Published in final edited form as:

J Immunother. 2012 January ; 35(1): 14–22. doi:10.1097/CJI.0b013e3182356888.

IL-6 inducing whole yeast-based immunotherapy directly controls IL-12 dependent CD8 T cell responses¹

Beth A. Tamburini, Ross M. Kedl^{*}, and Donald Bellgrau^{2,*}

Integrated Department of Immunology, School of Medicine, University of Colorado Denver, 12801 East 17th Avenue, Aurora, CO 80045 and National Jewish Health, 1400 Jackson Street, Denver, CO 80206

Abstract

In current clinical trials, whole yeast-based immunotherapy expressing Hepatitis C viral antigens demonstrated statistically significant improvement in end of treatment responses when combined with type I interferon based standard of care (SOC), even in SOC resistant patients. While preclinical data suggest yeast vaccination, like type I interferon, facilitates CD8 T cell immunity, the capacity of yeast to generate immunity in patients resistant to type I interferon calls into question the mechanism(s) underpinning the efficacy of this approach. We show yeast and a TLR exclusive agonist, Pam3Cys, differ in CD8 T cell generation when combined with an agonistic CD40 antibody. While both yeast and PamCys were largely TLR dependent, the primary CD8 response generated by yeast was significantly less than Pam3Cys in WT hosts even in a CD4 T cell deficient setting. Additionally, immunization of IL6^{-/-} mice with yeast produced a 3–6 fold increased CD8 response while the Pam3Cys response was unaffected. The yeast but not Pam3Cys driven CD8 response was inhibited in both WT and IL-6^{-/-} hosts by blocking IL-12. Additionally, IL6^{-/-} mice had increased CD86 expression on their dendritic cells after yeast immunization also inhibited by IL-12 blockade. Collectively, our results indicate the CD8 T cell response to yeast but not Pam3Cys is influenced by IL-6-mediated control of IL-12 critical for DC activation. To our knowledge this is the first demonstration that yeast directly influence IL-12 associated CD8 T cell immunity providing an additional route whereby recombinant yeast may provide efficacy independent of type I interferon.

INTRODUCTION

A major goal of immunotherapy is to generate and expand antigen specific CD8 effector T cells that can directly target tumors or pathogen infected cells. One critical step in the activation process is the recognition of pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as Toll like receptors (TLR) and C type lectin receptors (CLRs) on the dendritic cells (DC)¹. These receptors signal to the DCs that

¹This work was supported by the American Cancer Society post-doctoral fellowship, NIH Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award 1 F32 CA136146, the Cancer League of Colorado, the University of Colorado Cancer Center seed grant, NIH RO1 AI06877 and AI066121.

²Corresponding author: Donald Bellgrau, 1400 Jackson Street K503, Denver, CO 80206 Phone: 303-995-0168 Fax: 303-398-1396.
* authors contributed equally to this work

Financial Disclosure: D. Bellgrau is a Scientific Founder of GlobeImmune Inc., a privately held biotech company. He holds founders shares that are not publicly traded, Chairs the Scientific Advisory Board and serves as a scientific consultant. R. Kedl is a founder of ImmuRx Inc., a vaccine company whose intellectual property is based on the combined TLR agonist/anti-CD40 platform. B. Tamburini has no conflicts of interest in regards to this work.

Disclosures: D. Bellgrau is a Scientific Founder of GlobeImmune Inc., a privately held biotech company. He holds founders shares that are not publicly traded, Chairs the Scientific Advisory Board and serves as a scientific consultant. R. Kedl is a founder of ImmuRx Inc., a vaccine company whose intellectual property is based on the combined TLR agonist/anti-CD40 platform.

pathogens and or danger exists and mobilizes molecular machinery leading to DC antigen presentation and costimulation¹. Presumably engaging TLRs/CLRs combined with antigen can recapitulate a normal immune response and thus be a means to generate an immunotherapy. However, it is now known that such engagement appears insufficient to effectively activate CD8 T cells². One way to enhance the immune response comparable to active infection is through engagement of the CD40 costimulatory receptor along with TLRs²⁻⁵. Previous studies have shown that the combination of an agonistic CD40 antibody and an innate stimulus dramatically augments the magnitude of the CD8+ T cell response²⁻⁵ as well as bypass the need for CD4 help in regulating CD8 T cell memory⁶⁻⁹. Indeed, these and other studies have shown that the use of either CD40 or TLR agonists alone are insufficient for the production of long lasting cellular immunity²⁻⁵. Given this, the identification of novel and safe methods for the stimulation of these and other pathways is of great interest.

The yeast cell wall is composed of mannans, cell wall proteins, b-(1,6)-glucan, b-(1,3)-glucan, and chitin. These sugars are recognized by a multitude of PRRs including TLR2, TLR4, TLR6, mannose receptor (MR), Dectin 1, Dectin 2, DC-SIGN, FcγR, and CR3 on the surface of the dendritic cell¹⁰. As such, multiple T cell subsets and the cytokines associated with them are activated by yeast. Among these are both MyD88 dependent TLR pathways, which can induce Type 1 interferon (IFN), tumor necrosis factor (TNF), Interleukin (IL)-12, and IFN gamma usually associated with T helper type 1 (Th1) and cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) activation, and Syk dependent IL-23 and IL-6 usually associated with Th17 cell production^{10, 11}.

Yeast thus represent a potential source of agonists for numerous innate receptor pathways and as such, are a logical choice for use as a vaccine vector for the elicitation of antigen specific responses. Indeed, yeast vaccine vectors have already shown significant promise in the clinic, specifically in providing therapeutic efficacy against chronic HCV infection in patients who are insensitive to standard of care IFN/ribavirin treatment¹². However, the mechanistic underpinnings of this clinical success remain unclear. Preclinical data suggests that the use of antigen-expressing yeast vectors has the capacity to augment cross presentation and elicit CD8+ T cell immunity¹³⁻¹⁷. In contrast, yeast is well known to elicit Th17 responses^{10, 18-21}, an immune environment that is not necessarily conducive to the formation of Th1/CTL immunity.

