Published in final edited form as:

Int J Pharm. 2012 June 15; 429(1-2): 31–37. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2012.03.012.

Improving Matrix Metalloproteinase-2 Specific Response of a Hydrogel System using Electrophoresis

Yu Zhang[†] and Richard A. Gemeinhart^{†,‡,§,*}

[†]Department of Biopharmaceutical Sciences, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60612-7231, USA

[‡]Department of Bioengineering, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60607-7052, USA

[§]Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Illinois, Chicago, IL 60612-4319, USA

Abstract

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) overexpression plays a critical role in cancer invasion and metastasis. We utilized this key feature of tumor microenvironment to develop a disease-stimuli triggered drug delivery system. Poly(acrylic acid) hydrogels were synthesized by UV polymerization and pendant MMP-2 sensitive peptides (Gly-Pro-Leu-Gly-Val-Arg-Gly-Lys) conjugated throughout using EDC/sulfo-NHS chemistry. There were significantly more peptides released in the presence of MMP-2 compared with the control groups. The released peptide fragments were analyzed by HPLC and MALDI-MS and confirmed to be the expected fragments. In order to avoid nonspecific release of nonconjugated (*i.e.* unreacted) peptides, a novel method of electrophoretic washing was developed disrupting the strong electrostatic interactions between the peptides and the pendant groups of the hydrogel. After electrophoresis, the nonspecific peptide release in the absence of MMP-2 was minimized. This newly developed purification system significantly improved the control of release to be in response of the magnitude of the stimuli, *i.e.* MMP. Specifically, peptides were released proportionally to the concentration of MMP-2 present. Now that many of the design parameters have been examined, anticancer drugs will be conjugated to the MMP sensitive peptide linkers with the goal of implantation in a tumor void releasing anticancer reagent in response to elevated level of MMPs.

Keywords

Matrix metalloproteinase; hydrogel; peptide; enzyme responsive drug delivery; cancer

1. Introduction

In order to overcome the limitation in systemic drug administration, significant effort has been devoted toward developing site, organ or disease specific drug delivery system. Further, drug delivery systems that detect the signals sent out by diseased tissue and release the appropriate amount of drug in response to the signal magnitude, *i.e.* smart drug delivery,

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: 833 South Wood Street (MC 865), Chicago, IL 60612-7231, U.S.A. Tel: +1(312) 996-2253. Fax: +1(312) 996-2784. rag@uic.edu..

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

have great potential for therapeutic applications (Qiu and Park, 2001). Hydrogels are ideal carriers for therapeutic molecules due to limited inflammation and biologic acceptance due to the similar properties and water content compared to natural extracellular matrix (Peppas *et al.*, 2000). However, most of hydrogel drug delivery systems encapsulate drug molecules by noncovalent bonds and diffusion of the entrapped drug during swelling or shrinking in response to non-specific, physicochemical stimuli (Huynh *et al.*, 2009; Wu *et al.*, 2011) or biochemical ligand binding (King *et al.*, 2009; Miyata *et al.*, 1999). Although drug release can be triggered through volumetric changes, it is challenging to reliably regulate the release profile based on the magnitude of these stimuli beyond subtle changes in diffusion rate.

To overcome the limitation of diffusive release, prodrug like approaches have been employed to selectively release therapeutic molecules in response to biologic signals (Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005a; Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005b; Tauro *et al.*, 2008; Thornton *et al.*, 2005; Ulijn, 2006; Ulijn *et al.*, 2007; West and Hubbell, 1999). To accomplish the triggered release, chemical conjugation is generally needed either by crosslinking the system or by covalently attaching therapeutic molecules. Several general difficulties lie in conjugating drug molecules with hydrogel through covalent bonds: 1) selection of appropriate chemistry to conjugate drug molecule without affecting therapeutic efficacy, 2) selection of appropriate disease specific stimuli to trigger drug release, and 3) removal of uncoupled molecules after conjugation, without which will lead to nonspecific release in the absence of stimuli.

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) have long been associated with many different types and stages of cancer and MMP proteolysis controls homeostasis of the extracellular environment (Overall and Kleifeld, 2006). MMP-2 and MMP-9 can degrade the extracellular matrix (ECM), creating space for cells to migrate (Roy *et al.*, 2006). MMP-2 activation potential is associated with metastatic progression in cancer and high level of expression of MMP-2 is present in the invasive and metastatic regions (Forsyth *et al.*, 1998; Hu *et al.*, 2003). MMPs as targets have become more accepted (Vartak and Gemeinhart, 2007) as further understanding of the mechanisms has advanced (Overall and Kleifeld, 2006). Previous research indicated the possibility of utilizing the elevated MMP-2 level to release anticancer reagent conjugated in the poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate hydrogel through MMP-2 sensitive peptide linker, using hydrogel as an implantable catheter after glioma resection to prevent tumor recurrence (Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005a; Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005b; Tauro *et al.*, 2008). In these systems, there was clear release upon stimulation by MMP; however, there was significant non-specific release in the absence of MMP. Ideally speaking, the anticancer drug will only be released in response to elevated MMP-2 level, achieving disease stimuli-triggered drug delivery.

