Published in final edited form as:

Mol Pharm. 2011 December 5; 8(6): 2063–2068. doi:10.1021/mp200274r.

The Goldilocks Principle and Antibiotic Resistance in Bacteria

Qiucen Zhang[†], Kristelle Robin[‡], David Liao[¶], Guillaume Lambert[†], and Robert H. Austin^{*,†,‡}

[†]Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

[‡]Institute for Advanced Study, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Hong Kong

[¶]University California San Francisco, San Francisco CA 94143

Abstract

We have designed and fabricated a micro-ecology to mimic the naturally occurring bacterial culture, which includes stress gradient, metapopulation and cellular motility. In this micro-ecology, we show it is possible to fix resistance to the mutagenic antibiotic ciprofloxacin in wild-type *E. coli* within 10 hours. We found the evolution of resistance is further accelerated in micro-ecology if bacteria have already acquired the phenotype of growth advantage at stationary phase (GASP).

Keywords

antibiotics; resistance; evolution

Introduction

For those unfamiliar with the old Grimms Brothers fairy tale about Goldilocks and the Three Bears, Goldilocks was a small girl who wandered into a temporarily vacated house owned by a bear family and sampled the three bear's wares for the “just right” combination of taste, fit and comfort which inevitably ended up being the baby bear's portion.¹ Like Goldilocks' need for the just right parameters, evolution proceeds most rapidly when there is the just right combination of a large number of mutants and rapid fixation of the mutants.² “Fixation” means that all the individuals in that population have a particular mutation. Although evolution occurs in well-stirred chemostats without such Goldilocks conditions,³ natural environments are rarely well stirred in nature, as Darwin realized on the Galapagos Islands.⁴ For complex environments such as the Galapagos Islands, spatial population density gradients and movement of mutants along these population density gradients can be as important as genomic heterogeneity in setting the speed of evolution.

We follow here in the footsteps of Sewall Wright, who emphasized the importance of population sizes.⁵ Most evolution experiments are done in the limit of large numbers of individuals, where basically we can expect evolution to move along an adiabatic path on a fitness landscape. If the population number gets small enough, the evolution dynamics can become non-adiabatic and move out of equilibrium, as we graphically describe in Fig. Figure 1.

Intuitively, the need for a combination of small populations, population density gradients and motility of the mutants for rapid evolution of resistance comes from the following

*To whom correspondence should be addressed austin@Princeton.edu Phone: +1 609-258-4353. Fax: +1 609-258-1115.

considerations: (1) if a mutation occurs which increases the fitness of a mutant, the mutation can fix if the mutant moves with velocity $\vec{v}$ down the population gradient into regions of lower population density.⁶ This step-wise movement of motile mutant bacteria via successive mutations into regions of higher stress is accelerated if the mutation rate is very high and the population density gradient $-\nabla N$ is very steep. A rare mutation which confers resistance may not be able to fix if the local wild-type population is very high, but a resistant mutation in a region of low population can result in rapid fixation. By creating a gradient in nutrients which generates a gradient in population density, the evolution will occur more rapidly not in the high population density regions but rather to the lower population densities, if the organisms are motile.

The phenotypic state of the organism is also a potential controller of the the rate of evolution dynamics. If the initial strain of organism is a wild-type one which is not adapted to stress, it is possible that substantial mutations must occur and be fixed before the organism can evolve a true resistance to a highly stressful environment and propagate. However, if the strain has a preexisting genotype which gives rise to a initial resistance to stress, it may require less mutations for full resistance to evolve. In the case of bacteria, which we study here, it has been shown by Kolter and his colleagues that bacteria held in stationary phase over a period of several days evolve, in spite of the fixed number of bacterial cells in stationary phase, to a mutant which is adapted to the high stress conditions generated by stationary phase. These mutants, called Growth Advantage in Stationary Phase (GASP) mutants, have the ability to divide (slowly) even under high stress conditions. We will test in this paper if GASP mutants can evolve resistance to genotoxic antibiotics at a faster rate than wild-type bacteria do.

