Published in final edited form as:

Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2012 May ; 37(5): 725–728. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2011.08.009.

Diurnal cortisol in Complicated and Non-Complicated Grief: Slope Differences across the Day

Mary-Frances O'Connor, PhD^{1,*}, David K. Wellisch, PhD², Annette L. Stanton, PhD^{1,3}, Richard Olmstead, PhD¹, and Michael R. Irwin, MD¹

¹Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology, UCLA Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior

²Department of Psychiatry

³Department of Psychology

Abstract

Although grief has primarily been described as a psychological phenomenon, empirical evidence reveals that grief also has physiological correlates that have consequences for health. The present study investigates the diurnal cortisol production patterns in women who have been bereaved in the past 18 months. Specifically, the study compares women with Complicated Grief (n=12) from those with Non-Complicated Grief (n=12), testing whether cortisol slope distinguishes the two groups. Results demonstrate that the two groups do not differ on demographic variables (except education), but as hypothesized, those with Complicated Grief have a flatter slope across the day, controlling for education and body mass index.

Keywords

Complicated grief; bereavement; diurnal cortisol; HPA axis; breast cancer; women's health

Introduction

Complicated Grief (CG), a disorder under consideration for DSM-5, includes a prolonged set of symptoms including persistent intense yearning and longing for the person who died, disruptive preoccupation with thoughts and memories of this person, avoidance of reminders that the person is gone, deep relentless sadness, self-blame, bitterness, or anger in connection with the death, and an inability to gain satisfaction or joy through engaging in meaningful activities or relationships with significant others (Horowitz et al., 1997). This profoundly painful and disruptive disorder is distinct from acute grief, although acute grief also can be intensely emotional and distressing.

Although the past century of theory about grief has primarily described it as a psychological phenomenon (Freud, 1917/1957), empirical evidence reveals that grief also has physiological correlates that have consequences for health. The earliest evidence came from

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author: 300 Medical Plaza, Room 3140, Los Angeles, CA 90095-7076, (310) 825-1889 (tel), (310) 794-9247 (fax), mfoconnor@mednet.ucla.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

investigations of the “broken-heart phenomenon” (Kraus & Lilienfeld, 1959), demonstrating that recently bereaved individuals had higher mortality rates than those without such a loss. More recent and sophisticated studies that control for a host of potentially confounding covariates has continued to confirm this association between bereavement and morbidity/mortality (M. Stroebe, Schut, & Stroebe, 2007).

Most prior research on the link between grief and health outcomes has included bereaved individuals without taking into consideration their psychological adjustment. Empirical data demonstrate that almost 50% of bereaved persons are resilient, showing negligible fluctuations in their mental health (Bonanno et al., 2002). The association between bereavement and morbidity/mortality may be stronger if constrained to those bereaved individuals with CG. For example, bereaved wives who show greater distress after the death of their spouse also show lower NK cell activity (Irwin, Daniels, Smith, Bloom, & Weiner, 1987). After the death of a child, there is a positive correlation between grief intensity and urinary cortisol output (Hofer, Wolff, Friedman, & Mason, 1972). With the advent of diagnostic criteria for CG¹, research documents higher rates of high blood pressure among those with CG vs. Non-CG (Prigerson et al., 1997).

The physiological mechanisms that bridge bereavement and morbidity/mortality are an important area of inquiry because these pathways may underlie the association, and may allow identification of those who are most likely to experience the broken-heart phenomenon. In addition, there is an immediate relevance to understanding the physiological mechanisms for diagnostic classification, in order to discriminate between CG and Non-CG reactions during bereavement.

The morbidity and mortality associated with bereavement comes from all causes (as opposed to a specific cause of death, such as cardiovascular causes) (Elwert & Christakis, 2008). Therefore, focusing on putative mechanisms that are involved in multiple health pathways are more promising candidates than are disease-specific pathways. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) dysregulation impacts multiple organ systems, and increases morbidity and mortality from many potential causes (Seeman, McEwen, Rowe, & Singer, 2001). Flattened circadian slope specifically has been associated with coronary calcification (Matthews, Schwartz, Cohen, & Seeman, 2006) and mortality from breast cancer (Sephton, Sapolsky, Kraemer, & Spiegel, 2000). Accordingly, our *a priori hypothesis* was that those with CG would have a flatter circadian pattern of cortisol secretion than those with Non-complicated Grief (Non-CG).

