Published in final edited form as:

Int J Mass Spectrom. 2012 February 15; 312: 201–207. doi:10.1016/j.ijms.2011.05.013.

Paper spray ionization devices for direct, biomedical analysis using mass spectrometry

Qian Yang¹, He Wang¹, Jeffrey D. Maas², William J. Chappell², Nicholas E. Manicke³, R. Graham Cooks^{3,4,*}, and Zheng Ouyang^{1,4,*}

¹Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

²Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

³Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

⁴Center for Analytical Instrumentation Development, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

Abstract

Paper spray ionization has been developed as a direct, fast and low-cost sampling and ionization method for qualitative and quantitative mass spectrometric (MS) analysis of complex mixtures. Analyte ions are generated by applying a high voltage and a small volume (~10 µL) of spray solvent onto a porous substrate. The sample can be preloaded onto the paper or mixed into the spray solution. The geometry of the paper and the method of supplying the necessary internal standard are important factors that affect the ionization efficiency and subsequently the sensitivity and quantitation accuracy of the analytical data. As the cut angle of the paper tip is changed, the spray plume, the total spray current and the electric field intensity at the tip all vary correspondingly, with resulting differences in signal intensity. Sample load is another important factor for obtaining a stable MS signal and accurate quantitative results. The optimal sample load was found to be dependent on the paper size. The dissolution and spray process was also investigated and analyte transfer on paper was shown to be largely associated with bulk solution flow towards the spray tip. The information gathered from these systematic studies provides guidance for the design and optimization of a disposable sample cartridge for paper spray MS, a device which potentially is suitable for fast clinical analysis, especially for point-of-care diagnostics.

INTRODUCTION

Mass spectrometry (MS) is a powerful method for analyzing complex mixtures, especially when augmented by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). The development of electrospray ionization (ESI)[1] and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI)[2], allowed the techniques of liquid chromatography (LC) and MS to be combined to form a relatively robust and highly practicable method that is now widely used [3]. The LC/MS and LC/MS/

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding Authors: Professor R. Graham Cooks, Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, Tel: (765) 494-5263, Fax: (765) 494-9421, cooks@purdue.edu. Professor Zheng Ouyang, Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, 47907, Tel: (765) 494-2214, Fax: (765) 496-1912, ouyang@purdue.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

MS methods are currently used for quantitative and qualitative analysis for pharmaceutical and clinical applications as well as for biological studies. Particular areas of application include (i) therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM)[4, 5], for example, for treatments involving immunosuppressants, antiretrovirals, and antidepressants; (ii) newborn screening for inborn errors of metabolism[6, 7], such as fatty acid oxidation disorders or aminoacidopathies; (iii) forensic and clinical toxicology[8] and (iv) proteomics[9]. Although there are many well-established analytical method for detecting biomarkers in serum and tissue utilizing antibody-based detection methods such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), development of robust antibody reagents for specific biomarkers is difficult and time-consuming[10]. In comparison with these biochemical or immunological analytical technologies, the major advantages of MS in clinical applications include the speed of analysis, the high specificity, especially for mixtures, the low limit of detection (LOD), and lack of any requirement for analyte-specific reagents (ASRs)[11]. All of these factors make MS a versatile tool for rapid and high-throughput analysis.

Currently MS analysis provides significant amounts of information about complex samples, but the use of MS systems in routine clinical laboratories could be increased significantly if some current limitations could be removed. One bottleneck is the complexity of the required sample pretreatment before MS analysis, which typically involves labor-intensive and time-consuming sample manipulations including extraction, purification and chromatographic separation. Another limitation is the expertise required for MS operation and data interpretation. Widespread use of MS for pharmaceutical and clinical applications is likely to result from the availability of more user-friendly MS analytical systems.

The emergence of a new family of ionization techniques, the ambient ionization methods[12], addresses this need. These methods include desorption electrospray ionization (DESI)[13], direct analysis in real time (DART)[14] and many others [15–17]. These methods have simplified MS analysis by allowing the generation of analyte ions directly from ordinary samples under ambient conditions without sample preparation or prior separation steps. The barriers to MS analysis of samples in their native states are being overcome by these strategies. Many groups have reported encouraging results in the direct analysis by various ambient ionization methods of pharmaceutical drugs [18–24], illicit chemicals [25–31] and biological molecules in complex matrices [32–36].

