Reconfiguring gene traps for new tasks using iTRAC

Zacharias Kontarakis,¹ Nikolaos Konstantinides,¹ Anastasios Pavlopoulos² and Michalis Averof^{1,*}

¹Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology; Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas; Crete, Greece; ²Laboratory for Development and Evolution; Department of Zoology; University of Cambridge; Cambridge, UK

We recently developed integrase-mediated trap conversion (iTRAC) as a means of exploiting gene traps to create new genetic tools, such as new markers for imaging, drivers for gene expression and landing sites for gene and chromosome engineering. The principle of iTRAC is simple: primary gene traps are generated with transposon vectors carrying $\phi C31$ integrase docking sites, which are subsequently utilized to integrate different constructs into trapped loci. Thus, iTRAC allows us to reconfigure selected traps for new purposes. Two features make iTRAC an attractive approach for *Drosophila* research. First, its versatility permits the exploitation of gene traps in an open-ended way, for applications that were not envisaged during the primary trapping screen. Second, iTRAC is readily transferable to new species and provides a means for developing complex genetic tools in *Drosophilids* that lack the facility of *Drosophila melanogaster* genetics.

Introduction

In the era of forward genetics, gene trapping (enhancer-trapping and exon-trapping) occupied a central spot in the effort to identify new genes of interest and to generate cell-, tissue- and stage-specific markers for developmental biology.^{1–6} The same approach was also used to produce diverse drivers for gene expression, based on GAL4/UAS and other expression systems,^{7,8} which are used to express a variety of transgenes in a given pattern. One of the intrinsic limitations of gene trapping is that a given trap, once generated, cannot be easily exploited for different

applications. For example, a GAL4 trap could in principle be converted into an alternative (e.g., LexA) expression driver,⁹ but the process is laborious and rarely implemented in practice. Moreover, this type of trap conversion would not be feasible in species that are not as genetically tractable as *Drosophila melanogaster*.

With the rise of reverse genetics, gene trapping screens are gradually giving way to more targeted approaches for tagging genes and for generating new drivers for gene expression in *Drosophila*.^{10–13} These approaches are based on gene targeting using homologous recombination^{14,15} or artificial nucleases designed to target specific sites in the genome.^{16,17} Site-specific integration using the bacteriophage $\phi C31$ integrase has also been developed as a means of integrating constructs into specific loci carrying the *attP* integrase recognition site.¹⁸ The $\phi C31$ integrase system is now widely used for efficient integration of diverse constructs into well-characterized landing sites in the genome (reviewed in ref. 19–22).

We recently developed an approach named iTRAC (integrase mediated trap conversion), which combines the explorative powers of unbiased (random) gene trapping screens with the potential to introduce new constructs into selected trapped loci²³ (Fig. 1). iTRAC was conceived as a method to overcome the intrinsic limitation in the use of gene traps mentioned above: the fact that traps are generated by random insertion into the genome and, once generated, cannot be re-created with new trapping constructs. This means that, although new technologies and experimental needs emerge, the use of existing traps is locked and

Keywords: iTRAC, gene trapping, site-specific integration, gene-trap conversion, $\phi C31$ integrase, new model organisms, *Drosophilids*

Submitted: 08/01/11

Revised: 09/12/11

Accepted: 09/14/11

<http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/fly.5.4.18108>

*Correspondence to: Michalis Averof;
Email: averof@imbb.forth.gr

Extra View to: Kontarakis Z, Pavlopoulos A, Kiupakis A, Konstantinides N, Douris V, Averof M. A versatile strategy for gene trapping and trap conversion in emerging model organisms. Development 2011; 138:2625–30; PMID:21610038; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.066324>

cannot be easily adapted to these needs. We designed iTRAC as a means of reconfiguring traps for new purposes, in an open-ended fashion. Similar approaches have been developed by others, aiming to achieve flexibility in generating new drivers, gene fusions and mutagenic insertions.²⁴⁻²⁶

iTRAC is easy to implement in organisms with limited genetic resources (e.g., lacking genetic markers, balancer chromosomes or a sequenced genome)—indeed, we originally applied iTRAC in the crustacean *Parhyale hawaiensis*.²³ This feature makes it attractive for routine use not only in *Drosophila melanogaster*, but also in *Drosophilids* that lack established genetic tools.