Methods

Twenty-four women (CG = 12, Non-CG = 12) who had experienced the death of a mother or sister to breast cancer in the past 5 years were recruited to participate in a larger study including additional psychological and biological variables that are not relevant to the present report (O'Connor et al., 2008). Participants were recruited from the Revlon/UCLA Breast Center High Risk Clinic and from the community. Participants were excluded for current Axis I disorder (including major depressive disorder), as evidenced by the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV (SCID-I) (Spitzer, Williams, Gibbons, & First, 1994). Other exclusion criteria included current smoking and anti-depressant use. The UCLA Institutional Review Board approved the study and all participants gave written informed consent.

¹In 1997, the diagnostic category was termed Traumatic Grief and later changed to CG.

CG was diagnosed with the Interview for Complicated Grief, a structured clinical interview (Prigerson & Jacobs, 2001). The interview consists of fifteen questions about emotional and behavioral responses to the death event. A cut-off score of 35 was used, based on a comparable cut-off score used for a self-report scale of CG symptoms in a large randomized control trial of CG treatment (Shear, Frank, Houck, & Reynolds, 2005).

Free cortisol was sampled in saliva with Salivettes (Sarstedt, Inc., Newton, NC) at waking, 45 minutes post-waking, 4 PM and 9 PM on three consecutive days. These time-points are based upon recommendations from meta-analytic study (Hellhammer et al., 2007). Participants were instructed on the correct use of the Salivettes and were asked to refrain from drinking, eating, brushing their teeth and using mouthwash in the 15 min prior to salivary collection. Participants telephoned a voicemail number at the time for each sample. A timestamp was documented for each phone call at the time of each sample. This method reduced missed samples and achieved very high integrity for the sample times. Less than 4% of samples (11 individual sampling time points out of 288) were missing. Missing values were imputed using a multiple regression approach which uses analysis weights based on existing variables in the dataset to impute missing values (Missing Values Add-On Module; SPSS 18); BMI and all available cortisol values within the given day and within the given time/across day were the variables used to estimate each individual missing cortisol value.

Saliva samples were frozen at -70 degrees Celsius. At the study's end, all salivary cortisol samples were assessed by high sensitivity enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The lower detection limit was less than 0.007 ug/dL. The mean intra-assay coefficient was 5.5 (range, 4–6%). The mean inter-assay coefficient was 8.2 (range, 7–11%). All samples from a participant were analyzed in duplicate in the same assay to minimize variability. The assay values were log transformed prior to statistical analysis to resolve the skewed nature of cortisol data.

Each evening, participants answered a previously developed questionnaire. Items included questions about the day's activities and experiences, including exercise, general wellness, stress level, physical pain, sleep quality, alcohol and caffeine intake. Those participants who abstained from alcohol and caffeine were not asked to answer these last two questions. Three participants did not return questionnaires.

Results

Descriptive characteristics

Symptoms that were significantly different between CG and Non-CG groups according to ANOVA analyses ($p < 0.01$) included intrusive thoughts, yearning, loneliness, avoidance of reminders of the death, emotional numbness, feeling shocked, disbelief, feeling that life had lost its meaning, and feeling that part of oneself had died along with the deceased loved one. The CG and Non-CG groups did not differ significantly in age, race, body mass index (BMI) or the usual number of alcoholic drinks per week (Table 1). Those in the CG group were somewhat more likely to have lost a sister (as opposed to a mother). CG group participants had significantly lower educational attainment, which replicates prior work (W. Stroebe & Schut, 2001). CG and NCG groups did not differ significantly on any of the activities listed on the daily questionnaire (F values ≤ 1.6 , $p \geq .21$), although there was a trend for the CG group to report greater alcohol consumption than usual on the saliva sampling days in comparison to the NCG group ($F(1, 15) = 4.34$, $p < 0.06$). CG and Non-CG groups did not differ in the types of medications they took, and conducting the statistical analyses after removing those participants on medications other than birth control and allergy medications did not change the results reported below.

Group differences in diurnal cortisol

In order to determine whether the two groups differed in the slope of their cortisol values across the four time points, multilevel modeling was performed using Day, Time of day and Group as fixed variables, and controlling for years of education and BMI. Education was included in the model because it was the only variable to show a group difference, and BMI because it is strongly associated with cortisol levels. CG and Non-CG groups were significantly different, controlling for years of education and BMI (Time of day * Group: $F(3, 20) = 3.65, p = 0.03$). As graphically depicted in Figure 1, the diurnal slope for the CG group was lower at the beginning of the day and higher at the end of the day (i.e., flatter) than for the NCG. Because there were trends toward significance between groups in alcohol use and in relationship to the deceased (sister versus mother), alcohol use on each day and relationship were included in separate multi-level model analyses. The interaction between Time of day and Group remained significant.

