Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2011 December 2; 13(23): 6328–6330. doi:10.1021/ol2026697.

Catalytic, Asymmetric, Interrupted Feist-Bénary Reactions of α -Tosyloxyacetophenones

Michael A. Calter* and Alexander Korotkov

Department of Chemistry, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06459

Abstract

A new variant of the Interrupted Feist-Bénary (IFB) reaction uses α -tosyloxyacetophenones as electrophiles and proceeds in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities.

Substituted furanoids are common building blocks for the synthesis of many natural products and useful intermediates in organic synthesis.¹ Among other methods, the Interrupted Feist-Bénary (IFB) reaction – the condensation of α -haloketones and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds – provides quick access to highly substituted hydroxyfuranoids from simple and easily accessible starting materials.² We found several years ago that β -bromo- α -ketoesters were suitable electrophiles for an asymmetric version of the IFB reaction catalyzed by bis(cinchona alkaloid)pyrimidines.³ The ester moiety of the β -bromo- α -ketoester presumably activates the ketone carbonyl carbon for nucleophilic attack, allowing the reaction to proceed rapidly at low temperature. We also postulated that the ester carbonyl provides a second hydrogen-bond acceptor, further organizing the transition state and allowing for high enantioselectivity.³ Indeed, all reported examples of the catalytic, asymmetric IFB reaction involve β -bromo- α -ketoesters as electrophiles.⁴

We decided to expand the scope of the catalytic, asymmetric IFB reaction to include less highly functionalized electrophiles. We chose acetophenone derivatives as our initial set of substrates (Scheme 1). In analogy to the previous work, we chose to use bromide as the leaving group. However, these simple α -bromoketones, without the adjacent, activating ester, did not afford appreciable product. Fortunately, we then discovered that ketones bearing an α -tosyloxy (OTs) group were much more reactive, and therefore we decided to explore the catalytic, asymmetric reactions of α -tosyloxyacetophenones.

We began our study using the reaction between α -tosyloxy-*p*-nitroacetophenone⁵ and 1,3-cyclohexyldione to screen for the optimal catalyst (Table 1). Under standard conditions

mcalter@wesleyan.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

using Proton Sponge (PS) as a base and quinuclidine as a catalyst the reaction went to the completion in about 10 minutes and the desired product was isolated in moderate yield. As bis(cinchona alkaloid)pyrimidines proved to be effective in the parent reaction, we decided to screen the quinidine catalysts previously synthesized in our group.^{3,6} These initial results indicated that catalysts with R₂ = *t*Bu (entries 1 and 2) lead to low enantioselectivity, while a catalyst with phenyl at both the R₁ and R₂ positions (entry 5) gives a promising result (74% ee). For further improvement of ee toward a synthetically useful level, we decided to synthesize new catalysts containing different aryl groups at the R₁ position.

These cinchona alkaloid-derived catalysts can be synthesized in two steps starting from commercially available 4,6-dichloro-2-methylthio-5-phenylpyrimidine (Scheme 2). Liebeskind-Srogl coupling of this substrate with aryl boronic acids allows the introduction of different aryl groups at the R₁ position.⁷ The resulting dichloropyrimidines react with quinine or quinidine in the presence of KOH in refluxing toluene to afford bis(cinchona alkaloid)pyrimidine catalysts **3f** and **4a–d**.

Screening of these newly synthesized quinine catalysts showed that the 2,3-dimethylphenyl group noticeably improves the ee of the product (82%). Gratifyingly, similar catalyst containing 1-naphthyl group gave over 90% ee in the test reaction. Other large aryl groups, like 9-phenanthryl, 3-benzothiophene or 2-naphthyl, did not significantly improve enantioselectivity. The quinidine version of the catalyst with 1-naphthyl substituent was also synthesized (**3f**) and gave the opposite enantiomer of the product in 96 % ee.

