Published in final edited form as:

J Biomech. 2012 February 2; 45(3): 609–613. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2011.11.035.

Frontal Joint Dynamics when Initiating Stair Ascent from a Walk versus a Stand

Srikant Vallabhajosula^a, Jennifer M. Yentes^a, and Nicholas Stergiou^{a,b}

^aNebraska Biomechanics Core Facility, School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, College of Education, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, NE, United States

^bCollege of Public Health, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, United States

Abstract

Ascending stairs is a challenging activity of daily living for many populations. Frontal plane joint dynamics are critical to understand the mechanisms involved in stair ascension as they contribute to both propulsion and medio-lateral stability. However, previous research is limited to understanding these dynamics while initiating stair ascent from a stand. We investigated if initiating stair ascent from a walk with a comfortable self-selected speed could affect the frontal plane lower-extremity joint moments and powers as compared to initiating stair ascent from a stand and if this difference would exist at consecutive ipsilateral steps on the stairs. Kinematics data using a 3-D motion capture system and kinetics data using two force platforms on the first and third stair treads were recorded simultaneously as ten healthy young adults ascended a custom-built staircase. Data were collected from two starting conditions of stair ascent, from a walk (speed: 1.42 ± 0.21 m/s) and from a stand. Results showed that subjects generated greater peak knee abductor moment and greater peak hip abductor moment when initiating stair ascent from a walk. Greater peak joint moments and powers at all joints were also seen while ascending the second ipsilateral step. Particularly, greater peak hip abductor moment was needed to avoid contact of the contralateral limb with the intermediate step by counteracting the pelvic drop on the contralateral side. This could be important for therapists using stair climbing as a testing/training tool to evaluate hip strength in individuals with documented frontal plane abnormalities (i.e. knee and hip osteoarthritis, ACL injury).

Keywords

Stair climbing; Joint moments; Joint powers; Walking; Stair ambulation; Abductor Muscles; Adductor Muscles

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author: Nicholas Stergiou, PhD Issacson Professor, Director of Nebraska Biomechanics Core Facility School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation, College of Education University of Nebraska at Omaha 6001 Dodge Street, Omaha, NE 68182 Phone: 402.554.3247, Fax: 402.554.3693 nstergiou@unomaha.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conflict of interest statement
None declared.

1. Introduction

Older adults frequently experience falls while negotiating stairs (Hemenway et al., 1994; Rubenstein, 2006). Hence, understanding fall-related mechanisms via examining the joint dynamics (moments and powers) has been a research area of great interest (McFadyen and Winter, 1988; Reeves et al., 2008; Startzell et al., 2000). Particularly, the frontal plane dynamics contribute to both propulsion and medio-lateral stability (Nadeau et al., 2003; Novak and Brouwer, 2011) and are critical at the knee and hip joints (Andriacchi et al., 1980; Costigan et al., 2002; Kowalk et al., 1996). These two joints experience external adductor moments (Kowalk et al., 1996) and compared to level-walking, these moments are lesser at the hip and greater at the knee (Costigan et al., 2002; Nadeau et al., 2003). These moments have also been shown to be similar for two consecutive steps (Kowalk et al., 1996). Examining the frontal plane dynamics during stair negotiation could play a pivotal role in rehabilitation of people with weak hip abductors and with knee problems (Nadeau et al., 2003).

Importantly, the currently available literature only includes studies where stair ascent was initiated from a stand. However, initiating stair ascent from a walk is much more common at private and public locations. Thus to increase external validity in the stair negotiation research, it is important to consider such a condition. Such an approach immediately generates several crucial questions: Does ascending stairs from a walk require greater moments and more power to maintain frontal plane stability as compared to initiating stair ascent directly from a stand? And if such differences exist, are they present only at the first step of the staircase or also at the next ipsilateral step? Previous work has shown that ascending stairs starting from a walk caused higher peak knee and hip extensor moments in the sagittal plane as compared to starting stair ascent from a stand and altered lower-extremity joint moments and powers between two consecutive ipsilateral steps (Vallabhajosula et al., 2011). Such differences could also result in different joint moments and powers to maintain medio-lateral stability during stair ascent after starting from a walk or a stand. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to determine frontal plane joint dynamics when one ascends stairs from a walk compared to ascending stairs from a stand. Due to enhanced momentum when initiating stair ascent from a walk (increased velocity) compared to initiating stair ascent from a stand, we hypothesized that the frontal plane joint moments and powers will be greater when 1) ascending stairs from a walk and 2) at the next ipsilateral step.

