Published in final edited form as:

Nano Lett. 2012 January 11; 12(1): 269–274. doi:10.1021/nl2034915.

Extraordinary nonlinear absorption in 3D bowtie nanoantennas

Jae Yong Suh¹, Mark D. Huntington², Chul Hoon Kim^{1,3}, Wei Zhou², Michael R. Wasielewski^{1,3}, and Teri W. Odom^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

³Argonne-Northwestern Solar Energy Research (ANSER) Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

Abstract

This paper reports that arrays of three-dimensional (3D), bowtie-shaped Au nanoparticle dimers can exhibit extremely high nonlinear absorption. Near-field interactions across the gap of the 3D bowties at the localized surface plasmon resonance wavelengths resulted in an increase of more than four orders of magnitude in local field intensity. The imaginary part of the third-order nonlinear susceptibility ($\text{Im } \chi^{(3)}$) for the 3D bowtie arrays embedded in a dielectric material was measured to be 10^{-4} esu, more than two orders of magnitude higher than reported for other metal nanoparticle-dielectric composites. Moreover, 3D dimers with increased nanoscale structure (such as folding) exhibited increased optical nonlinearity. These 3D nanoantennas can be used as critical elements for nanoscale nonlinear optical devices.

Keywords

Optical nanoantenna; localized surface plasmons; plasmon hybridization; local field enhancement; nonlinear optical susceptibility

Dimers of metal nanoparticles (NPs) and individual, anisotropic metal NPs can function as optical nanoantennas.^{1–5} The extremely high electric field enhancements within the gap originate from the near-field interactions between the NPs, which produce large field gradients at the localized surface plasmon (LSP) resonance wavelength.⁶ Localized fields around metal NPs can also influence their nonlinear optical response;^{7–10} however, a range of nonlinear absorption values have been reported¹¹ because of non-uniform NP filling within the dielectric host and from limited field enhancements from single metal NPs. Here we report how an ordered array of three-dimensional (3D) Au bowties can exhibit an extraordinary nonlinear response because of additional localized electric field enhancements from the 3D structure of the NP dimer. Optical transmission measurements revealed that the bowties supported both bonding and anti-bonding LSP resonances, and finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) calculations showed very high field intensities within the bowtie gap for the bonding LSP mode. The nonlinear behavior of the 3D Au bowties was characterized through an open-aperture z-scan measurement, and the extracted imaginary part of the third-

*todom@northwestern.edu.

Supporting Information Available. Normal incident transmission spectra for different bowtie gap sizes; FDTD calculated cross-sectional field intensity profile for sample Type A dimer; angle-resolved transmission spectra for sample Type A and B dimers under *p*-polarized light. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

order optical susceptibility ($\text{Im } \chi^{(3)}$) was found to be at least two orders of magnitude higher than previous reports for colloidal Au-dielectric composites.^{12, 13}

Figure 1 depicts the fabrication process for two different types of 3D Au bowtie arrays. First, a template consisting of a Cr square hole array over an anisotropically etched Si (100) substrate was prepared following a method similar to *ref.* 14. The main difference was that the cross-section of the photoresist (Shipley 1805) posts were square as a result of two sequential UV exposures (at 90°) through a poly(dimethylsiloxane) phase-shifting mask of recessed, 1D lines spaced by 400 nm.¹⁵ After e-beam deposition of a thin layer of Cr (10 nm) followed by lift-off, 120-nm holes remained in the Cr film. This substrate was then subjected to an anisotropic KOH etch,¹⁶ which produced pyramidal pits with four intersecting Si (111) faces beneath the Cr holes (Fig. 1, top).

