Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2010 August 16; 49(35): 6169–6173. doi:10.1002/anie.201002077.

Constructing Multiply Substituted Arenes Using Sequential Pd(II)-Catalyzed C–H Olefination**

Keary M. Engle, Dong-Hui Wang, and Jin-Quan Yu*

Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute (TSRI), 10550 N. Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA 92037 (USA)

Abstract

Complementary catalytic systems have been developed in which the reactivity/selectivity balance in Pd(II)-catalyzed *ortho*-C–H olefination can be modulated through ligand control. This allows for sequential C–H functionalization for the rapid preparation of 1,2,3-trisubstituted arenes. Additionally, a rare example of iterative C–H activation, in which a newly installed functional group directs subsequent C–H activation has been demonstrated.

Keywords

C–H activation; olefination; Pd catalysis; amino acid ligand; divinylbenzene

Divinylbenzene derivatives represent an important class of molecular building blocks in organic chemistry and materials science.^[1,2] Thus, their synthesis has been an active area of research.^[2] Indeed, shortly after the initial reports describing Pd(0)-catalyzed haloarene/olefin coupling (the Mizoroki–Heck reaction),^[3] Heck disclosed an example of divinylolation of *ortho*-dibromobenzene.^[4] Since that time, Heck and others have studied differential olefination of arenes containing two different halides (or pseudohalides) to synthesize unsymmetrical divinylbenzenes.^[5,6]

In light of the recent advances in Pd(II)-catalyzed aryl C–H functionalization reactions,^[7] including those that directly couple aryl C–H bonds and olefins,^[8–17] an exciting method for divinylbenzene synthesis would be to use an arene substrate containing a synthetically versatile directing group (DG)^[7c] and perform sequential olefination of both *ortho*-C–H bonds (Scheme 1). In this way, two different alkenes could be expediently introduced in a position-selective fashion to form valuable 1,2,3-trisubstituted products,^[18] thereby obviating the complications associated with preparing dihaloarene starting materials.

**We gratefully acknowledge TSRI, the NIH (NIGMS, 1 R01 (NIGMS, 1 R01 GM084019- 02), Amgen, and Eli Lilly for financial support. We thank the A. P. Sloan Foundation for a fellowship (J.-Q.Y.) and the NSF, the DOD, TSRI, and the Skaggs Oxford Scholarship program for predoctoral fellowships (K.M.E.).

* Fax: (+1) 858-784-2409, yu200@scripps.edu, Homepage: www.scripps.edu/chem/yu/index/html.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.

However, generally speaking, methods for sequential, directed *ortho*-C–H activation with Pd(II) remain underdeveloped^[19,20] owing to problems rooted in both selectivity and reactivity (Scheme 2). In terms of selectivity, a major challenge lies in forming the mono-functionalized product in high yield while avoiding functionalizing the second *ortho*-C–H bond to give the difunctionalized byproduct. Tactics to avoid this problem include substituting the *ortho*- or *meta*-positions to block the second C–H insertion, lowering the reaction temperature or time to suboptimal levels, or using reduced equivalents of one of the reactants. However, these maneuvers lead to limited substrate scope and/or compromised yields. Similarly, reactivity can also be a problem if the first new group is sterically bulky because it can attenuate the substrate's binding affinity for Pd(II). Alternatively, if the group is electron-withdrawing (e.g., halogen, olefin, or carboxylate), it can deactivate the aromatic ring for the second C–H activation step. Lastly, the original directing group and the newly installed functional group can coordinate to Pd(II) in a bidentate fashion, preventing the catalyst from accessing the second C–H bond.

Reflecting on these two interrelated challenges, we became aware of a need for complementary catalytic systems in which the selectivity/reactivity balance of Pd(II) could be modulated through coordination of an external ligand. We report herein the realization of this goal in the case of sequential Pd(II)-catalyzed aryl C–H olefination. Furthermore, as part of our research program to develop expedient and versatile aryl C–H functionalization techniques, we demonstrate an example of iterative C–H functionalization, wherein one C–H activation reaction installs a directing group for a subsequent C–H functionalization reaction (Scheme 3).