Several cytokines have been shown to be important for eliciting productive immune responses. One such cytokine, IL-12, can act as a “signal 3” mediator for T cell activation^{11, 22-27}. Additionally, IL-12 receptor binding on the dendritic cell promotes IL-12 production in an NF-κB dependent manner²⁸. IL-12 receptor engagement on the dendritic cell has also been shown to elicit production of IFN gamma²⁹, though the downstream effects of this are not well understood. While yeast can elicit IL-12 production by the DC³⁰, what role if any IL-12 has on dendritic cells or in generating a CD8 T cell response to yeast immunization is not known. Thus closer investigation of yeast-based vaccination is needed for a more comprehensive understanding of the role of IL-12 and its activation of dendritic cells, as well as for improving the potential of yeast-based vaccination in clinical indications.

In the present study we demonstrate that yeast-based vaccination elicits the pro-Th17 cytokine IL-6 which interferes with CD8 T cell generation, regardless of the presence or absence of CD4+ T cells. Further, elimination of IL-6 enhances the CD8 T cell response to yeast-based vaccination, an enhancement that is driven by IL-12-mediated DC activation and upregulation of CD80/86 expression. Thus, these data represent a novel role for IL-6

following yeast-based vaccination in inhibiting IL-12 mediated activation of DCs resulting in a direct effect on CD8 T cell immunity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

WT, IL6^{-/-}, IL12Rb2^{-/-}, MyD88^{-/-}, tbet^{-/-}, MHC class II^{-/-}, p19^{-/-} and OT1 mice were used on a C57Bl/6 background. Mice were purchased from The Jackson laboratory or National Cancer Institute and bred in house. P19^{-/-} mice were obtained from Genentech (San Francisco, CA). OT1 mice are a TCR transgenic mouse which only express CD8 T cells specific to the ovalbumin (OVA)257–264 in the context of H-2Kb. Mice were housed at the Biological Resource Center at National Jewish Health. All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at National Jewish Health.

Flow cytometry

Tetramer—Cells were harvested from spleen and peripheral blood taken from the tail vein or abdominal aorta and ACK lysed (BioSource, Rockville MD). The resulting cells were washed with RPMI 1640 medium containing 2.5% FCS, beta-mercapthoethanol, L-glutamine, nonessential amino acids, HEPES, sodium pyruvate, penicillin, and streptomycin (complete media). Approximately 2×10^6 cells were stained with phycoerythrin (PE) conjugated ova 257–264 H-2Kb tetramer for 1.5 hours in complete media at 37 degrees Celsius followed by 30 minutes with antibodies to CD8 conjugated to allophycocyanin-Cy7 (APC-Cy7), B220 conjugated to peridinin chlorophyll protein (PerCP), and CD44 conjugated to Pacific Blue (Biolegend). Stained cells were washed with fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer and run on Cyan ADP flow cytometer (DakoCytomation, Ft. Collins, CO).

Dendritic cell harvesting and staining—Dendritic cells were harvested from spleens 1–2 hours for IL-12 staining or 24 hours for CD86 staining after immunization. Spleens were placed into EHAA media (Invitrogen Carlsbad, CA) containing DNase (Worthington, Lakewood, NJ) and Collagenase D (Roche Diagnostic Systems, Somerville, NJ). Spleens were digested for 40 minutes at 37 degrees Celsius. Enzymatic digestion was stopped with 0.1M EDTA and washed with 5mM EDTA in Click's media. After the final wash cells were resuspended in complete media. Approximately 3×10^6 cells were stained in FACS buffer with 10% supernatant from 2.4G2 cell culture (B cell hybridoma-blocking FcγRs) with CD11c APC-Cy7, CD86 FITC, CD11b Pacific Blue, CD8 PerCp for 30 minutes at 4 degrees Celsius. For IL-12 intracellular cytokine staining cells were incubated in brefeldin A (ebioscience, San Diego, CA) for 3 hours before staining with surface markers CD11c APC-Cy7, CD8 APC, CD11b Pacific Blue, CD86 PerCP, and B220 FITC. Cells were then washed and fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde/3% sucrose. After fixing, cells were washed with FACS buffer and stained for IL-12 PE in perm buffer (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) overnight. Cells were then washed with perm buffer followed by FACS buffer before running on a Cyan ADP flow cytometer and acquired using Summit acquisition software.

Flow cytometry data was analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR) and statistical analysis was done using student's t-test in prism4 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

Injections

Immunizations—Yeast were used as described in Stubbs et al¹⁷. For yeast synergy immunization 2×10^7 yeast cells were injected intravenously with 100ug ovalbumin and 50 ug of αCD40 (clone FGK4.5, BioXCell, West Lebanon, NH) unless otherwise stated. Pam3Cys (N-palmitoyl-S-[2,3-bis(palmitoyloxy)-(2R, S)-propyl]-(R)-cysteine-(S)serine-

(S)lysine 4) (InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) was used at 25ug in combination with 50ug of α CD40 and 100ug of whole ovalbumin protein (Pam3Cys synergy). Ovalbumin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was decontaminated of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) using a Triton X-114 LPS detoxification method³. Mice were sacrificed 7 days post immunization and cells were visualized by flow cytometry on a Cyan ADP as described above.

Blocking and depleting antibodies—500ug of the IL-12p70 blocking antibody, C17.8 (BioXcell, West Lebanon, NH), was administered by intraperitoneal injection 18–24 hours and 2 hours prior to immunization. 500ug of the CD4 depleting antibody, GK1.5 (BioXcell, West Lebanon, NH), was administered by intraperitoneal injection 3 days prior and 4 days post immunization. Animals were stained with anti-CD4 PerCP (clone RM4-5) (Biolegend, San Diego, CA) to verify depletion in all samples.

RESULTS

The generation of primary, antigen specific CD8 cell mediated immunity with whole yeast-based immunization

We published previously that immunization of mice with intact yeast engineered to express ovalbumin lead to the generation of cell mediated destruction of ovalbumin expressing tumors¹⁷. Efficient tumor destruction in vivo required CD8 T cells and was generated following at least two immunizations¹⁷. Using enhanced immunization procedures we determined we could generate detectable primary, antigen specific CD8 cell mediated immune responses.