Michael-type addition for incorporation of cysteine-containing peptides at the same time as hydrogel polymerization allowed peptides dimerization through disulfide bond formation and other side reactions (Salinas and Anseth, 2008), which led to low drug loading efficiency and nonspecific release. To overcome this shortcoming, in this study we first made poly(acrylic acid) hydrogels with UV polymerization and incorporated lysine-containing MMP-2 sensitive peptides by reacting the ϵ -amine group of lysine with pendant carboxyl groups in hydrogel. This method eliminated free radical side reactions with peptides or drug molecules. To minimize nonspecific release when MMP-2 was not present, free peptides were removed by a novel electrophoresis process. The MMP-2 triggered specific release was significantly increased in the presence of MMP-2. Moreover, this drug delivery system was sensitive to the amount of stimuli in the environment, specifically MMP-2 concentration. Higher concentration released significantly more peptide from the hydrogel than the lower concentration. In this study, we optimized a disease stimuli-

triggered hydrogel drug delivery system that can adjust the amount of drug released based on the magnitude of stimuli.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA, MW 3,400 g/mol; Laysan Bio, AL), acrylic acid (99%), N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide sodium salt (sulfo-NHS) all from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Human active recombinant MMP-2 was purchased from Calbiochem, EMD, San Diego, CA. Irgacure 2959 was obtained from Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corp. (Tarrytown, NY). MMP-2 sensitive peptides (methoxycoumarin(mca)-GPLGVRGK) were synthesized by UIC research supply center. HPLC grade acetonitrile, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and all the other chemicals were purchased from Fisher Scientific without further purification (Fairlawn, NJ).

2.2. Synthesis of Poly(Acrylic Acid) Hydrogel

The crosslinker, PEGDA, was dissolved in water and mixed with acrylic acid (25% w/v) at the molar ratio of 1:9. The photoinitiator (Irgacure 2959) was used at 1% weight of the total monomer. To initiate the reaction, the mixture was polymerized under 345 nm UV light at an intensity of 2.7 mW/cm². The polymerization took place in a mold composed of two glass slides separated by Teflon spacer. The hydrogel film was cut into discs with a biopsy punch (6 mm diameter), followed by washing in deionized water for 24 hours to remove the unreacted monomers and initiators.

2.3. Conjugation of MMP-2 Sensitive Peptide with Hydrogel

Aqueous carbodiimide (EDC) coupling was used for conjugating MMP-2 sensitive peptides with the carboxyl groups in hydrogel. To optimize the conjugation conditions, the pH value of the reaction medium and the molar feed ratio between acrylic acid and peptide were varied. Briefly, hydrogels were submerged in MES buffer (at pH 4.7, 5.7, and 6.7), EDC and sulfo-NHS solutions were sequentially added into the MES buffer achieving final concentration of 72 and 36 mM, respectively. Varying amounts of MMP-2 sensitive peptide were added for acrylic acid to peptide molar ratios of 50, 10 and 6 (final concentration 36, 7.2 and 4.3 mM). The reaction volume was maintained at 500 μ L across all conditions. The mixture was covered with foil and allowed to react overnight on the shaker. To remove the nonconjugated peptides from the hydrogel, hydrogels were washed with tris buffered saline (TBS/Zn: 10 mM Ca²⁺, 0.05 mM Zn²⁺ and 0.05% Brij-35; pH 7.6) by changing the buffer every 24 hours until equilibrium. To determine total loaded peptide, the hydrogels were then hydrolyzed with 1 mL NaOH (1 N). The peptide content was determined, after titration to neutral pH with 1N HCl, by measuring the fluorescence intensity at an emission and excitation wavelength of 320 and 410 nm (Molecular Devices SpectraMax Gemini XS, CA) and compared with a standard curve.

