Video Article

Stretching Short Sequences of DNA with Constant Force Axial Optical Tweezers

Krishnan Raghunathan¹, Joshua N. Milstein², Jens -Christian Meiners²

¹LSA Biophysics, University of Michigan

²LSA Biophysics, Department of Physics, University of Michigan

Correspondence to: Krishnan Raghunathan at krish@umich.edu

URL: <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=3405>

DOI: 10.3791/3405

Keywords: Bioengineering, Issue 56, Genetics, DNA stretching, DNA, Axial Optical Tweezers, Single-Molecule Biophysics, Biophysics,

Date Published: 10/13/2011

Citation: Raghunathan, K., Milstein, J.N., Meiners, J.C. Stretching Short Sequences of DNA with Constant Force Axial Optical Tweezers. J. Vis. Exp. (56), e3405, DOI : 10.3791/3405 (2011).

Abstract

Single-molecule techniques for stretching DNA of contour lengths less than a kilobase are fraught with experimental difficulties. However, many interesting biological events such as histone binding and protein-mediated looping of DNA^{1,2}, occur on this length scale. In recent years, the mechanical properties of DNA have been shown to play a significant role in fundamental cellular processes like the packaging of DNA into compact nucleosomes and chromatin fibers^{3,4}. Clearly, it is then important to understand the mechanical properties of short stretches of DNA. In this paper, we provide a practical guide to a single-molecule optical tweezing technique that we have developed to study the mechanical behavior of DNA with contour lengths as short as a few hundred basepairs.

The major hurdle in stretching short segments of DNA is that conventional optical tweezers are generally designed to apply force in a direction lateral to the stage^{5,6}, (see Fig. 1). In this geometry, the angle between the bead and the coverslip, to which the DNA is tethered, becomes very steep for submicron length DNA. The axial position must now be accounted for, which can be a challenge, and, since the extension drags the microsphere closer to the coverslip, steric effects are enhanced. Furthermore, as a result of the asymmetry of the microspheres, lateral extensions will generate varying levels of torque due to rotation of the microsphere within the optical trap since the direction of the reactive force changes during the extension.

Alternate methods for stretching submicron DNA run up against their own unique hurdles. For instance, a dual-beam optical trap is limited to stretching DNA of around a wavelength, at which point interference effects between the two traps and from light scattering between the microspheres begin to pose a significant problem. Replacing one of the traps with a micropipette would most likely suffer from similar challenges. While one could directly use the axial potential to stretch the DNA, an active feedback scheme would be needed to apply a constant force and the bandwidth of this will be quite limited, especially at low forces.

We circumvent these fundamental problems by directly pulling the DNA away from the coverslip by using a constant force axial optical tweezers^{7,8}. This is achieved by trapping the bead in a linear region of the optical potential, where the optical force is constant-the strength of which can be tuned by adjusting the laser power. Trapping within the linear region also serves as an all optical force-clamp on the DNA that extends for nearly 350 nm in the axial direction. We simultaneously compensate for thermal and mechanical drift by finely adjusting the position of the stage so that a reference microsphere stuck to the coverslip remains at the same position and focus, allowing for a virtually limitless observation period.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=3405>

Protocol

1. Tweezers Setup

1. The beam from a 1064 nm laser is split into two orthogonally polarized beams. One is used to manipulate the biomolecule while the other is used for calibration purposes (see Fig. 2).
2. The intensity of the manipulation beam is controlled by an acousto-optic deflector (AOD), while the position and focus of each beam is independently controlled by beam steering mirrors and optical telescopes, respectively.
3. The beams are then recombined with another polarizing beam splitter and conditioned further by a final set of telescoping optics to slightly overfill the back aperture of the microscope objective. A 50% overfill for the calibration beam leads to a tight optical trap, while a slightly smaller factor for the manipulation beam, approximately 20%, gives a shallower focus that translates into a larger workable region of the linear potential. The beams are tightly focused by a PlanApo 60x/1.4 oil immersion microscope objective onto the sample cell.
4. This tweezers setup is combined with a home built brightfield microscope that provides illumination from a Halogen lamp focused onto the sample chamber by a condenser. The brightfield image is separated from the laser light by a dichroic mirror and then imaged on two CCD cameras. One CCD acts as our primary means of acquiring data and is software triggered to yield precise sampling rates, while the other CCD is used to image a single stuck reference microsphere whose position serves as a feedback-control system to compensate for drift in the microscope.

