Published in final edited form as:

Int J Clin Pract. 2011 December ; 65(12): 1214–1217. doi:10.1111/j.1742-1241.2011.02762.x.

Power and Control: Contracts and the Patient-Physician Relationship

Sarah R. Lieber¹, Scotty Y. Kim², and Michael L. Volk³

¹School of Medicine, University of Michigan

²Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan

³Department of Medicine, University of Michigan

Abstract

Contracts with patients have become increasingly common in clinical practice and the medical literature. These include behavioral contracts for managing “difficult patients”¹, opioid contracts^{2–5}, suicide prevention contracts^{6,7}, and healthy living contracts⁸. Some physician practices have even asked patients to sign contracts promising not to litigate or post defamatory comments on the Internet⁹. Despite widespread adoption, few have stopped to consider the potential risks and ethical concerns with using these documents. This perspective will describe how patient contracts are ultimately about power and control, and if not used carefully could damage the patient-physician relationship.

What are patient contracts?

The definition of a contract according to the Oxford English Dictionary is a “mutual agreement between two or more parties that something shall be done.” Patient contracts stray far from this definition, and serve many other purposes beyond agreement over a course of action. As shown in Table 1, the intent of contracts varies by clinical situation¹⁰. Nonetheless, they share one common feature: they are created by physicians, and signed by patients.

Many good reasons to use them

Many contracts are driven by harsh realities: physicians must regulate opioid prescribing, fairly allocate organs for transplantation, and prevent maltreatment of clinic personnel (Table 1). Thus, contracts in these settings are intended to clarify expectations and foster transparency². For example, contracts for opioid prescription establish rules of behavior and limit misunderstandings. In the setting of organ transplantation, a written substance abuse contract seeks to make patients explicitly aware of eligibility criteria for transplant listing. However, in other situations contracts have less regulatory – and more therapeutic – intent. Some contracts can help doctors assess risk and express concern for a patient, as in the case of suicide prevention contracts⁷. Others are intended as educational tools, with the patient’s signature used to reinforce the importance of assimilating the information¹¹. Finally, some contracts are used to foster patient responsibility for improved health and motivate behavioral change. In this way, they can be likened to “Ulysses contracts” – signing a contract helps patients bolster the willpower of their “future selves”¹².

Power: a relationship between unequals

At first glance these reasons may seem perfectly valid justification for using patient contracts. However, let us consider the method by which these documents are used. In most cases patients are asked to sign a standard form drafted by the physician or medical staff, without opportunity for negotiation of terms. This resembles what is known in the legal literature as an “adhesion contract”—a “standardized contract, which imposed and drafted by the party of superior bargaining strength, relegates to the subscribing party only the opportunity to adhere to the contract or reject it”⁹. In most areas of life (*e.g.* applying for a home mortgage), the subscribing party is freely able to walk away from these contracts if they choose. Such is not the case for patients, who by virtue of illness, knowledge base, and social hierarchy are the less powerful party in a patient-physician relationship¹³. Moreover, many patients have limited choices of healthcare providers, due to constraints by geography, insurance, and financial resources.

Control: the true aim of contracts

While patient contracts have myriad stated goals, they share a common theme: physicians attempting to control the behavior of their patients. In some instances, this is done in the patient’s best interests in an attempt to reach therapeutic aims. In other instances, controlling behavior is important to protect health care staff, to use scarce resources more effectively, and to avoid problems such as opioid drug trafficking. Attempts to modify patient behaviors are perfectly acceptable in a milder form, termed *persuasion*. Every day, physicians must persuade patients that their diagnosis is correct and the proposed treatment plan is a good one. Physicians respect patient autonomy by giving patients reasons to choose a proposed therapeutic course, and together physician and patient come to an agreement on a plan of action. But turning these informal agreements into formal documents, presented to the patient without opportunity for negotiation, turns persuasion into control and even coercion. In other words, patients may feel forced to sign a clinical contract for fear of jeopardizing their relationship with their physician and not receiving the medical care they need.