To assist in antigen presentation we used an agonistic CD40 antibody^{2, 5} which can bypass the need for CD4 help in engaging CD40 on the dendritic cell. Indeed this approach, wherein α CD40 is combined with antigen and an innate immune activator (TLR, type I IFN, α -galactoceramide)^{3–5}, leads to an exponential increase in tetramer positive CD8 T cells when compared to either agonist alone^{2, 5}. Given the broad array of innate immune activating molecules possessed by yeast, we anticipated that antigenic immunization with combined yeast/ α CD40 would likely produce the same exponential impact on CD8+ T cell expansion. However, while immunization with yeast and α CD40 (yeast synergy) generated CD8 responses that were increased over that seen in response to either yeast or α CD40 alone, similar immunizations with the TLR1,2 agonist Pam3Cys and α CD40 (Pam3Cys synergy) generated a three fold increase in antigen specific CD8 T cells relative to yeast synergy (Figure 1A and B). This difference in the magnitude of the T cell responses between the two vaccinations exists despite the fact that both are primarily dependent on TLR signaling, demonstrated by the dramatically reduced frequency of antigen specific CD8 T cells in MyD88 knockout mice challenged with either vaccination (Figure 1C).

The role of IL-6 in the generation of antigen specific CD8 T cells

As budding yeast are in the fungal family we expected they would generate IL-6¹⁰. We have previously reported the generation of both Th1 cytokines as well as IL-6 to yeast alone¹⁴. IL-6 has been linked to the generation of CD4 T cells including a more recently described subset termed Th17³¹. To assess the contribution of IL-6 to the antigen specific CD8 T cell response we examined the ability of Pam3Cys and yeast synergy to generate antigen specific CD8 T cells in IL-6 knockout mice (Figure 2). Interestingly, the frequency of antigen specific CD8 T cells was increased 3–6 fold in the IL-6 knockout mouse as compared to the WT. The marked increase in antigen specific CD8 T cells observed with yeast synergy was not due to an intrinsic enhancement of the IL-6 knockout mouse to generate antigen specific CD8 T cells as Pam3Cys synergy responses were not significantly altered by the absence of

IL-6 (Figure 2). Therefore, it appears IL-6 is negatively influencing the generation of CD8 T cells in WT yeast synergy immunized animals.

The CD8 T cell response is independent of CD4 T cells in both WT and IL6^{-/-} mice

Fungal infection and immunization have been well documented as effective approaches to elicit CD4 Th1 and Th17 responses (reviewed in^{10, 20}). While the combined use of α CD40 in combination with a TLR agonist can elicit a CD8⁺ response in the absence of CD4 cells⁶, CD4 cells, when present, may contribute to a response following yeast/ α CD40 immunization. As such, it remained possible that the reduced CD8 response could be attributed in its entirety to a CD4 T cell subset such as Th17 that competes with and hinders a Th1 dependent CD8 T cell response. To exclude this possibility, we used a CD4 depleting antibody prior to challenge with yeast synergy and examined its impact on the subsequent CD8⁺ T cell response. Depletion of CD4 T cells via a CD4 targeting antibody did not significantly affect the CD8 T cell responses in either WT or IL6^{-/-} mice to either Pam3Cys- or yeast synergy vaccination (Figure 3). Similar results were obtained in MHC class II^{-/-} hosts which have a constitutive deficit in CD4⁺ T cells (data not shown). These data indicate that i) the addition of a CD40 agonistic antibody can produce a CD8 T cell response to yeast and Pam3Cys synergy immunization independent of CD4 T cells and ii) an IL-6 dependent control of the CD8⁺ T cell response to yeast synergy relative to Pam3Cys synergy can also occur independent of CD4 T cell involvement.

IL-12 dependent, IL-6 influenced regulation of yeast synergy

The apparent lack of necessity for CD4 T cell-mediated involvement in the modulation of the CD8⁺ T cell response following immunization in the presence of α CD40 antibody implicates a direct role for IL-6. One logical candidate factor is IL-12 whose transcription is negatively regulated by the IL-6-Stat3 pathway^{32, 33}. Indeed, while IL-12 p70 blockade had little impact on the CD8⁺ T cell response to Pam3Cys synergy, IL-12 p70 blockade significantly and almost completely inhibited the yeast synergy response in both the WT and IL-6^{-/-} mice (Figure 4A). Since the IL-12 p70 antibody is able to block both IL-12 and IL-23 it was entirely possible that the effect we were seeing was dependent on IL-23. To determine the role of IL23 we used the p19^{-/-} mouse to measure the antigen specific CD8 T cell response to either Pam3Cys or yeast synergy. Neither Pam3Cys nor yeast synergy were significantly different in p19^{-/-} mice when compared to WT (Figure 4B). Therefore the CD8 T cell response to yeast but not Pam3Cys is highly dependent on IL-12.

The antigen specific CD8 T cell response to yeast synergy requires IL-12-mediated activation of CD11c⁺ dendritic cells

We have previously published the capacity of Pam3Cys/ α CD40 immunization⁵ as well as numerous other innate/ α CD40 combinations^{3, 4} to potently activate DCs in vivo. We therefore wished to address whether there were differences between the DC activation elicited in response to Pam3Cys synergy and yeast synergy. To test this we looked at CD11c⁺ dendritic cells from mice immunized with either Pam3Cys synergy or yeast synergy. Splenic CD8⁺ and CD11b⁺ dendritic cells were analyzed at 24 hours after immunization for their expression of various activation markers. As previously published⁵, DCs upregulated the primary costimulatory markers CD80 and CD86 in response to the Pam3Cys synergy⁵. However, while Pam3Cys synergy induced a similar degree of CD86 upregulation between the WT and IL6^{-/-} mice, DCs from WT yeast synergy immunized mice showed a significant reduction in the expression of both CD80 (not shown) and CD86 (Figure 5A and B), a reduction that was largely corrected in the IL-6^{-/-} hosts. From these data one could surmise that yeast activate dendritic cells differently from Pam3Cys, for example modulating the expression of costimulatory molecules such as CD86, thus contributing to the control of antigen specific CD8 T cells following yeast immunization.

