Video Article

Probing the Brain in Autism Using fMRI and Diffusion Tensor Imaging

Rajesh K. Kana, Donna L. Murdaugh, Lauren E. Libero, Mark R. Pennick, Heather M. Wadsworth, Rishi Deshpande, Christi P. Hu
Department of Psychology, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Correspondence to: Rajesh K. Kana at rkana@uab.edu

URL: <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=3178>

DOI: 10.3791/3178

Keywords: Medicine, Issue 55, Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), MRI, Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), Functional Connectivity, Neuroscience, Developmental disorders, Autism, Fractional Anisotropy,

Date Published: 9/12/2011

Citation: Kana, R.K., Murdaugh, D.L., Libero, L.E., Pennick, M.R., Wadsworth, H.M., Deshpande, R., Hu, C.P. Probing the Brain in Autism Using fMRI and Diffusion Tensor Imaging. *J. Vis. Exp.* (55), e3178, DOI : 10.3791/3178 (2011).

Abstract

Newly emerging theories suggest that the brain does not function as a cohesive unit in autism, and this discordance is reflected in the behavioral symptoms displayed by individuals with autism. While structural neuroimaging findings have provided some insights into brain abnormalities in autism, the consistency of such findings is questionable. Functional neuroimaging, on the other hand, has been more fruitful in this regard because autism is a disorder of dynamic processing and allows examination of communication between cortical networks, which appears to be where the underlying problem occurs in autism. Functional connectivity is defined as the temporal correlation of spatially separate neurological events¹. Findings from a number of recent fMRI studies have supported the idea that there is weaker coordination between different parts of the brain that should be working together to accomplish complex social or language problems^{2,3,4,5,6}. One of the mysteries of autism is the coexistence of deficits in several domains along with relatively intact, sometimes enhanced, abilities. Such complex manifestation of autism calls for a global and comprehensive examination of the disorder at the neural level. A compelling recent account of the brain functioning in autism, the cortical underconnectivity theory,^{2,7} provides an integrating framework for the neurobiological bases of autism. The cortical underconnectivity theory of autism suggests that any language, social, or psychological function that is dependent on the integration of multiple brain regions is susceptible to disruption as the processing demand increases. In autism, the underfunctioning of integrative circuitry in the brain may cause widespread underconnectivity. In other words, people with autism may interpret information in a piecemeal fashion at the expense of the whole. Since cortical underconnectivity among brain regions, especially the frontal cortex and more posterior areas^{3,6}, has now been relatively well established, we can begin to further understand brain connectivity as a critical component of autism symptomatology.

A logical next step in this direction is to examine the anatomical connections that may mediate the functional connections mentioned above. Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) is a relatively novel neuroimaging technique that helps probe the diffusion of water in the brain to infer the integrity of white matter fibers. In this technique, water diffusion in the brain is examined in several directions using diffusion gradients. While functional connectivity provides information about the synchronization of brain activation across different brain areas during a task or during rest, DTI helps in understanding the underlying axonal organization which may facilitate the cross-talk among brain areas. This paper will describe these techniques as valuable tools in understanding the brain in autism and the challenges involved in this line of research.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=3178>

Protocol

1. Special Techniques for Scanning Individuals with Developmental Disabilities:

While neuroimaging itself is a complex technique, using MRI to scan the pediatric population and people with developmental disorders can be extremely challenging. The main problems are: 1) Head motion: people with disorders, especially children, may find it difficult to keep still in the fMRI scanner throughout a scanning session. This might result in head motion which in turn may affect the quality of the data; 2) Children with autism have extreme sensory sensitivities and may be bothered by factors, such as scanner noise, being in closed space, temperature and so on; and 3) Anxiety and getting adjusted to a new environment can be difficult for people with autism. A change in their routine can pose problems if not prepared well. Therefore, innovative procedures with careful preparations are needed to achieve good yield, and to improve the quality of the data collected. We incorporate valuable insights gained from theory and practice to prepare a participant for an MRI scan, to make the experiment and scanning process enjoyable for the participant, and to process the collected data, some of which are:

- 1. Social Stories.** Social stories are short, direct stories often used for explaining novel and confusing situations to children with autism⁸. We use social stories, written from the perspective of the individual with autism, to illustrate and verbally describe each step of our study process. At each item in the story, both verbal and pictorial descriptions are provided. Titled "about my MRI session", we provide the story to the participant ahead of their scan day so that they can become familiar with the scanning process. The goal of the story is to increase the individual's understanding of the procedure, and to make him/her more comfortable in a new situation.
- 2. CD Recording of Scanner Sounds.** During a scanning session, the MRI scanner produces loud noises constantly and this may be aversive to some individuals with autism. In order to acclimatize the participants to the scanner noise, we send the participants (prior to the scan day) a recording of the sounds made by the scanner.

3. **Mock MRI Scanner.** We simulate an MRI scanning session with the participant using a mock scanner, constructed out of a discarded Phillips MRI scanner. This provides a realistic approximation of the actual scanning session. Use of this mock scanner, located at the Department of Optometry, UAB, allows the participant to become accustomed to the scanner environment.
4. **Tour of the MRI Scanner Prior to Scanning.** Prior to beginning the MRI scan, the participant is provided with an opportunity to see the scanner and even get on the scanner bed briefly. Usually, this helps to alleviate fear and anxiety, as well as provide the researchers with behavioral information regarding the participant's reaction to the scanner. Such reactions often provide valuable, though intuitive and qualitative, information of whether the participant may likely complete the whole scan. Before the participant goes into the scanner, he/she leaves all his belongings in a locker room and is also checked for metal using a metal detector.
5. **Making the MRI Scanner child-friendly.** For all our scans, we use the Siemens 3.0 Tesla Allegra MRI Scanner located at the UAB Civitan International Research Center. This is a head-only scanner making it less intimidating for participants. In order to make the scanner environment as child-friendly as possible (for the pediatric population), the scanner may be decorated with easily removable stickers of animals, cartoon characters, etc. In addition, we provide colorful blankets to the participants to keep them warm in the scanner. For children with autism who often have special interests (e.g., trains), such interests may be taken into account while decorating the scanner.
6. **Use of Movies or Cartoons:** The anatomical and DTI image acquisition do not require the participant to perform a task in the scanner. During these scans, participants are given the option of watching a few minutes of their favorite movie or cartoon series. In addition to providing a welcome break from the tasks, this helps make the scanning process more enjoyable for the participant.

2. Use of Stimulus Presentation Software and Button Response Devices to Communicate with the Scanner:

1. The experimental tasks are programmed using *E-Prime* (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA) stimulus presentation software. Before the scanning session, the participant practices shorter versions of the tasks on a laptop computer so that they are familiar with what they will see in the scanner and what buttons they will be required to press.
2. The tasks are loaded onto the Integrated Functional Imaging System (IFIS, Invivo Corporation, Orlando, FL), and are synchronized with the scanning paradigm. The IFIS system helps project the visual stimuli onto a screen behind the participant while in the scanner, which the participant views through a mirror attached to the head coil.
3. Dual monitors in the control room allow the researchers to select the experimental tasks or movies presented during the scan, and monitor participant responses (including response time and performance accuracy).
4. The participants wear MRI compatible headphones that allow them to hear audio, listen to the researchers' instructions, as well as reduce the obtrusive noise of the scanner. In addition to the headphones, earplugs are provided to further reduce the noise of the scanner.
5. A fiber optic button response device attached to each hand allows the participant to respond to task questions. The IFIS system records these responses, as well as the timing of each response in conjunction with the scan timing.
6. An emergency "squeeze ball" is given to the participant in case he/she does not want to continue the scan. Pressing this ball will set off an alarm in the control room prompting the researchers to get to the participant immediately.

3. Use of Static and Dynamic Visual Stimuli to Elicit Brain Responses in Participants with Autism:

While an excellent experimental design is critical to any scientific study, striking a chord with the participants can have a significant impact on the data acquired, especially in neuroimaging. The stimuli should be at the level of comprehension of the participant, and the experiment should be short, precise, and enjoyable. If adequate attention is not given to these elements, the quality of the data can be negatively affected. Special care is taken to try to make the experimental tasks challenging and enjoyable by creating innovative stimuli.

