Video Article

Bacterial Immobilization for Imaging by Atomic Force Microscopy

David P. Allison^{1,2}, Claretta J. Sullivan³, Ninell Pollas Mortensen^{1,2}, Scott T. Retterer^{1,4}, Mitchel Doktycz^{1,4}

¹Biological and Nanoscale Systems Group, Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

²Department of Biochemistry and Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of Tennessee

³Department of Surgery, Eastern Virginia Medical School

⁴Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Correspondence to: Mitchel Doktycz at doktyczmj@ornl.gov

URL: <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=2880>

DOI: 10.3791/2880

Keywords: Bioengineering, Issue 54, Bacteria, AFM imaging, Liquid imaging, Gelatin, Bacterial Immobilization,

Date Published: 10/8/2011

Citation: Allison, D.P., Sullivan, C.J., Mortensen, N.P., Retterer, S.T., Doktycz, M. Bacterial Immobilization for Imaging by Atomic Force Microscopy. J. Vis. Exp. (54), e2880, DOI : 10.3791/2880 (2011).

Abstract

AFM is a high-resolution (nm scale) imaging tool that mechanically probes a surface. It has the ability to image cells and biomolecules, in a liquid environment, without the need to chemically treat the sample. In order to accomplish this goal, the sample must sufficiently adhere to the mounting surface to prevent removal by forces exerted by the scanning AFM cantilever tip. In many instances, successful imaging depends on immobilization of the sample to the mounting surface. Optimally, immobilization should be minimally invasive to the sample such that metabolic processes and functional attributes are not compromised. By coating freshly cleaved mica surfaces with porcine (pig) gelatin, negatively charged bacteria can be immobilized on the surface and imaged in liquid by AFM. Immobilization of bacterial cells on gelatin-coated mica is most likely due to electrostatic interaction between the negatively charged bacteria and the positively charged gelatin. Several factors can interfere with bacterial immobilization, including chemical constituents of the liquid in which the bacteria are suspended, the incubation time of the bacteria on the gelatin coated mica, surface characteristics of the bacterial strain and the medium in which the bacteria are imaged. Overall, the use of gelatin-coated mica is found to be generally applicable for imaging microbial cells.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at <http://www.jove.com/details.php?id=2880>

Protocol

1. Mica preparation:

1. Cut the mica (Electron Microscopy Sciences) with scissors to the size necessary to fit the AFM microscope (approx. 22 × 30 mm).
2. Cleave the mica on both sides, generally using tape to remove the outer layer, until only smooth unbroken layers remain.

2. Preparation of gelatin solution:

1. Add 100 ml of distilled water to a laboratory bottle.
2. Heat the bottle in a microwave until the water begins to boil. (If a microwave is not available, the water can be heated in a beaker on a hot plate.)
3. Weigh out 0.5 grams of the gelatin (Sigma G-6144, G-2625 or G-2500) and add to the hot distilled water.
4. Gently swirl the bottle until the gelatin is completely dissolved.
5. Cool the gelatin solution to 60-70 °C.
6. Pour approximately 15 mL into a small beaker (20 mL) into which the cut cleaved mica can be dipped.

3. Mica coating:

1. Submerge the mica squares into the warmed gelatin solution and withdraw quickly such that the mica is coated (Fig. 1a).
2. After coating, support the mica immediately, on edge, on a paper towel to dry in ambient air (Fig. 1b). The gelatin coated mica can be used for at least 2 weeks. The excess gelatin solution can be kept refrigerated and used for approximately a month by simply reheating the stock solution to between 60-70 °C. Care must be taken to ensure that the gelatin is not boiled during reheating.

4. Mounting bacteria on gelatin coated mica:

1. Pellet 1 mL of a bacterial culture (0.5 - 1.0 OD at 600 nm) at 800 to 4,500 rcf. Depending on the bacteria, use the minimum rcf that will pellet the cells in ~ 5 minutes.
2. Wash the pellet in the same volume of filtered deionized water or buffer.
3. Collect the cells again by centrifugation.