As a new branch of the ambient ionization, the recently developed method of paper spray ionization (PS) has been shown to have some promising features and a wide range of applications[37–41]. The capability of paper spray for direct analysis of crude biological samples has been demonstrated with urine[38], dried blood spots (DBS) [40], whole blood[37], and tissue samples[41], all of which are highly important for clinical applications. Numerous drugs in dried blood spots, including dextropropofol, amitriptyline, imipramine, citalopram, and imatinib, have been directly analyzed from paper substrates using paper spray. This demonstrated that paper spray can be used as an effective alternative to standard extraction procedures[42] and also to newer methods including DESI [43] and liquid microjunction surface sampling probes (LMJ-SSP)[44]. Linear responses for these drugs were recorded by PS-MS across a concentration range of at least three orders of magnitude, fully covering their therapeutic ranges[39]. Limits of quantitation for each of these drugs were around 1 ng/mL in whole blood[39]. Various methods for applying internal standard (IS) have been investigated, including printing the paper with the IS before loading the blood sample, soaking a punched out section of a DBS with the IS, and using a spray solvent containing the IS[40].

In this paper, a systematic characterization of paper spray devices was conducted. Several factors, including the geometry of the paper triangle and the sample loading, were shown to

have an impact on the ionization efficiency of paper spray. The concept of making paper spray disposable sample cartridges has also been implemented based on the knowledge acquired from the characterization study.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chromatography paper used for paper spray was purchased from Whatman (Whatman International Ltd., Maidstone, England). Bovine whole blood (with sodium citrate as anticoagulant) was purchased from Innovative Research (Novi, MI). All other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI) and used without further purification. Methanol/water solution (1:1, v/v) was used as the solvent for paper spray unless otherwise noted. Mass analysis was performed using a Thermo Fisher LTQ mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., San Jose, CA). The temperature of the MS capillary inlet was typically set at 150 °C and the tube lens voltage was set at 65 V. Tandem mass spectra were recorded using collision-induced dissociation (CID) and product ion scans were recorded. The voltage used for paper spray ionization was 4.5 kV in positive mode, unless otherwise noted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study sought to achieve a systematic characterization of the paper spray mass spectrometry experiment and the effects of physical variables on its analytical performance. The geometry, structures and materials of the substrate and the operating parameters of the paper spray were all investigated. The first observation made was that spray only occurs at sharp tips at the paper edge, such as the four corners of a square. The spray could be eliminated from one or more points by rounding the corners (Fig. 1a). The angle of the paper tips plays a key role in the generation of the spray. To investigate the effect of tip angle in paper spray, paper substrates with a variety of shapes were designed and cut precisely using a laser as shown in Fig. 1b. Each shape consisted of two parts: a tiny salient with one of five different angles (30°, 60°, 90°, 120° and 150°) in the front and an identical large circular part behind it. It was found that the spray occurred only at the salient. The area of the circular part was constant for all the shapes and was much larger than the salient area to ensure that the solvent evaporation process was comparable for each design. Accordingly, the difference in MS signal observed with these substrates was mainly due to the differences in tip angle.

A cocaine solution of 15 µL volume (1 µg/mL in methanol/water, 1:1 v/v) was applied to each paper substrate, and the shape of spray plume, the abundance of the protonated cocaine ion (m/z 304) and the total spray current were recorded (Fig. 1d). The diameter of the spray plume was observed to decrease as the angle increased, thus and a larger proportion of the charged droplets population was directed into the MS inlet (Fig. 1c). However, no spray could be observed for the tip with an angle 150°. Moreover, the tolerance of the signal to the positioning of the paper became smaller with larger angles and the larger the angle, the shorter the critical distance needed to produce the spray. It is interesting that the change in peak intensity of the cocaine fragment ion m/z 182 with angle is not monotonic (Fig. 1e). The same behavior is observed for the protonated molecule, m/z 303 although the total ion current intensity steadily increased for 30° to 90° and then decreased. The onset voltage for paper spray increased continuously as the angle was increased. It was 3 kV for tips with a 30° and 60° angle and increased to 4 kV for tips with angle 90° and 120°. At spray voltages exceeding 6 kV, severe corona discharge occurred.