How iTRAC Works

The iTRAC approach consists of two phases, which are depicted in **Figure 2**. First, a gene-trapping screen is performed, generating random insertions of a primary trapping construct into the genome and selecting traps based on the expression of a fluorescent reporter. The *Minos* transposon that we selected as our trapping vector integrates randomly in the genome, with practically no hotspots or coldspots, avoiding the insertional biases seen with P and piggyBac vectors.^{27,28} The trapping vector carries a transformation marker (not shown in **Fig. 2**), a trapping construct (in our case a *Parhyale* splice acceptor site followed by the coding sequence of DsRed), and the $\phi C31$ docking site.²³ In our initial configuration the docking site consists of a single *attP* site located before the splice acceptor of the trapping construct, but other arrangements, such as double *attP* sites flanking the trapping construct, would also be effective (see below).

Once the gene-trapping screen is complete and traps have been recovered based on expression of the fluorescent reporter, those primary traps can serve as a platform for developing new tools. New genetic constructs implementing new tasks can be integrated into the trapped locus at any point, by injecting the transgenic lines carrying the *attP*-bearing primary trap with new constructs carrying the cognate *attB* site, together with the $\phi C31$ integrase^{23,25,26} (**Fig. 2**). Independent experiments can be

	random insertion	targeted insertion
specific use	Conventional enhancer- and exon-trapping (refs. 1-4)	Gene-targeting, zinc-finger nucleases (refs. 14-17)
open-ended use	iTRAC, InSITE, MiMIC, G-MARET (refs. 23-26)	SIRT, IMAGO, genomic engineering (refs. 11-13)

Figure 1. Transgenic approaches for generating markers, expression drivers, gene tags and mutants in *Drosophila*. Approaches are grouped according to two criteria: (A) whether they rely on random or targeted insertions and (B) whether they employ transgenes designed for a specific purpose, or ones that are efficiently reconfigured for multiple tasks. In the latter case, the $\phi C31$ integrase system allows transgene insertions to be exploited for new purposes in an open-ended way. iTRAC involves screening random insertions for gene traps and allows these to be adapted for diverse applications.

used to bring diverse effector constructs into the locus, such as reporters for new imaging applications, expression drivers, mutagenic constructs or chromosome tags, as discussed in the next section.

Different experimental configurations can be used to bring effector constructs into the trapped locus, either adding to or replacing the primary trap. In its simplest form, iTRAC employs a single *attP* docking site to integrate an entire plasmid carrying an effector and a single *attB*.²³ If primary traps have been created with double *attP* sites flanking the trapping construct, the primary trapping construct can be cleanly replaced by a new effector construct using recombinase-mediated cassette exchange.^{20,26} Schemes also exist for removing parts of the primary trapping construct by making use of other recombinase systems, such as Cre/lox,^{24,25} or by bringing in additional inverted repeats of the *Minos* element and mobilizing parts of the resulting compound transposon.

Both phases of iTRAC can be implemented by straightforward screens for gain or loss of visible fluorescent markers. $\phi C31$ -mediated integration is already routinely used, with efficiencies that match those of conventional transposon-mediated transformation.^{21,23}

Endless Applications of iTRAC

The most appealing feature of iTRAC is its versatility: by allowing new effector constructs to be designed and implemented after the primary traps have been generated,

it turns these traps into a hugely adaptable resource. The range of possible effector constructs is endless. We list here some of the applications envisaged at present:

(a) One of the most direct applications is the expression of new reporters or effector molecules for different types of imaging or optogenetics approaches. Coupling these reporters/effectors to specific gene traps without needing to involve GAL4 expression drivers, will free the use of GAL4/UAS for additional experimental manipulations. This approach may prove to be particularly fruitful in developing optogenetics tools, where the effectors are conditionally switched on by light. Optogenetics—the manipulation of cellular processes by light²⁹—appear set to revolutionize neurobiology and cell biology in the coming years.