1. Dynamic visual stimuli, such as videos depicting social interaction are used to elicit participant responses on mental state attribution. In addition to being short and enjoyable, these stimuli are slices of the real social world and provide an appropriate arena for investigating the brain responses associated with social cognition.
2. Static visual stimuli, such as stick figure characters displaying different body postures are also used to study social cognition. These stimuli are helpful in studying emotions by encouraging the participants to infer feelings from body language.
3. Static visual stimuli like comic strip vignettes that involve multiple characters depicting social situations are also used. These stimuli involve attributions based on folk physics and folk psychology.
4. For studies examining language processing, we mainly use tasks that involve sentence comprehension, lexical decision-making, and discourse processing.
5. Although the length of each experiment differs from another, we try to keep every experiment less than 10 minutes. In addition, we also try to sandwich our DTI scan and Anatomical scans in between experiments to give the participant some free/resting time. We found reasonable success with this strategy. In one scanning session, we try to include 2-3 tasks taking the total time spent in the magnet to about 30-40 minutes. See Figure 1 for a flow-chart depicting the study protocol.

4. Data Acquisition, Storage, Analysis, & Quality Control:

Data Acquisition:

1. Functional MRI and DTI data are collected in a single session per participant using a Siemens 3.0 Tesla Allegra head-only Scanner (Siemens Medical Inc., Erlangen, Germany) housed in the Civitan International Research Center, University of Alabama at Birmingham.
2. The scanning session starts with high resolution T1-weighted scans for structural imaging. These are acquired using a 160-slice 3D MPRAGE (Magnetization Prepared Rapid Gradient Echo) volume scan with TR (Repetition Time) = 200 ms, TE (Echo Time) = 3.34 ms, flip angle = 12 degree, FOV (Field of View) = 25.6 cm, 256 X 256 matrix size, and 1 mm slice thickness. This acquisition lasts approximately 8 minutes and the data acquired provide anatomical information about each participant's brain.
3. The anatomical scans are followed by functional scans. To acquire functional images, we use a single-shot gradient-recalled echo-planar pulse sequence with TR= 1000 ms, TE = 30ms, flip angle = 60 degrees, FOV = 24 cm, and matrix =64 x 64. We acquire seventeen adjacent oblique axial slices in an interleaved sequence with 5 mm slice thickness, 1 mm slice gap, a 24 cm FOV, and a 64 X 64 matrix, resulting in an in-plane resolution of 3.75 X 3.75 X 5 mm.
4. Depending on the length of a functional MRI experiment, two or three experiments are included in a 60-75 minutes scanning session.

5. The DTI images are acquired using a single-shot, spin-echo, EPI (Echo-planar Imaging) sequence with 46 orthogonal directions. A diffusion weighted, single-shot, spin-echo, echo-planar imaging sequence is used with TR = 7000 ms, TE = 90 ms, bandwidth = 2790 Hz/voxel, FOV = 220mm, and matrix size = 128x 128. Twenty-seven 3-mm thick slices are imaged (no slice gap) with no diffusion-weighting ($b = 0$ s/mm²) and with diffusion-weighting ($b = 1000$ s/mm²) gradients applied in 46 orthogonal directions.

Data Storage and Data Analysis:

1. The acquired neuroimaging data from an MRI session are transferred to a pass wall protected computer network at the University Hospital in line with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).
2. The MRI and DTI data from this server are transferred to the lab's centralized computer server (*neuron*), and anonymized before it is made available for data analyses. The neuron server houses all the image analysis programs, as well as the in-house scripts generated to do the computations specific to our experiments.
3. The computer cluster employs 3 nodes, each with a quad-core processor, enabling faster and parallel processing of multiple datasets. In addition, since the data from different studies reside at a common location, it makes it easier to organize the data for meta-analyses and to make overarching inferences.
4. The fMRI data are pre- and post-processed, and statistically analyzed using SPM8 (Statistical Parametric Mapping; Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). In addition, other software programs, such as Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI), fMRIB Software Library (FSL), and MRICron are also used for other analyses.
5. The DTI images are pre- and post-processed, and statistically analyzed using FSL.