4. Resuspend the pellet promptly in 500 μL of nanopure deionized water or buffer. (The bacterial suspension should be visibly turbid in order to have an adequate concentration of cells for AFM imaging.)
5. Apply the cell suspension (10-20 μL) to the gelatin-coated mica and spread on the surface using a pipette tip (Fig. 1c). Care should be taken to not physically touch the gelatin surface with the pipette tip while applying or spreading the bacterial solution.
6. Allow the surface to rest for 10 minutes and rinse with a stream of water or buffer (Fig 1 c-d). Throughout the procedure, take care to ensure that the sample is not allowed to dry.

5. Representative Results:

Figure 2 shows example images of bacterial cells that have been mounted on gelatin-coated mica and imaged by AFM. In our laboratories, samples are typically imaged using a PicoPlus atomic force microscope (Agilent Technologies, Temple, AZ) outfitted with a 100 μm scanning head. The instrument is operated at 128-512 pixels per line scan at a scan speed of 0.5-1.0 lines/s. The cantilevers that are typically used are Veeco silicon nitride probes (MLCT-AUHW, Veeco, Santa Barbara, CA) with nominal spring constants ranging from 0.01 to 0.1 nN/nm.

Figure 1. Gelatin at a temperature of 60-70 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ is placed in a 20 ml beaker. Using a pair of tweezers freshly cleaved mica squares are totally immersed into the gelatin (a) withdrawn and placed upright on a paper towel leaning against a micro centrifuge rack (b). A droplet of bacterial sample is placed on the mica and spread with a pipet tip in both the X and Y directions (c). After allowing the sample to incubate on the mica surface for at least 10 minutes, rinse the sample in a stream of distilled water. If the immobilization worked you will be able to see an opaque spot on the mica as shown in the right panel of (d). If the immobilization did not work you will get a clear piece of mica as in the left panel. The opaque spot on the mica scales to the concentration of the bacteria in the droplet that you place on the gelatin treated mica.

Figure 2. AFM images of *E. coli*. In panel (a), the cells are mounted on gelatin-coated mica and imaged in 0.005 M PBS. For comparison, an image of bacterial cells mounted on gelatin-coated mica and imaged in air is shown in panel (b). Though septa are clearly visible in image collected in liquid, greater resolution, as indicated by the presence of pili (inset panel (b)), can be obtained in air.

Discussion

Various factors can affect microbial cell mounting and imaging by AFM. The gelatin that is used for coating the mica is important. Commercial gelatin is isolated from a number of vertebrates including fish, cows, and pigs. Both the origin and the processing method determine the gelatin's suitability for bacterial immobilization. Numerous sources and types of gelatin were evaluated for their effectiveness in immobilizing bacteria [1]. The two most effective gelatins were found to be Sigma G-6144 and G-2625. Derived from pigs (porcine), these gelatins are considered to be low Bloom and medium Bloom, respectively. It is important to test for compatibility between the gelatin-treated substrate and the particular strain of

bacteria to be studied. Importantly, gelatin derived from cattle (bovine) has not been effective in immobilizing any of the tested bacteria. A simple test for cell immobilization involves allowing the sample to dry on the mica, after rinsing with water or buffer (after step 4.6). If the bacteria are immobilized, a cloudy area will be observed (Fig 1d). If the surface is not cloudy, the stream of rinse water has removed the bacteria. When performing this test, the user should be cautious of the effects of additives, such as salts, that can also lead to a cloudy appearance.

The gelatin-coated mica immobilization technique has successfully been applied to Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (1), bacterial spheroplasts (2), virus particles (3) and diatom shells (4). Immobilization with gelatin has the advantage of dispersing cells on the substrate, which allows for images of an individual bacterium to be taken. Also the method ensures that an ample number of cells are available in each field, which reduces the time spent searching for cells. The technique has also been used for collection of force measurements on the cell surfaces of both *E. coli* (5) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (6). Characteristics of different bacterial strains can affect immobilization. For example, whereas the wild-type of *E. coli* (DH5 α) are stably immobilized in liquid using substrates coated with gelatin G-6144, a strain deficient in the outer membrane lipoprotein, NlpI did not immobilize well and instead required a high Bloom gelatin (Sigma G-2500).