(b) Another immediate application of iTRAC will be to generate drivers for gene expression, based on different types of binary expression systems driven by GAL4, LexA, tTA or QF.^{7,24,30-33} The InSITE vector system, which employs the same approach as iTRAC, was designed specifically for this purpose.²⁵

(c) iTRAC provides continued access to a trapped locus, which may be exploited for genetic manipulation of the trapped gene. With exon trapping, iTRAC could be used to generate knock-outs (by inserting a strong transcriptional terminator²⁶), or to create dominant negative alleles and gene fusions.

(d) Transposon insertions are often used as landmarks for chromosome

Figure 2. Schematic overview of iTRAC. Gene traps are generated with a *Minos* transposon vector (inverted repeats shown with white arrowheads) carrying a trapping cassette with a visible reporter gene. Subsequently, selected gene traps can be exploited to generate new genetic tools (different reporters, GAL4 drivers, knockdowns, gene fusions, etc.) by integrating new effector constructs into the trapped locus. This is achieved using the $\phi C31$ integrase and cognate *attP* and *attB* sites present in the original trapping construct and in the effector constructs, respectively (black and gray arrows). Different configurations and possible uses of iTRAC are described in the text.

tagging or engineering. iTRAC allows us to use traps as flexible multipurpose tags. For example, fluorescent tagging of chromosomal regions can be used to monitor their position within the nucleus, in live cells;³⁴ equally, introduction of recombinase sites (FRT or loxP) can convert these into breakpoints for chromosome engineering.³⁵

Most importantly, as experimental needs and technologies evolve, iTRAC will allow existing gene traps to be exploited for new tasks that are not yet envisaged.

iTRAC in Different Species

Another appealing feature of iTRAC is ease-of-use and transferability to new species—in fact, our initial aim in designing iTRAC was to facilitate the development of genetic tools in non-model organisms.²³

iTRAC is easily transferable for two reasons. First, the genetic elements and tools that were used to build iTRAC are known to work in diverse species. These include the *Minos* transposon vector, which is widely used in arthropods, but also in *Ciona* and in mammalian cells,^{28,36–40} the *3xP3-DsRed* (or *3xP3-EGFP*) transformation marker used in diverse insects and a crustacean,^{39,41} and the $\phi C31$ system, which has been applied in arthropods, vertebrates and plants.^{18,42–45} Enhancer-trapping core promoter elements are not, in our experience, transferable across divergent species, but exon-trapping splice acceptors are likely to be so. The crustacean splice-acceptor that we used in *Parhyale* is also effective in *Drosophila*, and likely to be functional in wide range of species.²³

Second, iTRAC is portable to new species because it is easy to use. A primary

trapping screen using fluorescent proteins as transformation and trapping markers, and subsequent trap conversion involving loss of the trapping marker and/or integration of a new one, provide straightforward ways for selecting and converting traps even in species that lack the elaborate toolkit and genetic resources of *Drosophila melanogaster*. iTRAC thus offers a simple and versatile approach for generating new tools in any species where we possess basic transformation and breeding techniques, among flies and beyond.

References

1. O’Kane CJ, Gehring WJ. Detection in situ of genomic regulatory elements in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1987; 84:9123–7.
2. Bellen HJ, O’Kane CJ, Wilson C, Grossniklaus U, Pearson RK, Gehring WJ. P-element-mediated enhancer detection: a versatile method to study development in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev* 1989; 3:1288–300.
3. Bellen HJ. Ten years of enhancer detection: lessons from the fly. *Plant Cell* 1999; 11:2271–81.