Quality Control:

1. Temporal and spatial adjustments are done to the fMRI data using preprocessing steps, such as slice timing correction, motion correction, realignment, spatial normalization, and spatial smoothing.
2. Signal to noise ratio (SNR) is calculated by taking the ratio between the task-related variability and the non-task related variability. Noise (non-task related variability) can include anything from thermal noise to head motion effects. By both calculating the SNR to gain a relatively higher ratio ($> .8$) and by controlling for artifacts, we can make sure that the images meet strict quality standards.
3. Temporal signal to noise ratio (tSNR) is the SNR over the entire course of the experiment and is mathematically defined by the ratio of mean signal intensity to the variation of the signal over time. The mean and standard deviation are taken at each voxel and if the ratio within the brain is at an acceptable threshold, the images can be used for further analyses.
4. It is always a good idea to examine the data for artifacts at every preprocessing and analysis step. For example, examining the raw images for Radio Frequency (RF) artifacts or assessing motion artifacts in the preprocessed data. One preventative measure for controlling for artifacts is to screen subjects for metal in or around the head, such as braces or a permanent retainer, to limit the amount of signal drop out.
5. If a dataset has too much noise, even after motion correction procedures, and does not meet our data quality standards, that dataset is usually excluded from further analyses.

5. Examining the Brain in Autism at a Network Level: fMRI-based Investigation of Functional Connectivity and DTI-based Examination of Anatomical Connectivity:

Functional Connectivity:

Functional connectivity refers to the synchronization of brain activation across different regions in the brain. The correlation of the time course of activation across brain areas is taken as evidence of the communication or connectivity between those regions. The steps involved in this analysis are as follows:

1. Regions of interest (ROIs) are identified, either functionally (based on activation response to tasks) or anatomically (based on standardized brain atlases). These ROIs are defined either spherically with a radius that would encompass the activation OR they are defined in their original shape.
2. The specified radius or actual shape, along with the MNI coordinates, is incorporated to create an ROI file for all ROIs using an in-house script. The presence of overlap among the locations of these ROIs is investigated and corrected.
3. For each ROI, the signal is extracted from the time course of the experiment from each individual participant's data.
4. For each participant, the average signal time course for each ROI is correlated with all other ROIs resulting in a correlation matrix. The correlation values are then converted to Fisher's z' scores for further statistical analyses to make individual, group, and between group level inferences.

Anatomical Connectivity (DTI):

In order to examine the white matter integrity across the brain, the diffusion tensor images are analyzed using fMRIB Software Library (FSL)⁹. Below are the main steps involved:

1. The first step in this analysis involves preprocessing, including skull stripping and eddy current correction. Skull stripping is done using Brain Extraction Tool (BET) to remove any non-parenchymal tissue. When high intensity diffusion gradients are rapidly switched, shear and stretch artifacts are produced which are different for each gradient direction. These distortions are corrected using FSL's eddy current correction which registers the diffusion images to a reference image with no applied diffusion gradient.
2. Diffusion tensors and fractional anisotropy (FA) values, an index of water diffusion along axons, are then calculated at the voxel level by using FSL's Diffusion Toolbox.
3. Group differences on a voxel-by-voxel level are examined using Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS)¹⁰. In this technique, all diffusion images are first aligned into a common space using nonlinear registration.
4. A FA skeleton of all the major white matter tracts from all participants is created. Individual diffusion images of all participants are then registered to this FA tract skeleton.
5. Areas along this skeleton from the images of participants with autism are compared voxel-by-voxel to the same areas from the control participants using t-tests. Voxels with varying FA values are then isolated as a large ROI and the mean FA values calculated.