2.4. Investigation of the Interaction between Nonconjugated Peptides and Hydrogel

Aqueous solutions with varying pH value (pH 2.5, 5, and 7.5), ionic strength (20, 200, and 1000 mM NaCl solution), and polarity index (7.6, 7.7, 8.0 and 10.2; derived from 50% mixtures of water and methanol, ethanol, and acetonitrile, and 100% water) were used to extract the nonconjugated peptides from the hydrogel. The polarity indices were calculated according to Snyder polarity index (Braithwaite *et al.*, 1985). The fluorescence intensity in the extraction solution was determined as previously described with appropriate standard curved in the specific solvent conditions of the experiment.

2.5. Removal of the Nonconjugated Peptides with Electrophoresis

Three 8.5×8.5 mm wells were formed on 3% agarose gel formed in citric acid- Na_2HPO_4 buffer (pH 2.6). After polymerization and peptide conjugation, solid hydrogels were cut into squares (8.5×8.5 mm) using a blade to fit the wells formed in the agarose. Hydrogel squares placed in the wells were electrophoresed for 1, 2 and 3 hours in citric acid- Na_2HPO_4 buffer (pH 2.6) in BIO-RAD mini horizontal submarine electrophoresis unit. Following electrophoresis, the solid hydrogels were easily recovered. To demonstrate the fluorescence intensity change from electrophoresis, hydrogels were cut with a 6 mm biopsy punch and placed in black 96-well plates. Similarly, the agarose gels were cut with 6 mm biopsy pouch and placed in black 96-well plates. Fluorescence intensity was measured in each sample with fluorometer as previously described (Figure 4A). Otherwise, the hydrogel squares were taken out of the loading wells for further experiment after removing the nonconjugated peptides from the hydrogel with electrophoresis.

2.6. MMP-2 Triggered Release

Electrophoresed hydrogels were equilibrated in TBS/Zn buffer for 24 hours before the release experiment was initiated. Hydrogels were placed in TBS/Zn buffer in the presence or absence of MMP-2 at concentrations of 9 and 27 nM. Fluorescence intensity of the releasate was measured and all media replaced with new buffer at predetermined time. At each time point, MMP-2 was also added. The cumulative peptide-released amount was calculated based upon the peptide in the release media.

2.7. Identification of the Released Peptides

MMP-2 sensitive peptides were digested in TBS/Zn buffer by MMP-2 for 0.5 hour. Both the full-length peptides and MMP-2 digested peptides were analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a Waters Symmetry® C18 column (4.6×150 mm, $5 \mu\text{m}$) with a Waters 717 autosampler, and 474 fluorescence detector (Waters, Milford, MA); chromatographic conditions were a linear gradient of 90% water with 0.1% w/v TFA and 10% acetonitrile with 0.1% w/v TFA inverting over 30 min at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Peptides were detected at excitation and emission wavelengths of 320 and 410 nm, respectively. The HPLC peaks were fractionated for matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) mass spectroscopy (MS).

2.8. Statistical Analysis

At least three independent replicates of each experiment were performed. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test significant difference among groups. Post hoc analysis was performed using Tukey's test when p-value was less than 0.05. GraphPad Prism v.4.0 was used for all the statistical analyses (GraphPad Software, CA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of Peptide Conjugated Amount

MMP-2 sensitive peptides were conjugated to the pendant carboxyl groups brought about by acrylic acid monomers after hydrogel formation (Figure 1). In order to exploit the conjugation potential of hydrogel for peptides, different hydrogel formulations were examined by increasing the acrylic acid amount while maintaining the carbon-carbon double bond concentration (molar) constant. The acrylic acid and PEGDA molar ratio of 9 to 1 was used for the remaining experiments where the hydrogels had appropriate tactile stability and the acrylic acid amount was maximal.

The EDC and sulfo-NHS chemistry has optimal efficiency in the pH range of 6 to 7 (Hermanson, 1996). Expanding upon this knowledge, we designed a 3² orthogonal experiment to further optimize the reaction efficiency (Figure 2). Higher pH and higher peptide feed ratio were significantly correlated with increased peptide conjugation. Based upon the information learned in this optimization experiment, the peptide conjugation reaction conditions were set at pH 6.7 and an acrylic acid to peptide molar ratio of 10 to 1. This optimal condition is bounded by the original 3² orthogonal design and further optimization may be possible.