The total current increased as the spray voltage increased and the 30° tip always gave the highest current (Fig 1f). The electric field at the spray tip was numerically calculated using

the finite element analysis tool COMSOL Multiphysics 4.1 (COMSOL, Inc., Burlington, MA) (Fig 1g–h). The boundary conditions were set to be 4.5 kV at the paper tip and 0 V at the MS inlet, corresponding to the operating condition in the experiments. The potential distribution for angle 60° is shown in Fig. 1g. A zoom-in view of the electric field for angle 30° is shown in the inset of Fig. 1h. The highest electric field strength was found to be at the tip of the paper tips, which supports the observation that spray only occurs at the sharp corners of the paper substrates (Fig 1a). The field strength at the tip of the paper spray is plotted as a function of the angle in Fig 1h. As expected, electric field density is higher at the tips of paper cut to smaller angles, which is favorable for generating the spray (Fig 1c).

Sample load is another important factor that affects paper spray ionization. Solutions of 1 µg/mL imatinib and 1 µg/mL imatinib-d8, pre-mixed in bovine whole blood, were used to explore the relationship between sample load and paper substrate size for quantitative analysis. Three homologous paper triangle substrates (T1, T2, T3) of different areas were prepared: they were 7.5 × 8 mm for T1 paper substrates, 11.9 × 12.7 mm for T2 paper substrates, and 16.8 × 17.9 mm for T3 paper substrates so that the area ratio of T1, T2 and T3 was 1:2.5:5. The spray solvent volumes applied to substrates T1, T2, and T3 were 10, 25, 50 µL MeOH:water (1:1), which is proportional to their areas. For each size of paper substrate, three different blood sample amounts (0.5, 1.25, 2.5 µL) were preloaded in the middle of the substrate which were then dried and tested. Two key characteristics, MS signal intensity and the ratio between the signal for the drug (imatinib) and its internal standard (imatinib-d8), were investigated.

The peak intensity of the imatinib fragment ion m/z 394 generally increased as the blood sample amount increased for each paper substrate size (Fig. 2a). However, 1.25 µL and 2.5 µL samples loaded onto the T1 substrate gave similar MS signal intensities. The T2 and T3 substrate behaved unexceptionally. It is concluded that the T1 substrate had already reached its maximum extraction limit (its saturation sample load) for volumes between 1.25 and 2.5 µL. Since the MS signal intensity of the T2 and T3 paper substrates was still rising at 2.5 µL sample load, the T2 and T3 substrates should have unique saturation sample loads that are higher than that for the T1. For 1.25 µL sample load, the signal intensity dropped as the size of paper substrate increased due to the larger volume of spray solvent, which helped to dilute the blood sample and lowered the signal of the analyte, whereas for the 2.5 µL sample load, the trend was opposite in that the highest signal intensity appeared using the T3 paper substrate. Moreover, for the same sample-to-solvent ratio of 0.5 (0.5 µL blood on T1, 1.25 µL blood on T2 and 2.5 µL blood on T3), the larger paper tips yielded much improved signal intensities.

To eliminate various sources of error in quantitation, the ratio between the drug and its internal standard, instead of the absolute signal intensity of the drug, was used to determine the concentration of the drug in blood. In Fig. 2b, the dashed line represents the theoretical ratio between the drug and its internal standard. Most of the ratios measured were found to be in agreement with the theoretical values except for the 0.5 µL blood on the T2 and T3 paper substrates. This deviation from the theoretical ratio appears to be because 0.5 µL blood was too little to provide accurate quantitative results using the larger T2 and T3 paper substrates. This indicates that each substrate size also has a minimum sample load requirement for quantitative analysis.

The process of analyte dissolution and distribution with the spray solution on the paper was also studied. Consideration was given to three processes by which the analytes might be transferred during paper spray: 1) capillary action/wetting, 2) electrophoresis and 3) bulk solution movement as a surface liquid film. An equilateral triangle paper substrate with length along each side of 3 cm and three analytes (caffeine, imatinib and bradykinin 2–9)

were used for the study (Fig. 3). The three corners of the large paper triangle were cut after the paper had dried for each test, and the peak intensities of fragment ions (m/z 138 for caffeine, m/z 394 for imatinib, and m/z 404 for bradykinin 2–9) were recorded for each corner of each triangle (Fig. 4a).