A central role for IL-12 in the activation of CD11c+ dendritic cells following yeast immunization

Thus far we have observed that the response to yeast synergy is dependent on IL-12 and is reduced by some activity of IL-6 for inhibiting DC activation. To understand whether these two observations were related through the action of IL-12, we used the IL-12 p70 blocking antibody (C17.8) to block IL-12 activity during yeast immunization. Interestingly, we saw a significant decrease in the activation of both CD8+ and CD11b+ dendritic cell subsets, by CD80 and CD86 levels, when IL-12 was blocked (Figure 5C, D and data not shown). This decrease was seen in both WT and IL6^{-/-} mice suggesting IL-12 is responsible for dendritic cell activation leading to CD8 generation following yeast synergy immunization.

The role of IL-12 as a so called “signal 3” cytokine for the purposes of CD8+ T cell expansion, effector function and memory formation is well documented^{11, 22–27}. Thus we anticipated that the dependency of the yeast-elicited CD8+ T cell response on IL-12 involved a direct stimulation of CD8+ T cells. However, the data described above suggested that the major role for IL-12 in this setting might be also related to the stimulation of the DCs rather than a direct action on the T cells themselves. All prior experimentation used an anti-IL-12 blockade, therefore it was not possible to determine differential roles for IL-12 on the T cells or the DCs. Since similar results were seen in the IL-12Rb2^{-/-} mice we used an adoptive transfer system in which wild-type OT-1 TCR transgenic T cells were transferred into IL-12Rb2^{-/-} mice, and the recipient was subsequently immunized with antigen in the context of Pam3Cys/ α CD40, yeast/ α CD40 or α CD40 alone. In this model system, the transferred T cells retain a fully functional response to IL-12 while the endogenous DCs do not. The OT1 T cells in the IL-12Rb2^{-/-} mice responded poorly to yeast immunization as compared to the response in recipient WT hosts (figure 6). These data indicate that the expression of IL-12R on the antigen specific T cells does not rescue the IL-12 dependency of the response to yeast synergy vaccination. While this cannot completely rule out any role of IL-12 on the T cells, it is consistent with the conclusion that a major role of IL-12 in response to yeast synergy vaccination is the activation of DCs.

IL-12 is increased following yeast immunization in CD11c+ CD8+ dendritic cells

Our data indicate that IL-12 is central to DC activation in response yeast synergy. This, coupled with the observation that the loss of IL-6 enhances DC activation in response to yeast synergy, lead us to predict that IL-6^{-/-} mice might demonstrate increased production of IL-12 in response to yeast synergy relative to WT mice. To investigate this, we examined IL-12 production in CD8+ DCs, isolated from WT or IL-6 hosts, in response to yeast synergy. CD8+ DCs are well documented to produce IL-12 to a variety of stimuli^{34–38}, specifically in response to the use of an innate stimulus in combination with α CD40³⁸. Consistent with our prediction, we found that CD8+ dendritic cells produced significantly more IL-12 following yeast immunization in IL6^{-/-} mice as compared to WT hosts (Figure 7). Also consistent with the published data^{34–38}, this seems to be specific to CD8 DCs. Thus, yeast immunization increases IL-12 production by DCs and this is enhanced in IL6^{-/-} mice.

DISCUSSION

The use of yeast as an immunogen has been recently reported to provide clinically relevant efficacy in human clinical trials¹². While the clinical application of TLR agonists has been heavily pursued³⁹, success outside the topical application of TLR7 agonists has not been generally observed⁴⁰. It is now well established that vaccination with the combined stimulus of an innate receptor^{3–5} and a CD40 agonist induces a magnitude of CD8+ T cell expansion that is exponentially larger than that seen in response to the use of either stimulus alone.

Given that yeast possess potential agonists for multiple innate pathways (TLR, C-type lectins, NLRs), we speculated that vaccination in the context of whole yeast and α CD40 (yeast synergy) might further augment the level of CD8⁺ T cell expansion. Surprisingly, given the multiple innate pathways employed by yeast, the antigen specific CD8 T cell response to yeast synergy was on the order of one third that of Pam3Cys in WT mice (Figure 1). This reduced CD8 response by yeast versus Pam3Cys was not due to an intrinsic deficiency in the ability of yeast to generate CD8 responses as the response to yeast was improved to at least the same level as that of Pam3Cys/CD40 in the IL-6^{-/-} host.

One candidate for the control of yeast-based generation of antigen specific CD8 T cells was CD4⁺ Th17 T cells. Th17 are induced by IL-6 provoked by DC engagement with extracellular pathogens such as fungi^{19, 41–43}. The balance between Th1 and Th17 cells has been well described thus it was possible this balance was, at least in part, responsible for the immune response generated by yeast. IL-6 KO mice generated a much greater CD8 T cell response than WT animals (figure 2) perhaps due to the skewing of the CD4 T cell response from Th17 to Th1. To focus on aspects of the yeast derived immune response independent of the contribution of CD4 T cells we used CD4 deficient mice where we observed no significant change in the percent of CD8 T cells found in the blood following CD4 depletion even in the IL-6 KO mice (Figure 3). As this rescue occurred even under CD4 depleting conditions this implicated a direct effect of IL-6 on the CD8⁺ T cell response.

There is good experimental evidence that engaging an IL-6 inducing pathway such as Dectin1 can interfere with the IL-12 pathway⁴¹. A previous report by Dennehy et al outlined a role for Dectin 1 in suppressing IL-12 while concomitantly activating IL-23⁴¹. However, yeast synergy-induced CD8 frequencies were unchanged in a Dectin 1 knockout mouse (unpublished observation) perhaps due in part to the myriad of pattern recognition receptors that yeast are able to bind¹⁰, including Dectin 2⁴⁴, that are also able to induce IL-6. As such, cytokines produced by yeast immunization, namely IL-6, may directly or indirectly influence IL-12 signaling.