3.2. Investigation of the Interaction between Peptide and Hydrogel

In order to take advantage of the upregulated expression and activation of MMP-2 in cancer, it is crucial that the nonspecific release in the absence of MMP-2 is minimal. However, MMP-2 sensitive peptides were resistant to washing with TBS/Zn buffer, *i.e.* it took about a week to reach equilibrium. This fact intrigued us, and we sought to understand the forces that held free peptides within the hydrogels. We hypothesized that the primary interactions between unreacted peptides and the hydrogel pendant groups to be ionic in nature. As an alternative hypothesis, the hydrophobic portion of the peptide sequence (mca-GPLGV) could cause local peptide aggregation and/or interactions with the hydrophobic poly(ethylene) backbone of the hydrogel. Hydrophobicity was reported to have a major influence on the interaction between a kininogen-derived antimicrobial peptide (GKHKNKGKKNGKHNGWK) and poly(acrylic acid) microgels (Bysell *et al.*, 2010). Based on these analyses, we designed protein extraction conditions with solvents of different pH, ionic strength, and polarity directly testing the primary and alternative hypothesis. Low pH and high ionic strength were most effective in removing peptides from the hydrogel (Figure 3).

Low pH and high ionic strength conditions disrupted the interactions between peptides and hydrogel, suggesting the interaction is electrostatic attraction. At each of the pHs examined, MMP-2 sensitive peptide carries two positive charges resulting from protonation of guanidinium group and amine group in arginine and lysine, respectively. The pK_a of pendant carboxyl groups in the hydrogel is approximately 5 (Elliott *et al.*, 2004), and thus, these groups are expected to be protonated, *i.e.* uncharged, at pH 2.6, which minimized the electrostatic attraction between peptides and hydrogel. At the higher pHs, there is expected to be significant, if not complete, deprotonation of the pendant groups.

Higher ionic strength (Figure 3B) had a similar effect as low pH (Figure 3A) due to electrostatic shielding effect (Israelachvili, 1991). The charge on the groups do not change in this instance, but a greater number of interacting counterions are present which displace the peptides from the pendant carboxylate ions. Similar observation were made when antimicrobial peptides, (Ala-His-His-Ala-His-Ala)₄ and (Ala-His-His-His-Ala-Ala-His-Ala)₃, were interacted with poly(acrylic acid) microgels (Bysell *et al.*, 2009). Although both of these observations suggest ionic interactions are present, they do not exclude the alternate hypothesis where significant hydrophobic interactions take place.

Solvents of different polarity did not show significant difference in extracting peptides from the hydrogels (Figure 3C). Although not conclusive, it would be expected that there would be more, not less extraction with lower polarity index if the interactions within the hydrogels were significantly hydrophobic in nature. Only aqueous mixtures were used to maintain the swollen nature of the hydrogel. There were subtle changes in the swollen state in pure solvents (*i.e.* ethanol, methanol, and acetonitrile), but pure solvents resulted in a significant dehydration making interpretation of results much more difficult. However, this restricted the range of polarity that was possible. Also complicating the interpretation, methoxycoumarin (mca) is not sensitive to environment pH or ionic strength; however, mca

is sensitive to environmental polarity. Standard curves made in solvents of different polarity were used to assure that the fluorescence of the observed signal was not the reason for the observations. Based upon the lack of clear solvent effect, it was suggested that the predominant force for the stable interactions was ionic in nature. This also explains why in our prior experiments extensive washes with neutral solutions did not adequately remove unreacted peptides (Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005a; Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005b; Tauro *et al.*, 2008).

3.3. Removal of Nonconjugated Peptides with Electrophoresis

Based on our understanding of the interaction between MMP-2 sensitive peptides and the pendant groups within hydrogels, we hypothesized that the non-covalently retained peptides could be removed by electrophoresis. Hydrogel (without peptide) and hydrogel with non-covalently incorporated peptide were run as control groups together with peptide conjugated hydrogels to confirm the conditions necessary to drive the peptides from a hydrogel (Figure 4A). Using this method, the amount of fluorescence within the nonconjugated peptide-containing hydrogels (Figure 4B) decreased to background within 3 hours while the conjugated peptide-containing hydrogels decreased to about 73% of the initial value in 3 hours. Clear migration of the peptide into the agarose was measurable (Figure 4C–E).

This demonstrates that electrophoresis was sufficient to disrupt the interactions between peptides and the hydrogel, which also supports electrostatic interactions between the peptides and the pendant groups within the hydrogel. The peptides migrated toward the negative electrode as time increased (Figure 4C–E), and the area under the curve, *i.e.* total peptide, from the conjugated peptide-containing hydrogels was much smaller than the nonconjugated peptide-containing hydrogels. There was also a delay in terms of peptides migrating, which could be due to electroendosmosis (Kirby, 2010). Even though peptides were conjugated within the hydrogel matrix, the charged groups still have the tendency to move toward the negative electrode, which causes more anions to flood to the positive electrode, composing a resistant force to retard migration of the nonconjugated peptides. This effect is less pronounced when the peptides are free to migrate.