Next, the template was mounted at an angle ψ relative to the e-beam deposition direction, and then two sequential depositions of Au were performed at azimuthal angles ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . The gap distance (d) in the dimer was controlled by adjusting ψ , while ϕ determined the direction of the major axis of the dimer. Type A bowties were prepared by carrying out the first deposition of Au (30 nm) at $\phi_1 = 45^\circ$ to produce a triangular Au NP whose center overlapped the shared edge of two Si (111) faces. The sample stage was then rotated by 180°, and a second deposition ($\phi_2 = 225^\circ$) of the same thickness completed the 3D bowtie (Type A). Similarly, Type B bowties were created using the first deposition at $\phi_1 = 0^\circ$ and subsequent angle $\phi_2 = 180^\circ$, which produced particles on opposite Si (111) faces in the Si pit. To remove the 3D Au bowties from the etched Si template, we used a template stripping technique¹⁷ with the transparent epoxy polyurethane (PU).

Because of the parallel nature of the fabrication procedure, cm²-areas of 3D Au bowties can be fabricated simultaneously. Figure 2 highlights how the two types of bowties have different local curvatures near the gap as well as parallel to the dimer axis because of the different deposition conditions. The small variations in particle shape and gap size are related to variations in the original post size and shape. Figure 2a shows that Type A bowties have a folded edge parallel to the long axis of the dimer because they are produced along the diagonal of the pyramidal pit. Since Type B bowties are formed by NPs on the two opposite Si (111) faces of the pyramidal pit template, the individual NPs are flat with no folding (Fig. 2b). Noticeably, the PU surrounding the Au bowtie structures fills the region around the Au dimers, including the gap (Fig. 2c)

We measured the linear optical response of arrays of 3D bowties in transmission. Figure 3a displays the normal-incidence spectrum for Type A bowties with an average $d = 20$ nm when the polarization was parallel to the dimer axis. The two extinction resonances at 660 nm and 850 nm can be explained in terms of hybridization of localized plasmon resonances,^{18–20} where the high-energy dip at $\lambda_- = 660$ nm corresponds to an anti-bonding (–) LSP mode while the smaller low-energy dip at $\lambda_+ = 850$ nm is the bonding (+) LSP mode. The bonding mode appears at longer wavelengths because the attractive near-field interactions across the gap lower the resonant frequency.²¹ The strength of plasmon hybridization was measured by changing d along the dimer axis, which is parallel to the polarization direction of the incident light (Supporting Information, Fig. S1a). The resonance of the low-energy mode blue-shifted by 100 nm as the gap distance d increased from *ca.* 5 nm to 35 nm. Therefore, the bonding LSP mode became weaker as the gap size increased and approached that of a single particle. Under perpendicular polarization, however, the relatively broad resonance centered at 800 nm did not change significantly (± 20 nm) for all gap sizes (Supporting Information, Fig. S1b). This result is expected because the effective particle size along the perpendicular polarization direction is similar for all gap sizes.

Electromagnetic field distribution maps calculated by finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) methods (Lumerical Inc., Supporting Information) show in the x - z plane represented in Fig. 3b that the strongest fields in the gap are highest at the bonding mode $\lambda_+ = 840$ nm (Fig. 3c). Similar intensity fields are localized at the base edges of each NP for the anti-bonding mode $\lambda_- = 660$ nm. For the bonding mode, the electric field intensity $|E|^2$ near the bowtie tips is enhanced up to 2.1×10^4 compared to the intensity of the incident light $|E_0|^2$. In addition, the normalized field intensity for the bonding mode ($\lambda_+ = 840$ nm) is one order of magnitude higher at the mid-point in the gap compared to that of the anti-bonding mode ($\lambda_- = 660$ nm) at their respective LSP wavelengths (Supporting Information, Fig. S2a). When the polarization was perpendicular to the dimer axis (Fig. 3d), however, only a single dip was found at $\lambda_p = 780$ nm because the two particles no longer coupled to each other. The electric field distribution map calculated at the single particle wavelength $\lambda_p = 773$ nm does not show enhancements in the gap region (Fig. 3e).