2. Methods

Ten healthy subjects (three females; 26.4 ± 3.7 years; 76.2 ± 13.6 kg; 1.78 ± 0.08 m) signed an informed consent approved by the local institutional review board. Inclusion criteria were: age between 19-35 years and free of any injury that could alter gait. Exclusion criteria were: presence of any known disorder(s) that may affect gait or the inability to negotiate a stairway.

Kinematic (Motion Analysis Corp., Santa Rosa, CA; 60 Hz) and kinetic data using two force platforms embedded in the first and the third stair treads of an instrumented stairway (Advanced Mechanical Technology Inc., Watertown, MA; 600 Hz) were collected (Figure 1). The force platforms were isolated from the rest of the structure to avoid vibration artifacts (similar to Holsgaard-Larsen et al., 2011).

Retro-reflective markers were placed on subjects' pelvis and lower limbs based on modified Helen Hayes marker set (Houck et al., 2005). Before testing, all the subjects were allowed to practice stair ascension without using handrails. During testing, none of the subjects used the

handrails. Subjects wore comfortable sport shoes and walked towards the stairs at their self-selected comfortable speed from a distance of 5m. Their speed was calculated based on the time recordings of two photocells positioned 2m apart in front of the stairway (Figures 2A, 2B). An average walking speed (1.42 ± 0.21 m/s) from 16 such trials was used as the self-selected comfortable speed for each subject. Next, the subjects ascended the stairs five times in two conditions, starting with the right limb for each condition: 1) initiating stair ascent from a walk (condition 1; Figures 2A, 2B), and 2) initiating stair ascent from a stand (condition 2; Figures 2C, 2D). An acceptable trial for condition 1 required the subject to ascend the stairway within $\pm 10\%$ of the self-selected comfortable speed. Data were collected until five acceptable trials were procured. The order of the conditions was randomized. Peak internal abductor and adductor moments, and peak power generated and absorbed at ankle, knee, and hip joints were used as the dependent variables (Costigan et al., 2002; Nadeau et al., 2003). They were calculated for two consecutive ipsilateral steps on the staircase during both conditions using a custom-written Matlab (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA) program. For each subject, and for each condition, the maximum and minimum joint moments and powers from the five trials were averaged to calculate the mean values. Group means and standard deviations were then obtained by averaging these mean peak values. A fully repeated two-way ANOVA (condition X step) was performed using SPSS (International Business Machines, Armonk, NY) with α -value set at 0.05.

3. Results

Subjects produced significantly greater peak abductor moments at the knee (3%; $P=0.014$) and hip (7%; $P=0.006$) when initiating stair ascent from a walk (Figure 3A). Subjects produced significantly greater peak ankle (20%; $P=0.007$), knee (20%; $P<0.001$) and hip abductor moments (20%; $P<0.001$) at the second ipsilateral step (Figure 3B). There were no significant interactions.

Subjects generated significantly greater peak power at the ankle (48%; $P=0.023$), knee (43%; $P=0.002$) and hip (42%; $P=0.003$) at the second ipsilateral step (Figure 3C). Subjects also absorbed significantly greater peak power at the ankle (44%; $P=0.001$), knee (50%; $P=0.003$) and hip (64%; $P=0.014$) at the second ipsilateral step (Figure 3D). There was no significant main effect for starting positions or significant interaction.

4. Discussion

Due to enhanced momentum when initiating stair ascent from a walk (increased velocity) compared to initiating stair ascent from a stand, we hypothesized that the frontal plane joint dynamics would be greater as one ascends stairs from a walk and such differences would be augmented in the next ipsilateral step. Collectively, our results supported both hypotheses. The greater peak knee abductor moment when initiating stair ascent from a walk demonstrates that the lateral portions of the knee experience higher levels of stress. Greater peak hip abductor moment when initiating stair ascent from a walk (Figure 3A) indicates an increased activity of the ipsilateral hip abductors. This increased activity could assist the contralateral limb to avoid contact with the intermediate step by counteracting the pelvic drop on the contralateral side (Kirkwood et al., 1999; Nadeau et al., 2003). Also, initiating stair ascent from a walk could have resulted in greater velocity and hence greater peak joint moments at knee and hip joints. Based on previous literature, a 3% difference between the peak knee abductor moments during both the conditions might only be statistical (Costigan et al., 2002). However, the 7% difference between the peak hip abductor moments suggests that ascending stairs from a walk could be more challenging compared to ascending stairs from a stand (Nadeau et al., 2003). This could be an important finding in the literature concerning people with weaker hip abductors, e.g. hip arthroplasty and osteoarthritis. Such

individuals may not be able to generate sufficient moments to counteract the pelvic drop on the contralateral side, possibly resulting in a mechanically inefficient stair ascent. Similar joint powers in both conditions could indicate greater angular velocity at the knee and hip joints during the second condition. Also, similar peak ankle joint moments and powers between the two conditions could be due to the relatively small contribution of the ankle joint to frontal plane stability while ascending stairs (Nadeau et al., 2003).

