Gait Modification to Treat Knee Osteoarthritis

Benjamin J. Fregly, PhD

Received: 26 August 2011/Accepted: 24 October 2011/Published online: 28 December 2011
© Hospital for Special Surgery 2011

Keywords knee osteoarthritis · gait modification · knee adduction moment · medial contact force

Introduction

The external knee adduction moment during gait is a clinically useful measure for studying the initiation and progression of medial compartment knee osteoarthritis (OA) [1]. This quantity is the frontal plane moment of the ground reaction force vector about the knee center and possesses two peaks during stance phase—a first during early stance and a second during late stance. The largest peak is highly correlated with medial contact force [43], disease progression [26], disease severity [33], and pain [38]. For this reason, the peak knee adduction moment has become a quantitative target for clinical treatment of medial compartment knee OA.

Gait modification has recently received attention as a noninvasive alternative to high tibial osteotomy. This article reviews the current status and future direction of gait modification as a treatment for medial compartment knee OA, covering what exists, what is missing, and what is next. The interested reader is also referred to recent review articles on related topics [3, 10, 16, 29, 35, 36].

Existing Approaches to Gait Modification

Methods for gait modification can be learned, meaning the patient learns to walk differently, or assisted, meaning an assistive object is used. Learned modifications that reduce the peak knee adduction moment include walking with decreased speed [28, 30], increased stance width [13], toes pointed outward [1, 15, 19], knees medialized [2, 14, 41], and increased medial–lateral trunk sway [17, 27]. Assisted

gait modifications that reduce this moment include use of lateral wedge insoles [4, 20], lateral wedge insoles with subtalar strapping [22], variable stiffness shoes with softer medial side [9], high mobility shoes that mimic the natural flexibility of the foot [32], valgus knee braces that use three-point bending [7, 25], canes [21], and bilateral hiking poles to counteract the adduction moment of the opposite knee [12].

The reductions in peak knee adduction moment achieved by learned and assisted gait modifications are reported in Table 1. For learned modifications (Table 1, top), only walking with knees medialized or with a medial–lateral trunk sway produced reductions on the order of those achieved by high tibial osteotomy. Similarly, for assisted modifications (Table 1, middle), the most effective methods are use of variable stiffness shoes, lateral wedge insoles with the addition of subtalar strapping, or bilateral hiking poles. The ultimate goal of reducing the peak knee adduction moment is to reduce peak medial contact force during stance. For medial contact force changes measured during gait using instrumented tibial prostheses (Table 1, bottom), variable stiffness shoes and valgus knee braces have produced the largest reductions.

Several important caveats (indicated by stars in Table 1) exist for the medial contact force changes measured with instrumented implants. Walking with knees medialized and with hiking poles reduced the first peak only slightly but reduced the entire curve significantly over the rest of the stance [41]. Furthermore, elimination of excessive knee flexion during midstance may make these two methods effective at reducing the first peak as well [41]. The peak medial contact force reduction of 23% achieved by valgus knee braces should be viewed in light of the significant discomfort experienced by the subjects for this brace setting.

Current Challenges for Gait Modification

For learned gait modifications, a major challenge is how to get people to achieve them. Verbal instructions alone are insufficient for most patients. A related challenge is to understand why some patients cannot achieve a specified gait modification while others can. Most of the learned gait

B. J. Fregly PhD (✉)
Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering,
University of Florida,
231 MAE-A Building P.O. Box 116250 Gainesville,
FL 32611-6250, USA
e-mail: fregly@ufl.edu

Table 1 Summary of changes in peak knee adduction moment due to learned (top section) and assisted (middle section) gait modifications and in medial contact force due to learned and assisted (bottom section) gait modifications. The reduction achievable by high tibial osteotomy is listed for comparison

Learned peak knee adduction moment reductions

Method	Reduction
High tibial osteotomy surgery	50% [40]
Decreased speed	8% [30]
Increased stance width	9% [13]
Toes pointed outward	38% [15] (second peak only)
Knees medialized	19% [2], 32% [41], 39% to 50% [14]
Increased trunk sway	20% [17], 65% [27]

Assisted peak knee adduction moment reductions

Method	Reduction
High tibial osteotomy surgery	50% [40]
High mobility shoe	8% [32]
Lateral wedge insole	9% [4]
Valgus knee brace	6% [7], 10% [25]
Cane	10% [21]
Variable stiffness shoe	6% [9], 13% [8]
Insole plus subtalar strapping	13% [22]
Bilateral hiking poles	33% [41]

Learned and assisted medial contact force reductions

Method	Reduction
Lateral wedge insole	1% to 4% [23]
Knees medialized	5% [41]*
Bilateral hiking poles	5% [41]*
Variable stiffness shoe	12% [8]
Valgus knee brace	7% to 23% [24]*

* Indicates important caveat discussed in the text

modification studies have used young healthy subjects; older subjects with knee OA and pathological gait mechanics pose a much greater challenge. Even if patients can achieve a gait modification, it is unclear whether they can retain it. Since athletes and musicians can learn and retain changes in movement patterns, at least some patients should be able to do the same.