While the IFB reaction of α -tosyloxy-*p*-nitroacetophenone proceeds smoothly at –42 °C, the less reactive α -tosyloxy-*p*-bromoacetophenone (**1f**) affords the desired product in an unsatisfying 51% yield at this temperature (Table 3, entry 1). The significant fall in the yield was caused by competing C-alkylation. To optimize the reaction conditions, we varied solvent, base and temperature. The yield improves if reaction is run at higher temperature (0 °C), but the enantioselectivity drops significantly in both CH₂Cl₂ and CH₃CN in the presence of PS. Switching from PS to K₂CO₃ allowed us to perform the reaction at higher temperature (0 °C) in acetonitrile without any loss of stereinduction. Although reaction in CH₂Cl₂ gives a better ratio of IFB vs. C-alkylation product, and as a result a better yield, the ee of the product is too low at 0 °C.

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then tested the scope of the reaction by varying the substituents on the phenyl ring of the electrophile. We found that the electron nature of the aryl group has some effect on the rate and yield, but at the same time very small influence on the stereochemical outcome of the reaction. Electron-withdrawing groups accelerate the reaction so that it is done faster and yields of the products are generally higher (Table 4, entries 1–5).

Most of the *p*-halo-substituted- α -tosyloxy-acetophenones give IFB products in excellent ee's (entries 6–12) and good yields with the catalyst **4b**. The reaction of *p*-chloro and unsubstituted α -tosyloxy-acetophenone showed reduced enantioselectivity, but it can be significantly improved by switching to the catalyst **4c** containing a 9-phenanthryl group at the R₁ position (entries 7 and 14). Meta-substituted substrates also react with only slightly reduced ee and yields. In general, in order to increase the enantioselectivity the reaction can be carried out at lower temperature (entries 3 and 16), but the yield can worsen for substrates with no electron-withdrawing group on an aromatic ring. Finally, both dimerone **2b** and cyclohexyldione **2a** function as a satisfactory nucleophiles for the reaction, giving very similar results in terms of yields and enantioselectivities of the IFB products.

We assigned the absolute stereochemistry of IFB product **5f**, made in the reaction catalyzed by the quinine-catalyst **4b**, by anomalous dispersion analysis of single crystal X-ray data. By

analogy, the remaining IFB products were assigned as *S*-isomers if **4b** was used and *R* with quinine-derived catalyst **3f**.

In conclusion, the interrupted Feist-Bénary reaction of α -tosyloxy-acetophenones and cyclic 1,3-diketones proceeds in good to excellent yields under mild conditions. Newly synthesized bis(cinchona alkaloid)pyrimidines afford the IFB product with excellent asymmetric induction.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the NIH for financial support of this project. We acknowledge Dr. Ryan Phillips (IRIX Pharmaceuticals) for preliminary experiments. We thank Dr. Christopher Incarvito of the the Yale University X-Ray Crystallographic Facility for completing the X-ray structure of **5f**.

References

1. Kilroy TG, O'Sullivan TP, Guiry PJ. Eur J Org Chem. 2005:4929–4949.
2. (a) Feist F. Chem Ber. 1902; 35:1537–1544.(b) Bénary E. Chem Ber. 1911; 44:489–492.(c) Dunlop AP, Hurd CD. J Org Chem. 1950; 15:1160–1164.(d) Cantlon IJ, Cocker W, McMurry TBH. Tetrahedron. 1961; 15:46–52.(e) Calter MA, Zhu C. Org Lett. 2002; 4:205–208. [PubMed: 11796051] (f) Calter MA, Zhu C, Lachicotte RJ. Org Lett. 2002; 4:209–212. [PubMed: 11796052]
3. Calter MA, Phillips RM, Flaschenriem C. J Am Chem Soc. 2005; 127:14566–14567. [PubMed: 16231897]
4. Chen H, Jiang R, Wang QF, Sun XL, Luo J, Zhang SY. Chin Chem Lett. 2010; 21:167–170.
5. Kose GF, Relenyi AG, Kalos AN, Rebrovic L, Wettach RH. J Org Chem. 1982; 47:2487–2489.
6. (a) Calter MA, Wang J. Org Lett. 2009; 11:2205–2208. [PubMed: 19366193] (b) Crispino GA, Jeong KS, Kolb HC, Wang ZM, Xe D, Sharpless KB. J Org Chem. 1993; 58:3785–3786.
7. Liebeskind LS, Srogl J. Org Lett. 2002; 4:979–981. [PubMed: 11893201]