The utilization of electrophoresis on macroscopic hydrogels could cause inhomogeneous distribution of unbound peptides. Unbound peptides would reside in the hydrogel with an increasing gradient toward the negative electrode. Due to chemical binding to the crosslinked hydrogel network in our system, the ability of bound peptides is restricted. If sufficient time in electrophoresis, all unbound peptides should be removed and few inhomogeneities should be present.

The method of removing nonconjugated peptides with electrophoresis could also be applied to any hydrogel drug delivery system that releases drug molecules by degradation. The non-negligible amount of noncovalently attached drug molecules in the hydrogel limit the specificity of the release obtained and stands in the way of transition from bench to bedside (Brandl *et al.*, 2010). The difficulty of removing nonconjugated peptides after reaction also challenges researchers working with other drug delivery system such as dendrimers (Hong *et al.*, 2006), micelles (Bae *et al.*, 2003), and nanoparticles (Bae *et al.*, 2010), which are usually overcome by time-consuming dialysis. It is foreseeable that the electrophoresis washing method developed here could also be applied to those systems with minimal adaptation.

3.4. MMP-2 Triggered Peptide Release from Hydrogel

The MMP-2 activation from the hydrogel drug delivery system was demonstrated in the presence or absence of MMP-2. MMP-2 concentrations used are within those accepted for

demonstrating MMP-2 responsive mechanism *in vitro* (Chau and Langer, 2003; Chau *et al.*, 2004; Sun *et al.*, 2011; Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005a; Tauro and Gemeinhart, 2005b; Terada *et al.*, 2006). It should be noted, however, that the quantitation of MMP is typically complicated by the measurement of both active MMPs and latent MMPs including those bound to native inhibitors (Zucker *et al.*, 1999; Zucker *et al.*, 1993). Also, MMPs tend to be locally sequestered at the site of invasion causing significant inhomogeneities in concentration and activity (Moscatelli and Rifkin, 1988).

There was significant MMP-2 sensitive peptide fragment released after 2-hour incubation with 27 nM MMP-2 (Figure 5). Nonspecific release was minimal when MMP-2 was not added which implies minimal nonspecific effect (toxicity or therapy) would be present when the tumor is in a dormant or non-invasive state. In addition, hydrogels release significantly more peptides at higher MMP-2 concentration (27 nM) than at lower concentration (9 nM). The MMP-2 responsive hydrogel drug delivery system is not only responsive to the environment, but adjusts the amount of drug molecules released according to the magnitude of triggering signal.

Peptide therapeutics have drawn substantial attention due to their wide involvement in various biological processes. The technology advancement for production of peptides in large-scale by solid-phase and recombinant DNA technologies has promoted research on peptides as potential drug candidates (Wurm, 2004). However, design of delivery systems for peptides remains challenging due to their sensitivity to degradation during long circulation and lack of accumulating at the disease site (Lu *et al.*, 2006). These shortcomings could be overcome by incorporating those peptides into implantable devices such as the system demonstrated here a localized smart drug delivery system. For example, a p53-based peptide which inhibits the E3 ubiquitin ligase HDM2 and leads to a therapeutic elevation in p53 levels and overcomes HDMX-mediated cancer resistance *in vitro* and *in vivo* but is limited by degradation and local accumulation (Bernal *et al.*, 2010). This peptide inhibitor could be linked with MMP sensitive peptide linker and conjugated with the hydrogel for localized activity. Cell toxic and lytic peptides could be another class of therapeutic candidates for local delivery (Kawamoto *et al.*, 2011; Yates *et al.*, 2011) particularly due to their global side-effects that could be controlled with a local activation system. These cell toxic peptides are currently being tested in our further studies of this system. We also are examining cell-binding control and outside-in integrin targeting with these systems (Vartak and Gemeinhart, 2009).

3.5. Identification of Peptide Release Fragments with HPLC and MS

In order to confirm that the increased peptide release in response to MMP-2 was due to MMP-2 cleavage, the identity of the released fragments was examined. The HPLC elution time of the full peptide sequence (14 min) and cleaved peptide fragment (16 min) was confirmed by running the MMP-2 digested peptides and full peptide sequences (data not shown). The releasate following MMP-2 addition had a prominent peak at 16 min (Figure 6A) and a much less prominent peak at 14 min. When no MMP-2 was added to the incubation media, the releasate had one measurable peak at 14 min. From this, it was suggested that the 14 min. peak was the full length peptide while the 16 min. peak was indeed the cleaved fragment, but further confirmation was desired.