The construct of the wild type and the GASP mutants (growth under stationary phase) *E. coli* with chromosomal GFP expression has been described in previous work.⁷ The GASP mutant has an interesting genetic rearrangement which leads to the GASP phenotype. The main difference from the wild-type alleles is a duplication in the GASP mutant of the *rpoS* 819 of sequence (gcaggggctgaatatcgaa).⁸ We have shown earlier in microhabitat work that these GASP mutants co-exist with wild-type bacteria in a synergistic manner⁹ and under high stress can grow to high densities. The basic mechanism for this stress adaptation is that the allele confers a strong competitive fitness advantage under basic pH conditions, and during the death phase of stress these GASP mutants are able to adapt using a metabolism that acquires protons from the basic medium environment in order to maintain pH homeostasis. The design of our device produces very high cell densities at the periphery of the microhabitat array, these very high local cell densities should place GASP mutants at a significant advantage.

Experimental section

Micro-nanofabricated structures have been successfully used to study single cells by manipulating their micro-environment,^{10–14} but it is still challenging to realize a controllable complex fitness landscape as we seek here. Our previous experiments have been relatively simple 1-D stress experiments.⁷ Here, the Galapagos Islands of Darwin have been shrunk down to a 2 cm diameter interconnected network of ~ 1200 hexagonal microhabitats, each of size 200 μm diameter, which can contain up to several thousands of bacteria as shown in the Figure 2. Nutrients circulate around half the perimeter of the device, while nutrients + Cirpo circulate around the other half of the device, generating gradients of the antibiotic within the array of microhabitats. The nanoslits at the periphery restrict food flow and also can generate emergent population gradients even in the absence of the antibiotic. 10 μm wide channels connecting the microhabitats allow for motion of bacteria between different

metapopulations, which we will show are a critical aspect of evolution dynamics once stress resistance has evolved in a local metapopulation.

The structure masks were designed in L-Edit and made on a Heidelberg DWL66 laser writer. There are two layers, one contains the Micro Habitat Patches (MHP), while the other contains the nanoslits. The 4" silicon wafer is first spin coated with photoresist AZ5214, then the nanoslits mask is exposed by a Karl Suss MA6 mask aligner. After developing in AZ MIF300, 100nm nanoslits are etched by Reactive Ion Etching process using a Samco 800 machine. The photoresist is removed by acetone bath. The process is then repeated with the MHP mask, but then etching on a 10 μm thickness.

Our primary choice of antibiotic to show the rapid emergence of the resistance is the important genotoxic antibiotic, Ciprofloxacin.¹⁵ Ciprofloxacin traps the gyrase-DNA complex at the state when the DNA is cut, thereby inhibiting DNA replication and cell division,^{16,17} in essence preventing the cell from dividing but not killing the cell. The generation of single-stranded DNA by stalled ciprofloxacin-bound gyrase is known to trigger, via the self-cleavage of the repressor LexA, removal of LexA from transcription factor sites. Removal of LexA activates the transcription error-prone DNA polymerases.¹⁸ The effective mutagenic rate μ^* due to the SOS response is 10^{-5} mutants/viable cell/day, 10,000 times greater than the base rate μ .¹⁹

We streaked stock *E. coli* stored at -80°C onto an agar plate. After overnight growth, one colony was picked and inoculated in a 15 ml tube with 3ml LB broth. After growing 3 hours, the OD reached 0.6/cm. Then 2 μl of the *E. coli* containing medium is gently put at the inlet of center hole, without disturbing the already established antibiotic gradient. Before each experiment, the chip is sealed by a glass coverslip which has spun on it a 20 μm thick elastomer PDMS gasket for sealing. The whole device is hold by an acrylic manifold with two inlets and two outlets. The medium is injected with Chemyx syringe pump. The chip is run with medium and antibiotic alone for 24 hours before inoculating bacteria to ensure a stable gradient of ciprofloxacin + nutrient and nutrient is pre-established. Time lapse fluorescence imaging is realized by Canon 5D camera using a GFP filter set (Chroma). The excitation source is a 470nm LED from Thorlabs. Images of the entire chip are taken through a Canon 65 mm macro lens by every minutes over 30 hours in an enclosure with temperature control set at 25°C .

The critical population gradients are emergent and self-generated by the bacterial chemotaxis. If the incoming food flux is much smaller than the local bacterial metabolite consumption rate in a peripheral microhabitat patch, the food gradient is self-sharpened by chemotaxis of the bacteria to the edge of the nanoslit source. However, if the incoming food flux is much larger than the bacterial metabolite consumption rate, then we have a spatially uniform food concentration. By simply varying the flow rate, the device can be operated at a desired population gradient over at least 2 orders of magnitude in steepness. Since the antibiotic is not consumed by the *E. coli* and there is continuous flow, the antibiotic gradient, unlike the nutrient gradient, has a constant shape once established.