To this end, we began by revisiting our recently disclosed *ortho*-C–H olefination reaction for phenylacetic acids.^[14] The original conditions gave good yields and high levels of mono-selectivity with electron-rich and electron-neutral substrates. However, when we took a product from this reaction and resubmitted it to the reaction conditions in the presence of a different olefin, we observed <10% conversion of the desired unsymmetrical diolefinated product. Furthermore, we took note of the fact that during our original screening studies to develop the mono-selective reaction, we never observed more than 30% of the diolefinated byproduct, even as we extensively surveyed different reaction conditions. In light of these findings, we concluded that we faced a problem of low reactivity and needed a more reactive catalyst for the installation of a second olefin.

Encouraged by our recent success in using amino acid ligands^[21] to enhance the reactivity of Pd(II) with electron-deficient substrates,^[14] we hypothesized that we could develop a generally applicable diolefination protocol using an optimized amino acid ligand and apply it as the second step in a two-step sequential olefination procedure. Gratifyingly, we found in our initial screening studies that an array of mono-*N*-protected amino acid ligands (10 mol %) were highly efficient in promoting diolefination with both electron-poor and electron-rich substrates, such as 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acid (**1a**) and 4-methoxyphenylacetic acid (**1b**), fashioning the desired products in quantitative conversion after 48 h in the presence of ethyl acrylate (**2a**), Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%), KHCO₃ (2 equiv.), and *t*AmylOH under an O₂ (1 atm) at 90 °C.

To determine the optimal ligand, we selected substrate **1a** for screening. It is worth noting that in the absence of amino acid ligands, **1a** was found to give only 13% yield of the mono-olefinated product **3a** after 48 hours. We selected an abridged reaction time of 2 h in order to see the comparative kinetic behavior of the ligands. We found that Boc-Val-OH, Ac-Ile-OH, and Ac-Val-OH (entries 5, 11, and 12, Table 1) gave the highest conversion of **4a**. Ac-Ile-OH was found to be the highly active; however, with this ligand the results varied substantially from trial to trial. Ac-Val-OH was found to be the best in terms of activity and

reproducibility. Importantly, 1,4-benzoquinone (BQ), which we previously used in our mono-selective olefination procedure,^[14] was found to decrease the reaction rate. With Ac-Val-OH, increasing the reaction time from 2 h to 6 h improved the conversion from 63% to >99% (86% isolated yield) (entry 18). Importantly, with the reaction time extended to 24 h, 2 mol% catalyst could also be used, giving 82% isolated yield of **4a**.

Using these optimized conditions, a wide range of phenylacetic acids (**1**) were converted into the corresponding diolefinated products (**4**) (Table 2). The reaction worked well with electron-rich substrates containing ether and alkyl groups (**4b**, **4d**, **4j–4m**, and **4p**) and with electron-poor substrates containing halogen atoms (**4a**, **4e–4h**, **4n**, and **4q**). Sterically encumbered substrates that contained bulky substituents at the α -position (**4o–4q**) or at the position *meta* to the carboxylic acid directing group (**4j–4n**) required extended reaction times (48 h), but still generally gave good to excellent yields. 1-Naphthylacetic acid was also found to be a reactive substrate for diolefination (**4r**). Though the yield was somewhat low (35%), the second olefination proceeds via an unusual seven-membered cyclopalladated intermediate and represents a unique example of remote C–H activation at the 8-position of a naphthalene ring with a directing group at the 1-position. The reaction was also optimized for hydrocinnamic acids (see Supporting Information). In these cases, Boc-Val-OH was found to be the best ligand. Higher catalyst loadings (10–15 mol%) and extended reaction times (48–96 h) were needed to improve the yield of the diolefinated products **4s** and **4r**. In nearly all of the cases in Table 2 where the isolated yield is below 80%, the remaining starting material had been completely converted to the mono-olefinated product (as evidenced by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture).