Contents of the bacterial solution can also affect cell immobilization. Growth media, certain buffers, and salts may interfere with bacterial binding (they compete with the bacteria for the gelatin surface) and will have to be tested. Ultimately, successful imaging of bacteria in liquid by AFM depends on optimizing the immobilization (for cell number), selecting the optimal liquid for applying the bacteria to the mica surface and imaging in a liquid medium compatible with your designed experiment. For example, a dilute buffer has been successfully used where *E. coli* was immobilized on gelatin-coated mica in 0.01M phosphate buffered saline (PBS), rinsed with the same buffer to remove loosely bound cells, and subsequently imaged in 0.005M PBS (Fig. 2). For cells that are osmotically sensitive, sucrose, up to 0.25 M, has been used successfully for mounting and imaging bacteria [2-6]. The imaging mode is another factor that should be considered when trying to image weakly bound bacteria in liquids. Contact mode imaging can displace loosely bound bacteria. The noncontact imaging modes, Tapping Mode and MAC Mode, reduce the lateral forces exerted by the probe tip and therefore may be preferred.

Alternatively, using cantilevers with low spring constants and minimizing force in contact mode may also help to image loosely bound bacteria.

Imaging living bacterial samples in liquid offers the opportunity to get representative images of the cell surface without the compromising effects of drying. Accurate measures of surface characteristics, height, and rigidity of a living cell can be collected. In like fashion, specific probe molecules attached to the cantilever can be used to identify interactions, map location, and measure binding forces to specific targets on the cell surface [7]. Immobilization of bacteria in a liquid environment facilitates dynamic studies of living bacterial cells. This might include studies of bacterial growth and division, the effect of adding molecular species to the imaging medium, and changing physical properties of the environment such as temperature or pressure. Overall, having the option to study bacteria in liquids, at high resolution, fuels the imagination with future research possibilities.

Disclosures

No conflicts of interest declared.

Acknowledgements

This research is sponsored by the Office of Biological and Environmental Research, U.S. Department of Energy and by grant funding from Virginia's Commonwealth Health Research Board. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725.

References

1. Doktycz, M.J., Sullivan, C.J., Hoyt, P.R., Pelletier, D.A., Wu, S., & Allison, D.P. AFM imaging of bacteria in liquid media immobilized on gelatin coated mica surfaces. *Ultramicroscopy*. **97** (1-4), 209-216 (2003).
2. Sullivan, C.J., Morrell, J.L., Allison, D.P., & Doktycz, M.J. Mounting of *Escherichia Coli* Spheroplasts for AFM Imaging. *Ultramicroscopy*. **105**, 96-102 (2005).
3. Venkataraman, S., Allison, D.P., Qi, H., Morrell-Falvey, J.L., Kallewaard, N.L., Crowe, Jr., J.E., & Doktycz, M.J. Automated Image Analysis of Atomic Force Microscopy Images of Rotavirus Particles. *Ultramicroscopy*. **106**, 829-837 (2006).
4. Hildebrand, M., Holton, G., Joy, D. C., Doktycz, M.J., & Allison, D.P. Diverse and conserved nano-and mesoscale structures of diatom silica revealed by atomic force microscopy. *J. Microscopy*. **235** (2), 172-187 (2009).
5. Beckmann, M.A., Venkataraman, S., Doktycz, M.J., Nataro, J.P., Sullivan, C.J., Morrell-Falvey, J.L., & Allison, D.P. Measuring Cell Surface Elasticity on Enterococcal *Escherichia coli* Wild Type and Dispersin Mutant by AFM. *Ultramicroscopy*. **106**, 695-702 (2006).
6. Mortensen, N.P., Fowlkes, J.D., Sullivan, C.J., Allison, D.P., Larsen, N.B., Molin, S., & Doktycz, M.J. Effects of colistin on surface ultrastructure and nanomechanics of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells. *Langmuir*. **25**, 3728-3733 (2009).
7. Tang, J., Krajcikova, D., Zhu, R., Ebner, A., Cutting, S., Gruber H.J., Barak, I., & Hinterdorfer, P. Atomic force microscopy imaging and single molecule recognition force spectroscopy of coat proteins on the surface of *Bacillus subtilis* spore. *J. Molec. Recog.* **20** (6), 483-489 (2007).