breast cancer cells. *PNAS.* 2003; 100:3983–3988. [PubMed: 12629218]
18. Balic M, Lin H, Young L, et al. Most Early Disseminated Cancer Cells Detected in Bone Marrow of Breast Cancer Patients Have a Putative Breast Cancer Stem Cell Phenotype. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006; 12:5615–5621. [PubMed: 17020963]
19. Oyama T, Ishikawa Y, Hayashi M, Arihiro K, Horiguchi J. The effects of fixation, processing and evaluation criteria on immunohistochemical detection of hormone receptors in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer.* 2007; 14:182–188. [PubMed: 17485904]
20. Wasielewski R, Hasselmann S, Rüschoff J, Fisseler-Eckhoff A, Kreipe H. Proficiency testing of immunohistochemical biomarker assays in breast cancer. *Virchows Arch.* 2008; 453:537–543. [PubMed: 18958494]
21. Petricoin EF III, Bichsel VE, Calvert VS, et al. Mapping molecular networks using proteomics: a vision for patient-tailored combination therapy. *J Clin Onc.* 2005; 23:3614–3621.
22. Ntoulia M, Stathopoulou A, Ignatiadis M, et al. Detection of mammaglobin A-mRNA-positive circulating tumor cells in peripheral blood of patients with operable breast cancer with nested RT-PCR. *Clin Biochem.* 2006; 39:879–887. [PubMed: 16925986]
23. Piechev M, Najjer AJ, Pereira D, et al. Expression of VEGFR-2 and AC133 b circulating human CD identifies a population of functional endothelial precursors. *Blood.* 2000; 95:952–958. [PubMed: 10648408]
24. Yow H, Wong JM, Chen HS, Lee C, Steele GD, Chen LB. Increased in mRNA expression of a laminin binding protein in human carcinoma: Complete sequence of a full-length cDNA encoding protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1988; 85:6394–6398. [PubMed: 2970639]
25. Katz RL, He W, Khanna A, et al. Genetically abnormal circulating cells in lung cancer patients: An antigen-independent fluorescence in situ hybridization – based case – control study. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010; 16:3976–3987. [PubMed: 20651054]
26. Meng S, Tripathy D, Shete S, et al. uPAR and HER-2 gene status in individual breast cancer cells from blood and tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; 103:17361–17365. [PubMed: 17079488]
27. Urban P, Vuaroqueaux V, Labuhn M, et al. Increased Expression of Urokinase-Type Plasminogen Activator mRNA Determines Adverse Prognosis in ErbB2-Positive Primary Breast Cancer. *J Clin Onc.* 2006; 24:4245–4253.
28. Li C, Cao S, Liu Z, Ye X, Chen L, Meng S. RNAi-mediated down regulation of uPAR synergizes with targeting of HER2 through the ERK pathway in breast cancer cells. *Int J Cancer.* 2010; 127(7):1507–1516. [PubMed: 20063318]
29. Faltus T, Yuan J, Zimmer B, et al. Silencing of the HER2/neu gene by siRNA inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in HER2/neu-overexpressing breast cancer cells. *Neoplasia.* 2004; 6:786–795. [PubMed: 15720805]
30. Yu J, Kane S, Wu J, et al. Mutation-Specific Antibodies for the Detection of EGFR mutations in Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *CCR.* 2009; 15:3023–3028.
31. Cristofanilli M, Mendelsohn J. Circulating tumor cells in breast cancer: Advanced tools for "tailored" therapy? *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006; 103:17073–17074. [PubMed: 17090687]
32. Müller V, Hayes DF, Pantel K. Recent translational research: circulating tumor cells in breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2006; 8:110–113. [PubMed: 16953898]
33. Alix-Panabières C, Riethdorf S, Pantel K. Circulating tumor cells and bone marrow micrometastasis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008; 14:5013–5021. [PubMed: 18698019]
34. Fehm T, Becker S, Duerr-Stoerzer S, et al. Determination of HER2 status using both serum HER2 levels and circulating tumor cells in patients with recurrent breast cancer whose primary tumor was HER2 negative or of unknown HER2 status. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2007; 9:R74. [PubMed: 17963511]

35. Solomayer EF, Becker S, Pergola-Becker G, et al. Comparison of HER2 status between primary tumor and disseminated tumor cells in primary breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2006; 98(2):179–184. [PubMed: 16552629]
36. Pestrin M, Bessi S, Galardi F, et al. Correlation of HER2 status between primary tumors and corresponding circulating tumor cells in advanced breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2009; 118:523–530. [PubMed: 19597704]

Fig 1.

Representative sample of fluorescent spectra emitted from a 10 marker stained MCF7 cell. A.) The wavelength integrated grayscale image of the cell which can be marked with lines to display a line of spectra (B & C) or individual points (D, E & F). B. & C.) Graphic displays of interactively marked spatial lines in A) of spectra corresponding to the data pixels along the lines b-b and c-c, respectively. D.- F.) The data spectrum (thick black) from individual pixels interactively marked by a '+' and labeled d, e, and f, respectively. Overlaid on the graphs are the standards (thin colors), background (thin black) and summation (thick red) curves derived during the analysis of the data spectra.

Fig 2.

A 10-molecular-marker multiplex in a breast cancer cell line (MCF7). Each fluorochrome used in Fig 2 was attached to an antibody specific to a different molecular marker except for DAPI which stains the nucleus directly. A representative cell is shown.

Fig 3.

10 immunofluorescence molecular markers were measured in 25 cells in 7 different cell lines. A representative cell from each cell line is shown. Cancer cell lines include SKBR3 and MCF from the breast; PC-3 from the prostate; Colo 205 from the colon; and Daudi from a B cell lymphoma. Two (2) normal breast epithelial cell lines are also shown. A single representative cell is shown for each cell line. Occasionally, the stain for a nuclear marker stains the cytoplasm for reasons that are not yet clear.

Fig 4. Comparison of average intensity determined by HMI-analysis of 7 molecular markers to subjective conventional "human scoring" of 0-3+. The images at the bottom are a representative HER2 marker false color image of the respective subjective evaluation group 0-3+ plotted on the graph.

Fig 5.
Two touch preps from a single patient. 25 TCs were evaluated by HMI for 2 successive touch preps from the same biopsy. The average intensity and standard deviations are plotted.