Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2012 March 16; 14(6): 1556–1559. doi:10.1021/ol300282e.

Enantioselective Synthesis of (–)-Basiliskamide A

Ming Chen and William R. Roush*

Department of Chemistry, Scripps Florida, Jupiter, Florida 33458

Abstract

Basiliskamide A is an antifungal polyketide natural product isolated by Andersen and co-workers from a *Bacillus laterosporus* isolate, PNG-276. A nine-step enantioselective synthesis of basiliskamide A is reported, starting from commercially available β -hydroxy ester 7. The synthesis features a highly diastereoselective mismatched double asymmetric δ -stannylallylboration reaction of aldehyde 5 with the bifunctional allylborane reagent 4.

Basiliskamide A (**1**) is a polyketide natural product isolated by Andersen and co-workers from a *Bacillus laterosporus* isolate, PNG-276.¹ The structure of **1** was established by extensive NMR experiments and by comparison of its spectroscopic properties to that for the known one carbon homologation analog, YM-47522 (Figure 1). The relative and absolute configuration assignments for basiliskamide A were subsequently verified by chemical synthesis.²

Basiliskamide A (**1**) displays biological activity against *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus* with MIC values of 1.0 μ g/mL and 2.5 μ g/mL, respectively. Intriguingly, in spite of the close structural similarities between basiliskamide A (**1**) and YM-47522 (Figure 1), the biological activity profile of YM-47522 is quite different, with MIC values against *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus* of 25 μ g/mL and >50 μ g/mL, respectively. Furthermore, studies with seven fresh clinical isolates of *C. albicans* indicated that basiliskamide A has activity comparable to the oxo polyene macrolide antibiotic amphotericin B, with both compounds having identical MICs (0.5 μ g/mL) against each of the seven clinical isolates. More importantly, basiliskamide A displayed only minimal cytotoxicity against human diploid fibroblast cells at a concentration of 100 μ g/mL, while amphotericin B destroyed all the cells at the same concentration.¹

This interesting biological profile has inspired the development of several total syntheses of basiliskamide A (**1**).² The shortest of the three current syntheses requires 14 linear steps (16

roush@scripps.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data for all new compounds (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

steps total) from commercially available starting materials. Several steps in each synthesis are devoted to protecting group manipulations or to reduction or oxidation reactions needed to adjust the oxidation state of increasingly advanced intermediates. Each of the reported syntheses of **1** also utilized a Stille coupling of vinyl iodide intermediates, which were prepared via Takai olefination of appropriate aldehyde precursors.^{2, 3a,b} One drawback associated with Takai olefination is that a mixture of *E/Z* olefin isomers is often obtained, with the ratio of the two isomers depending on structural features of the aldehyde substrate.^{2, 3c-e} With the goals to develop an efficient synthesis of **1**, to demonstrate the synthetic utility of the highly diastereoselective mismatched double asymmetric δ -stannylallylboration reaction of reagent **4**,^{4, 5, 6} and ultimately to use this synthesis to gain further insight into the structure-activity relationships of these natural products, we have developed and report herein a nine-step, enantio- and highly diastereoselective synthesis of (–)-basiliskamide A (**1**).

As summarized in Figure 2, we envisioned that basiliskamide A (**1**) could be assembled via a Stille coupling⁷ between the known vinyl iodide **2**⁸ and vinyl stannane **3**. The δ -stannyl-homoallylic alcohol moiety embedded in vinyl stannane **3** provides a perfect platform to explore the mismatched double asymmetric δ -stannylallylboration reaction of aldehyde **5** with our recently disclosed allylborane reagent **4**.⁴ Aldehyde **5** would be obtained from the known homoallylic alcohol **6**,⁹ which in turn would be prepared from the commercially available β -hydroxy ester (*S*)-**7** according to published procedures.

Homoallylic alcohol **6** was synthesized in three steps according to known procedures, starting from β -hydroxy ester (*S*)-**7** (Scheme 2).⁹ Hydrogenation of the olefin unit in **6** under standard conditions (Pd/C, H₂, MeOH-EtOAc) provided, unexpectedly, the deprotected diol **9** in 92% yield (Scheme 1).¹⁰ When EtOAc was used as the reaction solvent, alcohol **8** with the primary TBS ether intact was obtained in 63% yield; however, a significant amount of the ketone byproduct **10** (23%) was also obtained. After a brief screening of reaction conditions, the formation of ketone **10** was minimized by adding NaHCO₃ to the hydrogenation reaction and by shortening the reaction time. Under optimized conditions, alcohol **8** was obtained in 81% yield from alcohol **6**.

Acylation of alcohol **8** with (*E*)-cinnamoyl chloride (**11**) provided ester **12** in 63% yield (92% based on recovered starting material, Scheme 2). Deprotection of the primary TBS ether of ester **12** proved to be challenging (see Table 1). When this deprotection was attempted using TsOH in a THF-MeOH solvent mixture, a 1:1 mixture of alcohol **13** and the acyl transfer isomer **14** were obtained (Table 1, entry 1). Treatment of **12** with TBAF in THF again provided a 1:1 mixture of alcohols **13** and **14** (Table 1, entry 2). Similar results were also obtained when TBAF buffered with HOAc was used (Table 1, entry 3). However, when **12** was treated with TASF in a DMF-H₂O mixture,¹¹ a 9:1 mixture of **13** and **14** was generated, from which alcohol **13** was obtained in 79% yield after chromatographic purification (Table 1, entry 4).