4. Morin X, Daneman R, Zavortink M, Chia W. A protein trap strategy to detect GFP-tagged proteins expressed from their endogenous loci in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; 98:15050-5.
5. Quinones-Coello AT, Petrella LN, Ayers K, Melillo A, Mazzalupo S, Hudson AM, et al. Exploring strategies for protein trapping in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 2007; 175:1089-104.
6. Buszczak M, Paterno S, Lighthouse D, Bachman J, Planck J, Owen S, et al. The carnegie protein trap library: a versatile tool for *Drosophila* developmental studies. *Genetics* 2007; 175:1505-31.
7. Brand AH, Perrimon N. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* 1993; 118:401-15.
8. Miyazaki T, Ito K. Neural architecture of the primary gustatory center of *Drosophila melanogaster* visualized with GAL4 and LexA enhancer-trap systems. *J Comp Neurol* 2010; 518:4147-81.
9. Sepp KJ, Auld VJ. Conversion of lacZ enhancer trap lines to GAL4 lines using targeted transposition in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 1999; 151:1093-101.
10. Pfeiffer BD, Jenett A, Hammonds AS, Ngo TT, Misra S, Murphy C, et al. Tools for neuroanatomy and neurogenetics in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; 105:9715-20.
11. Choi CM, Vilain S, Langen M, Van Kelst S, De Geest N, Yan J, et al. Conditional mutagenesis in *Drosophila*. *Science* 2009; 324:54.
12. Gao G, McMahon C, Chen J, Rong YS. A powerful method combining homologous recombination and site-specific recombination for targeted mutagenesis in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; 105:13999-4004.
13. Huang J, Zhou W, Dong W, Watson AM, Hong Y. Directed, efficient and versatile modifications of the *Drosophila* genome by genomic engineering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106:8284-9.
14. Rong YS, Golic KG. Gene targeting by homologous recombination in *Drosophila*. *Science* 2000; 288:2013-8.
15. Rong YS, Titen SW, Xie HB, Golic MM, Bastiani M, Bandyopadhyay P, et al. Targeted mutagenesis by homologous recombination in *D. melanogaster*. *Genes Dev* 2002; 16:1568-81.
16. Beumer K, Bhattacharyya G, Bibikova M, Trautman JK, Carroll D. Efficient gene targeting in *Drosophila* with zinc-finger nucleases. *Genetics* 2006; 172:2391-403.
17. Beumer KJ, Trautman JK, Bozas A, Liu JL, Rutter J, Gall JG, et al. Efficient gene targeting in *Drosophila* by direct embryo injection with zinc-finger nucleases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; 105:19821-6.
18. Groth AC, Fish M, Nusse R, Calos MP. Construction of transgenic *Drosophila* by using the site-specific integrase from phage phiC31. *Genetics* 2004; 166:1775-82.
19. Venken KJ, He Y, Hoskins RA, Bellen HJ. P[acman]: a BAC transgenic platform for targeted insertion of large DNA fragments in *D. melanogaster*. *Science* 2006; 314:1747-51.
20. Bateman JR, Lee AM, Wu CT. Site-specific transformation of *Drosophila* via phiC31 integrase-mediated cassette exchange. *Genetics* 2006; 173:769-77.
21. Bischof J, Maeda RK, Hediger M, Karch F, Basler K. An optimized transgenesis system for *Drosophila* using germ-line-specific phiC31 integrases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; 104:3312-7.
22. Markstein M, Pitsouli C, Villalta C, Celniker SE, Perrimon N. Exploiting position effects and the gypsy retrovirus insulator to engineer precisely expressed transgenes. *Nat Genet* 2008; 40:476-83.
23. Kontarakis Z, Pavlopoulos A, Kiupakis A, Konstantinides N, Douris V, Averof M. A versatile strategy for gene trapping and trap conversion in emerging model organisms. *Development* 2011; 138:2625-30.