5. The sample is coarsely positioned with respect to the objective by an XY stage, and can then be precisely positioned by an embedded three dimensional piezo-stage.
6. The forward-scattered and transmitted laser light is collected by the brightfield condenser and focused onto a photodetector. The resulting signal is filtered through an anti-aliasing filter at 100 kHz, amplified and acquired at 200 kHz using a DAQ card.

2. Calibrating Apparent Size of the Bead to Axial Position

1. Load a sample chamber⁹ with a disperse solution of 800 nm diameter polystyrene microspheres diluted in phosphate buffered saline . Let sit for 10-15 minutes and then lightly flush with buffer to remove excess microspheres.
2. Locate a microsphere randomly stuck to the coverglass and adjust the height of the sample chamber until the microsphere is approximately 1 μm below the focus to generate a defocused image.
3. To measure the apparent size of the image, first find the centre of the microsphere using the geometric pattern matching function in LabView.
4. Next, generate a radial intensity profile of the microsphere by averaging 360° about the center of each cross section. The radial profile, which corresponds to a white ring in each of the brightfield images, can be fitted with a quadratic function to find a brightness peak. The distance between this peak and the center can be used as a measure of the apparent size of the bead.
5. Using the calibrated piezostage, gradually increase the axial position of the microsphere and acquire an image at each axial position with the CCD camera.
6. Repeat the image analysis for each successive image to correlate the apparent size of the microsphere with its axial location (see Fig. 3). The axial resolution obtained by method is around 1.4 nm⁷.

3. Mapping the Optical Potential of the Manipulation Beam

1. Begin by collinearly aligning the manipulation beam and the calibration beam. The calibration beam should be around 50 mW at the back aperture of the objective while the manipulation beam should be much weaker, say 10 mW.
2. With the manipulation beam switched off, confine a free microsphere within the much stiffer trap of the calibration beam.
3. Now, turn on the manipulation beam. Since the manipulation beam is much weaker than the calibration beam, the axial position of the microsphere will be slightly perturbed. The resulting change in axial position can be measured from the defocused brightfield images as already described.
4. Turn off the manipulation beam and with the microsphere still trapped in the calibration beam, shift the axial focus of the calibration beam by adjusting the telescopic lens. Turn on the manipulation beam, measure the subsequent displacement of the microsphere and repeat.
5. The displacements ΔX can be plotted against the axial position of the focus of the calibration beam. The axial position corresponding to the largest displacement of the microsphere determines the center of the linear region of the optical trap (see Fig. 4).
6. The final step in obtaining the optical force of the manipulation beam as a function of axial position requires a careful measurement of the stiffness of the calibration beam. To obtain this, move the microsphere, trapped by the calibration beam, to around a micrometer above the surface by adjusting the telescopic lens while the manipulation beam is switched off.
7. Record the thermal axial motion of the microsphere by measuring the intensity of transmitted light with a photodetector.
8. The autocorrelation of the signal can be fitted to a single exponential decay function to give the time constant of the fluctuations, τ_z .
9. The hydrodynamic friction coefficient ζ , of the microsphere can be corrected for the microspheres proximity to a surface^{5,10} . The stiffness of the calibration trap is then given by $\kappa_z = \zeta / \tau_z$ (see Supplementary Materials A and B).
10. Knowing the stiffness of the calibration trap allows one to convert the previously measured axial displacements into a force $f_z = \kappa_z \Delta X$. Integration of this curve yields a spatial mapping of the axial optical potential of the manipulation beam (see Fig. 4).