Previous work has show that IL-12 can act directly on the CD8 T cell as a signal 3 to enhance CD8 T cell proliferation¹¹. To get a better understanding of the role of IL-12 in the increased CD8 T cell response following yeast synergy in IL-6 KO mice we used an IL-12 blocking antibody and evaluated the CD8 T cell response to yeast synergy. While the CD8 T cell response remained unchanged in Pam3Cys immunized mice (figure 4) the CD8 T cell response was decreased significantly in both wild-type and IL-6 KO mice treated with the IL-12 blocking antibody. These data suggest that IL-12 is largely if not wholly responsible for the CD8 T cell response in wild-type mice and is also implicated for the majority of the increase seen in IL-6 KO mice immunized with yeast synergy. Since previously IL-12 has been shown to act as a signal 3 to the T cell in order to provide a productive immune response we believed that IL-12 was acting in this role. However, unpublished data in our lab and previous data regarding other types of TLR/CD40 combinations showed B7.1/B7.2 KO mice² were unable to mount a CD8 T cell response leading us to hypothesize that CD80/86 signaling –signal 2- might also be altered following yeast synergy. To address this question we looked at dendritic cells 24 hours post yeast immunization. Indeed, CD80/86 expression on the dendritic cells was strikingly higher in IL6^{-/-} mice (figure 5a and b). Interestingly CD86 levels, in response to yeast, in IL6^{-/-} mice rose to the same level as mice immunized with Pam3Cys mirroring the antigen specific CD8 T cell increase. Thus IL-12, typically thought to act as a signal 3 to the T cell¹¹, also seemed to be acting on the dendritic cell (figure 5c and d). Indeed, an IL-12 blocking antibody caused CD86 to decrease on the dendritic cell suggesting IL-12 is necessary for CD86 upregulation and activation leading to increased CD8 T cell responses to yeast synergy. To further understand the role of the dendritic cell in response to yeast synergy we used a mouse deficient for

IL-12R β 2 to assess the role of IL12 on the dendritic cell. Transferring wild-type OT-1 TCR transgenic T cells we were able to evaluate whether IL-12 was necessary to the dendritic cell. We found a significant defect in CD8 T cell expansion when the IL12 receptor was absent only on the dendritic cell, suggesting an important role for IL-12 on the dendritic cell in mediating the CD8 T cell response (figure 6).

How are IL-12 and IL-6 functioning to regulate the CD8 T cell response? Our data suggests IL-6 is either directly blocking IL-12, IL-12 signaling to CD86, or the IL-12 receptor. Figure 7 predicts that IL-12 is responsible for the changes we see in IL6 $^{-/-}$ mice as IL-12 is significantly higher after yeast immunization, but not anti-CD40 alone nor Pam3Cys synergy (data not shown). Additionally, when we looked at IL-12R β 2 expression on dendritic cells we did not see any significant differences between any of the groups. In all immunizations tested we saw an increase in IL-12R β 2 expression suggesting that indeed IL-12 signaling is in play (data not shown). However, based on the IL-12 levels we think it is likely that the cytokine itself is in some way being blocked by IL-6.

Ultimately, our data is significant for its implications on mechanism of immunity underlying the success of yeast-based vaccination in the clinic. Yeast vaccine vectors have been used to successfully treat chronic HCV infection⁴⁵, and it has been largely assumed that the success of this vaccination, based on previous mouse data, was rooted in the capacity of the vaccine to elicit CTL responses. However, we show here that yeast vaccination, even in the presence of a co-stimulus as robust as α CD40, results in a more rationed CD8+ T cell expansion as compared to isolated TLR-based adjuvants. Further, though not measured by us, the reduced level of IL-12 elicited by yeast vaccination can only be expected to bifurcate any CD4 T cell response into the parallel development of Th1 and Th17 based immunity. Taken together, these data and observations suggest possible ways of integrating our results with the clinical data on yeast vaccination. For example, if the efficacy of yeast vaccination is related to its generation of CTL responses, then our demonstration that other innate stimulants in combination with α CD40 produce substantially greater CD8+ T cell responses suggest such alternative combination adjuvants could have greater efficacy and should be explored in a clinical setting.

Another scenario can be derived from the HCV clinical data demonstrating that effective treatment of a chronic viral infection such as HCV might be achievable via responses more skewed toward cytokines not associated with type I interferon dependence. Type I interferons are being used in the clinic to not only upregulate viral resistance genes in HCV infected individuals but also to suppress autoimmune disorders such as multiple sclerosis. In this latter setting, type I interferon has anti-inflammatory properties assumed to modulate pro-inflammatory Th17 responses implicated in autoimmunity, perhaps in part due to Th17 mediated interference with regulatory T cells. The administration of non-physiologic doses of type I interferon to HCV infected individuals may promote interferon dependent cross presentation to CD8 T cells. Interestingly, a subset of patients appears resistant or unaffected by type I interferon therapy. This resistance is strongly linked to a genotype, which maps close to the IL-28 B gene locus. IL-28 B is a type III interferon with properties similar to type I interferon. Individuals in the HCV infected type I interferon resistant group have been reported to make less type III interferon⁴⁶, but how this is involved in the decreased sensitivity to type I interferon based standard of care is not yet understood. However, in the whole yeast based clinical trial three of the five genotypically type I interferon resistant patients were cured (the other two patients had favorable reduction in viral titer but discontinued therapy mid treatment) while zero out of five patients in the SOC arm achieved stable viral reduction/cure. Therefore if type I interferons suppress CD4 driven cellular immunity and whole yeast based therapy continues to function in this setting, perhaps the

type I interferon resistant population can use a CD4 independent/type I interferon independent means to generate CD8 T cells, similar to what we show in this study.