In contrast to Type A dimers, Type B bowties with an average $d = 35$ nm did not show two well-defined resonances when the polarization was parallel to the dimer axis (Fig. 4a). The only distinctive feature was a low-energy resonance at 810 nm that dominated a very small high-energy resonance. The calculated field distribution map in the x - z plane in Fig. 4b at $\lambda_+ = 810$ nm indicates that the resonance has bonding mode LSP character (Fig. 4c). FDTD simulations at the wavelength of the small dip ($\lambda_- = 669$ nm) show only very weak field intensities at the flat edges (parallel to PU pyramidal base) of the Au NPs since such structural features cannot concentrate the fields in an anti-symmetric charge distribution. This lack of structural folding of the individual NPs within the Type B bowties compared to the Type A bowties therefore produces a weak anti-bonding LSP, which was not observed in experiment. Similar to the effect in Type A bowties, when the polarization was perpendicular to the Type B dimer axis (Fig. 4d), the resonant wavelength was determined only by the effective particle size, and there was no field enhancement in the gap (Fig. 4e). The LSP resonances from Type B, however, were noticeably broader than the calculated dip. We attribute this overlap in wavelength position but difference in resonance linewidth to a relatively wide range of NP sizes/gaps because Type B structures cannot be fabricated with as much control as Type A ones. For example, during the deposition with $\phi_1 = 0^\circ$ or $\phi_2 = 180^\circ$, small amounts of Au can be deposited beyond that on a single Si (111) face, which would result in Au NPs with a majority flat face and minor folds/wings near the edge.

Angle-resolved zero-order transmission measurements (λ vs. θ) converted to energy E vs. in-plane wavevector k revealed that the LSP modes are non-dispersive for both Type A and Type B 3D bowtie structures (Supporting Information, Fig. S3). However, when these resonances crossed the air $(-1, 0)$ or PU $(-1, 0)$ Rayleigh anomaly line,²² diffractive coupling reduced the extinction intensity at Rayleigh cutoff wavelengths. The suppression of the LSP resonance in a periodic NP array is expected at grazing angles of incidence,^{23, 24} where the in-plane diffracted waves interact with the NP dimers in the lattice.

The linear response of this metal-dielectric structure—3D Au bowties surrounded by air on one side and PU on the other—were characterized at the wavelength of the LSP resonance. We combined the linear optical transmittance (T) measurements in Figs. 3 and 4 as well as independent reflectance (R) measurements to determine the absorbance $A = 1 - T - R$. This quantity is related to the linear absorption coefficient α by $\alpha = -\log(A)/L$ (cm^{-1}) for the air-Au bowtie array-PU structure, where L is the path length. The linear absorption coefficients α_A and α_B at 780 nm under polarization parallel to the dimer axis were found to be $1.39 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $1.84 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the Type A and Type B bowties, respectively.

To characterize the nonlinear optical properties of the 3D bowtie nanoantennas, we used the open aperture z-scan technique to determine the imaginary part of $\chi^{(3)}$. Z-scan is a

transmittance measurement where the incident irradiance (W/cm^2) of a single, focused laser beam is varied. To induce a third-order nonlinear response, ultra-short laser pulses with instantaneously high optical fields are needed. Figure 5a depicts the major components of a z-scan measurement. The fs-light source was a home-built, cavity-dumped Ti:sapphire laser pumped by a frequency doubled Nd:YVO₄ laser (Millennia Vs, Spectra-Physics) (5W). The center wavelength and spectral width of the cavity-dumped laser output were 780 nm and 40 nm, respectively. The pulse duration at the sample position without stretching was ~ 25 fs; fs-pulses were stretched to ps by using a single grating and a Au coated retro-reflector (RR). The laser beam was focused on the sample with a 100-mm focal length lens, which excited *ca.* 5×10^3 Au bowties at the focus (beam waist = 27 μm). Two wedged silica windows were used to reduce the laser power, and a broadband $\lambda/2$ waveplate was used to control the polarization of the laser pulse.