Greater peak moments and powers while ascending the second ipsilateral step (Figures 3B-D) highlight the greater effort needed to maintain stability in the frontal plane or to help the contralateral leg move to clear the intermediate step as one ascends. Electromyography data in future studies could highlight how different muscle loadings contribute to this greater effort. The joint moment profiles and values in the current study were similar to the ones reported in the literature (Kirkwood et al., 1999; Nadeau et al., 2003; Novak and Brouwer, 2011; Table 1). The three lower-extremity joints largely experienced abductor moments throughout the stance phase (Figure 4). One plausible reason is the passage of the ground reaction force vector medially with respect to the joint centers (Kirkwood et al., 1999).

5. Conclusion

Results from the present study demonstrated that the knee and hip joints experience greater peak abductor moments when initiating stair ascent from a walk and at the next ipsilateral step. These findings could provide therapists a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms involved during stair climbing when used as a training/testing module for evaluating hip strength. In addition, results have methodological implications for the stair negotiation biomechanical research, especially in individuals with documented frontal plane abnormalities (i.e. knee and hip osteoarthritis, ACL injury).

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Nebraska Research Initiative, National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research (Grant No. H133G080023), and the NIH (Grant No. 1R01AG034995-01A1). The authors are grateful to Chi Wei Tan and Ryan Hasenkamp of the Nebraska Biomechanics Core Facility for assistance with data processing.

REFERENCES

- Andriacchi TP, Andersson GB, Fermier RW, Stern D, Galante JO. A study of lower-limb mechanics during stair-climbing. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery.American*. 1980; 62:749–757.
- Costigan PA, Deluzio KJ, Wyss UP. Knee and hip kinetics during normal stair climbing. *Gait & Posture*. 2002; 16:31–37. [PubMed: 12127184]
- Hemenway D, Solnick SJ, Koeck C, Kytir J. The incidence of stairway injuries in Austria. *Accident; Analysis and Prevention*. 1994; 26:675–679.
- Holsgaard-Larsen A, Caserotti P, Puggaard L, Aagaard P. Stair-ascent performance in elderly women: effect of explosive strength training. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*. 2011; 19:117–136. [PubMed: 21558567]
- Houck JR, Duncan A, De Haven KE. Knee and hip angle and moment adaptations during cutting tasks in subjects with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency classified as noncopers. *The Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Physical Therapy*. 2005; 35:531–540. [PubMed: 16187513]
- Kirkwood RN, Culham EG, Costigan P. Hip moments during level walking, stair climbing, and exercise in individuals aged 55 years or older. *Physical Therapy*. 1999; 79:360–370. [PubMed: 10201542]
- Kowalk DL, Duncan JA, Vaughan CL. Abduction-adduction moments at the knee during stair ascent and descent. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 1996; 29:383–388. [PubMed: 8850644]

- McFadyen BJ, Winter DA. An integrated biomechanical analysis of normal stair ascent and descent. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 1988; 21:733–744. [PubMed: 3182877]
- Nadeau S, McFadyen BJ, Malouin F. Frontal and sagittal plane analyses of the stair climbing task in healthy adults aged over 40 years: what are the challenges compared to level walking? *Clinical Biomechanics* (Bristol, Avon). 2003; 18:950–959.
- Novak AC, Brouwer B. Sagittal and frontal lower limb joint moments during stair ascent and descent in young and older adults. *Gait & Posture*. 2011; 33:54–60. [PubMed: 21036615]
- Reeves ND, Spanjaard M, Mohagheghi AA, Baltzopoulos V, Maganaris CN. The demands of stair descent relative to maximum capacities in elderly and young adults. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology : Official Journal of the International Society of Electrophysiological Kinesiology*. 2008; 18:218–227. [PubMed: 17822923]
- Rubenstein LZ. Falls in older people: epidemiology, risk factors and strategies for prevention. *Age and Ageing*. 2006; 35(Suppl 2):ii37–ii41. [PubMed: 16926202]
- Startzell JK, Owens DA, Mulfinger LM, Cavanagh PR. Stair negotiation in older people: a review. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2000; 48:567–580. [PubMed: 10811553]
- Vallabhajosula S, Yentes JM, Momcilovic M, Blanke DJ, Stergiou N. Do lower-extremity joint dynamics change when stair negotiation is initiated with a self-selected comfortable gait speed? *Gait & Posture*. epub ahead of print. 2011 DOI: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2011.09.007.