4. Stretching a DNA Sample

1. Mount the chamber⁹ containing surface tethered DNA molecules (< 1 kbp) and, while observing the brightfield image, place the focus of the manipulation beam slightly above the unstretched microspheres by adjusting the telescope. Then adjust the position of the stage until one of the microspheres is trapped.
2. Roughly position the microsphere in the center of the XY plane of the optical trap. Generate a series of square waves in LabView and send them to the AOD to repetitively turn the laser beam on and off. Carefully control the intensity of the laser and the duration of the on state to prevent heating of the sample chamber. Typically, use around 2 mW of laser power (measured at the back aperture of the objective) and send pulses of 200 ms (on) and 500 ms (off). The amplitude of the square wave sent to the AOD should be around 0.5 V.
3. Watch the microsphere as the trap is repeatedly turned on and off and note if the laser induces any preferential direction to the microsphere's motion. While iteratively adjusting the microsphere position in both the X and Y direction, by controlling the piezostage, the random motion of the microsphere should become isotropic in the XY plane, though noticeably restricted when the laser is on.
4. Next, align the bead in the Z direction. Again pulse the laser beam on and off while, this time, simultaneously measuring the microspheres axial displacement in real time. Center the stage within the linear region, which is the point where the Z displacement is greatest.
5. After a careful alignment in the Z direction, it is imperative to check that the trap is still centered in the XY plane. If the XY alignment has changed, both the XY and Z alignment must be repeated until the microsphere is centered properly along all three axes.
6. For stretching the DNA, ramp the laser intensity by sending a voltage signal to the AOD, from 0 V to 0.5 V in steps of 0.025 V. In each step, record 400 frames at 100 fps and average them to obtain the axial displacement.
7. The force extension curves can now be plotted and fit to a modified WLC model¹¹ (see Supplementary Materials B).

5. Representative Results:

We present force extension curves for two DNA sequences: a 1298 bp and a 247 bp sequence, the latter being the shortest sequence we have been able to stretch reproducibly. For short stretches of DNA, the conventional Worm-Like Chain (WLC) model does not fully explain the force extension relationship because at these length scales one must account for finite-size effects and zero force extension arising from boundary constraints. The force extension measurements, therefore, have to be fit using a modified WLC model which has an effective persistence length and a zero force extension as fit parameters, described further in the supplementary materials. For large contour lengths of dsDNA, the effective persistence length is simply the nominal persistence length (~50 nm) and the zero force extension can be neglected. However, as the contour length becomes shorter the effective persistence length decreases well below 50 nm and the DNA, even under zero force, shows a significant extension.

The data and the corresponding fits of the force extension curves are shown in Fig. 5 for the two sequences. From the modified WLC fits, we have determined the effective persistence lengths to be 35 nm for the 1298 bp DNA and 25 nm for 247 bp DNA. For illustrative purposes, we are presenting single measures of the force extension curves for each sequence. In practice, one would repeat the measurements multiple times and obtain the average results along with the standard errors. It must also be noted that after obtaining each curve it is imperative to ensure that the microsphere remains trapped and properly positioned within the linear region, otherwise the microsphere must be realigned as previously described in the protocol.

Figure 1 Principle of Axial Optical Tweezers. (Left) Conventional optical tweezers trap near the focus. The bead is then moved lateral to the coverslip to exert tension. (Right) In axial optical tweezers, a microsphere is trapped away from the focus, in the linear region of the optical potential. In this configuration, the polymer is held under constant tension for a range of extensions. Moreover, increasing the laser intensity moves the microsphere in the axial direction.

Figure 2 Schematic Representation of Axial Optical Tweezers. Laser light (1064nm Nd:YvO₄) is split into two beams by passing through a polarizing beam splitter (PBS). The manipulation beam, passes through an acousto-optical deflector (AOD) and the calibration beam can be adjusted independently using telescopic lenses. The two beams are then recombined using another PBS and focused onto the sample by a high N.A. objective. Simultaneously, brightfield illumination used to track the microsphere, passes through the sample, is infrared (IR) filtered and is then imaged by two CCD cameras, one of which takes measurements while the other acts as part of a feedback control system.

Figure 3 Axial Position Calibration. To calibrate the axial position, we acquire defocused images of a bead stuck to the chamber coverslip at varying axial positions of the stage. The size of the microsphere is given by the distance between its center and the position of peak intensity about the bright ring formed around the image of the microsphere. The inset presents the radial intensity distribution which gives the size of the bead.

Figure 4 Principle Behind Mapping the Optical Potential. To map out the optical force of the manipulation beam, the axial displacement that it induces on a microsphere trapped within a much stronger calibration beam is measured. The center of the linear region where this displacement is a maximum is located. The Optical Force vs. Axial Position curve can be integrated to find the optical potential.

Figure 5 Force Extension Curve. Data is shown for a random 1298 bp and 247 bp (inset) segment of dsDNA stretched by axial optical tweezers. The data points were fit to the modified WLC model of Eq. 3 (solid lines) and yielded effective persistence lengths of 34 nm and 25 nm for the 1298bp and 247bp respectively.