For assisted gait modifications, a major challenge is how to make them more effective. Many of the assisted methods have produced only small changes in the peak knee adduction moment or peak medial contact force, and it is unclear whether such small reductions will be clinically meaningful. An additional challenge is how to get patients to use an assisted gait modification consistently. From a patient's perspective, the decrease in knee pain produced by an assistive device may not be large enough to outweigh the increase in discomfort.

Several important cautions exist for gait modification. First, a decrease in peak knee adduction moment does not guarantee a decrease in peak medial contact force. Walking with knees medialized or with hiking poles reduced the peak adduction moment (Table 1, top and middle) but produced little change in peak medial contact force (Table 1, bottom). Thus, the clinical situation appears to be more complex than indicated by the peak knee adduction moment alone. Second, beneficial changes at the knee could also induce detrimental changes at other joints [39]. Such changes are most likely to occur at the ankle or lower back, where load changes are often

the largest. Third, different calculation methods yield different interpretations of the effectiveness of a given modification [31]. Many studies do not provide details of how the adduction moment was calculated, making it difficult to compare results. Furthermore, the angular impulse (the area under the knee adduction moment curve during stance) may be a better clinical target [5, 37, 38].

Future Directions for Gait Modification

One of the first efforts in the future should be reevaluation of the peak knee adduction moment as a clinical target. What is the “right” way (if one exists) to calculate this moment? It would be helpful if researchers reported sufficient methodological details for others to understand the coordinate system used for calculating the moment. It would also be valuable for large clinical studies to share deidentified gait data with the research community. Then other labs could investigate different calculation methods to determine how they correlate with reported clinical outcomes. Having multiple labs explore the same large data sets may lead to the discovery of another target (e.g., angular impulse or a combination of the adduction and flexion moments) that is a better surrogate for clinical outcome. While medial contact force may intuitively seem like the best mechanical target, this quantity cannot yet be calculated reliably using computer models.

A related question is how the target should change when a patient's gait pattern is modified. A quantity that predicts long-term clinical outcome well when a patient does not change his gait pattern may not be the right target when a patient changes his gait pattern. Longitudinal studies involving gait modification will be needed to address this issue.

Regardless of the target choice, a critical question is how to get patients to learn coordinated gait motions that can “hit” the target. Knowing the desired kinematic changes is only half the battle. The other half is figuring out how to get patients to achieve and retain them.

To this end, several recent studies have explored the use of real-time feedback to reduce the peak knee adduction moment during gait retraining. Reductions ranging from 7% to 48% were reported with real-time visual feedback of the knee adduction angle [2], vibratory feedback of ground contact force at the lateral edge of the foot [6], visual feedback of trunk lean angle [18], vibratory feedback of multiple kinematic parameters [34], and visual or vibratory feedback of the peak knee adduction moment calculated in real time, which allowed subjects to perform a “self-optimization” process [42].

Identification of the correct target is critical for studies that employ real-time feedback. If the selected kinematic feedback quantity is not an accurate surrogate for peak knee adduction moment, then subjects may learn to “hit” the desired target and yet not achieve significant moment reductions (e.g., [11]). Ideally the feedback quantity should be as close as possible to the quantity responsible for degenerative joint changes, though this quantity has yet to be determined definitively.

Summary

By changing pathological joint mechanics, gait modification may have disease modifying potential for medial compartment knee OA. The most effective gait modification methods may well be a combination of an assisted modification and a learned modification taught via a real-time feedback system—for example, variable stiffness shoes with medial thrust gait. Addition of other holistic elements such as strength or flexibility training may be valuable as well. Determination of the best target quantity will be critical for gait modification to make a positive clinical impact.

Acknowledgments The author wishes to thank the National Institutes of Health National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research (grant R21 HD053490) for supporting this work.