Scheme 1.
The Interrupted Feist-Bénary Reaction

Scheme 2.
Synthesis of New Catalysts

Table 1

Target IFB reaction

- 3a: R₁ = H, R₂ = Ph
 3b: R₁ = *t*-Bu, R₂ = *t*-Bu
 3c: R₁ = *t*-Bu, R₂ = Ph
 3d: R₁ = Ph, R₂ = *t*-Bu
 3e: R₁ = Ph, R₂ = Ph

entry	catalyst	yield %	ee % ^a
1	3a	79	46
2	3b	69	11
3	3c	72	10
4	3d	81	60
5	3e	74	74

^aDetermined by HPLC analysis of the purified product.

Table 2IFB Reaction of **1a** and **2a** in CH₂Cl₂ in the Presence of PS and 10 mol % of Catalyst at −42 °C.

entry	catalyst	yield %	ee % ^a
1	4a	83	82 (<i>S</i>)
2	4b	80	92 (<i>S</i>)
3	4c	73	84 (<i>S</i>)
4	4d	79	69 (<i>S</i>)
6	3f	81	96 (<i>R</i>)

^a Determined by HPLC analysis of the purified product.

Table 3

Optimization of Reaction Conditions for Reaction of **1b** and **2a**.

entry	base	solvent	temp (°C)	5f: alkylation ^a	% yield of 5f	% ee of 5f ^b
1	PS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-42	1.2:1	51	95
2	PS	CH ₂ Cl ₂	0	9.0:1	76	82
3	PS	CH ₃ CN	-28	1.1:1	47	97
4	PS	CH ₃ CN	0	3.2:1	67	88
5	K ₂ CO ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-28	8.9:1	73	86
6	K ₂ CO ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	0	32:1	85	80
7	K ₂ CO ₃	CH ₃ CN	-28	1.2:1	50	96
8	K ₂ CO ₃	CH ₃ CN	0	5.0:1	69	94

^a Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the unpurified reaction mixture.

^b Determined by HPLC analysis of the purified product.

Table 4

Substrate Scope of IEB reaction

Reaction scheme: A substituted benzene ring with substituents X_1 , X_2 , and an OTs group reacts with a substituted cyclohexanone (**2a**: R = H, **2b**: R = Me) in the presence of 10 mol % catalyst and K_2CO_3 in CH_3CN at $0\text{ }^\circ C$ to form a bicyclic product (**5a-l**).

entry	electro-ophile	X_1	X_2	R	catalyst	product	% yield	% ee ^a
1	1a	NO ₂	H	Me	4b	5a	98	91
2	1a	NO ₂	H	Me	3f	5a	97	94 ^b
3	1a	NO ₂	H	Me	4b	5a	95	95 ^c
4	1b	CN	H	Me	4b	5b	82	94
5	1c	CF ₃	H	Me	4b	5c	87	96
6	1d	F	H	Me	4b	5d	76	90
7	1d	F	H	Me	4c	5d	73	93
8	1e	Cl	H	Me	4b	5e	69	84
9	1e	Cl	H	Me	4c	5e	71	96
10	1f	Br	H	Me	4b	5f	65	96
11	1f	Br	H	Me	3f	5f	66	96 ^b
12	1g	I	H	Me	4b	5g	70	99
13	1h	H	H	Me	4b	5h	64	84
14	1h	H	H	Me	4c	5h	66	90
15	1i	H	NO ₂	Me	4b	5i	78	82
16	1i	H	NO ₂	Me	4c	5i	77	90
17	1j	H	Br	Me	4c	5j	61	91
18	1c	CF ₃	H	H	4b	5k	90	98
19	1f	Br	H	H	4b	5l	69	94

^a Determined by HPLC analysis of the purified product.

^b The (*R*)-enantiomer is the major product.

^c Reaction run at -42°C .