In order to determine which of the time points contributed most to the slope differences between groups, post-hoc *t* tests were conducted. As compared to the NCG group, the CG group had a significantly lower cortisol level at 45-minute post-wake ($t(2, 22) = 1.98, p = .05$) and higher at 4 PM ($t(2, 22) = -1.84, p = .08$). The partial eta squared for the 45-minute post-wake time point was 0.15, and for the 4 PM time point was 0.13. In other words, lower cortisol levels at 45-minutes post-waking and higher cortisol levels at 4 PM were associated with CG.

Discussion

As hypothesized, the present study demonstrates that the CG group showed a flatter diurnal cortisol slope than the Non-CG group. More specifically, cortisol was lower at 45 minutes post-wake and higher at 4pm in the CG group than in the NCG group. Although the study's sample is small, this finding adds to a growing literature that distinguishes between those who have CG and those who are not diagnosed with this disorder. Accordingly, the present study contributes some support for the case to include CG as a diagnostic category in the DSM-5.

A flatter cortisol slope for those with CG as compared to those with Non-CG is consistent with hypothesis, based on the literature of other groups undergoing stressful experiences. Meta-analytic research demonstrates that higher subjective distress is associated with a higher, flatter cortisol slope, and those who experience a traumatic and uncontrollable stressor evidence a higher, flatter slope than those with a non-traumatic or controllable stressor (Miller, Chen, & Zhou, 2007).

Sensitivity to glucocorticoids is an individual difference, but also differs within a person depending on the organ tissue in question. Genetic analyses have demonstrated that the traditional idea of a single glucocorticoid receptor is too simplistic (Oakley & Cidlowski, 2011). Cells can produce a variety of receptor isoforms, which contributes to the tissue- and even cell-specific effects of glucocorticoids. The effect of glucocorticoids on health has predominantly been discussed as due to their regulatory effect on the inflammatory immune response (for a review, see Rohleder, Wolf, & Wolf, 2010). Relevant to psychological disorders, higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-6, IL-1) are associated with depressive symptoms. However, the brain is also acutely sensitive to the effects of cortisol, with effects on memory, emotion and motivation, and these effects could logically be at work in psychological disorders such as CG. A limitation of the present study is that it is unclear what target tissues the cortisol is affecting in those with CG vs. those with Non-CG, and this should be investigated in future research.

The present findings serve both to identify a biological marker that appears to distinguish individuals with and without CG and to suggest a mechanism through which bereavement, and CG specifically, might confer risk for untoward health outcomes. In order to further delineate the mechanism linking CG and morbidity/mortality, future research should investigate whether HPA dysregulation influences immune cells, through NFkB or other inflammatory mechanisms, consistent with findings in other populations who are experiencing life stress (Miller et al., 2008). In addition, future research should include longitudinal study of the association between CG and disease morbidity and mortality, and whether this relationship is mediated in part by flatter diurnal cortisol.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by funds from the California Breast Cancer Research Program Grant Number 10IB-0048. This work was also supported in part by grant T32- MH19925, the Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology and the Friends of the Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior.