Subsequently, different olefin coupling partners were examined (Table 3). Several acrylates (**2a–2c**) were found to be highly reactive. Styrene (**2d**) was also found to be a competent coupling partner; however the reaction required extended time (48 h) and higher Pd loading (10 mol%) (**1d**). Ethyl vinyl ketone (**2e**) was found to be effective, giving 50% yield of **4x** after 6 h. Attempts to extend the reaction time to improve the yield of **4x** led to substantial product decomposition. Other olefins, such as vinyl sulfones, vinyl phosphonates, and internal alkenes were unreactive.

With this robust Pd(II)-catalyzed diolefination protocol in hand, we returned to our goal of effecting two sequential C–H olefination reactions to install two different alkenes (Table 4). We began by using our mono-selective C–H olefination reaction,^[14] which is compatible with electron-rich and electron-neutral substrates, to couple **1b** and benzyl acrylate (**2b**), giving **3b** in 70% isolated yield (over 2 g prepared). Using this intermediate, we attempted to perform a second olefination reaction with representative alkene coupling partners in the presence of our more active [Pd(II)–Ac-Val-OH] catalyst. Gratifyingly, we found that orthogonally protected acrylates could be smoothly coupled to give differentially protected aromatic triacids **5a** and **5b** and that styrene (**2d**) could also be installed in good yield (**5c**). Interestingly, in accordance with our earlier observation,^[14] when 1-hexene (**2f**) was used, the unique non-conjugated product **5d** was obtained, representing a formal C–H allylation. The isolated yield was low due to decomposition of the product during the course of the reaction. In principle, all of the substrates used in Table 2 could be used for a similar two-step sequence. *meta*-Substituted acids offer another unique element of spatial control because the first olefin selectively reacts away from the substituent. The two-step preparation of these advanced unsymmetrical 1,2,3,5-tetrasubstituted arenes demonstrates the power of sequential aryl C–H functionalization reactions.

Finally, we sought to explore the possibility of performing iterative C–H functionalization, wherein a newly installed functional group would serve as the directing group for further C–H activation (Scheme 4). In particular, we envisioned using sequential olefination to install

two different olefins and then, following functional group manipulations, to use one of the new moieties to direct an additional remote *ortho*-C–H functionalization reaction. To test this idea, we prepared **5e** in good yield using our sequential olefination method (Scheme 4). Following methylation and hydrogenation, we obtained highly decorated hydrocinnamic acid **6**. Gratifyingly, we found that treatment of **6** with benzyl acrylate (**2b**) (2 equiv.) in the presence of Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol%), Boc-Val-OH (20 mol%), and *t*AmylOH for 12 h under O₂ (1 atm) effected C–H olefination to form **7** in 35% isolated yield. Attempts to extend the reaction time to improve the yield led to substantial product decomposition, such that only trace quantities of **7** remained in solution after 24 h. Though this yield is low, given the possibility of non-productive multidentate coordination of the substrate with Pd(II), a slow reaction rate can be expected. Moreover, this case represents the most complex setting in which C–H olefination of a hydrocinnamic acid has been attempted. In the absence of Boc-Val-OH, the reaction did not proceed.

In summary, through the discovery and development of complementary catalytic systems that exhibit tunable reactivity and selectivity, we have demonstrated a sequential C–H olefination protocol for synthesizing complex divinylbenzene derivatives from simple starting materials. We first established robust reaction conditions to effect diolefination with a range of different phenylacetic and hydrocinnamic acids. We then applied these conditions as the second step in a two-step sequential C–H olefination sequence to prepare 1,2,3-trisubstituted arenes. Lastly, we used sequential C–H olefination to set the stage for a rare example of iterative C–H functionalization, wherein C–H activation installs a directing group for a subsequent C–H functionalization reaction.