Disclosures The author certifies that he has no commercial associations (e.g., consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangements, etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article. The author has received funding from the National Institutes of Health National Center for Medical Rehabilitation Research (R21 HD053490) related to this work.

References

- Andriacchi TP. Dynamics of knee malalignment. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*. 1994;25:395–403.
- Barrios JA, Crossley KM, Davis IS. Gait retraining to reduce the knee adduction moment through real-time visual feedback of dynamic knee alignment. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2010;43:2208–2213.
- Block JA, Shakoor N. Lower limb osteoarthritis: biomechanical alterations and implications for therapy. *Current Opinion in Rheumatology*. 2010;22:544–550.
- Butler RJ, Marchesi S, Royer T, Davis IS. The effect of a subject-specific amount of lateral wedge on knee mechanics in patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2007;25:1121–1127.
- Creaby MW, Wang Y, Bennell KL, Hinman RS, Metcalf BR, Bowles KA, Cicuttini FM. Dynamic knee loading is related to cartilage defects and tibial plateau bone area in medial knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*. 2010;18:1380–1385.
- Dowling AV, Fisher DS, Andriacchi TP. Gait modification via verbal instruction and an active feedback system to reduce peak knee adduction moment. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*. 2010;132:071007.
- Draganich L, Reider B, Rimington T, Piotrowski G, Mallik K, Nasson S. The effectiveness of self-adjustable custom and off-the-shelf bracing in the treatment of varus gonarthrosis. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (American)*. 2006;88:2645–2652.
- Erhart JC, Dyrby CO, D’Lima DD, Colwell CW, Andriacchi TP. Changes in in vivo knee loading with a variable-stiffness intervention shoe correlate with changes in the knee adduction moment. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2010;28:1548–1553.
- Erhart JC, Mundermann A, Elspas B, Giori NJ, Andriacchi TP. A variable-stiffness shoe lowers the knee adduction moment in subjects with symptoms of medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2008;41:2720–2725.
- Foroughi N, Smith R, Vanwanseele B. The association of external knee adduction moment with biomechanical variables in osteoarthritis: a systematic review. *Knee*. 2009;16:303–309.
- Fregly BJ, Chmielewski TL, Walter JP, Pun H, Chan NK, Moser MW, Vincent KR. Evaluation of a model-based gait retraining method for knee osteoarthritis rehabilitation. *Gait & Clinical Movement Analysis Society Annual Conference*. 2011;369–370.
- Fregly BJ, D’Lima DD, Colwell CW. Effective gait patterns for offloading the medial compartment of the knee. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2009;27:1016–1021.
- Fregly BJ, Reinbolt JA, Chmielewski TL. Evaluation of a patient-specific cost function to predict the influence of foot path on the knee adduction torque during gait. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering*. 2008;11:63–71.
- Fregly BJ, Reinbolt JA, Rooney KL, Mitchell KH, Chmielewski TL. Design of patient-specific gait modifications for knee osteoarthritis rehabilitation. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*. 2007;54:1687–1695.
- Guo M, Axe MJ, Manal K. The influence of foot progression angle on the knee adduction moment during walking and stair climbing in pain free individuals with knee osteoarthritis. *Gait and Posture*. 2007;26:436–441.
- Hinman RS, Bennell KL. Advances in insoles and shoes for knee osteoarthritis. *Current Opinions in Rheumatology*. 2009;21:164–170.
- Hunt MA, Birmingham TB, Bryant D, Jones I, Giffin JR, Jenkyn TR, Vandervoort AA. Lateral trunk lean explains variation in dynamic knee joint load in patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*. 2008;16:591–599.
- Hunt MA, Simic M, Hinman RS, Bennell KL, Wrigley TV. Feasibility of a gait retraining strategy for reducing knee joint loading: increased trunk lean guided by real-time biofeedback. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2011;44:943–947.
- Hurwitz DE, Ryals AB, Case JP, Block JA, Andriacchi TP. The knee adduction moment during gait in subjects with knee osteoarthritis is more closely correlated with static alignment than radiographic disease severity, toe out angle and pain. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2002;20:101–107.
- Kakihana W, Akai M, Nakazawa K, Takashima T, Naito K, Torii S. Effects of laterally wedged insoles on knee and subtalar joint moments. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2005;86:1465–1471.
- Kemp G, Crossley KM, Wrigley TV, Metcalf BR, Hinman RS. Reducing joint loading in medial knee osteoarthritis: shoes and canes. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2008;59:609–614.
- Kuroyanagi Y, Nagura T, Matsumoto H, Otani T, Suda Y, Nakamura T, Toyama Y. The lateral wedged insole with subtalar strapping significantly reduces dynamic knee load in the medial compartment gait analysis on patients with medial knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage*. 2007;15:932–936.
- Kutzner I, Damm P, Heinlein B, Dymke J, Graichen F, Bergmann G. The effect of laterally wedged shoes on the loading of the medial knee compartment—in vivo measurements with instrumented knee implants. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2011;(in press).
- Kutzner I, Kuther S, Heinlein B, Dymke J, Bender A, Halder AM, Bergmann G. The effect of valgus braces on medial compartment load of the knee joint—in vivo load measurements in three subjects. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2011;44:1354–1360.
- Lindenfeld TN, Hewett TE, Andriacchi TP. Joint loading with valgus bracing in patients with varus gonarthrosis. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 1997;344:290–297.
- Miyazaki T, Wada M, Kawahara H, Sato M, Baba H, Shimada S. Dynamic load at baseline can predict radiographic disease progression in medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. 2002;61:617–622.
- Mündermann A, Asay JL, Mündermann L, Andriacchi TP. Implications of increased medio-lateral trunk sway for ambulatory mechanics. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2008;41:165–170.
- Mündermann A, Dyrby CO, Hurwitz DE, Sharma L, Andriacchi TP. Potential strategies to reduce medial compartment loading in patients with knee osteoarthritis of varying severity. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2004;50:1172–1178.
- Reeves ND, Bowling FL. Conservative biomechanical strategies for knee osteoarthritis. *Natural Reviews. Rheumatology*. 2011;7:113–122.
- Robbins SM, Maly MR. The effect of gait speed on the knee adduction moment depends on waveform summary measures. *Gait & Posture*. 2009;30:543–546.