6. Representative Results:

The primary results emerging from our studies pertain to weakened neural response in participants with autism (in terms of activation, change in signal intensity, and in functional connectivity) and the possible use of altered cortical route in accomplishing cognitive and social tasks. For instance, the core regions found to be mediating a function (for e.g. posterior superior temporal sulcus at the temporoparietal junction in inferring intentions of others; see Figure 2) seem to under-respond in autism, relative to typical control participants. In addition, the core region seems underconnected functionally with other nodes, especially the spatially distant ones (figure 3). With DTI, we also find some anatomical basis to these findings (see Figure 4), providing a comprehensive, network-level picture of brain organization in autism.

Figure 1. Flow-chart depicting the methods and procedures.

Figure 2: A) Increased activation in a typical language task, such as sentence comprehension (left inferior frontal gyrus, and left posterior superior temporal sulcus); B) Increased bilateral posterior superior temporal sulci activation in neurotypical participants during attribution of mental states to others (FWE corrected threshold of $p < 0.05$).

Figure 3. Significantly reduced functional connectivity (synchronization of brain activation) between frontal and temporal regions in a social cognition task in participants with autism ($p < 0.05$). LSTG: left superior temporal gyrus, RSTG: right superior temporal gyrus, RIFG: right inferior frontal gyrus, ROI: Region of Interest, FCA: functional connectivity.

Figure 4. DTI Tractography results showing a white matter fiber bundle proceeding from the temporal lobe to the temporoparietal junction. The initial starting point for tractography was an ROI identified by TBSS as having a significantly smaller FA value in young adults with autism when compared to age matched typical control participants.

Discussion

The methods and procedures described in this paper are grounded in basic principles of cognitive neuroscience and neuroimaging. Taken together, these methods provide a compelling framework for assessing the brain functioning at the systems level in children, adults, and in people with disorders. Studies grounded in these methods have been especially influential in characterizing the discordant brain functioning in individuals with autism.

Although the techniques presented here are transferrable to other populations to address related theoretical questions^{11,12,13,14}, careful attention is needed for pediatric neuroimaging, as well as for neuroimaging in people with developmental disorders: 1) Despite the number of precautionary and preparatory measures we take for scanning, head motion still poses a major concern in neuroimaging. The scanner is extremely sensitive to head motion, with a rotational movement of just 0.5 mm causing significant motion artifacts. While we presented a number of techniques to help reduce anxiety and in turn reduce movement, such as the mock scanner and decorating the scanner room, any effort in these lines may be worthwhile. Currently, we are trying to adapt a feedback paradigm using movies for training to keep the head movement to minimum; 2) Another issue pertains to the participant dropout, especially in children. Many children refuse to enter the scanner or panic after the scan is started; 3) Yet another issue is associated with the inherent heterogeneity in the manifestation of developmental disorders. Researchers of developmental disorders have to be careful in addressing the variability in their sample which otherwise might be buried under the often reported group-level inferences; and 4) Even minor equipment issues can have significant impact on the research protocol and investigator uses. For instance, the stimulus presentation program *E-Prime* does not have the capability to play video stimuli. Although the latest version of this software plays videos, that version is incompatible with the IFIS system. In such instance, we use *Inquisit* software to play our animations and videos, but with the additional step of having to manually synchronize the video with the scanner computer. Despite some of the limitations mentioned above, functional MRI has several advantages making it one of the best neuroimaging techniques to study brain function: 1) Unlike techniques like Positron Emission Tomography (PET), fMRI does not require injecting radioactive isotopes into the human body; 2) the spatial resolution of fMRI is better than techniques like Electroencephalography (EEG); and 3) the acquisition time can be short depending on the paradigm, which may be helpful in working with people with disorders like autism.

In order to characterize the neurobiology of complex, multidimensional disorders like autism, comprehensive neuroscience approaches, that encompass novel and diverse methods and techniques, are needed. Current theories of autism posit that underconnectivity of brain regions, especially between frontal cortex and more posterior areas, may be vital in explaining the key deficits in autism. The next possible logical step in

this direction is to address such problems through translational approaches with a goal to improve altered connectivity in the autistic brain. A longitudinal study targeting brain plasticity to assess brain responses before and after intensive cognitive intervention could show the possible impact intervention can have on behavioral, cognitive, and neural responses in individuals with autism. By continuing to develop and fine-tune our techniques, such as functional, effective, and anatomical connectivity, we can gain a better understanding of this pervasive developmental disorder and translate the knowledge gained to intervention.