Transport by capillary action was examined by applying 100 μL of solvent slowly to the middle of the paper to wet the whole paper. Under these conditions, analytes moved through the paper only by capillary action. As shown in the upper panel of Fig. 4b, similar signal intensities were obtained for all three compounds (caffeine, imatinib and bradykinin) at all three corners, which suggests that the analytes were evenly distributed by capillary action. To examine the contribution of electrophoretic flow, electrodes were attached to the base and the apex of the large paper triangle and a DC electric current of 100 nA was established between these electrodes during the same wetting process as described above. The electric current was equivalent to that under normal paper spray condition. An even distribution was observed again, as shown in the middle panel in Fig. 4b, and this result indicates that electrophoretic transfer does not play a significant role. Lastly, normal paper spray was implemented, so that capillary and electrophoretic effects operated in addition to bulk solution movement due to the driving force of the spray leaving the tip. Since a relatively large amount of solvent was added to wet the paper, excess solvent formed a liquid film on the paper surface. As the spray occurred at the tip of the paper, the solvent was consumed and the liquid on the paper was pulled toward the spraying tip. As shown in the bottom panel in Fig. 4b, higher signal intensities were observed at the spraying tip for all three analytes. This demonstrates the significant role of bulk solvent movement in transferring analyte.

With the knowledge gained from the studies described above, a paper spray cartridge was designed and fabricated using SLA (stereo lithography apparatus)[45]. SLA resin Nanoform 15120 (DSM Somos) was chosen as the cartridge material as it has a high glass-transition temperature and is relatively chemically inert, especially to organic solvents[46]. The cartridge consisted of three main parts: holder, lid and electrode (Fig. 5a). A paper substrate was sandwiched between the holder and the lid, with its sharp tip extending through the front opening to allow unimpeded spray. The lip structure was used to protect the paper tip from damage during the handling of the cartridge. The lid could be opened easily or locked onto the holder through the side clips, which allowed the paper inside could be replaced as necessary. Through the hole in the lid, sample and solvent could be applied to the paper substrate. A bolt through a nut embedded in the cartridge was in contact with the paper to allow application of the high voltage.

The paper substrate was cut into a polygon (Fig. 5b) with the spray tip having the sharpest angle of less than 90° , which suppressed spray from the other corners. Since bulk solvent movement is critical for signal intensity, five props (three on the bottom and two on the lid) were fabricated to support the large paper tip (Fig. 5c). This design prevents paper bending due to wetting by the large amount of solvent. The configuration of interlaced props with curved surfaces minimized the contact area between the resin and the paper, and less solvent accumulated due to physical contact. A photo of the whole cartridge is shown in Fig. 5d. The imatinib in blood at 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ was easily detected using this cartridge and the MS and MS/MS spectra are shown in Fig. 5e.

The use of paper spray for clinical analysis potentially can be implemented with this type of simple cartridge. The cost of both cartridge and paper is low enough that the cartridge could serve as a disposable item in practice and be used for analysis without any concern regarding cross-contamination. The sample preparation process is simple and unskilled operators are able to use the cartridge for analysis. The entire test is fast and the results become available

1 minute after putting the raw sample onto the cartridge. . All these advantages have the potential to contribute to the development of personalized medicine using paper spray mass spectrometry.

CONCLUSIONS

Paper spray ionization has been developed for direct MS analysis of complex mixtures with minimum sample treatment. The effects of experimental variables have been delineated and capabilities for analysis of clinical samples have been verified. Paper spray cartridges have been built as sample media for utilization of paper spray MS analysis for point-of-care diagnosis and other clinical applications.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE 0847205 and CHE 0848650), National Science Foundation Instrumentation Development for Biological Research (DBI 0852740), National Institutes of Health (1R21RR031246-01 and 1R21EB009459-01) and the Alfred Mann Institute at Purdue.