Consequences of Breach

When used in the legal context, contracts revolve around the exchange of something valuable, called “consideration.” In some clinical settings, the consideration is clear: continued prescription of narcotics, or eligibility to receive a liver transplant, in exchange for the patient adhering to terms of the contract. But what consideration is given for suicide prevention or healthy living contracts? In other areas of life, breach of a contract ends the relationship between the parties. In medicine, does the “consideration” provided in exchange for contract adherence include continued medical care? If so, many would argue that this stipulation violates physicians’ ethical obligations not to abandon patients¹⁴. Even if not, the consequences of breach may not always be clear to patients, who may assume from the word “contract” that the relationship would be terminated.

Because of these implied consequences, contracts run the risk of fundamentally altering the patient-physician relationship – a relationship that has traditionally been founded on unconditional loyalty¹⁵. If patients feel that their medical care could be terminated at any time for perception of noncompliance, how can they openly communicate with their physicians, or participate in shared decision making? Patients may feel threatened or coerced, and perhaps even view the contract as a “prelude to abandonment”¹¹. Furthermore, requiring patients to sign a contract for entering into a treatment relationship may send a message of distrust, which could harm not only the relationship, but also the patient’s sense of self-efficacy³.

Conclusion

In summary, the word “contract” is a misleading term for documents which are being increasingly used in a wide variety of clinical situations. In order to avoid harm to the patient-physician relationship, we have a number of suggestions as shown in Table 2. In cases where the contract is serving primarily a regulatory purpose (*e.g.* opioid prescription), we suggest replacing the implication-laden term “Contract” with something like “Acknowledgement of Clinical Policies.” Policies need to be clearly stated in simple, understandable language, and they should be explained to patients in order to maximize understanding. Clinic policies should not discriminate against certain patient populations. Patients should be provided enough time to understand the policy, ask questions, and carry out their obligations. It is not enough to inform patients of the policy itself; health care professionals must also inform patients of resources and ways to achieve these goals. Ultimately, policies should emphasize that physicians will not abandon patients at any point, but may be limited in the type of care they can deliver based on the policy. Finally, for situations where the primary intent is behavior change, we urge physicians to instead use alternative methods of persuasion. An example of such an alternative would be motivational interviewing, a technique with strong empirical support and fewer negative connotations¹⁶. We hope that these suggestions will foster improved patient-physician communication, and help engage patients in assuming responsibility for their health.

References

1. Harris PS, Duermeyer M, Ehly C, et al. The “impossible patient”: Organizational response to a clinical problem. *The Journal of Clinical Ethics*. 1999; 10(3):242–244. [PubMed: 10693050]
2. Fishman SM, Kreis PG. The opioid contract. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*. 2002; 18(4):S70–S75. [PubMed: 12479256]
3. Collen M. Opioid contracts and random drug testing for people with chronic pain--Think twice. *The Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2009; 37(4):841–845.
4. Wallace LS, Keenum AJ, Roskos SE, McDaniel KS. Development and validation of a low-literacy opioid contract. *The Journal of Pain*. 2007; 8(10 October):759–766. [PubMed: 17569596]
5. Hariharan J, Lamb GC, Neuner JM. Long-term opioid contract use for chronic pain management in primary care practice. A five year experience. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2007; 22(4): 485–490. [PubMed: 17372797]
6. Hales, RE.; Yudofsky, SC.; Gabbard, GO. *The American Psychiatric Publishing Textbook of Psychiatry*. 5. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Pub; 2008.
7. Garvey KA, Penn JV, Campbell AL, Esposito-Smythers C, Spirito A. Contracting for safety with patients: clinical practice and forensic implications. *The Journal of American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*. 2009; 37(3):363–370.
8. Bosch-Capblanch X, Abba K, Prictor M, Garner P. Contracts between patients and healthcare practitioners for improving patients’ adherence to treatment, prevention and health promotion activities. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007. 2009; (2):1–71.
9. Sacopulos M, Segal J. Limiting exposure to medical malpractice claims and defamatory cyber postings via patient contracts. *Clinical Orthopedics and Related Research*. 2009; 467(2):427–433.
10. Volk ML, Lieber SR, Kim SY, Ubel PA, Schneider CE. Contracts with patients in clinical practice. *Lancet*. Apr 14.2011
11. Bloom H, Rosenbluth M. The use of contracts in the inpatient treatment of the borderline personality disorder. *Psychiatric Quarterly*. 1989; 60(4):317–327. [PubMed: 2636413]
12. Spellecy R. Reviving Ulysses contracts. *Kennedy Inst Ethics J*. Dec; 2003 13(4):373–392. [PubMed: 15049305]
13. Goodyear-Smith F, Buetow S. Power issues in the doctor-patient relationship. *Health Care Anal*. 2001; 9(4):449–462. [PubMed: 11874258]