Discussion

Conventional optical tweezers rely upon either analog or computer-controlled feedback to apply a constant force on a refractile object. These active feedback systems have difficulty performing under conditions where sudden changes in the extension of the specimen occur, for instance, from the binding of a protein to DNA or the rapid stepping of a molecular motor along a filament. Various passive methods for applying constant forces have recently been developed. One such method, used to resolve the stepping of RNA polymerase at basepair resolution, involved working within the linear region of the optical potential of a Gaussian laser beam¹². We have adapted this method for the manipulation of short biomolecules by creating a constant-force axial optical tweezers.

Axial optical tweezers can be used to study short stretches of DNA that are inaccessible to manipulation by conventional optical methods. They have been used to study the elasticity of short DNA molecules as small as a few hundred base pairs⁸ and to probe the effects of elastic tension on protein-mediated DNA loops^{13,14}. On short length scales, sequence dependent effects arising from variations in hydrogen bonding and stacking energies, may strongly contribute to the elastic properties of DNA. Axial optical tweezers are an ideal tool for uncovering these sequence dependent effects on DNA elasticity¹⁵. Moreover, axial optical tweezers will be a sensitive tool for studying the wrapping of DNA around individual histones and for probing the activity of any rapidly processing molecular motor, and would prove to be a valuable new technique in the single-molecule toolbox.

Disclosures

No conflicts of interest declared.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Yih-Fan Chen for help with the axial optical tweezers and for contributing some of his stretching data to this manuscript. This work was sponsored by NSF grant PHY-0957293 and FOCUS grant PHY-0114336.

References

- Halford, S.E., Welsh, A.J., & Szczelkun, M.D. Enzyme-mediated DNA looping. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **33**, 1-24 (2004).
- Allemand, J.F., Cocco, S., Douarche, N., & Lia, G. Loops in DNA: an overview of experimental and theoretical approaches. *Eur. Phys. J. E. Soft. Matter.* **19**, 293-302 (2006).
- Kaplan, N., *et al.* The DNA-encoded nucleosome organization of a eukaryotic genome. *Nature*. **458**, 362-366 (2009).
- Garcia, H.G., *et al.* Biological Consequences of Tightly Bent DNA: The Other Life of a Macromolecular Celebrity. *Biopolymers*. **85**, 115-130 (2006).
- Neuman, K.C. & Block, S.M. Optical trapping. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **75**, 2787-2809 (2004).
- Moffitt, J.R., Chemla, Y.R., Smith, S.B., & Bustamante, C. Recent advances in optical tweezers. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **77**, 205-228 (2008).
- Chen, Y.F., Blab, G.A., & Meiners, J.C. Stretching submicron biomolecules with constant-force axial optical tweezers. *Biophys. J.* **96**, 4701-4708 (2009).
- Chen, Y.F., Wilson, D.P., Raghunathan, K., & Meiners, J.C. Entropic boundary effects on the elasticity of short DNA molecules. *Phys. Rev. E*. **80**, 020903 (2009).
- Tethered Particle Microscopy (TPM) Protocol. University of Michigan, Meiners Lab: Yih-Fan Chen & Mike Chu; Updated 2010 Jul 16; Cited 2011 Oct 13. Available from: <http://meiners.biop.lsa.umich.edu/Data/Sites/7/userfiles/tpm-protocol.pdf>.

10. Brenner, H. The slow motion of a sphere through a viscous fluid towards a plane surface. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **16**, 242-251 (1961).
11. Marko, J.F. & Siggia, E.D. Stretching DNA. *Macromolecules*. **28**, 8759-8770 (1995).
12. Greenleaf, W.J. & Block, S.M. Single-molecule, motion-based DNA sequencing using RNA polymerase. *Science*. **313**, 801 (2006).
13. Chen, Y.F., Milstein, J.N., & Meiners, J.C. Protein-mediated DNA loop formation and breakdown in a fluctuating environment. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 258103 (2010).
14. Chen, Y.F., Milstein, J.N., & Meiners, J.C. Femtonewton entropic forces can control the formation of protein-mediated DNA loops. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 048301 (2010).
15. Raghunathan, K., Milstein, J.N., Juliar, B., Blaty, J., & Meiners, J.C. *Sequence Dependent Effects on the Elasticity of Short DNA Molecules* (In process, 2011).