The z-scan transmission measurements using 25-fs pulses at 780 nm showed a pronounced peak at $z = 0$ for Type B bowties (Fig. 5b), which is a signature of strong saturable absorption.¹² This induced transparency indicates that the ground state has been rapidly depleted under fs-excitation. To extract the nonlinear absorption coefficient β , which is then used to calculate the imaginary part of $\chi^{(3)}$, we used the Sheik-Bahae model²⁵ to fit the z-scan transmittance $T(z)$:

$$T(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\beta I_0 L_{\text{eff}}}{1 + z^2/z_0^2} \right)^m / (m+1)^{3/2}, \quad (1)$$

where I_0 is the peak intensity at the focus, the effective interaction length $L_{\text{eff}} = [1 - \exp(-\alpha L_c)]/\alpha$, the Rayleigh diffraction length $z_0 = k\omega_0/2$, and L_c is the film thickness of Au-PU composite. $T(z)$ was determined experimentally by measuring the normalized transmission as a function of sample distance z from the focal point of laser beam ($z = 0$). Under fs-excitation, the absolute value of the numerator $|\beta I_0 L_{\text{eff}}|$ in Eq. (1) becomes greater than 1 for a given nonlinear absorption coefficient β ; thus, fitting to the Sheik-Bahae model is not valid because the power series diverges. Therefore, we adjusted the incident pulse width to the ps-range by stretching the 25-fs pulse to 11 ps so that I_0 could be reduced by three-orders of magnitude. The inset of Fig. 5b shows that with ps-excitation (0.8 pJ), a small peak was present at the focus ($z = 0$) within the valley of the large nonlinear two-photon absorption, which again indicates the onset of saturable absorption. Two-photon absorption is a third-order nonlinear optical process with a positive sign of β .^{4, 26} Although this curve can be fit to the Sheik-Bahae model, we reduced the incident laser power further to eliminate any ambiguity in the fit when saturable absorption and two-photon absorption simultaneously exist.^{26, 27}

Figures 5c and 5d display the z-scan transmittances using 11-ps laser pulses (0.5 pJ) for Type B and Type A bowties with $d = 35$ nm, respectively. This gap distance was chosen since the bonding mode plasmon resonances for both 3D dimers was around $\lambda_+ = 780$ nm (Fig. 4 and Fig. S1), which overlapped the excitation laser wavelength. In the z-scan method, the magnitude of the dip at $z = 0$ directly reflects the strength of the nonlinear absorption coefficient. For Type B bowties, with an average $d = 35$ nm, the transmittance at $z = 0$ dropped from 15% under parallel polarization to just 4% under perpendicular polarization (Fig. 5c). For Type A bowties, when the polarization was parallel to the dimer axis, the transmission decreased by 22% at $z = 0$ (Fig. 5d). As expected, at the same nonlinear excitation conditions, very weak nonlinear signals were measured when the polarization was perpendicular to the dimer axis. This result clearly indicates that the local field effect is more pronounced in Type A bowties compared to Type B ones. Thus, the additional local curvature near the gap region of the Type A dimers results in slightly higher

field enhancements compared to Type B dimers because of NP folding in the Type A bowties.

Using the measured β from $T(z)$, we extracted the imaginary part of $\chi^{(3)}$ by the following relation:

$$\text{Im}\chi^{(3)} (\text{esu}) = \frac{c^2 n_0^2 \beta}{640\pi^3 \omega}, \quad (2)$$

where n_0 is the linear refractive index of Au, and ω is the angular frequency of the laser field. In Figs. 5c–d, the best fit values of β and $\text{Im}\chi^{(3)}$ were found according to Eqs. 1 and 2 for each bowtie structure. We determined values of $\text{Im}\chi^{(3)}$ to be 3.5×10^{-4} esu for Type A bowties and 2.7×10^{-4} esu for Type B structures. These values for $\text{Im}\chi^{(3)}$ are at least two orders of magnitude higher than the values for Au NPs reported previously, which ranged from 10^{-6} to 10^{-9} esu depending on the NP sizes, Au volume fraction, and host materials.^{8, 12, 13, 27–32} We note that not all previous z-scan measurements were performed at the LSP resonance, which is crucial for large local field factors near the metal NPs. Nevertheless, we have at least a 1000-fold increase in $\text{Im}\chi^{(3)}$ because of strong near-field coupling between metal NPs in a dimer compared to a single NP^{12, 13} and a 100-fold increase compared to the largest reported values from coupled NP systems.^{27, 32}