Others have documented successful tumor regression following a therapeutic intervention from IL-17 producing CD8+ T cells^{47,48}. Though counterintuitive with the dogma regarding the role of Th17 responses in immunity and disease, the capacity of IL-17 producing cells to elicit tissue specific inflammation may well promote an optimal environment for the kind of localized effector response necessary for combating cancer or chronic infectious diseases. Indeed Th17 cells can elicit protective inflammation near the tumor thereby recruiting dendritic cells responsible for CD8+ T cell activation⁴⁹. In the case of cancer, few rules underpinning successful therapeutic intervention have been identified over the last 20 years of attempts at immunotherapy other than the observation that the production of IFN γ by tumor specific T cells has therapeutic and prognostic value (reviewed in⁵⁰). The overall imprecision of this conclusion coupled with the fact that cells producing IL-17 often also produce, or can produce, IFN γ ^{51,52} makes it tempting to speculate that our overall strategy behind immunotherapeutic intervention of cancer may be improved by altering our focus toward the generation of Th17, or Tc17, like responses. The clinical efficacy of yeast vaccination may well be an example of this and closer examination of the responses generated in yeast-vaccinated patients will provide an opportunity to clarify this in a human disease setting. To that end Phase II clinical trial for pancreatic cancer using recombinant yeast expressing mutant ras antigens is fully enrolled but the results are yet to be unblinded.

References

1. Iwasaki A, Medzhitov R. Regulation of adaptive immunity by the innate immune system. *Science*. 327:291–295. [PubMed: 20075244]
2. Ahonen CL, Doxsee CL, McGurran SM, et al. Combined TLR and CD40 triggering induces potent CD8+ T cell expansion with variable dependence on type I IFN. *J Exp Med*. 2004; 199:775–784. [PubMed: 15007094]
3. Kurche JS, Burchill MA, Sanchez PJ, et al. Comparison of OX40 ligand and CD70 in the promotion of CD4+ T cell responses. *J Immunol*. 185:2106–2115. [PubMed: 20639485]
4. McWilliams JA, Sanchez PJ, Haluszczak C, et al. Multiple innate signaling pathways cooperate with CD40 to induce potent, CD70-dependent cellular immunity. *Vaccine*. 28:1468–1476. [PubMed: 19995538]
5. Sanchez PJ, McWilliams JA, Haluszczak C, et al. Combined TLR/CD40 stimulation mediates potent cellular immunity by regulating dendritic cell expression of CD70 in vivo. *J Immunol*. 2007; 178:1564–1572. [PubMed: 17237405]
6. Assudani D, Cho HI, DeVito N, et al. In vivo expansion, persistence, and function of peptide vaccine-induced CD8 T cells occur independently of CD4 T cells. *Cancer Res*. 2008; 68:9892–9899. [PubMed: 19047170]
7. Bennett SR, Carbone FR, Karamalis F, et al. Help for cytotoxic-T-cell responses is mediated by CD40 signalling. *Nature*. 1998; 393:478–480. [PubMed: 9624004]
8. Ridge JP, Di Rosa F, Matzinger P. A conditioned dendritic cell can be a temporal bridge between a CD4+ T-helper and a T-killer cell. *Nature*. 1998; 393:474–478. [PubMed: 9624003]
9. Schoenberger SP, Toes RE, van der Voort EI, et al. T-cell help for cytotoxic T lymphocytes is mediated by CD40-CD40L interactions. *Nature*. 1998; 393:480–483. [PubMed: 9624005]
10. Netea MG, Brown GD, Kullberg BJ, et al. An integrated model of the recognition of *Candida albicans* by the innate immune system. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2008; 6:67–78. [PubMed: 18079743]
11. Curtsinger JM, Schmidt CS, Mondino A, et al. Inflammatory cytokines provide a third signal for activation of naive CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol*. 1999; 162:3256–3262. [PubMed: 10092777]
12. GlobeImmune. Safety and Efficacy of the Therapeutic Vaccine GI-5005 Combined With Pegylated Interferon Plus Ribavirin Standard of Care Therapy Versus Standard of Care Alone in Patients

with Genotype 1 Chronic Hepatitis C Infection. 2010. www.clinicaltrials.gov,
<http://www.globeimmune.com/technology/publications/>