Experimental Section

General procedure for Pd(II)-catalyzed diolefination of phenylacetic acids: A 50 mL Schlenk-type sealed tube (with a Teflon high pressure valve and side arm) equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with the phenylacetic acid substrate (0.5 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (5.6 mg, 0.025 mmol), KHCO₃ (100.1 mg, 1.0 mmol), Ac-Val-OH (8.0 mg, 0.05 mmol), the olefin coupling partner (1.0 mmol), and *t*AmylOH (2.5 mL). The reaction tube was capped, then evacuated briefly under high vacuum and charged with O₂ (1 atm, balloon) (×3). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min, then at 90 °C for 6 h (or 48 h in the case of less reactive substrates, see Tables 2 and 3). Subsequently, the reaction vessel was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath. A 2.0 N HCl solution (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrate in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography using hexanes/EtOAc (with 2–5% HOAc) as the eluent.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

1. Burroughes JH, Bradley DDC, Brown AR, Marks RN, Mackay K. *Nature*. 1990; 347:539.
2. For reviews of electroluminescent conjugated polymers, see: (a) Kraft A, Grimsdale AC, Holmes AB. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 1998; 37:402.(b) Grimsdale AC, Chan KL, Martin RE, Jokisz PG, Holmes AB. *Chem Rev*. 2009; 109:897. [PubMed: 19228015]
3. (a) Mizoroki T, Mori K, Ozaki A. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*. 1971; 44:581.(b) Heck RF, Nolley JP Jr. *J Org Chem*. 1972; 37:2320.
4. Plevyak JE, Heck RF. *J Org Chem*. 1978; 43:2454.