31. Schache AG, Fregly BJ, Crossley KM, Hinman RS, Pandy MG. The effect of gait modification on the external knee adductor moment is reference frame dependent. *Clinical Biomechanics*. 2008;23:601–608.
32. Shakoar N, Lidtke RH, Sengupta M, Fogg LF, Block JA. Effects of specialized footwear on joint loads in osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2008;59:1214–1220.
33. Sharma L, Hurwitz DE, Thonar EJ-MA, Sum JA, Lenz ME, Dunlop DD, Schnitzer TJ, Kirwan-Mellis G, Andriacchi TP. Knee adduction moment, serum hyaluronan level, and disease severity in medial tibiofemoral osteoarthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 1998;41:1233–1240.
34. Shull PB, Lurie KL, Cutkosky MR, Besier TF. Training multi-parameter gaits to reduce the knee adduction moment with data-driven models and haptic feedback. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2011;44:1605–1609.
35. Simic M, Hinman RS, Wrigley TV, Bennell KL, Hunt MA. Gait modification strategies for altering medial knee joint load: a systematic review. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2011;63:405–426.
36. Tate JJ, Milner CE. Real-time kinematic, temporospatial, and kinetic biofeedback during gait retraining in patients: a systematic review. *Physical Therapy*. 2010;90:1123–1134.
37. Thorp LE, Sumner DR, Block JA, Moio KC, Shott S, Wimmer MA. Knee joint loading differs in individuals with mild compared with moderate medial knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis and Rheumatism*. 2006;54:3842–3849.
38. Thorp LE, Sumner DR, Wimmer MA, Block JA. Relationship between pain and medial knee joint loading in mild radiographic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2007;57:1254–1260.
39. Toriyama M, Deie M, Shimada N, et al. Effects of unloading bracing on knee and hip joints for patients with medial compartment knee osteoarthritis. *Clinical Biomechanics*. 2011;26:497–503.
40. Wada M, Imura S, Nagatani K, Baba H, Shimada S, Sasaki S. Relationship between gait and clinical results after high tibial osteotomy. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 1998;354:180–188.
41. Walter JP, D'Lima DD, Colwell CW, Fregly BJ. Decreased knee adduction moment does not guarantee decreased medial contact force during gait. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2010;28:1348–1354.
42. Wheeler JW, Shull PB, Besier TF. Real-time knee adduction moment feedback for gait retraining through visual and tactile displays. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*. 2011;133:041007.
43. Zhao D, Banks SA, Mitchell KH, D'Lima DD, Colwell CW, Fregly BJ. Correlation between the knee adduction torque and medial contact force for a variety of gait patterns. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 2007;25:789–797.