13. Bernstein MB, Chakraborty M, Wansley EK, et al. Recombinant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (yeast-CEA) as a potent activator of murine dendritic cells. *Vaccine*. 2008; 26:509–521. [PubMed: 18155327]
14. Haller AA, Lauer GM, King TH, et al. Whole recombinant yeast-based immunotherapy induces potent T cell responses targeting HCV NS3 and Core proteins. *Vaccine*. 2007; 25:1452–1463. [PubMed: 17098335]
15. Lu Y, Bellgrau D, Dwyer-Nield LD, et al. Mutation-selective tumor remission with Ras-targeted, whole yeast-based immunotherapy. *Cancer Res*. 2004; 64:5084–5088. [PubMed: 15289309]
16. Riemann H, Takao J, Shellman YG, et al. Generation of a prophylactic melanoma vaccine using whole recombinant yeast expressing MART-1. *Exp Dermatol*. 2007; 16:814–822. [PubMed: 17845213]
17. Stubbs AC, Martin KS, Coeshott C, et al. Whole recombinant yeast vaccine activates dendritic cells and elicits protective cell-mediated immunity. *Nat Med*. 2001; 7:625–629. [PubMed: 11329066]
18. Acosta-Rodriguez EV, Rivino L, Geginat J, et al. Surface phenotype and antigenic specificity of human interleukin 17-producing T helper memory cells. *Nat Immunol*. 2007; 8:639–646. [PubMed: 17486092]
19. LeibundGut-Landmann S, Gross O, Robinson MJ, et al. Syk- and CARD9-dependent coupling of innate immunity to the induction of T helper cells that produce interleukin 17. *Nat Immunol*. 2007; 8:630–638. [PubMed: 17450144]
20. Netea MG, Marodi L. Innate immune mechanisms for recognition and uptake of *Candida* species. *Trends Immunol*. 31:346–353. [PubMed: 20705510]
21. Palm NW, Medzhitov R. Antifungal defense turns 17. *Nat Immunol*. 2007; 8:549–551. [PubMed: 17514204]
22. Xiao Z, Casey KA, Jameson SC, et al. Programming for CD8 T cell memory development requires IL-12 or type I IFN. *J Immunol*. 2009; 182:2786–2794. [PubMed: 19234173]
23. Mescher MF, Curtsinger JM, Agarwal P, et al. Signals required for programming effector and memory development by CD8+ T cells. *Immunol Rev*. 2006; 211:81–92. [PubMed: 16824119]
24. Curtsinger JM, Valenzuela JO, Agarwal P, et al. Type I IFNs provide a third signal to CD8 T cells to stimulate clonal expansion and differentiation. *J Immunol*. 2005; 174:4465–4469. [PubMed: 15814665]
25. Valenzuela J, Schmidt C, Mescher M. The roles of IL-12 in providing a third signal for clonal expansion of naive CD8 T cells. *J Immunol*. 2002; 169:6842–6849. [PubMed: 12471116]
26. Kieper WC, Prlic M, Schmidt CS, et al. IL-12 enhances CD8 T cell homeostatic expansion. *J Immunol*. 2001; 166:5515–5521. [PubMed: 11313390]
27. Schmidt CS, Mescher MF. Adjuvant effect of IL-12: conversion of peptide antigen administration from tolerizing to immunizing for CD8+ T cells in vivo. *J Immunol*. 1999; 163:2561–2567. [PubMed: 10452994]
28. Grohmann U, Belladonna ML, Bianchi R, et al. IL-12 acts directly on DC to promote nuclear localization of NF-kappaB and primes DC for IL-12 production. *Immunity*. 1998; 9:315–323. [PubMed: 9768751]
29. Fukao T, Frucht DM, Yap G, et al. Inducible expression of Stat4 in dendritic cells and macrophages and its critical role in innate and adaptive immune responses. *J Immunol*. 2001; 166:4446–4455. [PubMed: 11254700]
30. Driscoll M, Hansen R, Ding C, et al. Therapeutic potential of various beta-glucan sources in conjunction with anti-tumor monoclonal antibody in cancer therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2009; 8:218–225. [PubMed: 19106638]
31. Korn T, Bettelli E, Oukka M, et al. IL-17 and Th17 Cells. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 2009; 27:485–517. [PubMed: 19132915]
32. Kortylewski M, Xin H, Kujawski M, et al. Regulation of the IL-23 and IL-12 balance by Stat3 signaling in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Cell*. 2009; 15:114–123. [PubMed: 19185846]

33. Wang L, Yi T, Kortylewski M, et al. IL-17 can promote tumor growth through an IL-6-Stat3 signaling pathway. *J Exp Med.* 2009; 206:1457–1464. [PubMed: 19564351]
34. Aliberti J, Reis e Sousa C, Schito M, et al. CCR5 provides a signal for microbial induced production of IL-12 by CD8 alpha+ dendritic cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2000; 1:83–87. [PubMed: 10881180]
35. Grumont R, Hochrein H, O'Keeffe M, et al. c-Rel regulates interleukin 12 p70 expression in CD8(+) dendritic cells by specifically inducing p35 gene transcription. *J Exp Med.* 2001; 194:1021–1032. [PubMed: 11602633]
36. Iwasaki A, Kelsall BL. Unique functions of CD11b+, CD8 alpha+, and double-negative Peyer's patch dendritic cells. *J Immunol.* 2001; 166:4884–4890. [PubMed: 11290765]
37. Maldonado-Lopez R, De Smedt T, Michel P, et al. CD8alpha+ and CD8alpha-subclasses of dendritic cells direct the development of distinct T helper cells in vivo. *J Exp Med.* 1999; 189:587–592. [PubMed: 9927520]
38. Schulz O, Edwards AD, Schito M, et al. CD40 triggering of heterodimeric IL-12 p70 production by dendritic cells in vivo requires a microbial priming signal. *Immunity.* 2000; 13:453–462. [PubMed: 11070164]
39. Gearing AJ. Targeting toll-like receptors for drug development: a summary of commercial approaches. *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2007; 85:490–494. [PubMed: 17667933]
40. AAG, Tying SK, Rosen T. Beyond a decade of 5% imiquimod topical therapy. *J Drugs Dermatol.* 2009; 8:467–474. [PubMed: 19537370]
41. Dennehy KM, Willment JA, Williams DL, et al. Reciprocal regulation of IL-23 and IL-12 following co-activation of Dectin-1 and TLR signaling pathways. *Eur J Immunol.* 2009; 39:1379–1386. [PubMed: 19291703]
42. Ferwerda B, Ferwerda G, Plantinga TS, et al. Human dectin-1 deficiency and mucocutaneous fungal infections. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 361:1760–1767. [PubMed: 19864674]
43. Glocker EO, Hennigs A, Nabavi M, et al. A homozygous CARD9 mutation in a family with susceptibility to fungal infections. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 361:1727–1735. [PubMed: 19864672]
44. Robinson MJ, Osorio F, Rosas M, et al. Dectin-2 is a Syk-coupled pattern recognition receptor crucial for Th17 responses to fungal infection. *J Exp Med.* 2009; 206:2037–2051. [PubMed: 19703985]
45. Torresi J, Johnson D, Wedemeyer H. Progress in the development of preventive and therapeutic vaccines for hepatitis C virus. *J Hepatol.*
46. Langhans B, Kupfer B, Braunschweiger I, et al. Interferon-lambda serum levels in hepatitis C. *J Hepatol.* 54:859–865. [PubMed: 21145813]
47. Garcia-Hernandez Mde L, Hamada H, Reome JB, et al. Adoptive transfer of tumor-specific Tc17 effector T cells controls the growth of B16 melanoma in mice. *J Immunol.* 184:4215–4227. [PubMed: 20237297]
48. Hinrichs CS, Kaiser A, Paulos CM, et al. Type 17 CD8+ T cells display enhanced antitumor immunity. *Blood.* 2009; 114:596–599. [PubMed: 19471017]
49. Martin-Orozco N, Muranski P, Chung Y, et al. T helper 17 cells promote cytotoxic T cell activation in tumor immunity. *Immunity.* 2009; 31:787–798. [PubMed: 19879162]
50. Dunn GP, Ikeda H, Bruce AT, et al. Interferon-gamma and cancer immunoediting. *Immunol Res.* 2005; 32:231–245. [PubMed: 16106075]
51. Boniface K, Blumenschein WM, Brovont-Porth K, et al. Human Th17 cells comprise heterogeneous subsets including IFN-gamma-producing cells with distinct properties from the Th1 lineage. *J Immunol.* 185:679–687. [PubMed: 20511558]
52. Lee YK, Turner H, Maynard CL, et al. Late developmental plasticity in the T helper 17 lineage. *Immunity.* 2009; 30:92–107. [PubMed: 19119024]