5. For examples of differential Mizoroki–Heck reactions to construct unsymmetrical divinylbenzenes, see: (a) Plevyak JE, Dickerson JE, Heck RF. *J Org Chem.* 1979; 44:4078.(b) Spencer AJ. *J Organomet Chem.* 1984; 265:323.(c) Tao WJ, Nesbitt S, Heck RF. *J Org Chem.* 1990; 55:63.(d) Carlström AS, Frejd T. *J Org Chem.* 1991; 56:1289.(e) Sengupta S, Sadhukhan SK. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:715.(f) Wang C, Tan LS, He JP, Hu HW, Xu JH. *Synth Commun.* 2003; 33:773.
6. For examples of differential cross-coupling reactions, see: (a) Oh-e T, Miyaura N, Suzuki A, Synlett. 1990:221.(b) Rottlander M, Palmer N, Knochel P. *Synlett.* 1996:573.
7. For reviews, see: (a) Jia C, Kitamura T, Fujiwara Y. *Acc Chem Res.* 2001; 34:633. [PubMed: 11513570] (b) Campeau LC, Stuart DR, Fagnou K. *Aldrichim Acta.* 2007; 40:35.(c) Chen X, Engle KM, Wang DH, Yu JQ. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:5094.(d) Daugulis O, Do HQ, Shabashov D. *Acc Chem Res.* 2009; 42:1074. [PubMed: 19552413] (e) Lyons TW, Sanford MS. *Chem Rev.* 2010; 110:1147. [PubMed: 20078038]
8. For the initial report of Pd(II)-mediated aryl C–H olefination, see: Moritani I, Fujiwara Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1967; 8:1119.
9. For selected examples of subsequent work to establish catalytic turnover and expand the substrate scope, see: (a) Fujiwara Y, Moritani I, Danno S, Asano R, Teranishi S. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1969; 91:7166.(b) Shue R. *J Chem Soc D.* 1971:1510.(d) Fujiwara Y, Asano R, Moritani I, Teranishi S. *J Org Chem.* 1976:1681.(e) Tsuji J, Nagashima H. *Tetrahedron.* 1984; 40:2699.(f) Jia C, Lu W, Kitamura T, Fujiwara Y. *Org Lett.* 1999; 1:2097.(g) Jia C, Piao D, Oyamada J, Lu W, Kitamura T, Fujiwara Y. *Science.* 2000; 287:1992. [PubMed: 10720319]
10. For examples of non-directed Pd(II)-catalyzed C–H olefination with nitrogen-containing heterocycles, see: (a) Fujiwara Y, Maruyama O, Yoshidomi M, Taniguchi H. *J Org Chem.* 1981; 46:851.(b) Itahara T, Ikeda M, Sakakibara T. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1.* 1983:1361.(c) Ferreira EM, Stoltz BM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2003; 125:9578. [PubMed: 12904010] (d) Abbiati G, Beccalli EM, Broggini G, Zoni C. *J Org Chem.* 2003; 68:7625. [PubMed: 14510534] (e) Ma S, Yu S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004; 45:8419.(f) Liu C, Widenhoefer RA. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:10250. [PubMed: 15315430] (g) Grimster NP, Gauntlett C, Godfrey CRA, Gaunt MJ. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2005; 44:3125.
11. For examples of Pd(II)-catalyzed C–H olefination using substrates containing directing groups, see: (a) Miura M, Tsuda T, Satoh T, Pivsa-Art S, Nomura M. *J Org Chem.* 1998; 63:5211.(b) Boele MDK, van Strijdonck GPF, de Vries AHM, Kamer PCJ, de Vries JG, van Leeuwen PWNM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:1586. [PubMed: 11853427] (c) Zaitsev VG, Daugulis O. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:4156. [PubMed: 15783182] (d) Cai G, Fu Y, Li Y, Wan X, Shi Z. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:7666. [PubMed: 17530847] (e) Houlden CE, Bailey CD, Ford JG, Gagné MR, Lloyd-Jones GC, Booker-Milburn KI. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:10066. [PubMed: 18613664] (f) Li JJ, Mei TS, Yu JQ. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2008; 47:6452.(g) Lu Y, Wang DH, Engle KM, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:5916. [PubMed: 20359184]
12. (a) Capito E, Brown JM, Ricci A. *Chem Commun.* 2005:1854.(b) García-Rubia A, Arrayás RG, Carretero JC. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2009; 48:6511.
13. Cho SH, Hwang SJ, Chang S. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:9254. [PubMed: 18582040]
14. Wang DH, Engle KM, Shi BF, Yu JQ. *Science.* 2010; 327:315. [PubMed: 19965380]
15. For an example of ligand-promoted Pd(II)-catalyzed C–H olefination with electron-deficient arenes, see: Zhang YH, Shi BF, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:5072. [PubMed: 19296661]
16. For examples of Pd(II)-mediated C–H olefination in total synthesis, see: (a) Trost BM, Godleski SA, Genet JP. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1978; 100:3930.(b) Cushing TD, Sanz-Cervera JF, Williams RM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1993; 115:9323.(c) Baran PS, Corey EJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:7904. [PubMed: 12095326] (d) Garg NK, Caspi DD, Stoltz BM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:9552. [PubMed: 15291554] (e) Beck EM, Hatley R, Gaunt MJ. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2008; 47:3004.(f) Bowie AL Jr, Trauner D. *J Org Chem.* 2009; 74:1581. [PubMed: 19170539]
17. For examples of arene–olefin coupling reactions using metals other than Pd, see: (a) Lewis LN, Smith JF. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1986; 108:2728.(b) Murai S, Kakiuchi F, Sekine S, Tanaka Y, Kamatani A, Sonoda M, Chatani N. *Nature.* 1993; 366:529.(c) Matsubara T, Koga N, Musaev DG, Morokuma K. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1998; 120:12692.(d) Lenges CP, Brookhart M. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1999; 121:6616.(e) Matsumoto T, Taube DJ, Periana RA, Taube H, Yoshida H. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000; 122:7414.(f) Jun CH, Hong JB, Kim YH, Chung KY. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2000; 39:3440.

- (g) Weissman H, Song X, Milstein D. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2001; 123:337. [PubMed: 11456523] (h) Thalji RK, Ellman JA, Bergman RG. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:7192. [PubMed: 15186153] (i) Luedtke AT, Goldberg KI. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2008; 47:7694.
18. For a novel approach to construct 1,2,3-trisubstituted arenes through norbornene-mediated Pd(0)-catalysis, see: (a) Catellani M, Chiusoli GP. *J Organomet Chem.* 1988; 346:C27. (b) Catellani M, Frignani F, Ragoni A. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 1997; 36:119. For a review, see: (c) Alberico D, Scott ME, Lautens M. *Chem Rev.* 2007; 107:174. [PubMed: 17212475] For recent work, see: (d) Mariampillai B, Alliot J, Li M, Lautens M. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:15372. [PubMed: 18020336] (e) Gericke KM, Chai DI, Bieler N, Lautens M. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2009; 48:1447.
19. For rare examples of sequential C–H activation of electron-rich heterocycles with Pd(II), see Refs. 10g, 12b, and 16e and the following: (a) Nakano M, Tsurugi H, Satoh T, Miura M. *Org Lett.* 2008; 10:1851. (b) Yanagisawa S, Ueda K, Sekizaawa H, Itami K. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:14622. [PubMed: 19788301]
20. For a recent example of sequential *ortho*-C–H olefination of 1-phenylpyrazoles using catalytic Rh(III), see: Umeda N, Hirano K, Satoh T, Miura M. *J Org Chem.* 2009; 74:7094. [PubMed: 19694411]
21. For the use of mono-*N*-protected amino acid ligands in Pd(II)-catalyzed enantioselective C–H activation reactions, see: (a) Shi BF, Mangel N, Zhang YH, Yu JQ. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2008; 47:4882. (b) Shi BF, Zhang YH, Lam JK, Wang DH, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:460. [PubMed: 20017549]