An important think to note is the importance of the PDMS sealing gasket for the cover slip, which is highly oxygen permeable. The PDMS layer used for sealing the top coverslip to the chamber actually is important in oxygen transport. That is, a microhabitat with a cover slip anodically hard-bonded to the chamber resulted in no movement of the bacteria to the periphery and no evolution, presumably due to a lack of oxygen.

Results

We have two fundamental results. First, the wild-type evolution dynamics are shown in Figure 3(A–D): the emergence and progression of resistance of wild-type *E. coli* at a low-flow rate in each channel of 3 μ l/hour over 20 hours. When bacteria are inoculated into the center of the device, chemotaxis due to consumption of nutrients at low flow rates quickly drives them to the perimeter of the device to form tight population density gradients against the nano-slits. At the Goldilocks point (white arrow, Figure 3(A)), there is a combination of high population density gradient and high mutation rates. In this experiment, the concentration of ciprofloxacin flowing along the bottom side of the device is extremely high, 10 μ g/ml that is approximately 200 times MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) of ciprofloxacin. Yet, as Figure 3(A) shows there is emergence of resistance at the Goldilocks point and subsequent rapid movement of resistant bacteria around the periphery of the device (Figure 3(B)) and invasion back to the center in 30 hours (Figure 3(C–D)). The basic reason for this invasion of resistance is the huge fitness advantage for mutant resistant *E. coli* in a micro-environment where the food reservoir is nearby and no other sensitive competitors can live. Since the device is composed of small micro-habitat patches, the resistant *E. coli* can rapidly fix in these small populations. The position of the gradients relative to the apex hexagons sensitively determines where the Goldilocks points are.

We expected that the rate and extent to which bacteria were able to colonize our island topography would be a function of the bacterial phenotype, for example as we stated the GASP mutants²⁰ have a considerable fitness advantage to growth under stress and should be able to grow in areas even the wild-type mutants cannot. Figure 3(E–H) shows the evolution of resistance to ciprofloxacin at the low flow rate 3 μ l/hour condition. Qualitatively the results are roughly similar: emergence of resistance at the microhabitat of highest metabolic stress gradient, followed by growth through the device by the resistant bacteria. However, quantitatively the evolution of the stress resistance is far more robust and the growth across the device even more extensive than the wild type, showing the fundamental advantage that stress adaption provides for rapid evolution.

That the antibiotic resistance seen in our device is probably due to inherited mutations can be demonstrated by culturing the resistants sampled from the device. This can be shown most dramatically by comparing growth curve of wild-type *E. coli* and *E. coli* sampled from the device in test tube with the same concentration of ciprofloxacin. If persisters²¹ are the origin of our effect, then growth curve should be the same for both strains. On the other hand, if the resistants sampled from the device carry inherited mutations, then no growth of wild type *E. coli* will occur in concentrations where the resistants from the device can now grow. To test this, 3 ml of LB broth containing either resistants from chip or wild-type bacteria were cultured separately in standard 15 ml culture tubes at 37°C at 500 ng/ml ciprofloxacin, $\times 10$ the MIC for wild-type. Growth was measured via the optical density as a function of time. Figure 5(B) shows that the resistants show growth to high OD under these conditions, while the wild-type's growth is strongly suppressed, as expected.

Discussion

Darwin transformed biology, but he provided no analytical template and we still have experimentally a great deal to learn about the dynamics of evolution, particularly under the response to stress. The actual evolution dynamics occurring in this microecology are no doubt extremely complex, and properly viewed as a spatial and temporal convolution with a transport function. However, in the interests of simplicity we can analyze the resistance dynamics in a linear manner here and provide an simple analytical framework. There are several parameters that are relevant to enhancement of the evolution of resistance in

mutagenic stress gradients: (1) the N_h : population size in a high stress microhabitat, (2) the stress induced mutation rate μ , (3) the net velocity of bacteria $\vec{v}$ along gradient and (4) the time before entering stationary phase τ , (5) the doubling time $t_{1/2}$ of the mutant bacteria in the presence of the antibiotic, (6) the fitness advantage of the resistant bacteria, s . The time T_e for the adaptive mutants to reach a frequency that assures escape from stochastic loss can be estimated to be:

$$\frac{1}{T_e} = N_h \mu^* + (-\nabla N_h \cdot \vec{v}) \tau \mu^* \quad (1)$$

The time to fix T_f for a mutant is expected to scale as:

$$T_f \sim \frac{N_h \times s}{s} \times t_{1/2} \quad (2)$$

The total time T_{total} then expected for the emergence of a fixed population of resistant bacteria is the $T_e + T_f$. We know that N_h is approximately 10^2 and that $\nabla N_h \sim 10/\mu\text{m}$, τ is about 10^4 s from the test tube culture course measurements, $\vec{v}$ is about $10 \mu\text{m/s}$, μ^* (stress induced mutation rate) is about 10^{-5} mutants/viable cells/day. The fitness advantage s is very difficult to estimate and is of course a function of the antibiotic concentration. We can be roughly estimate the ratio of the $MIC_{resistance}/MIC_{wild-type} \sim 20$. The doubling time $t_{1/2}$ in the presence of the antibiotic at the MIC is not well known but we can guess it is approximately 0.5 hour. Together, this analysis predicts that $T_{total} \sim 3$ hours, greatly reduced to the presence of the population gradients and ciprofloxacin-induced mutations, and is approximately the time observed in our experiments.

Conclusion

The rapid evolution of resistance to antibiotics demonstrated in this paper is not just an academic subject of course, although it reveals the importance of understanding the foundations of the dynamics of evolution. The rapid emergence of bacterial resistance to antibiotics has become one of the most important issues in human health. The design principles that we discuss here should provide a powerful template for exploring the rates at which evolution can occur in very complex fitness landscapes, including the rapid evolution of resistance to genotoxic chemotherapy in cancer. While a great deal of work remains to be down to characterize the dynamics of bacterial evolution shown here, the implications of this work we hope are obvious.

For example, the rapid evolution of antibiotic resistance in human bodies is a serious concerns. As in our chips, the human ecosystem is very heterogeneous consisting of solid and liquid compenents. We would expect that in the body there will exist transient chemical gradients of nutrients and antibiotics. We would expect, based on this work, that in such situations rapid evolution of resistance can occur. Even more alarming is the potential for such rapid evolution to occur during chemotherapy, an area we are now exploring.

Acknowledgments

The research described was supported by Award Number U54CA143803 from the National Cancer Institute. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Cancer Institute or the National Institutes of Health. We thank the referees for many valuable comments and improvements.