In conclusion, we have designed a fabrication method that can produce arrays of 3D bowtie antennas with extremely high electric field localizations. The two different types of Au bowties support strong near-field interactions with local field intensity enhancements $> 10^4$, which result in extraordinarily high third-order nonlinear susceptibility values (10^{-4} esu). Therefore, these 3D bowties function as nonlinear optical antennae. We anticipate that these coupled metal NP arrays can be integrated with nonlinear host materials of importance for applications in nonlinear optical devices. These 3D bowties can facilitate the demonstration of nanoscale, nonlinear optical devices, such as single-photon level transistors³³, plasmonic second-harmonic generators³⁴, or lasing nano-resonators³⁵.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NSF-MRSEC program at the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center at Northwestern University (DMR-0520513) (J.Y.S., W.Z.) and the NIH-Director's Pioneer Award (DP1OD003899) (J.Y.S., T.W.O). M.D.H acknowledges support by the Department of Defense through the National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship (NDSEG) Program. We thank J.-C. Yang for early contributions to this project. C.-H.K. was partially supported by the Initiative for Energy and Sustainability at Northwestern (ISEN) and by the NSF. Development of the cavity-dumped Ti:sapphire laser system used for the z-scan measurements (C.-H.K., M.R.W.) was supported as part of the ANSER Center, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award Number DE-SC0001059.

References

1. Crozier KB, Sundaramurthy A, Kino GS, Quate CF. J Appl Phys. 2003; 94(12):7950–7950.
2. Hecht B, Muhlschlegel P, Eisler HJ, Martin OJF, Pohl DW. Science. 2005; 308(5728):1607–1609. [PubMed: 15947182]
3. Sundaramurthy A, Crozier KB, Kino GS, Fromm DP, Schuck PJ, Moerner WE. Phys Rev B. 2005; 72:16.