Figure 1. Addition of α CD40 antibody to the immunization synergistically enhances endogenous CD8 T cell responses

WT mice were immunized intravenously with 100 μ g of ovalbumin (ova) and either 2×10^7 heat killed yeast, 50 μ g of anti-CD40 (α CD40) (FGK4.5), both yeast and anti-CD40, or 25 μ g of Pam3Cys and anti-CD40. Mice were sacrificed 7 days post immunization and peripheral blood and spleens were taken. Peripheral blood data is shown. A. Percentages shown are live cells that are CD8⁺, B220⁻, CD44 high, tetramer⁺ cells assessed by flow cytometric analysis of peripheral blood from a representative sample. B. The CD8 T cell response to yeast synergy is primarily dependent on MyD88 signaling. Bar graph representing WT (black bars) or MyD88 knock out mice (white bars) antigen specific CD8 T cell response to shown immunizations. Error bars represent the standard deviation and asterisks represent statistically significant values as determined by student's t-test (* = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.001$, *** = $p < 0.0001$). Experiments were performed at least twice with three mice per group.

Figure 2. The magnitude of the CD8 T cell response induced by yeast but not Pam3Cys is negatively influenced by IL-6

A. Immunization analysis of WT or IL6^{-/-} mice was done exactly as described in the legend of figure 1. At least four experiments were performed with 2–4 mice per group per experiment.

Figure 3. CD8 T cell response to yeast is independent of CD4 T cells in both WT and IL6^{-/-} mice

WT (black bars) or IL6^{-/-} (dark grey bars) mice were depleted of CD4 T cells (WT CD4 depleted –light grey bars, IL6^{-/-} CD4 depleted –white bars) 3 days prior and 4 days post immunization with either Pam3Cys synergy (Pam3Cys-ova-αCD40), yeast synergy (yeast-ova-αCD40), anti-CD40 (ova-αCD40), or nothing (naïve) using the CD4 depleting antibody GK1.5. There were no detectable CD4 T cells following CD4 depletion in the blood or spleen as measured by flow cytometry. Bars represent percentage of SIINFEKL specific class I tetramer in peripheral blood. Error bars and asterisks denote significance as described in the legend of figure 1. Experiments were performed twice with three mice per group.

Figure 4. CD8 T cell response requires IL-12 signaling

A. Mice were immunized and analyzed exactly as described in figure 3. The IL-12p70 blocking antibody C17.8 was administered 18 hours and 2 hours prior to immunization in WT (light grey bars) or IL6^{-/-} (white bars) mice, or not at all in WT (black bars) or IL6^{-/-} (dark grey bars) mice. **B.** Immunization and analysis of WT (black bars) and p19 knock out mice (IL23) (white bars) was done exactly as described in the legend of figure 1. Statistical analysis was done as described in figure 1. Experiments were done twice with three mice per experimental group.

Figure 5. Dendritic cell activation is rescued by removal of IL-6 and dependent on IL-12

A. Dendritic cell activation marker CD86 is decreased in WT mice in response to yeast. WT or IL6^{-/-} mice were immunized with yeast+ova+aCD40, Pam3Cys+ova+aCD40, or nothing (naïve) 24 hours prior to harvesting splenic DCs. DCs shown are CD11c⁺. Shown is a representative sample of splenic CD8⁺ DCs in WT (gray shaded histogram) and IL6^{-/-} (open histogram), or WT naïve (dashed line open histogram) immunized as indicated above each graph. B. Bar graph of data shown in A of WT (black bars) and IL6^{-/-} (white bars) mice. Shown is the geometric mean fluorescence intensity of CD86 for both CD8⁺ and CD11b⁺ dendritic cells. C. CD86 is reduced after IL-12 block. Shown is a representative sample of CD8⁺ DCs in WT and IL6^{-/-} mice were treated with IL-12 blocking antibody (gray shaded histogram) 18 and 2 hours prior to yeast immunization or left untreated (open histogram). Naïve mice are shown with a dashed line. D. Bar graph of data shown in C. CD86 expression was calculated as in A. Statistical analysis was done as described in figure 4. Statistical analysis was done as described in figure 1. Experiments were done twice with three mice per group.

Figure 6. IL-12 is necessary to the dendritic cell

WT TCR transgenic OT-1 CD8 T cells were adoptively transferred into WT (black bars) or IL12R β 2^{-/-} (white bars) mice and immunized with either yeast-ova- α CD40 or ova- α CD40 24 hours post transfer. Total numbers of expanded OT-1 T cells in the spleen were counted and percent expansion based on the WT mouse was calculated. Statistical analysis was done as described in figure 1. This experiment was performed twice with three mice per group.

Figure 7. IL-12 production is increased in CD8⁺ DCs of IL6^{-/-} mice in response to yeast synergy

WT (black bars) or IL6^{-/-} (white bars) were immunized with either yeast-ova-αCD40 or ova-αCD40. Splenic DCs were harvested 1–2 hours post immunization and stained for intracellular IL-12. DCs are CD11c⁺, B220⁻, CD8⁺, IL-12⁺. Statistical analysis was done as described in figure 1. This experiment was performed twice with three mice per group.