References

- (1). Opie, I.; Opie, P. *The Classic Fairy Tales*. Oxford University Press; 1980.
- (2). May, RM.; McLean, AR. *Theoretical Ecology: Principles and Applications*. Oxford University; 2007.
- (3). Barrick JE, Yu DS, Yoon SH, Jeong H, Oh TK, Schneider D, Lenski RE, Kim JF. Genome evolution and adaptation in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. *Nature*. 2009; 461:1243–1247. [PubMed: 19838166]
- (4). Keynes, RD. *Charles Darwin's Beagle Diary*. Cambridge University Press; 2001.
- (5). Wright S. The Roles of Mutation, Inbreeding, Crossbreeding and Selection in Evolution. *Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Congress of Genetics*. 1932; 1:356–366.
- (6). Hermesen R, Hwa T. Sources and Sinks: A Stochastic Model of Evolution in Heterogeneous Environments. *Phys. Rev. Lett*. 2010; 105:248104. [PubMed: 21231560]
- (7). Keymer JE, Galajda P, Muldoon C, Park S, Austin RH. Bacterial metapopulations in nanofabricated landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2006; 103:17290–17295.
- (8). Finkel SE, Farrell MJ. The growth advantage in stationary-phase phenotype conferred by *rpoS* mutations is dependent on the pH and nutrient environment. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 2003; 185:7044–7052. [PubMed: 14645263]
- (9). Keymer JE, Galajda P, Lambert G, Liao D, Austin RH. Computation of Mutual fitness by competing bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008; 105:20269–20273.
- (10). Weibel DB, DiLuzio WR, Whitesides GM. Microfabrication meets microbiology. *Nat Rev Micro*. 2007; 5:209–218.
- (11). Whitesides GM. The origins and the future of microfluidics. *Nature*. 2006; 442:368–373. [PubMed: 16871203]
- (12). Beebe DJ, Mensing GA, Walker GM. Physics and applications of microfluidics in biology. *Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering*. 2002; 4:261–286.
- (13). DiLuzio WR, Turner L, Mayer M, Garstecki P, Weibel DB, Berg HC, White-sides GM. *Escherichia coli* swim on the right-hand side. *Nature*. 2005; 435:1271–1274. [PubMed: 15988531]
- (14). Balagadde FK, You L, Hansen CL, Arnold FH, Quake SR. Long-Term Monitoring of Bacteria Undergoing Programmed Population Control in a Microchemostat. *Science*. 2005; 309:137–140. [PubMed: 15994559]
- (15). Drlaca K, Zhao X. DNA gyrase, topoisomerase IV, and the 4-quinolones. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews: MMBR*. 1997; 61:377–392. PMID: 9293187. [PubMed: 9293187]
- (16). Lindgren PK, Karlsson A, Hughes D. Mutation Rate and Evolution of Fluoroquinolone Resistance in *Escherichia coli* Isolates from Patients with Urinary Tract Infections. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 2003; 47:3222–3232. [PubMed: 14506034]
- (17). Lautenbach E, Fishman NO, Bilker WB, Castiglioni A, Metlay JP, Edelstein PH, Strom BL. Risk factors for fluoroquinolone resistance in nosocomial *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 2002; 162:2469–2477. PMID: 12437407. [PubMed: 12437407]
- (18). Rosenberg SM. Evolving responsively: adaptive mutation. *Nature Reviews. Genetics*. 2001; 2:504–515. PMID: 11433357.
- (19). Cirz RT, Chin JK, Andes DR, de Crcy-Lagard V, Craig WA, Romesberg FE. Inhibition of Mutation and Combating the Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance. *PLoS Biol*. 2005; 3:e176. [PubMed: 15869329]
- (20). Finkel SE. Long-term survival during stationary phase: evolution and the GASP phenotype. *Nat Rev Micro*. 2006; 4:113–120.
- (21). Balaban NQ, Merrin J, Chait R, Kowalik L, Leibler S. Bacterial persistence as a phenotypic switch. *Science*. 2004; 305:1622–1625. [PubMed: 15308767]

Figure 1. Evolution on nested fitness landscapes. The blue surface and the red surface are the fitness of the wild-type and resistant bacteria respectively vs. space in a combination of antibiotic gradient and population gradients. Mutation from the wild-type to the resistant genome are represented by vertical transitions between the two fitness surfaces.

Figure 2.

(A) Scheme of microecology consisting of a 2D array of metapopulation. Stable antibiotic gradient is created by flowing nutrient + ciprofloxacin containing streams in one side, while nutrient only stream in the other side. (B) Scheme by Wright⁵ of the general idea of dividing the space in metapopulation to accelerate evolution (C) $\times 190$ SEM image of hexagonal microhabitat of dimension $200\ \mu\text{m}$ wide (D) $\times 2500$ SEM image of the side of the channel showing $100\ \text{nm}$ deep nanoslits (E) $\times 6000$ SEM image of $10\ \mu\text{m}$ deep channel.

Figure 3. (A–D) Progression of wild-type *E. coli* vs. time after inoculation. (A) Ignition of resistance to ciprofloxacin at the Goldilocks point (indicated by white arrow) 6 hours after inoculation. (B) Spread of resistant bacteria around the periphery of the micro-ecology at 8 hours after inoculation. (C–D) Continued growth of resistant bacteria after 10 hours.

Figure 4. (A–D) Progression of GASP *E. coli* vs. time after inoculation. Nutrients flows along the top channel from right to left, while nutrients + 10µg/ml ciprofloxacin flows along the bottom channel from left to right.

Figure 5.

(A) Optical density (OD) vs. time of the wild-type (WT) bacteria and the mutants sampled from the high Cipro side of the experiments (Figure 3) under standard culture conditions of 37°C and $\times 1$ LB broth in test tube. (B) Optical density vs. time of the wild-type (WT) bacteria and the mutants under standard culture conditions of 37°C and $\times 1$ LB broth with 500 ng/ml of ciprofloxacin added to test tube.