4. Schuck PJ, Fromm DP, Sundaramurthy A, Kino GS, Moerner WE. *Phys Rev Lett*. 2005; 94(1)
5. Brown LV, Sobhani H, Lassiter JB, Nordlander P, Halas NJ. *Acs Nano*. 2010; 4(2):819–832. [PubMed: 20092361]
6. Fischer H, Martin OJF. *Opt Express*. 2008; 16(12):9144–9154. [PubMed: 18545626]
7. Uchida K, Kaneko S, Omi S, Hata C, Tanji H, Asahara Y, Ikushima AJ, Tokizaki T, Nakamura A. *J Opt Soc Am B*. 1994; 11(7):1236–1243.
8. Rysanyanskiy AI, Palpant B, Debrus S, Pal U, Stepanov A. *J Lumin*. 2007; 127(1):181–185.
9. Haraguchi M, Okamoto T, Inoue T, Nakagaki M, Koizumi H, Yamaguchi K, Lai C, Fukui M, Kamano M, Fujii M. *Ieee J Sel Top Quant*. 2008; 14(6):1540–1551.
10. Haglund RF, Yang L, Magruder RH, Wittig JE, Becker K, Zuhra RA. *Opt Lett*. 1993; 18(5):373–375. [PubMed: 19802140]
11. Rao SV. *J Mod Optic*. 2011; 58(12):1024–1029.
12. Smith DD, Fischer G, Boyd RW, Gregory DA. *J Opt Soc Am B*. 1997; 14(7):1625–1631.
13. Piredda G, Smith DD, Wendling B, Boyd RW. *J Opt Soc Am B*. 2008; 25(6):945–950.
14. Henzie J, Lee MH, Odom TW. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2007; 2(9):549–554. [PubMed: 18654366]
15. Zhou W, Gao HW, Odom TW. *Acs Nano*. 2010; 4(2):1241–1247. [PubMed: 20078118]
16. Seidel H, Csepregi L, Heuberger A, Baumgartel H. *J Electrochem Soc*. 1990; 137(11):3612–3626.
17. Zhou W, Odom TW. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2011; 6(7):423–427. [PubMed: 21572429]
18. Huang JS, Kern J, Geisler P, Weinmann P, Kamp M, Forchel A, Biagioni P, Hecht B. *Nano Lett*. 2010; 10(6):2105–2110. [PubMed: 20411912]
19. Gwo S, Yang SC, Kobori H, He CL, Lin MH, Chen HY, Li CC, Kanehara M, Teranishi T. *Nano Lett*. 2010; 10(2):632–637. [PubMed: 20058898]
20. Nordlander P, Oubre C, Prodan E, Li K, Stockman MI. *Nano Lett*. 2004; 4(5):899–903.
21. Leitner A, Rechberger W, Hohenau A, Krenn JR, Lamprecht B, Aussenegg FR. *Opt Commun*. 2003; 220(1–3):137–141.
22. Gao H, McMahon JM, Lee MH, Henzie J, Gray SK, Schatz GC, Odom TW. *Opt Express*. 2009; 17(4):2334–2340. [PubMed: 19219136]
23. Kravets VG, Schedin F, Grigorenko AN. *Phys Rev Lett*. 2008; 101(8)
24. Vecchi G, Giannini V, Rivas JG. *Phys Rev B*. 2009; 80(20)
25. Sheik-Bahae MS, AA, Wei T, Hagan DJ, van Stryland Ew. *IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics*. 1990; 26:760.
26. Wang J, Gu B, Wang HT, Ni XW. *Opt Commun*. 2010; 283(18):3525–3528.
27. Ning TY, Zhou YL, Shen H, Lu H, Sun ZH, Cao LZ, Guan DY, Zhang DX, Yang GZ. *J Phys D Appl Phys*. 2007; 40(21):6705–6708.
28. Shen H, Cheng BL, Lu GW, Ning TY, Guan DY, Zhou YL, Chen ZH. *Nanotechnology*. 2006; 17(16):4274–4277. [PubMed: 21727571]
29. Rysanyanskiy AI, Palpant B, Debrus S, Pal U, Stepanov AL. *Phys Solid State+*. 2009; 51(1):55–60.
30. Debrus S, Lafait J, May M, Pincon N, Prot D, Sella C, Venturini J. *J Appl Phys*. 2000; 88(8):4469–4475.
31. Ricard D, Roussignol P, Flytzanis C. *Opt Lett*. 1985; 10(10):511–513. [PubMed: 19730468]
32. Zhou YL, Chen C, Ning TY, Zhang DX, Wang P, Ming H, Yang GZ. *J Phys D Appl Phys*. 2008; 41:22.
33. Chang DE, Sorensen AS, Demler EA, Lukin MD. *Nat Phys*. 2007; 3(11):807–812.
34. Cai W, Vasudev AP, Brongersma ML. *Science*. 2011; 333(6050):1720–1723. [PubMed: 21940887]
35. Zheludev NI, Prosvirnin SL, Papasimakis N, Fedotov VA. *Nat Photonics*. 2008; 2(6):351–354.

Figure 1. Scheme for fabricating 3D Au bowtie dimers

Two types of bowties (Type A and Type B) can be created starting from a Cr nanohole array on anisotropically etched Si (100) template tilted at angle Ψ . (Left column) Type A bowties were fabricated with a first metal deposition at azimuthal angle $\phi_1 = 45^\circ$ and then a second deposition with $\phi_2 = 225^\circ$ to complete the dimers. (Right) Type B bowties were fabricated with a first metal deposition at $\phi_1 = 0^\circ$ and a second deposition with $\phi_2 = 180^\circ$. The Cr-Au nanohole film is etched to leave 3D Au bowties in pyramidal Si pits. The dimers can be transferred into an optically transparent material by template stripping with polyurethane.