Mass spectroscopy (Figure 6B) of the fractionated HPLC peaks confirmed that the full peptide sequence predominated in the 14 min. fraction. When MMP was added, fraction collected from the 16 min. peak was composed of only cleaved peptide fragments. Several peptides were released, all of which can be explained by MMP-2 cleavage. No full length peptides were detected in this fraction. From this, it was clear that the electrophoretic wash

method did limit the non-specific release of nonconjugated peptide, and that the fragments released in the presence of MMP were the expected fragments.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, we optimized a MMP-2 responsive drug delivery system. The hydrogel system responds to the magnitude of activating signal, in this case MMP-2. The interaction between nonconjugated MMP-2 sensitive peptides and hydrogel was investigated and the result suggests that the interaction was mainly driven by electrostatic interactions. A unique method of removing nonconjugated peptides with electrophoresis was developed and could be applied to other drug delivery system. The synthesis chemistry is simple, efficient and safe, suggesting the potential for utilization in delivering small molecule drugs or peptides for treatment of proteolytic disease such as cancer, rheumatic arthritis and wound healing.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by NIH R01 NS055095 (RAG). We thank Dr. William T. Beck for electrophoresis apparatus and Dr. Seungpyo Hong for HPLC equipment. This investigation was conducted in a facility constructed with support from Research Facilities Improvement Program Grant Number C06 RR15482 from the National Center for Research Resources, NIH. Yu Zhang was supported by University Fellowship from University of Illinois at Chicago.

References

- Bae M, Cho S, Song J, Lee GY, Kim K, Yang J, Cho K, Kim SY, Byun Y. Metalloprotease-specific poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether-peptide-doxorubicin conjugate for targeting anticancer drug delivery based on angiogenesis. *Drugs Exptl. Clin. Res.* 2003; 29:15–23. [PubMed: 12866360]
- Bae M, Divan R, Suthar KJ, Mancini DC, Gemeinhart RA. Fabrication of Poly(ethylene glycol) Hydrogel Structures for Pharmaceutical Applications using Electron beam and Optical Lithography. *J Vac Sci Technol B Microelectron Nanometer Struct Process Meas Phenom.* 2010; 28:C6P24–C26P29.
- Bernal F, Wade M, Godes M, Davis TN, Whitehead DG, Kung AL, Wahl GM, Walensky LD. A Stapled p53 Helix Overcomes HDMX-Mediated Suppression of p53. *Cancer Cell.* 2010; 18:411–422. [PubMed: 21075307]
- Braithwaite, A.; Smith, FJ.; Stock, R. *Chromatographic methods*. 4th ed.. Chapman and Hall; London; New York: 1985.
- Brandl F, Hammer N, Blunk T, Tessmar J, Goepferich A. Biodegradable Hydrogels for Time-Controlled Release of Tethered Peptides or Proteins. *Biomacromolecules.* 2010; 11:496–504. [PubMed: 20095560]
- Bysell H, Hansson P, Schmidtchen A, Malmsten M. Effect of Hydrophobicity on the Interaction between Antimicrobial Peptides and Poly(acrylic acid) Microgels. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 2010; 114:1307–1313. [PubMed: 20047286]
- Bysell H, Schmidtchen A, Malmsten M. Binding and release of consensus peptides by poly(acrylic acid) microgels. *Biomacromolecules.* 2009; 10:2162–2168. [PubMed: 19583241]
- Chau Y, Langer RS. Important factors in designing targeted delivery of cancer therapeutics via MMP-2 mediation. *J. Control. Release.* 2003; 91:239–240.
- Chau Y, Tan FE, Langer R. Synthesis and characterization of dextran-peptide- methotrexate conjugates for tumor targeting via mediation by matrix metalloproteinase II and matrix metalloproteinase IX. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2004; 15:931–941.
- Elliott JE, Macdonald M, Nie J, Bowman CN. Structure and swelling of poly(acrylic acid) hydrogels: effect of pH, ionic strength, and dilution on the crosslinked polymer structure. *Polymer.* 2004; 45:1503–1510.
- Forsyth PA, Dickinson-Laing T, Gibson AW, Rewcastle NB, Brasher P, Sutherland G, Johnston RN, Edwards DR. High levels of gelatinase-B and active gelatinase-A in metastatic glioblastoma. *J Neurooncol.* 1998; 36:21–29. [PubMed: 9525822]