References

1. Yamashita M, Fenn JB. Electrospray ion-source - another variation on the free-jet theme. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 1984; 88:4451–4459.
2. Covey TR, Lee ED, Henion JD. High-speed liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of drugs in biological samples. *Analytical Chemistry*. 1986; 58:2453–2460. [PubMed: 3789400]
3. Thomson BA. Atmospheric pressure ionization and liquid chromatography mass spectrometry - Together at last. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*. 1998; 9:187–193.
4. Saint-Marcoux F, Sauvage FL, Marquet P. Current role of LC-MS in therapeutic drug monitoring. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 2007; 388:1327–1349. [PubMed: 17520242]
5. Taylor PJ, Tai CH, Franklin ME, Pillans PI. The current role of liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry in therapeutic drug monitoring of immunosuppressant and antiretroviral drugs. *Clinical Biochemistry*. 2011; 44:14–20. [PubMed: 20599871]
6. Schulze A, Lindner M, Kohlmüller D, Olgemöller K, Mayatepek E, Hoffmann GF. Expanded Newborn Screening for Inborn Errors of Metabolism by Electrospray Ionization-Tandem Mass Spectrometry: Results, Outcome, and Implications. *Pediatrics*. 2003; 111:1399–1406. [PubMed: 12777559]
7. Wilcken B, Wiley V, Hammond J, Carpenter K. Screening Newborns for Inborn Errors of Metabolism by Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2003; 348:2304–2312. [PubMed: 12788994]
8. Maurer HH. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry in forensic and clinical toxicology. *Journal of Chromatography B: Biomedical Sciences and Applications*. 1998; 713:3–25.
9. Parker CE, Pearson TW, Anderson NL, Borchers CH. Mass-spectrometry-based clinical proteomics -a review and prospective. *Analyst*. 2010; 135:1830–1838. [PubMed: 20520858]
10. Anderson NL, Anderson NG. The human plasma proteome - History, character, and diagnostic prospects. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2002; 1:845–867. [PubMed: 12488461]
11. Shushan B. A Review of Clinical Diagnostic Applications of Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Mass Spectrometry Reviews*. 2010; 29:930–944. [PubMed: 20949635]
12. Cooks RG, Ouyang Z, Takats Z, Wiseman JM. Detection Technologies. *Ambient mass spectrometry*. *Science*. 2006; 311:1566–1570. [PubMed: 16543450]
13. Takats Z, Wiseman JM, Gologan B, Cooks RG. Mass spectrometry sampling under ambient conditions with desorption electrospray ionization. *Science*. 2004; 306:471–473. [PubMed: 15486296]
14. Cody RB, Laramée JA, Durst HD. Versatile new ion source for the analysis of materials in open air under ambient conditions. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2005; 77:2297–2302. [PubMed: 15828760]