Figure 2. Two types of 3D bowtie nanoantennas can be created from the same template Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of (a) Type A bowties in etched Si template, (b) Type B bowties in etched Si template, and (c) Type A bowties embedded in PU (top-down) and perspective view (inset). Au thickness in each NP was 30 nm, and the lattice spacing for all bowtie arrays = 400 nm.

Figure 3. Far-field transmission spectra and near-field calculations of Type A 3D bowties strongly depend on polarization

Type A structures with $d = 20$ nm support (a) a bonding LSP mode ($\lambda_+ = 841$ nm) and an anti-bonding plasmon mode ($\lambda_- = 661$ nm) under normal incidence when the polarization is parallel to the dimer axis. (b) Scheme shows the x - z plane where the field intensities were recorded (bisecting the 3D bowtie). (c) FDTD-calculated $|E|^2/|E_0|^2$ maps at the resonance wavelengths of the plasmon modes show that the high fields within the gap for the bonding mode ($\lambda_+ = 841$ nm, $|E|_{\text{max}}^2/|E_0|^2 = 2.1 \times 10^4$) are similar to those at the edges for the anti-bonding mode ($\lambda_- = 661$ nm, $|E|_{\text{max}}^2/|E_0|^2 = 1.9 \times 10^4$). Intensity is displayed on a logarithmic scale. (d) Normal incidence transmission max when the polarization is perpendicular to the dimer axis only shows only one dip at the single particle resonance wavelength. (e) Calculated intensity map of the resonance in (d) shows only very low field intensity, where $|E|_{\text{max}}^2/|E_0|^2$ is around 10^2 .

Figure 4. Different 3D geometries of Au bowties can result in very different far-field and near-field properties

Type B structures with $d = 35$ nm show (a) a strong resonance under normal incidence when the polarization is parallel to the dimer axis and. (b) Scheme shows the x - z plane where the field intensities were recorded (bisecting the 3D bowtie). (c) $|E|^2/|E_0|^2$ map at the calculated resonance wavelength of the bonding plasmon mode ($\lambda_+ = 810$ nm, $|E|_{\text{max}}^2/|E_0|^2 = 1.5 \times 10^4$) also shows four orders of magnitude enhancement but is 1.4 times less than Type A bowties in Fig. 3. Simulations reveal a weak anti-bonding mode ($\lambda_- = 669$ nm) not observed in experiment with $|E|_{\text{max}}^2/|E_0|^2 = 5.52 \times 10^3$. (d) Normal incidence transmission when the polarization is perpendicular to the dimer axis only shows one dip at the single particle resonance wavelength. (e) Calculated intensity map of the resonance in (d) shows very low field intensities, where $|E|_{\text{max}}^2/|E_0|^2$ is less than 10.

Figure 5. Structural differences of 3D bowties affect their nonlinear absorption properties
(a) Scheme of open aperture z-scan measurement setup. RR: retro-reflector, W: window of 3.4 % reflection, $\lambda/2$: half-wave plate, L: lens of 10 cm focal length, S: sample, ND: adjustable neutral density filter, and PD: Si photodiode. Laser wavelength = 780 nm. **(b)** Normalized z-scan transmission for Type B bowties with 25-fs pulse width (4 nJ) shows a peak at $z = 0$, which indicates a negative nonlinear absorption coefficient β . (b, inset) Transmittance for Type B bowties with 11-ps pulse width (0.8 pJ). Transmittance for **(c)** Type A bowties and **(d)** Type B bowties with 11-ps pulse widths (0.5 pJ) for polarizations parallel (//, red solid dots) and perpendicular ($\perp$, blue empty dots) to the dimer axis. The parallel-polarization curves were fit to the Sheik-Bahae model to calculate the third-order susceptibility.