- Hermanson, GT. *Bioconjugate Techniques*. Academic Press; San Diego, CA: 1996.
- Hong S, Leroueil PR, Janus EK, Peters JL, Kober MM, Islam MT, Orr BG, Baker JR, Holl MMB. Interaction of polycationic polymers with supported lipid bilayers and cells: Nanoscale hole formation and enhanced membrane permeability. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2006; 17:728–734.
- Hu B, Guo P, Fang Q, Tao H-Q, Wang D, Nagane M, Su Huang H-J, Gunji Y, Nishikawa R, Alitalo K, Cavenee WK, Cheng S-Y. Angiopoietin-2 induces human glioma invasion through the activation of matrix metalloproteinase-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2003; 100:8904–8909. [PubMed: 12861074]
- Huynh DP, Im GJ, Chae SY, Lee KC, Lee DS. Controlled release of insulin from pH/temperature-sensitive injectable pentablock copolymer hydrogel. *J. Controlled Release.* 2009; 137:20–24.
- Israelachvili, JN. *Intermolecular and surface forces*. 2nd ed.. Academic Press; Orlando, Fla: 1991.
- Kawamoto M, Horibe T, Kohno M, Kawakami K. A novel transferrin receptor-targeted hybrid peptide disintegrates cancer cell membrane to induce rapid killing of cancer cells. *BMC Cancer.* 2011; 11:359. [PubMed: 21849092]
- King WJ, Mohammed JS, Murphy WL. Modulating growth factor release from hydrogels via a protein conformational change. *Soft Matter.* 2009; 5:2399–2406.
- Kirby, B. *Electroosmosis, Micro- and Nanoscale Fluid Mechanics: Transport in Microfluidic Devices*. Cambridge University Press; New York: 2010.
- Lu Y, Yang J, Sega E. Issues related to targeted delivery of proteins and peptides. *AAPS J.* 2006; 8:E466–478. [PubMed: 17025264]
- Miyata T, Asami N, Urugami T. A reversibly antigen-responsive hydrogel. *Nature.* 1999; 399:766–769. [PubMed: 10391240]
- Moscatelli D, Rifkin DB. Membrane and matrix localization of proteinases: a common theme in tumor cell invasion and angiogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1988; 948:67–85. [PubMed: 2456098]
- Overall CM, Kleinfeld O. Validating matrix metalloproteinases as drug targets and anti-targets for cancer therapy. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 2006; 6:227–239. [PubMed: 16498445]
- Peppas NA, Bures P, Leobandung W, Ichikawa H. Hydrogels in pharmaceutical formulations. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 2000; 50:27–26. [PubMed: 10840191]
- Qiu Y, Park K. Environment-sensitive hydrogels for drug delivery. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2001; 53:321–339. [PubMed: 11744175]
- Roy R, Zhang B, Moses MA. Making the cut: Protease-mediated regulation of angiogenesis. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2006; 312:608–622. [PubMed: 16442099]
- Salinas CN, Anseth KS. Mixed Mode Thiol-Acrylate Photopolymerizations for the Synthesis of PEG-Peptide Hydrogels. *Macromolecules.* 2008; 41:6019–6026.
- Sun IC, Eun DK, Koo H, Ko CY, Kim HS, Yi DK, Choi K, Kwon IC, Kim K, Ahn CH. Tumor-targeting gold particles for dual computed tomography/optical cancer imaging. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2011; 50:9348–9351. [PubMed: 21948430]
- Tauro JR, Gemeinhart RA. Extracellular protease activation of chemotherapeutics from hydrogel matrices: A new paradigm for local chemotherapy. *Mol. Pharmaceutics.* 2005a; 2:435–438.
- Tauro JR, Gemeinhart RA. Matrix metalloproteinase triggered local delivery of cancer chemotherapeutics. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2005b; 16:1133–1139.
- Tauro JR, Lee BS, Lateef SS, Gemeinhart RA. Matrix Metalloproteinase Selective Peptide Substrates Cleavage within Hydrogel Matrices for Cancer Chemotherapy Activation. *Peptides.* 2008; 29:1965–1973. [PubMed: 18652863]
- Terada T, Iwai M, Kawakami S, Yamashita F, Hashida M. Novel PEG-matrix metalloproteinase-2 cleavable peptide-lipid containing galactosylated liposomes for hepatocellular carcinoma-selective targeting. *J. Control. Release.* 2006; 111:333–342. [PubMed: 16488046]
- Thornton PD, McConnell G, Ulijn RV. Enzyme responsive polymer hydrogel beads. *Chem. Commun.* 2005:5913–5915.
- Ulijn RV. Enzyme-responsive materials: a new class of smart biomaterials. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2006; 16:2217–2225.
- Ulijn RV, Bibi N, Jayawarna V, Thornton PD, Todd SJ, Mart RJ, Smith AM, Gough JE. Bioresponsive hydrogels. *Mater. Today.* 2007; 10:40–48.