24. Yagi R, Mayer F, Basler K. Refined LexA trans-activators and their use in combination with the *Drosophila* Gal4 system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010; 107:16166-71.
25. Gohl DM, Silies MA, Gao XJ, Bhalerao S, Luongo FJ, Lin CC, et al. A versatile in vivo system for directed dissection of gene expression patterns. *Nat Methods* 2011; 8:231-7.
26. Venken KJT, Schulze KL, Haelterman NA, Pan H, He Y, Evans-Holm M, et al. MiMIC: a highly versatile transposon insertion resource for engineering *Drosophila melanogaster* genes. *Nature Methods* 2011; In press.
27. Metaxakis A, Oehler S, Klinakis A, Savakis C. Minos as a genetic and genomic tool in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 2005; 171:571-81.
28. Bellen HJ, Levis RW, He Y, Carlson JW, Evans-Holm M, Bae E, et al. The *Drosophila* gene disruption project: progress using transposons with distinctive site specificities. *Genetics* 2011; 188:731-43.
29. Deisseroth K. Optogenetics. *Nat Methods* 2011; 8:26-9.
30. Bello B, Resendez-Perez D, Gehring WJ. Spatial and temporal targeting of gene expression in *Drosophila* by means of a tetracycline-dependent transactivator system. *Development* 1998; 125:2193-202.
31. Lai SL, Lee T. Genetic mosaic with dual binary transcriptional systems in *Drosophila*. *Nat Neurosci* 2006; 9:703-9.
32. Viktorinova I, Wimmer EA. Comparative analysis of binary expression systems for directed gene expression in transgenic insects. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 2007; 37:246-54.
33. Potter CJ, Tasic B, Russler EV, Liang L, Luo L. The Q system: a repressible binary system for transgene expression, lineage tracing and mosaic analysis. *Cell* 2010; 141:536-48.
34. Belmont AS, Straight AF. In vivo visualization of chromosomes using lac operator-repressor binding. *Trends Cell Biol* 1998; 8:121-4.
35. Golic KG, Golic MM. Engineering the *Drosophila* genome: chromosome rearrangements by design. *Genetics* 1996; 144:1693-711.
36. Pavlopoulos A, Oehler S, Kapetanaki MG, Savakis C. The DNA transposon Minos as a tool for transgenesis and functional genomic analysis in vertebrates and invertebrates. *Genome Biol* 2007; 8:2.
37. Zagoraiou L, Drabek D, Alexaki S, Guy JA, Klinakis AG, Langeveld A, et al. In vivo transposition of Minos, a *Drosophila* mobile element, in mammalian tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001; 98:11474-8.
38. Pavlopoulos A, Berghammer AJ, Averof M, Klingler M. Efficient transformation of the beetle *Tribolium castaneum* using the Minos transposable element: quantitative and qualitative analysis of genomic integration events. *Genetics* 2004; 167:737-46.
39. Pavlopoulos A, Averof M. Establishing genetic transformation for comparative developmental studies in the crustacean *Parhyale hawaiensis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102:7888-93.
40. Sasakura Y, Oogai Y, Matsuoka T, Satoh N, Awazu S. Transposon mediated transgenesis in a marine invertebrate chordate: *Ciona intestinalis*. *Genome Biol* 2007; 8:3.
41. Berghammer AJ, Klingler M, Wimmer EA. A universal marker for transgenic insects. *Nature* 1999; 402:370-1.
42. Groth AC, Olivares EC, Thyagarajan B, Calos MP. A phage integrase directs efficient site-specific integration in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000; 97:5995-6000.
43. Lutz KA, Corneille S, Azhagiri AK, Svab Z, Maliga P. A novel approach to plastid transformation utilizes the phiC31 phage integrase. *Plant J* 2004; 37:906-13.
44. Allen BG, Weeks DL. Transgenic *Xenopus laevis* embryos can be generated using phiC31 integrase. *Nat Methods* 2005; 2:975-9.
45. Lister JA. Transgene excision in zebrafish using the phiC31 integrase. *Genesis* 2010; 48:137-43.