15. Chen HW, Gamez G, Zenobi R. What Can We Learn from Ambient Ionization Techniques? *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*. 2009; 20:1947–1963. [PubMed: 19748284]
16. Van Berkel GJ, Pasilis SP, Ovchinnikova O. Established and emerging atmospheric pressure surface sampling/ionization techniques for mass spectrometry. *Journal of Mass Spectrom*. 2008; 43:1161–1180.
17. Venter A, Nefliu M, Cooks RG. Ambient desorption ionization mass spectrometry. *Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry*. 2008; 27:284–290.
18. Takáts Z, Wiseman JM, Cooks RG. Ambient mass spectrometry using desorption electrospray ionization (DESI): instrumentation, mechanisms and applications in forensics, chemistry, and biology. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*. 2005; 40:1261–1275. [PubMed: 16237663]
19. Wiseman JM, Ifa DR, Zhu Y, Kissinger CB, Manicke NE, Kissinger PT, Cooks RG. Desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry: Imaging drugs and metabolites in tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008; 105:18120–18125. [PubMed: 18697929]
20. Weston DJ, Bateman R, Wilson ID, Wood TR, Creaser CS. Direct Analysis of Pharmaceutical Drug Formulations Using Ion Mobility Spectrometry/ Quadrupole-Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry Combined with Desorption Electrospray Ionization. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2005; 77:7572–7580. [PubMed: 16316164]
21. Hopfgartner G, Bourgonne E. Quantitative High-Throughput Analysis of Drugs in Biological Matrices by Mass Spectrometry. *Mass Spectrom Rev*. 2003; 22:195–214. [PubMed: 12838545]
22. Williams JP, Scrivens JH. Rapid accurate mass desorption electrospray ionisation tandem mass spectrometry of pharmaceutical samples. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*. 2005; 19:3643–3650. [PubMed: 16287035]
23. Williams JP, Lock R, Patel VJ, Scrivens JH. Polarity switching accurate mass measurement of pharmaceutical samples using desorption electrospray ionization and a dual ion source interfaced to an orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight mass spectrometer. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2006; 78:7440–7445. [PubMed: 17073410]
24. McEwen CN, McKay RG, Larsen BS. Analysis of Solids, Liquids, and Biological Tissues Using Solids Probe Introduction at Atmospheric Pressure on Commercial LC/MS Instruments. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2005; 77:7826–7831. [PubMed: 16316194]
25. Huang G, Chen H, Zhang X, Cooks RG, Ouyang Z. Rapid Screening of Anabolic Steroids in Urine by Reactive Desorption Electrospray Ionization. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2007; 79:8327–8332. [PubMed: 17918908]
26. Harper JD, Charipar NA, Mulligan CC, Zhang X, Cooks RG, Ouyang Z. Low-Temperature Plasma Probe for Ambient Desorption Ionization. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2008; 80:9097–9104. [PubMed: 19551980]
27. Ifa DR, Jackson AU, Paglia G, Cooks RG. Forensic applications of ambient ionization mass spectrometry. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*. 2009; 394:1995–2008. [PubMed: 19241065]
28. Talaty N, Mulligan CC, Justes DR, Jackson AU, Noll RJ, Cooks RG. Fabric analysis by ambient mass spectrometry for explosives and drugs. *Analyst*. 2008; 133:1532–1540. [PubMed: 18936830]
29. Chen H, Venter A, Cooks RG. Extractive electrospray ionization for direct analysis of undiluted urine, milk and other complex mixtures without sample preparation. *Chemical Communications*. 2006:2042–2044. [PubMed: 16767269]
30. Justes DR, Talaty N, Cotte-Rodriguez I, Cooks RG. Detection of explosives on skin using ambient ionization mass spectrometry. *Chemical Communications*. 2007:2142–2144. [PubMed: 17520116]
31. Cody RB, Laramée JA, Durst HD. Versatile New Ion Source for the Analysis of Materials in Open Air under Ambient Conditions. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2005; 77:2297–2302. [PubMed: 15828760]
32. Takáts Z, Wiseman JM, Gologan B, Cooks RG. Mass Spectrometry Sampling Under Ambient Conditions with Desorption Electrospray Ionization. *Science*. 2004; 306:471–473. [PubMed: 15486296]
33. Nemes P, Vertes A. Laser Ablation Electrospray Ionization for Atmospheric Pressure, in Vivo, and Imaging Mass Spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2007; 79:8098–8106. [PubMed: 17900146]