- Vartak D, Gemeinhart RA. Matrix metalloproteases: Underutilized Targets for Drug Delivery. *J. Drug Target.* 2007; 15:1–21. [PubMed: 17365270]
- Vartak DG, Gemeinhart RA. In Vitro Evaluation of Functional Interaction of Integrin $\alpha v \beta 3$ and Matrix Metalloprotease-2. *Mol. Pharmaceutics.* 2009; 6:1856–1867.
- West JL, Hubbell JA. Polymeric biomaterials with degradation sites for proteases involved in cell migration. *Macromolecules.* 1999; 32:241–244.
- Wu W, Liu J, Cao S, Tan H, Li J, Xu F, Zhang X. Drug release behaviors of a pH sensitive semi-interpenetrating polymer network hydrogel composed of poly(vinyl alcohol) and star poly[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl methacrylate]. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2011; 416:104–109. [PubMed: 21704139]
- Wurm FM. Production of recombinant protein therapeutics in cultivated mammalian cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2004; 22:1393–1398. [PubMed: 15529164]
- Yates C, Sharp S, Jones J, Topps D, Coleman M, Aneja R, Jaynes J, Turner T. LHRH-conjugated lytic peptides directly target prostate cancer cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2011; 81:104–110. [PubMed: 20869347]
- Zucker S, Hymowitz M, Conner C, Zarrabi HM, Hurewitz AN, Matrisian L, Boyd D, Nicolson G, Montana S. Measurement of matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases in blood and tissues. Clinical and experimental applications. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1999; 878:212–227. [PubMed: 10415733]
- Zucker S, Lysik RM, Zarrabi MH, Moll U. Mr 92,000 Type-Iv Collagenase Is Increased in Plasma of Patients with Colon Cancer and Breast-Cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1993; 53:140–146. [PubMed: 8416738]

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the formation, optimization, and characterization of the MMP-sensitive drug delivery system.

Figure 2.

Response surface plot of MMP-2 sensitive peptide conjugation amount as a function of pH and acrylic acid to peptide feed ratio derived from three independent samples examined at each condition. The optimal conditions are predicted by the red regions ranging to the least optimal conditions in the regions of blue following the color spectrum.

Figure 3.

Peptide extraction capacities under various conditions. Peptide was extracted using aqueous solvents at varying pH (A), different ionic strength (B), and different polarity (C) where greater extraction (Peptide-μmol) indicates more thorough removal of unreacted peptides. Data represent the mean plus or minus ($\pm$) the standard deviation of three independent samples where ††† indicates statistical difference between the groups at $p < 0.001$.

Figure 4.

Removal of nonconjugated peptides with electrophoresis. (A) Schematic illustration of the electrophoresis apparatus and regions measured. The agarose gel was cut consecutively from the loading well to the edge of the gel tray after electrophoresis. (B) The fluorescence intensity of hydrogel (red, — —), peptide (nonconjugated) in hydrogel (blue; — —), and conjugated peptide hydrogel (green; — —) before and after electrophoresis at varying times where ††† indicates statistical significant ($p < 0.001$) compared with the pre-electrophoresis condition. (C–E) Peptide distribution into the agarose gels downstream of the hydrogel (red, — —), peptide (nonconjugated) in hydrogel (blue; — —), and conjugated peptide hydrogel (green; — —) after electrophoresis for 1 (C), 2 (D), and 3 (E) hours. Data represent the mean plus or minus ($\pm$) the standard error of the mean of three independent samples.

Figure 5.

Effect of MMP-2 concentrations on peptide release from hydrogel. Peptide release over time from hydrogels incubated in 0 nM (red, solid bars), 9 nM (blue, diagonally crossed bars), and 27 nM (green, dotted bars) MMP-2. Data represent the mean plus or minus ($\pm$) the standard deviation of three independent samples where †, ††, and ††† indicate statistical difference between the groups at $p < 0.05$, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.

Figure 6.

Identification of peptide release fragments with HPLC and MS. (A) HPLC chromatograms of the cleaved peptide releasate following addition of MMP-2 (red) and nonspecific peptide released without addition of MMP-2 (blue). (B) MS spectrograms of the fractions corresponding to the 14 min HPLC peak of MMP-2 free sample (blue) and the 16 min peak of MMP-2 supplemented group (red). The expected fragments are marked with the one-letter peptide abbreviations and mca for methoxycoumarin.