References

- Bonanno GA, Wortman CB, Lehman DR, Tweed RG, Haring M, Sonnega J, et al. Resilience to loss and chronic grief: A prospective study from preloss to 18-months postloss. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*. 2002; 83(5):1150–1164. [PubMed: 12416919]
- Elwert F, Christakis NA. The effect of widowhood on mortality by the causes of death of both spouses. *Am J Public Health*. 2008; 98(11):2092–2098. [PubMed: 18511733]
- Freud, S. Mourning and melancholia. In: Strachey, J., editor. The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud. Vol. 14. London: Hogarth Press; 1917/1957. p. 243-258.
- Hellhammer J, Fries E, Schweisthal OW, Schlotz W, Stone AA, Hagemann D. Several daily measurements are necessary to reliably assess the cortisol rise after awakening: State- and trait components. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2007; 32(1):80–86. [PubMed: 17127010]
- Hofer MA, Wolff CT, Friedman SB, Mason JW. A psychoendocrine study of bereavement. I. 17-Hydroxycorticosteroid excretion rates of parents following death of their children from leukemia. *Psychosom Med*. 1972; 34(6):481–491. [PubMed: 4644657]
- Horowitz M, Siegel B, Holen A, Bonanno G, Milbrath C, Stinson C. Diagnostic criteria for complicated grief disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1997; 154(7):904–910. [PubMed: 9210739]
- Irwin M, Daniels M, Smith TL, Bloom E, Weiner H. Impaired natural killer cell activity during bereavement. *Brain Behav Immun*. 1987; 1(1):98–104. [PubMed: 3451784]
- Kraus AS, Lilienfeld AM. Mortality by marital status. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*. 1959; 10:207–211. [PubMed: 14411769]
- Matthews K, Schwartz J, Cohen S, Seeman T. Diurnal cortisol decline is related to coronary calcification: CARDIA study. *Psychosom Med*. 2006; 68(5):657–661. [PubMed: 17012518]
- Miller GE, Chen E, Sze J, Marin T, Arevalo JM, Doll R, et al. A functional genomic fingerprint of chronic stress in humans: blunted glucocorticoid and increased NF-kappaB signaling. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008; 64(4):266–272. [PubMed: 18440494]
- Miller GE, Chen E, Zhou ES. If it goes up, must it come down? Chronic stress and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in humans. *Psychol Bull*. 2007; 133(1):25–45. [PubMed: 17201569]
- O'Connor MF, Wellisch DK, Stanton AL, Eisenberger NI, Irwin MR, Lieberman MD. Craving love? Enduring grief activates brain's reward center. *NeuroImage*. 2008; 42(2):969–972. [PubMed: 18559294]
- Oakley RH, Cidlowski JA. Cellular processing of the glucocorticoid receptor gene and protein: new mechanisms for generating tissue-specific actions of glucocorticoids. *J Biol Chem*. 2011; 286(5):3177–3184. [PubMed: 21149445]
- Prigerson HG, Bierhals AJ, Kasl SV, Reynolds CF 3rd, Shear MK, Day N, et al. Traumatic grief as a risk factor for mental and physical morbidity. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1997; 154(5):616–623. [PubMed: 9137115]

- Prigerson, HG.; Jacobs, SC. Traumatic grief as a distinct disorder: A rationale, consensus criteria, and preliminary empirical test. In: Stroebe, MS.; Hansson, RO.; Stroebe, W.; Schut, H., editors. *Handbook of Bereavement Research: Consequences, Coping and Care*. Washington, D.C: American Psychological Association; 2001. p. 613-645.
- Rohleder N, Wolf JM, Wolf OT. Glucocorticoid sensitivity of cognitive and inflammatory processes in depression and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2010; 35(1):104–114. [PubMed: 20005894]
- Seeman TE, McEwen BS, Rowe JW, Singer BH. Allostatic load as a marker of cumulative biological risk: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001; 98(8):4770–4775. [PubMed: 11287659]
- Sephton SE, Sapolsky RM, Kraemer HC, Spiegel D. Diurnal cortisol rhythm as a predictor of breast cancer survival. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2000; 92(12):994–1000. [PubMed: 10861311]
- Shear MK, Frank E, Houck PR, Reynolds CF. Treatment of Complicated Grief: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2005; 293(21):2601–2608. [PubMed: 15928281]
- Spitzer, RL.; Williams, JBW.; Gibbons, M.; First, MD. *Structured Clinical Interview of the DSM-IV*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1994.
- Stroebe M, Schut H, Stroebe W. Health outcomes of bereavement. *Lancet*. 2007; 370(9603):1960–1973. [PubMed: 18068517]
- Stroebe, W.; Schut, H. Risk factors in bereavement outcome: A methodological and empirical review. In: Stroebe, MS.; Hansson, RO.; Stroebe, W.; Schut, H., editors. *Handbook of Bereavement Research: Consequences, Coping and Care*. Washington, D.C: American Psychological Association; 2001. p. 349-371.

Figure 1.
Log-transformed circadian values of cortisol presented with no covariates for Complicated and Non-Complicated Grief groups.

Table 1

Demographic comparisons of Complicated and Non-Complicated Grief groups.

	CG (N=12)	Non-CG (N=12)	F value/ χ^2	p value
Age	42.67 (10.54)	46.91 (9.32)	1.36	0.26
Education	15.33 (2.84)	17.58 (2.11)	4.86	0.04
% White (vs. non-white)	67%	75%	0.20	0.65
Body mass index	24.90 (5.42)	24.60 (5.55)	0.02	0.90
Alcoholic drinks/week	2.43 (3.93)	2.41 (4.00)	.00	0.99
Months since death	23.58 (20.17)	32.50 (20.47)	1.16	0.29
% Mother (vs. sister) loss	58%	92%	3.56	0.06