Figure 1. Schematic of the instrumented stairway used for the experiment. This custom-built stairway consisted of four steps (step rise, 18cm; step width, 46cm; step tread, 28cm, and angle of stairway rise, 32.73°). The two ipsilateral steps with force platforms are indicated as Step 1 and Step 2.

Figure 2. Schematic of the two experimental conditions: A, B) Subject starting from farther away to ascend stairs from a walk (Condition 1); C, D) Subject starting from near to ascend stairs from a stand (Condition 2). The photocells as shown were positioned to calculate the speed of approach in Condition 1.

Figure 3.

A) Peak abductor moments at the three lower-extremity joints averaged across the two steps; B) Peak abductor moments at the three lower-extremity joints averaged across the two conditions; C) Peak power generation at the three lower-extremity joints averaged across the two conditions; D) Peak power absorption at the three lower-extremity joints averaged across the two conditions; From Walk – Condition 1 (Beginning to ascend stairs from a walk); From Stand – Condition 2 (Beginning to ascend stairs from a stand); *Significant main effect ($P < 0.05$)

Figure 4.

Ensemble curves representing frontal plane joint moments of A) Ankle B) Knee and C) Hip and frontal plane joint powers of D) Ankle E) Knee and F) Hip during stair ascent. S1 – Step 1; S2 – Step 2; C1 – Beginning to ascend stairs from a walk; C2 – Beginning to ascend stairs from a stand; Positive and increasing ordinate values for joint moments represent the abductor of all joints; Increasing positive ordinate values for joint powers represent power generation and negative ordinate values represent power absorption

Table 1

Mean (SE) of the peak joint moments and joint powers

Dependent Variable	Ascending Stairs from a Walk		Ascending Stairs from a Stand	
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2
Peak Ankle Abductor Moment (Nm/kg) [#]	0.2 (0.02)	0.25 (0.03)	0.19 (0.02)	0.24 (0.04)
Peak Ankle Adductor Moment (Nm/kg)	-0.01 (0.00)	-0.02 (0.00)	-0.01 (0.00)	-0.02 (0.00)
Peak Knee Abductor Moment (Nm/kg) [*] #	0.78 (0.10)	0.96 (0.11)	0.74 (0.10)	0.96 (0.11)
Peak Knee Adductor Moment (Nm/kg)	-0.04 (0.01)	-0.04 (0.01)	-0.04 (0.01)	-0.04 (0.01)
Peak Hip Abductor Moment (Nm/kg) [*] #	0.96 (0.06)	1.20 (0.08)	0.88 (0.06)	1.12 (0.07)
Peak Hip Adductor Moment (Nm/kg)	-0.20 (0.04)	-0.17 (0.03)	-0.14 (0.02)	-0.16 (0.03)
Peak Ankle Power generated (W/kg) [#]	0.10 (0.02)	0.19 (0.04)	0.12 (0.02)	0.27 (0.08)
Peak Ankle Power absorbed (W/kg) [#]	-0.10 (0.01)	-0.17 (0.03)	-0.09 (0.01)	-0.18 (0.04)
Peak Knee Power generated (W/kg) [#]	0.25 (0.05)	0.41 (0.07)	0.21 (0.04)	0.40 (0.09)
Peak Knee Power absorbed (W/kg) [#]	-0.19 (0.04)	-0.43 (0.09)	-0.20 (0.05)	-0.35 (0.10)
Peak Hip Power generated (W/kg) [#]	0.54 (0.07)	0.85 (0.09)	0.52 (0.08)	0.96 (0.23)
Peak Hip Power absorbed (W/kg) [#]	-0.18 (0.04)	-0.44 (0.08)	-0.17 (0.04)	-0.53 (0.15)

* Significant Condition Main Effect ($P < 0.05$)[#] Significant Step Main Effect ($P < 0.05$)