Oxidation of primary alcohol **13** with the Dess-Martin periodinane reagent¹² provided aldehyde **5**, which was used directly in the subsequent reaction. The intrinsic diastereofacial selectivity of **5** was accessed by subjecting **5** to an allylboration reaction with the achiral pinacol allylboronate **15** (Scheme 3). This reaction provided a 3.5:1 mixture of homoallylic alcohol **16** and **17**, favoring the expected Felkin adduct **16**. On the other hand, the mismatched double asymmetric δ -stannylallylboration of aldehyde **5** with allylborane **4**, prepared as described previously,^{4a} gave homoallylic alcohol **3** with >50:1 diastereoselectivity and in 71% overall yield from **13**. Protodestannylation of **3** under acidic conditions (TsOH•H₂O) provided alcohol **17** in 82% yield, which matched the minor product obtained from allylboration of aldehyde **5** with allylboronate **15** (Scheme 3).

Finally, Pd-catalyzed Stille coupling⁷ of vinyl stannane **3** with vinyl iodide **2**⁸ provided (–)-basiliskamide A (**1**) in 68% yield. The spectroscopic data (¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, [α]_D) of synthetic (–)-basiliskamide A were in excellent agreement with the data previously reported for the natural product.^{1, 2}

In conclusion, the enantioselective total synthesis of (–)-basiliskamide A was completed in 9 steps (longest linear sequence, 10 steps total) from commercially available starting materials. The brevity of this synthesis was facilitated by the mismatched double asymmetric δ-stannylallylboration reaction of aldehyde **5** with allylborane **4**^{4a} that provided homoallylic alcohol **3** with outstanding stereochemical control (>50:1). The vinyl stannane unit in **3** was used directly in a Stille coupling reaction to complete the total synthesis of (–)-basiliskamide A. Application of this methodology to the synthesis of other related polyketide natural products will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support provided by the National Institutes of Health (GM038436) and Eli Lilly (for a predoctoral fellowship to M.C.) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Barsby T, Kelly MT, Andersen RJ. *J. Nat. Prod.* 2002; 65:1447. [PubMed: 12398541]
2. (a) Lipomi DJ, Langille NF, Panek JS. *Org. Lett.* 2004; 6:3533. [PubMed: 15387541] (b) Dias LC, Gonçalves CCS. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2008; 350:1017. (c) Dias LC, Gonçalves CCS. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 21:2012. (d) Yadav JS, Rao PP, Reddy MS, Prasad AR. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008; 49:5427.
3. (a) Takai K, Nitta K, Utimoto K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986; 108:7408. (b) Evans DA, Black WC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993; 115:4497. For recent examples: (c) Palimkar SS, Uenishi J. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:4160. [PubMed: 20707358] (d) Li P, Li J, Arian F, Ahlbrecht W, Dieckmann M, Menche D. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:2429. [PubMed: 20334428] (e) Crimmins MT, Haley MW, O'Bryan EA. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:4712. [PubMed: 21834570]
4. (a) Chen M, Ess DH, Roush WR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:7881. [PubMed: 20496899] (b) Stewart P, Chen M, Roush WR, Ess D. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:1478. [PubMed: 21323387]
5. Reviews of reactions of carbonyl compounds with crotylmetal reagents: (a) Roush WR, Trost BM. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. 1991; Vol. 2 Oxford Pergamon Press:1. (b) Yamamoto Y, Asao N. *Chem. Rev.* 1993; 93:2207. (c) Denmark SE, Almstead NG, Otera J. *Modern Carbonyl Chemistry*. 2000 Weinheim Wiley-VCH:299. (d) Denmark SE, Fu J. *Chem. Rev.* 2003; 103:2763. [PubMed: 12914480] (e) Lachance H, Hall DG. *Org. React.* 2008; 73:1.
6. Masamune S, Choy W, Petersen JS, Sita LR. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1985; 24:1.
7. (a) Stille JK. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1986; 25:508. (b) Farina V, Krishnamurthy V, Scott WJ. *Org. React.* 1997:50.
8. (a) Ma S, Lu X, Li Z. *J. Org. Chem.* 1992; 57:709. (b) Buynak JD, Vogeti L, Chen H. *Org. Lett.* 2001; 3:2953. [PubMed: 11554816]
9. (a) Roush WR, Palkowitz AD, Palmer MJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 1987; 52:316. (b) Roush WR, Palkowitz AD, Ando K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990; 112:6348.
10. (a) Hattori K, Sajiki H, Hirota K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000; 41:5711. (b) Hattori K, Sajiki H, Hirota K. *Tetrahedron*. 2001; 57:2109. (c) Ikawa T, Sajiki H, Hirota K. *Tetrahedron*. 2004; 60:6189. (d) Espeel PER, Piens K, Callewaert N, Van Der Eycken J. *Synlett*. 2008:2321.
11. Scheidt KA, Chen H, Follows BC, Chemler SR, Coffey DS, Roush WR. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998; 63:6436.
12. Dess DB, Martin JC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:7277.

Figure 1.
Structures of basiliskamide A and YM-47522.

Figure 2.
Retrosynthetic analysis of basiliskamide A (**1**).

Scheme 1.
Optimization of Hydrogenation of Alcohol **6**

Scheme 2.
Total Synthesis of Basiliskamide A (**1**)

Scheme 3.
Allylboration Studies of Aldehyde **5**

Optimization of the Deprotection of TBS Ether **12**

(b) Yield of isolated desired alcohol **13**.