34. Sampson JS, Hawkrige AM, Muddiman DC. Construction of a Versatile High Precision Ambient Ionization Source for Direct Analysis and Imaging. *Journal of the American Society for Mass Spectrometry*. 2008; 19:1527–1534. [PubMed: 18657438]
35. Sampson JS, Hawkrige AM, Muddiman DC. Development and characterization of an ionization technique for analysis of biological macromolecules: Liquid matrix-assisted laser desorption electrospray ionization. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2008; 80:6773–6778. [PubMed: 18656949]
36. Shiea J, Huang MZ, HSu HJ, Lee CY, Yuan CH, Beech I, Sunner J. Electrospray-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry for direct ambient analysis of solids. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*. 2005; 19:3701–3704. [PubMed: 16299699]
37. Wang H, Liu JJ, Cooks RG, Ouyang Z. Paper Spray for Direct Analysis of Complex Mixtures Using Mass Spectrometry. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition*. 2010; 49:877–880.
38. Liu JJ, Wang H, Manicke NE, Lin JM, Cooks RG, Ouyang Z. Development, Characterization, and Application of Paper Spray Ionization. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2010; 82:2463–2471. [PubMed: 20158226]
39. Cooks RG, Manicke NE, Dill AL, Ifa DR, Eberlin LS, Costa AB, Wang H, Huang G, Ouyang Z. New ionization methods and miniature mass spectrometers for biomedicine: DESI imaging for cancer diagnostics and paper spray ionization for therapeutic drug monitoring. *Faraday Discussions*. 2011; 149:247–267. [PubMed: 21413184]
40. Manicke NE, Yang Q, Wang H, Oradu S, Ouyang Z, Cooks RG. Assessment of paper spray ionization for quantitation of pharmaceuticals in blood spots. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry*. 2010 In Press.
41. Wang H, Manicke NE, Yang Q, Zheng L, Shi R, Cooks RG, Ouyang Z. Direct analysis of biological tissue by paper spray mass spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry*. 2011; 83:1197–1201. [PubMed: 21247069]
42. Arnaud CH. Technology Renews A Basic Approach. *Chemical & Engineering News*. 2011; 89:13–17.
43. Wiseman JM, Evans CA, Bowen CL, Kennedy JH. Direct analysis of dried blood spots utilizing desorption electrospray ionization (DESI) mass spectrometry. *Analyst*. 2010; 135:720–725. [PubMed: 20349537]
44. Kertesz V, Van Berkel GJ. Fully automated liquid extraction-based surface sampling and ionization using a chip-based robotic nanoelectrospray platform. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*. 2010; 45:252–260. [PubMed: 20020414]
45. Yu M, Fico M, Kothari S, Ouyang Z, Chappell WJ. Polymer-based ion trap chemical sensor. *IEEE Journal of Sensors*. 2006; 6:1429–1434.
46. Maas JD, Hendricks PI, Zheng O, Cooks RG, Chappell WJ. Miniature Monolithic Rectilinear Ion Trap Arrays by Stereolithography on Printed Circuit Board. *IEEE Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems*. 19:951–960.

Research highlights

- Systematic investigation of the design factors for paper spray ionization source
- Characterization of analyte transfer on paper media for paper spray
- Design of disposable paper spray sample device

Figure 1.

(a) Spray plumes on a rectangular paper substrate. (b) Paper substrates with different tip angles of 30°, 60°, 90°, 120° or 150°. (c) Spray plumes recorded for paper substrates with tip angles of 30°, 60°, 90° and 120°. (d) MS and MS/MS spectra of 1 µg/mL cocaine in MeOH:water (1:1) as spray solvent, paper substrate with a tip angle 90°, spray voltage 4.5 kV. (e) Peak intensity of cocaine fragment ion m/z 182 and (f) total spray current as a function of the spray voltage. (g) Simulated potential distribution simulation (tip angle=60°). (h) Electric field strength at the paper substrate tip as a function of tip angle. Inset: zoomed-in view of electric field distribution at a paper substrate tip (tip angle=30°).

Figure 2.

Peak intensity of imatinib fragment ion m/z 394 (a) and imatinib/imatinib-d8 ratio (b) as a function of sample load for different paper sizes (T1: 5×8 mm; T2: 11.9×12.7 mm; and T3: 16.8×17.9 mm). $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ imatinib and imatinib-d8 in whole bovine blood

Figure 3.
MS/MS spectra of caffeine, imatinib and bradykinin 2–9 using paper spray ionization

Figure 4.

(a) Schematic illustration of the experimental design for studying the analyte dissolution and transfer on paper. (F: front; L: left; R: right) (b) Distributions of caffeine (red), imatinib (green) and bradykinin 2-9 (blue) on paper under different conditions. Peak intensities for the transitions m/z 195 $\rightarrow$ m/z 138 for caffeine, m/z 494 $\rightarrow$ 394 for imatinib and m/z 453 $\rightarrow$ 404 for bradykinin 2-9 were monitored.

Figure 5.

(a) Paper spray cartridge design. (b) Design of the paper substrate. (red: spray point) (c) Support of the paper substrate with props inside cartridge (d) Cartridge fabricated using SLA (e) MS and MS/MS spectra of 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ imatinib in whole bovine blood using paper spray cartridge with MeOH:water (1:1) as spray solvent, spray voltage 4.5 kV