Disclosures

No conflicts of interest declared.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Autumn Alexander, Jeff Killen, Charles Wells, Kathy Pearson, and Vaibhav Paneri for their help with the project at different stages. This work is supported by the UAB Department of Psychology Faculty startup funds, the McNulty-Civitan Scientist Award & the CCTS Pilot Research Grant (5UL1RR025777) to RK.

References

1. Friston, K.J. Functional and effective connectivity in neuroimaging: A synthesis. *Human Brain Mapping*. **2**, 56-78 (1994).
2. Just, M.A., Cherkassky, V.L., Keller, T.A., & Minshew, N.J. Cortical activation and synchronization during sentence comprehension in high-functioning autism: evidence of underconnectivity. *Brain: a journal of neurology*. **127**, 1811-1821 (2004).
3. Kana, R.K., Keller, T.A., Cherkassky, V.A., Minshew, N.J., & Just, M.A. Sentence comprehension in autism: thinking in pictures with decreased functional connectivity. *Brain: a journal of neurology*. **129**, 2484-2493 (2006).
4. Koshino, H., Kana, R.K., Keller, T.A., Cherkassky, V.L., Minshew, N.J., & Just, M.A. fMRI Investigation of Working Memory for Faces in Autism: Visual Coding and Underconnectivity with Frontal Areas. *Cerebral Cortex*. **18**, 289-300 (2007).
5. Kana, R.K., Keller, T.A., Minshew, N.J., & Just, M.A. Inhibitory control in high-functioning autism: decreased activation and underconnectivity in inhibition networks. *Biological Psychiatry*. **62**, 196-208 (2007).
6. Just, M.A., Cherkassky, V.L., Keller, T.A., Kana, R.K., & Minshew, N.J. Functional and Anatomical Cortical Underconnectivity in Autism: Evidence from an fMRI Study of an Executive Function Task and Corpus Callosum Morphometry. *Cerebral Cortex*. **17**, 951-961 (2007).
7. Castelli, F., Frith, C., Happe, F., & Frith, U. Autism, Asperger syndrome and brain mechanisms for the attribution of mental states to animated shapes. *Brain*. **125**, 1839-1849 (2002).
8. Gray, C.A. & Garand, J.D. Social stories: Improving responses of students with autism with accurate social information. *Focus on Autistic Behavior*. **8**, 1-10 (1993).
9. Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M.W., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E.J., Johansen-Berg, H., *et al.* Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *NeuroImage*. **23** (S1), 208-219 (2004).
10. Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Johansen-Berg, H., Rueckert, D., Nichols, T.E., Mackay, C.E., Watkins, K.E., Ciccarelli, O., Cader, M.Z., Matthews, P.M., & Behrens, T.E.J. Tract-based spatial statistics: Voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. *NeuroImage*. **31**, 1487-1505 (2006).
11. Li, Q., Sun, J., Guo, L., Zang, Y., Feng, Z., Huang, X., Yang, H., Lv, Y., Huang, M., & Gong, Q. Increased fractional anisotropy in white matter of the right frontal region in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett*. **31** (6), 747-53 (2010).
12. Jeong, J.W., Sundaram, S.K., Kumar, A., Chugani, D.C., & Chugani, H.T. Aberrant diffusion and geometric properties in the left arcuate fasciculus of developmentally delayed children: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. **32** (2), 323-30 (2011).
13. Mulder, M.J., van Belle, J., van Engeland, H., & Durston, S. Functional connectivity between cognitive control regions is sensitive to familial risk for ADHD. *Human Brain Mapping*, epub ahead of print (2010).
14. Vourkas, M., Micheloyanni, S., Simos, P.G., Rezaie, R., Fletcher, J.M., Cirino, P.T., & Papanicolaou, A.C. Dynamic task-specific brain network connectivity in children with severe reading difficulties. *Neurosci Lett*. **488** (2), 123-8 (2011).