14. Payne R, Anderson E, Arnold R, et al. A rose by any other name: pain contracts/agreements. *Am J Bioeth.* Nov; 10(11):5–12. [PubMed: 21104545]
15. Quill TE, Cassel CK. Nonabandonment: a central obligation for physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* Mar 1; 1995 122(5):368–374. [PubMed: 7847649]
16. Rollnick, S.; Miller, WR.; Butler, C. *Motivational interviewing in health care: helping patients change behavior.* New York: Guilford Press; 2007.

Table 1
Common Types of Patient Contracts

Some contracts are intended primarily as therapeutic interventions to motivate behavior change, while others have external justification such as maximizing use of scarce organs or preventing narcotic diversion.

Contract Type	Clinical Setting	Aim	Therapeutic Intent
Suicide Prevention Contract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mental Health 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To assess risk of suicide To engage patient in preventing suicide 	High
Addiction Treatment Contracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Treatment of Addiction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To engage patient in preventing recidivism 	High
Transplant Substance Abuse Contracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organ Transplantation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To communicate substance abuse criteria for receipt of organ transplant 	Low
Opioid Contracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chronic narcotic prescription 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To educate about medication side effects To prevent misuse and trafficking in narcotics 	Low
Safe Treatment Contracts/Drug Monitoring	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High risk medication (ex: immunosuppressants) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To educate about medication side effects To increase adherence to laboratory monitoring 	Moderate
Healthy-Living Contracts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lifestyle modification (diet, exercise) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To engage patient in goal of lifestyle modification 	High
Difficult Patient Contract	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disruptive patients 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To clarify rules of the clinic To engage patient in goal of decreasing disruptive behavior 	Moderate

Table 2

Recommendations for Using Physician-Patient Agreements in Medicine: The A, B, C's

Recommendation	Definition/Explanation
A = NO A bandonment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No threat of abandonment The physician should continue to provide for the patient, but may be limited in the type of care that can be given.
B = B ilateral Agreement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Both parties sign agreement Both parties have obligations to uphold that are clearly outlined in agreement
C = Informed C onsent	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear, explicit terms stated in simple language Patient educated in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Actions that he/she must perform Actions that the physician/health care team will carry out Consequences for breach of agreement (i.e. description of how care will be altered)
D = NO D iscrimination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Selection of behavior demanded of patient is not based on stigmatization Justification provided as to why agreement targets the specific behavior/action in question and not others
E = E qual Opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patient should be educated on how to carry out his end of the agreement All patients have access to services/resources needed for behavioral change and improved health <ul style="list-style-type: none"> e.g.) Substance abuse counseling, weight loss programs, etc.
F = F air Terms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patient provided enough time to understand agreement Patient provided enough time to carry out his/her obligations Achievable goals/terms for patient Avoid extreme limitations on personal liberties Patients cannot waive their rights completely when it comes to reporting medical grievances/malpractice