Scheme 1.
Sequential directed C–H functionalization.

1. Poor Selectivity

2. Poor Reactivity

Scheme 2.

The challenges of sequential directed C–H functionalization.

1. Improved Reactivity: Direct Diolefination of *ortho*-C–H Bonds

2. Combining High Selectivity and High Reactivity: Sequential C–H Olefination

3. Sequential and Iterative C–H Olefination to Build Multiply Substituted Arenes

Scheme 3.
Outline of this work.

Scheme 4.

Sequential and iterative C–H olefination to synthesize multiply substituted arenes. (See Supporting Information for experimental details.)

Table 1

Ligand optimization.^[a]

Entry	Ligand	% 1a	% 3a	% 4a
1	---	93	7	0
2 ^[b]	BQ	98	2	0
3 ^[b]	BQ + Boc-Ile-OH	72	27	1
4	Boc-Ile-OH	24	62	14
5 ^[c]	Boc-Val-OH	3	62	35
6	Boc-Leu-OH	11	70	19
7	Boc- <i>l</i> -Leu-OH	81	19	0
8	Boc-Ala-OH	25	65	10
9	Boc-Phe-OH	47	49	4

Ligand	% 1a	% 3a	% 4a
Boc-Ser-OH	77	23	0
Ac-Val-OH	4	23	63
Ac-Ile-OH	3	43	54
Ac-Leu-OH	54	43	3
For-Ile-OH	77	23	0
For-Leu-OH	68	31	1
Men-Leu-OH ^[d]	57	41	2
Men-Val-OH ^[d]	64	35	
Ac-Val-OH	0	0	>99 (86)

^[a]The conversion was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.

^[b] 5 mol% BQ.

^[c] Average of three trials.

^[d] Men = (–)-Menthyl(O₂C).

^[e] 6 h.

Table 2

Substrate scope for Pd(II)-catalyzed diolefination.^[a] ^[a] Isolated yield.^[b] 48 h.^[c] 15 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, 30 mol% Boc-Val-OH, 96 h.^[d] 10 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, 20 mol% Boc-Val-OH, 96 h.

Table 3 Olefin scope for Pd(II)-catalyzed diolefination.^[a,b]

4u, 89%	4v, 93%	4w, 61%^[c]	4x, 50%

^[a] Isolated yield.^[b] Reaction conditions: 2 equiv. olefin, 5 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, 10 mol% Ac-Val-OH, 2 equiv. KHCO₃, *t*-AmylOH, 90 °C, 1 atm O₂, 6 h.^[c] 10 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, 20 mol% Ac-Val-OH, 48 h.

Table 4

Sequential olefination.^[a,b] ^[a] Isolated yield.^[b] Reaction conditions (1st step): 2 equiv. **2b**, 5 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, 5 mol% BQ, 2 equiv. KHCO₃, *t*AmylOH, 90 °C, 1 atm O₂, 48 h. Reaction conditions (2nd step): 2 equiv. olefin, 5 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, 10 mol% Ac-Val-OH, 2 equiv. KHCO₃, *t*AmylOH, 90 °C, 1 atm O₂, 6 h.^[c] 1 equiv. 1-hexene (**2f**).